43: 21-24. 11

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST

Compiled by the NJ State Law Library

(Unemployment--extended compensation)

NJSA:

43:21-24.11

LAWS OF:

1994

CHAPTER: 59

BILL NO:

A1019

SPONSOR(S):

Haines

DATE INTRODUCED:

January 19, 1994

COMMITTEE:

ASSEMBLY:

Appropriations; Labor

SENATE:

Commerce

AMENDED DURING PASSAGE:

Yes

Amendments during passage

denoted by superscript numbers

DATE OF PASSAGE:

ASSEMBLY:

May 16, 1994

SENATE:

June 13, 1994

DATE OF APPROVAL:

June 29, 1994

FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ARE ATTACHED IF AVAILABLE:

SPONSOR STATEMENT:

Yes

COMMITTEE STATEMENT:

ASSEMBLY:

Yes 5-11-94 & 1-20-94

SENATE:

Yes

FISCAL NOTE:

Yes

VETO MESSAGE:

No

MESSAGE ON SIGNING:

No

FOLLOWING WERE PRINTED:

REPORTS:

No

HEARINGS:

No

KBG:pp

[FIRST REPRINT] ASSEMBLY, No. 1019

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

INTRODUCED JANUARY 18, 1994

By Assemblywoman HAINES and Assemblyman ROMA

1 AN ACT concerning extended unemployment compensation 2 benefits and amending and supplementing P.L.1970, c.324.

3 4

5

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

- BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:
- 6 ¹[1. Section 5 of P.L.1970, c.324 (C.43:21-24.11) is amended to read as follows:
 - 5. For the purposes of the extended benefit program and as used in this act, unless the context clearly requires otherwise:
 - a. "Extended benefit period" means a period which
 - (1) Begins with the third week after a week for which there is a state "on" indicator; and
 - (2) Ends with either of the following weeks, whichever occurs later:
 - (a) The third week after the first week for which there is a state "off" indicator; or
 - (b) The thirteenth consecutive week of such period; provided, that no extended benefit period may begin by reason of a state "on" indicator before the fourteenth week after the close of a prior extended benefit period which was in effect with respect to this State; and provided further, that no extended benefit period may become effective in this State prior to the effective date of this act
 - b. (Deleted by amendment.)
 - c. (Deleted by amendment.)
- d. There is a "state 'on' indicator" for this State for a week if [the]:
 - (1) The division determines, in accordance with the regulations of the United States Secretary of Labor, that for the period consisting of the respective week and the immediately preceding 12 weeks, the rate of insured unemployment (not seasonally adjusted) under the [Unemployment Compensation Law] "unemployment compensation law" (R.S.43:21-1 et seq.):
 - [(1)] (a) Equaled or exceeded 120% of the average of these rates for the corresponding 13-week period during each of the preceding 2 calendar years, and [equaled or exceeded 4%; provided that], for weeks beginning after September 25, 1982, [the rate] equaled or exceeded 5%; or
- [(2)] (b) With respect to benefits for weeks of unemployment beginning after [March 30, 1977, equaled or exceeded 5%; provided that for weeks beginning after] September 25, 1982, [the rate] equaled or exceeded 6%; or

EXPLANATION--Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

- (2) With respect to any week of unemployment beginning after the effective date of this 1994 amendatory and supplementary act, the average seasonally adjusted rate of total unemployment in the State, as determined by the United States Secretary of Labor for the most recent three-month period for which data for all states are published before the close of that week:
 - (a) Equals or exceeds 6.5%; and

- (b) Equals or exceeds 110% of the average seasonally adjusted rate of total unemployment in the State during either of the corresponding three-month periods ending in the two preceding calendar years.
- e. There is a "state 'off' indicator" for this State for a week if the division determines, in accordance with the regulations of the United States Secretary of Labor, that for the period consisting of the respective week and the immediately preceding 12 weeks, neither [subparagraph] paragraph (1) or (2) of [paragraph] subsection d. was satisfied.
- f. "Rate of insured unemployment," for purposes of subsections d. and e. means the percentage derived by dividing
- (1) The average weekly number of individuals filing claims for regular benefits in this State for weeks of unemployment with respect to the most recent 13-consecutive-week period, as determined by the division on the basis of its reports to the United States Secretary of Labor, by
- (2) The average monthly covered employment for the specified period.
- g. "Regular benefits" means benefits payable to an individual under the [Unemployment Compensation Law] "unemployment compensation law" (R.S.43:21-1 et seq.) or under any other State law (including benefits payable to Federal civilian employees and to ex-servicemen pursuant to 5 U.S.C. chapter 85) other than extended benefits.
- h. "Extended benefits" means benefits (including benefits payable to Federal civilian employees and to ex-servicemen pursuant to 5 U.S.C. chapter 85) payable to an individual under the provisions of this act for weeks of unemployment in his eligibility period.
- i. "Eligibility period" of an individual means the period consisting of the weeks in his benefit year which begin in an extended benefit period and, if his benefit year ends within the extended benefit period, any weeks thereafter which begin in the period.
- j. "Exhaustee" means an individual who, with respect to any week of unemployment in his eligibility period:
- (1) Has received prior to the week, all of the regular benefits that were available to him under the [Unemployment Compensation Law] "unemployment compensation law" or any other State law (including dependents' allowances and benefits payable to Federal civilian employees and ex-servicemen under 5 U.S.C. chapter 85) in his current benefit year that includes such week, provided, that for the purposes of this [subparagraph] paragraph, an individual shall be deemed to have received all of the regular benefits that were available to him although as a result of a pending appeal with respect to wages and/or

employment that were not considered in the original monetary determination in his benefit year, he may subsequently be determined to be entitled to added regular benefits; or

- (2) His benefit year having expired prior to such week, has no, or insufficient, wages and/or employment on the basis of which he could establish a new benefit year that would include such week; and
- (3) (a) has no right to unemployment benefits or allowances, as the case may be, under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, the Automotive Products Trade Act of 1965 and such other Federal laws as are specified in regulations issued by the United States Secretary of Labor; and
- (b) has not received and is not seeking unemployment benefits under the Unemployment Compensation Law of Canada; but if he is seeking these benefits and the appropriate agency finally determines that he is not entitled to benefits under that law he is considered an exhaustee if the other provisions of this definition are met.
- k. "State law" means the unemployment insurance law of any state approved by the United States Secretary of Labor under section 3304 of the Internal Revenue Code of [1954] 1986 26 U.S.C. §3304).
- l. "High unemployment period" means any period during which the average seasonally adjusted rate of total unemployment in the State, as determined by the United States Secretary of Labor for the most recent three-month period for which data for all states are published:
 - (1) Equals or exceeds 8%; and
- (2) Equals or exceeds 110% of the average seasonally adjusted rate of total unemployment in the State during either of the corresponding three-month periods ending in the two preceding calendar years.
- (cf: P.L.1982, c.144, s.1)]¹

- ¹[2. Section 9 of P.L.1970, c.324 (C.43:21-24.15) is amended to read as follows:
 - 9. [The] a. Except as provided in subsection b. of this section, the total extended benefit amount payable to any eligible individual with respect to his applicable benefit year shall be the lesser of the following amounts:
- [a.] (1) 50% of the total of regular benefits which were payable to him under the [Unemployment Compensation Law] "unemployment compensation law" (R.S.43:21-1 et seq.) in his applicable benefit year; or
- [b.] (2) Thirteen times his weekly benefit amount which was payable to him under the [Unemployment Compensation Law] "unemployment compensation law" (R.S.43:21-1 et seq.) for a week of total unemployment in the applicable benefit year.
- b. With respect to weeks beginning during a high unemployment period, the total extended benefit amount payable to an eligible individual with respect to his applicable benefit year shall be the lesser of the following amounts:
- 52 (1) 80% of the total of regular benefits which were payable to
 53 the individual under the "unemployment compensation law"
 54 (R.S.43:21-1 et seq.) during the applicable benefit year; or

4

(2) Twenty times the weekly benefit amount which was payable to the individual under the "unemployment compensation law" (R.S.43:21-1 et seq.) for a week of total unemployment during the applicable benefit year.

c. Notwithstanding any other provisions of the [Unemployment "unemployment compensation law" Compensation Law] (R.S.43:21-1 et seq.), if the benefit year of an adversely affected worker covered by a certification under subchapter A, chapter 2, Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, P.L.93-618, 5 U.S.C. 5312 et seq. as amended, ends within an extended benefit period, the remaining balance of extended benefits that the individual would, but for this section, be entitled to receive in that extended benefit period, with respect to weeks of unemployment beginning after the end of the benefit year, shall be reduced (but not below zero) by the product of the number of weeks for which the individual received any amounts as trade readjustment allowances within that benefit year, multiplied by the individual's weekly benefit amount for extended benefits.

(cf: P.L.1982, c.144, s.3)] 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

 $^{1}[3.]$ 1. Section 6 of P.L.1970, c. 324 (C.43:21-24.12) is amended to read as follows:

6. Except when the result would be inconsistent with other provisions of the [Extended Benefits Law,] "Extended Benefits Law," as provided in the regulations of the division, the provisions of the [Unemployment Compensation "unemployment compensation law" (R.S.43:21-1 et seq.) which apply to claims for, and the payment and charging of, regular benefits shall apply to claims for, and the payment and charging of, extended benefits, provided, however, that no employer's account shall be charged for the payment of any extended benefits with respect to any weeks commencing prior to July 1, 1971; and provided further, that 50% of any extended benefits paid with respect to weeks commencing on or after July 1, 1971 shall be charged to the appropriate [employer's account; and provided further, that when a claimant receives regular and extended benefits, the State's share of the extended benefits shall be charged first against unused base-year base week earnings of employers within the base year of the claimant in the inverse chronological order, and any amount not so charged shall then be charged against the most recent employers in the same manner and under the same conditions provided in the Unemployment Compensation Law (R.S.43:21-1 et seq.), notwithstanding the charges made for regular benefits, and where the account of any employer is charged in excess of 62 1/2 % of the total base-year base week wages paid to the claimant by any employer, such employer may apply to the Division of Employment Security to have such excess charges canceled from his account if the application is made within 6 months of the benefit check creating such charges. Any cancellation of such charges shall not affect a contribution rate already assigned with respect to any fiscal year commencing prior to the date the application for cancellation is received by the division]

employers' accounts. (cf: P.L.1970, c.324, s.6)

A1019 [1R]

¹[4.] 2.¹ (New section) The provisions of section 2 of P.L.1981, c.90 (C.43:21-24.19) shall not apply to weeks of unemployment beginning after March 6, 1993 and before January 1, 1995.

¹[5. (New section) a. To the extent permitted by federal law, the Governor is authorized and may elect to trigger off an extended benefit period if doing so will result in the providing of emergency unemployment compensation which is entirely federally financed to individuals who have exhausted their rights to benefits under the "unemployment compensation law" (R.S.43:21-1 et seq.).

b. To the extent permitted by federal law, the provisions of subparagraph (b) of paragraph (2) of subsection a. of section 5 of P.L.1970, c.324 (C.43:21-24.11) which preclude an extended benefit period from beginning before the fourteenth week after the end of a previous extended benefit period shall not apply if the Governor elects to trigger off the previous extended benefit period pursuant to subsection a. of this section.]¹

¹[6.] 3.¹ This act shall take effect immediately.

-[0.1 <u>3.</u> - This act shall take effect

23 Conforms State UI extended benefit standards to federal 24 standards.

- 4. (New section) The provisions of section 2 of P.L.1981, c.90 (C.43:21-24.19) shall not apply to weeks of unemployment beginning after March 6, 1993 and before January 1, 1995.
- 5. (New section) a. To the extent permitted by federal law, the Governor is authorized and may elect to trigger off an extended benefit period if doing so will result in the providing of emergency unemployment compensation which is entirely federally financed to individuals who have exhausted their rights to benefits under the "unemployment compensation law" (R.S.43:21-1 et seq.).
- b. To the extent permitted by federal law, the provisions of subparagraph (b) of paragraph (2) of subsection a. of section 5 of P.L.1970, c.324 (C.43:21-24.11) which preclude an extended benefit period from beginning before the fourteenth week after the end of a previous extended benefit period shall not apply if the Governor elects to trigger off the previous extended benefit period pursuant to subsection a. of this section.
 - 6. This act shall take effect immediately.

STATEMENT

This bill modifies the conditions under which extended unemployment insurance (UI) benefits are made available to laid off workers who exhaust their regular UI benefits but are not able to obtain employment.

This bill is based on the federal Unemployment Compensation Amendments of 1992, P.L.102-318, which was signed into law on July 3, 1992. That law provides for 100% federally-funded extended UI benefits, which will expire February 5, 1994. The law also permits each state to enact legislation to provide an alternative unemployment threshold or "trigger" to start a program under which the State and the federal government share the costs of the benefits on a 50-50 basis.

The bill contains that alternative trigger, which provides 13 weeks of extended benefits for each worker if the State's total unemployment rate is 6.5% or more and is also at least 10% higher than the rate for the corresponding 13-week period during either of the preceding two calendar years. Twenty weeks of extended benefits are provided if the State's total unemployment rate reaches 8%. The cost of the extended benefits is shared equally by the State and the federal government.

Under current State law, the trigger for 50-50 State/federal extended employment benefits is that New Jersey must have an insured unemployment rate of at least 6% or at least 5% and also at least 20% higher than the rate for the corresponding 13-week period during both of the preceding two calendar years. This trigger has been unattainable for New Jersey and most other states during the recession of the last three years, because most unemployed workers do not receive regular employment benefits and therefore are not counted when the insured unemployment rate is calculated. Throughout the period from 1990 to 1993, New Jersey's insured unemployment rate remained well below 5% even when the State's total unemployment rate rose above

A1019

9%. Extended benefits were available in most states, including New Jersey, only due to the more attainable trigger for the 100% federally-funded emergency unemployment program.

The bill gives the Governor the option of withholding the extended benefits provided by the bill whenever federal emergency unemployment benefits are available.

The bill also brings New Jersey's unemployment compensation law into compliance with the federal requirement which has been in effect since 1992 to make each state's job search requirements for recipients of extended unemployment benefits the same as that State's job search requirements for recipients of regular unemployment benefits. New Jersey is the only state which has not yet complied with that federal requirement. A continued lack of compliance will result in more than \$1 billion in added federal unemployment taxes for New Jersey employers and the loss by the State of more than \$85 million of federal unemployment administration funds.

Changes "trigger" for federal-State extended UI benefits.

ASSEMBLY LABOR COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 1019

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JANUARY 20, 1994

The Assembly Labor Committee reports favorably Assembly Bill No. 1019.

This bill modifies the conditions under which extended unemployment insurance (UI) benefits are made available to laid off workers who exhaust their regular UI benefits but are not able to obtain employment.

This bill is based on the federal Unemployment Compensation Amendments of 1992, P.L.102-318, a bill which was signed into law on July 3, 1992. That law provides for 100% federally-funded extended UI benefits, which will expire February 5, 1994. The law also permits each state to enact legislation to provide an alternative unemployment threshold or "trigger" to start a program under which the State and the federal government share the costs of the benefits on a 50-50 basis.

The bill contains that alternative trigger, which provides 13 weeks of extended benefits for each worker if the State's total unemployment rate is 6.5% or more and is also at least 10% higher than the rate for the corresponding 13-week period during either of the preceding two calendar years. Twenty weeks of extended benefits are provided if the State's total unemployment rate reaches 8%. The cost of the extended benefits is shared equally by the State and the federal government.

Under current State law, the trigger for 50-50 State/federal extended employment benefits is that New Jersey must have an insured unemployment rate of at least 6% or at least 5% and also at least 20% higher than the rate for the corresponding 13-week period during both of the preceding two calendar years. This trigger has been unattainable for New Jersey and most other states during the recession of the last three years, because most unemployed workers do not receive regular employment benefits and therefore are not counted when the insured unemployment rate is calculated. Throughout the period from 1990 to 1993, New Jersey's insured unemployment rate remained well below 5% even when the State's total unemployment rate rose above 9%. Extended benefits were available in most states, including New Jersey, only due to the more attainable trigger for the 100% federally-funded emergency unemployment program.

The bill gives the Governor the option of withholding the extended benefits provided by the bill whenever federal emergency unemployment benefits are available.

The bill also brings New Jersey's unemployment compensation law into compliance with the federal requirement which has been in effect since 1992 to make each state's job search requirements for recipients of extended unemployment benefits the same as that State's job search requirements for recipients of regular unemployment benefits. New Jersey is the only state which has not yet complied with that federal requirement. A continued lack of compliance will result in more than \$1 billion in added federal unemployment taxes for New Jersey employers and the loss by the State of more than \$85 million of federal unemployment administration funds.

ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 1019

with Assembly committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: MAY 11, 1994

The Assembly Appropriations Committee reports favorably Assembly Bill No. 1019, with committee amendments.

Assembly Bill No. 1019, as amended, brings New Jersey's unemployment compensation law into compliance with the federal requirement, which has been in effect since 1992, to make each state's job search requirements for recipients of extended unemployment benefits the same as that State's job search requirements for recipients of regular unemployment benefits. New Jersey is the only state which has not yet complied with that federal requirement.

FISCAL IMPACT:

A continued lack of compliance with federal unemployment compensation standards will result in more than \$1 billion in added federal unemployment taxes for New Jersey employers and the loss by the State of more than \$85 million of federal unemployment administration funds.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS:

The committee amendments remove certain revisions to the State's extended unemployment compensation benefits law that would have affected conditions by which extended unemployment benefits become available.

SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

[FIRST REPRINT]
ASSEMBLY, No. 1019

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JUNE 2, 1994

The Senate Commerce Committee reports favorably Assembly Bill No. 1019 (1R).

The bill suspends the provisions of section 2 of P.L.1981, c.90 (C.43:21-24.19) for the weeks beginning after March 6, 1993 and before January 1, 1995. The suspended provisions impose more stringent job search requirements for continued eligibility for extended benefits than the requirements imposed by the State's "unemployment compensation law" (R.S.43:21-1 et seq.), which will, during that period, apply to recipients of both regular and extended benefits. Federal law has made this suspension necessary.

This bill also changes the system for charging employers for extended unemployment insurance benefits from a sequential charging system to a wage record system. This change would make the system of charging the extended unemployment insurance benefits the same as the system for charging regular unemployment insurance benefits that was adopted in 1984 in New Jersey and reduces reporting requirements and paperwork for employers.

This bill is identical to Senate Bill No. 1131, also reported by the committee today.

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE TO

[FIRST REPRINT] ASSEMBLY, No. 1019

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: May 20, 1994

Assembly Bill No. 1019 (1R) of 1994 amends the State's extended unemployment compensation law, P.L.1970, c.324 (C.43:21-24.11 et seq.) in order to bring New Jersey into compliance with the federal requirement to make each state's job search requirements for recipients of extended unemployment benefits the same as that state's job search requirements for recipients of regular unemployment benefits. New Jersey is the only state which has not yet complied with this federal requirement, which has been in effect since 1992.

The Office of Legislative Services estimates that since the bill, as currently amended, makes only technical changes in the State's extended unemployment compensation law, its enactment will have no fiscal impact on the State Budget or on the State's unemployment compensation fund and its contributors. It should be noted that if this or similar legislation is not eventually enacted, the result could be more than \$1 billion in added federal unemployment taxes for New Jersey employers, plus the loss of approximately \$85 million in federal unemployment administration funds the State receives annually to operate its unemployment insurance program.

This legislative fiscal estimate has been produced by the Office of Legislative Services due to the failure of the Executive Branch to respond to our request for a fiscal note.

This fiscal estimate has been prepared pursuant to P.L.1980, c.67.