## LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST Compiled by the NJ State Law Library

(Seasonal rentals--bond)

NJSA:

40:48-2.12

LAWS OF:

1993

CHAPTER: 127

BILL NO:

S665

SPONSOR (S)

Connors

DATE INTRODUCED:

April 6, 1992

COMMITTEE:

ASSEMBLY:

Local Government

SENATE:

Community Affairs

AMENDED DURING PASSAGE:

Yes

Amendments during passage

denoted by superscript numbers

DATE OF PASSAGE:

ASSEMBLY:

May 20, 1993

SENATE:

May 12, 1992

DATE OF APPROVAL:

June 1, 1993

FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ARE ATTACHED IF AVAILABLE:

SPONSOR STATEMENT:

Yes

COMMITTEE STATEMENT:

ASSEMBLY:

Yes

SENATE:

Yes

FISCAL NOTE:

No

**VETO MESSAGE:** 

No

MESSAGE ON SIGNING:

No

FOLLOWING WERE PRINTED:

REPORTS:

No

**HEARINGS:** 

No

See newspaper clippings--attached: "Florio signs 'animal house' bill, 6-2-93 Asbury Park Press. " 'Animal house' law approved," 6-2-93 Asbury Park Press.

KBG:pp

# [FIRST REPRINT] SENATE, No. 665

# STATE OF NEW JERSEY

### INTRODUCED APRIL 6, 1992

## By Senators CONNORS and CIESLA

AN ACT concerning seasonal rentals in certain municipalities, and supplementing Title 40 of the Revised Statutes.

2 3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27 28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35 36

38

39

40

41

42

1

# BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. The Legislature finds, determines and declares:
- a. Many of the shore resort communities in this State, and the residents thereof, have experienced disturbances, damage and public expense resulting from carelessly granted and inadequately supervised seasonal rentals to irresponsible vacationers by inept or indifferent landlords.
- b. To preserve the peace and <sup>1</sup>[tranquillity] <u>tranquility</u> <sup>1</sup> of those communities for their permanent residents, and to maintain their viability as vacation spots not only for citizens of this State but also for persons and families from far and near whom the beauties and pleasures of the New Jersey shore have historically attracted, it is necessary and desirable that those communities have adequate means to curb and discourage those occasional excesses arising from irresponsible seasonal rentals.
- c. Accordingly, it is the purpose of this legislation to enable such communities to take effective action to assure that excesses, when they occur, shall not be repeated, and that landlords offering seasonal rentals be held to sufficient standards of responsibility.
  - 2. As used in this act:

"Hearing officer" means a person designated pursuant to subsection b. of section 3 of this act to hear and determine proceedings under this act.

"Landlord" means the person or persons who own or purport to own <sup>1</sup>[or exercise control of]<sup>1</sup> any building in which there is rented or offered for rent housing space for living or dwelling under either a written or oral lease, including but not limited to any building subject to the "Hotel and Multiple Dwelling Law," P.L.1967, c.76 (C.55:13A-1 et seq.), and owner-occupied two-unit premises. 1<u>In the case of a mobile home park, "landlord" shall</u> mean the owner of an individual dwelling unit within the mobile home park. 1

37

rental" "Seasonal means any rental of accommodations for a term of less than one year and including any part of the period extending from May 15 to September 15.

"Substantiated complaint" means a complaint which may form the basis for proceedings in accordance with subsection a. of

EXPLANATION—-Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

section 4 of this act.

- 3. a. Any municipality in a county of the fifth or sixth class may enact an ordinance holding landlords of seasonal rentals to standards of responsibility in the selection of tenants and supervision of the rental premises, requiring that under certain circumstances, as hereinafter in this act described, such landlords may be required to post adequate bond against the consequences of disorderly behavior of their tenants, and in the case of subsequent violations forfeit such bond, in whole or part, in compensation for the consequences of such behavior.
- b. To assure impartiality in the administration of such an ordinance, the municipal governing body shall make provision for the hearings and decisions held and made thereunder to be conducted and decided by a licensed attorney of this State who shall not be an owner or lessee of any real property within the municipality, nor hold any interest in the assets of or profits arising from the ownership or lease of such property.
- 4. An ordinance adopted under authority of this section shall provide:
- a. If in any one year a specified number, which shall not be less than <sup>1</sup>[two] three<sup>1</sup>, of complaints, on separate occasions, of disorderly, indecent, tumultuous or riotous conduct upon or in proximity to any seasonal rental premises, and attributable to the acts or incitements of any of the tenants of those premises, have been substantiated by prosecution and conviction in any court of competent jurisdiction, the municipal governing body or any officer or employee of the municipality designated by the governing body for the purpose, may institute proceedings to require the landlord of those premises to post a bond against the consequences of future incidents of the same character.
- b. The governing body or person designated pursuant to subsection a. of this section shall cause to be served upon the landlord, in person or by registered mail to the address appearing on the tax records of the municipality, notice advising of the institution of such proceedings, together with particulars of the substantiated complaints upon which those proceedings are based, and of the time and place at which a hearing will be held in the matter, which shall be in the municipal building, municipal court or other public place within the municipality, and which shall be no sooner than 30 days from the date upon which the notice is served or mailed.
- c. At the hearing convened pursuant to subsection b. of this section, the hearing officer shall give full hearing to both the complaint of the municipality and to any evidence in contradiction or mitigation that the landlord, if present or represented and offering such evidence, may present. At the conclusion of the hearing the hearing officer shall determine whether the landlord shall be required to post a bond in accordance with the terms of the ordinance.
- d. Any bond required to be posted shall be in accordance with the judgment of the hearing officer, in light of the nature and extent of the offenses indicated in the substantiated complaints upon which the proceedings are based, to be adequate in the case of subsequent offenses to make reparation for (1) damages likely

*7* 

to be caused to public or private property and damages consequent upon disruption of affected residents' rights of fair use and quiet possession of their premises, (2) securing the payment of fines and penalties likely to be levied for such offenses, and (3) compensating the municipality for the costs of repressing and prosecuting such incidents of disorderly behavior; but no such bond shall be in an amount less than \$500 or more than \$5,000. The municipality may enforce the bond thus required by action in the Superior Court, and shall be entitled to an injunction prohibiting the landlord from making or renewing any lease of the affected premises for residential purposes until that bond or equivalent security, in satisfactory form and amount, has been deposited with the municipality.

- e. A bond or other security deposited in compliance with subsection d. of this section shall remain in force for a period specified pursuant to the ordinance, which shall be not less than two or more than four years. Upon the lapse of the specified period the landlord shall be entitled to the discharge thereof, unless prior thereto further proceedings leading to a forfeiture or partial forfeiture of the bond or other security shall have been had under section 5 of this act, in which case the security shall be renewed, in an amount and for a period that shall be specified by the hearing officer. <sup>1</sup>[Transfer of ownership or control of the property shall not void a requirement for security imposed under this act; the person or persons to whom ownership or control is transferred shall maintain that security, and shall be subject to injunctive proceedings as authorized by subsection d. of this section in the same manner as the landlord upon whom the requirement was originally imposed; provided, however, that the municipal governing body may by resolution shorten the period for which security is required to not less than one year from the date of the transfer of ownership or control, if during that year no substantiated complaints are recorded with respect to the property in question.]<sup>1</sup>
- 5. a. If during the period for which a landlord is required to give security pursuant to section 4 of this act a substantiated complaint is recorded against the property in question, the governing body or its designee may institute proceedings against the landlord for the forfeiture or partial forfeiture of the security, for an extension as provided in subsection e. of section 4 of this act, of the period for which such security is required, or for increase in the amount of security required, or for any or all of those purposes.
- b. Any forfeiture or partial forfeiture of security shall be determined by the hearing officer solely in accordance with the amount deemed necessary to provide for the compensatory purposes set forth in subsection d. of section 4 of this act. Any decision by the hearing officer to increase the amount or extend the period of the required security shall be determined in light of the same factors set forth in subsection d. of subsection 4 of this act, and shall be taken only to the extent that the nature of the substantiated complaint or complaints out of which proceedings arise under this section indicates the appropriateness of such change in order to carry out the purposes of this act effectually.

# S665 [1R] 4

The decision of the hearing officer in such circumstances shall be enforceable in the same manner as provided in subsection d. of section 4 of this act.

6. This act shall take effect immediately.

5 6 7

2 3

4

8 Permits certain municipalities to require bond from seasonal 9

10 rental landlords in certain circumstances. 

#### STATEMENT

Many shore resort communities have experienced disturbances, damage and public expense resulting from carelessly granted and inadequately supervised seasonal rentals to irresponsible vacationers by inept or indifferent landlords.

It is the purpose of this legislation to enable such communities to take effective action to assure that excesses, when they occur, shall not be repeated, and that landlords offering seasonal rentals be held to sufficient standards of responsibility.

This bill would allow municipalities in the shore resort areas (5th and 6th class counties) to adopt ordinances under which, when a rental property has become the source of at least two "substantiated complaints" — that is, complaints that have led to prosecution and conviction on two or more separate occasions — in one year, the municipality may institute an administrative proceeding to make the landlord post a bond or equivalent security to compensate for any future damage or expense the municipality or its residents may suffer from future repetitions of such conduct. The amount of bond required could range from \$500 to \$5,000.

If no further substantiated complaints arise for a specified period — the ordinance may specify not less than two or more than four years — the bond shall be discharged. But if further complaints are substantiated during that period, the bonded period may be extended or the amount of bond increased. Incidents occurring during the bonded period may result in forfeiture or partial forfeiture of the bond in order to compensate for the damage and expense caused thereby. Transfer of the ownership or control of the property would not affect the period or amount of security required, except that the municipal governing body could shorten the period for a new owner to not less than one year if in that year no substantiated complaints are recorded.

To assure impartiality in the administration of this system within any municipality, it is provided that the municipal governing body arrange to have the administrative proceedings conducted and decisions made by an attorney who is neither a resident of nor a property owner in that municipality.

Permits certain municipalities to require bond from seasonal rental landlords in certain circumstances.

### ASSEMBLY LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

[FIRST REPRINT] SENATE, No. 665

# STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: MARCH 11, 1993

The Assembly Local Government Committee reports favorably Senate Bill No. 665 (1R).

Senate Bill No. 665 (1R) allows municipalities in fifth and sixth class counties to adopt ordinances under which, when a rental property has become the source of at least three "substantiated complaints" in one year. the municipality may institute an administrative proceeding to make the landlord post a bond or equivalent security to compensate for any future damage or expense the municipality or its residents may suffer from future repetitions of such conduct. The bill defines a "substantiated complaint" as one that has led to prosecution and conviction on three or more separate occasions. The amount of bond required could range from \$500 to \$5,000.

If no further substantiated complaints arise for a period of not less than two or more than four years as specified in the ordinance the bond shall be discharged. But if further complaints are substantiated during that period, the bonded period may be extended or the amount of bond increased. Incidents occurring during the bonded period may result in forfeiture or partial forfeiture of the bond in order to compensate for the damage and expense caused thereby.

To assure impartiality in the administration of this system within any municipality, the bill permits the municipal governing body to arrange to have the administrative proceedings conducted and decisions made by an attorney who is neither a resident of nor a property owner in that municipality.

According to both the 1980 and 1990 censuses, Monmouth, Ocean and Atlantic are counties of the fifth class and Cape May is the only county of the sixth class.

### SENATE COMMUNITY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

### STATEMENT TO

# SENATE, No. 665

with Senate committee amendments

# STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: MAY 4, 1992

The Senate Community Affairs Committee favorably reports Senate Bill No. 665 with Senate committee amendments.

Senate Bill No. 665, as amended by the committee, would allow municipalities in fifth and sixth class counties to adopt ordinances under which, when a rental property has become the source of at least three "substantiated complaints" — that is, complaints that have led to prosecution and conviction on three or more separate occasions — in one year, the municipality may institute an administrative proceeding to make the landlord post a bond or equivalent security to compensate for any future damage or expense the municipality or its residents may suffer from future repetitions of such conduct. The amount of bond required could range from \$500 to \$5,000.

If no further substantiated complaints arise for a specified period — the ordinance may specify not less than two or more than four years — the bond shall be discharged. But if further complaints are substantiated during that period, the bonded period may be extended or the amount of bond increased. Incidents occurring during the bonded period may result in forfeiture or partial forfeiture of the bond in order to compensate for the damage and expense caused thereby.

To assure impartiality in the administration of this system within any municipality, it is provided that the municipal governing body arrange to have the administrative proceedings conducted and decisions made by an attorney who is neither a resident of nor a property owner in that municipality.

According to both the 1980 and 1990 censuses, Monmouth, Ocean and Atlantic are counties of the fifth class and Cape May is the only county of the sixth class.

The committee amended the bill to clarify that, in the case of a mobile home park, the definition of landlord shall refer to the owner of an individual dwelling unit within the mobile home park.

Additionally, the committee amended the bill to increase to three in any one year the number of complaints of disorderly, indecent, tumultuous or riotous conduct which must occur before the municipality may institute proceedings to require the landlord to post a bond against the consequences of future incidents.

Finally, the committee amended the bill to eliminate the provision that would have provided that the transfer of ownership or control of the property shall not void a requirement for security imposed under the act.