2A:15-59.1

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST

Compiled by the NJ State Law Library

LAWS OF:

1995

CHAPTER: 13

NJSA:

2A:15-59.1

(Frivolous lawsuits-municipalities)

BILL NO:

A1012/S1399/S1290

SPONSOR(S): Mikulek and others

DATE INTRODUCED: Pre-filed

COMMITTEE:

ASSEMBLY:

Local Government

SENATE:

Community Affairs

No

AMENDED DURING PASSAGE:

Senate Committee Substitute

A1012, S1399, S1290 enacted

DATE OF PASSAGE:

ASSEMBLY:

June 27, 1994

SENATE:

November 10, 1994

DATE OF APPROVAL:

January 20, 1995

FOLLOWING ARE ATTACHED IF AVAILABLE:

SPONSORS STATEMENT:

Yes

COMMITTEE STATEMENT:

ASSEMBLY:

Yes

SENATE:

Yes

FISCAL NOTE:

No

MESSAGE ON SIGNING:

Yes

FOLLOWING WERE PRINTED:

REPORTS:

No

HEARINGS:

No

See newspaper clippings—attached:

"New law takes aim at frivolous lawsuits," 1-21-95, <u>Star Ledger.</u>
"Law discourages suits against officials," 1-21-95, <u>Asbury Park Press.</u>

SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR

ASSEMBLY, No. 1012, SENATE, Nos. 1399 and 1290

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

ADOPTED OCTOBER 13, 1994

Sponsored by Assemblymen MIKULAK, LUSTBADER, Senators SCOTT and McGREEVEY

AN ACT concerning certain lawsuits and amending P.L.1988, c.46.

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. Section 1 of P.L.1988, c.46 (C.2A:15-59.1) is amended to read as follows:
- 1. a. (1) A party who prevails in a civil action, either as plaintiff or defendant, against any other party may be awarded all reasonable litigation costs and reasonable attorney fees, if the judge finds at any time during the proceedings or upon judgment that a complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense of the nonprevailing person was frivolous.
- (2) When a public entity is required or authorized by law to provide for the defense of a present or former employee, the public entity may be awarded all reasonable litigation costs and reasonable attorney's fees if the individual for whom defense was provided is the prevailing party in a civil action, and if there is a judicial determination at any time during the proceedings or upon judgment that a complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim, or defense of the nonprevailing party was frivolous.
- b. In order to find that a complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense of the nonprevailing party was frivolous, the judge shall find on the basis of the pleadings, discovery, or the evidence presented that either:
- (1) The complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense was commenced, used or continued in bad faith, solely for the purpose of harassment, delay or malicious injury; or
- (2) The nonprevailing party knew, or should have known, that the complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense was without any reasonable basis in law or equity and could not be supported by a good faith argument for an extension, modification or reversal of existing law.
- c. A party <u>or public entity</u> seeking an award under this section shall make application to the court which heard the matter. The application shall be supported by an affidavit stating in detail:
- (1) The nature of the services rendered, the responsibility assumed, the results obtained, the amount of time spent by the attorney, any particular novelty or difficulty, the time spent and services rendered by secretaries and staff, other factors pertinent in the evaluation of the services rendered, the amount

EXPLANATION--Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

SCS for A1012

2

of the allowance applied for, an itemization of the disbursements for which reimbursement is sought, and any other factors relevant in evaluating fees and costs; and

(2) How much has been paid to the attorney and what provision, if any, has been made for the payment of these fees in the future.

(cf: P.L.1988, c.46, s.1)

2. This act shall take effect immediately.

9 10

1

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

12

13 Allows public entity to recover costs in frivolous suit against 14 employee.

ASSEMBLY, No. 1012

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

PRE-FILED FOR INTRODUCTION IN THE 1994 SESSION

By Assemblymen MIKULAK and LUSTBADER

1 AN ACT concerning certain lawsuits and amending P.L.1988, c.46.

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. Section 1 of P.L.1988, c.46 (C.2A:15-59.1) is amended to read as follows:
- 1. a. A party who prevails in a civil action, either as plaintiff or defendant, against any other party may be awarded all reasonable litigation costs and reasonable attorney fees, if the judge finds at any time during the proceedings or upon judgment that a complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense of the nonprevailing person was frivolous.
- b. In order to find that a complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense of the nonprevailing party was frivolous, the judge shall find on the basis of the pleadings, discovery, or the evidence presented that either:
- (1) The complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense was commenced, used or continued in bad faith, solely for the purpose of harassment, delay or malicious injury; or
- (2) The nonprevailing party knew, or should have known, that the complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense was without any reasonable basis in law or equity and could not be supported by a good faith argument for an extension, modification or reversal of existing law.
- c. A party seeking an award under this section shall make application to the court which heard the matter. The application shall be supported by an affidavit stating in detail:
- (1) The nature of the services rendered, the responsibility assumed, the results obtained, the amount of time spent by the attorney, any particular novelty or difficulty, the time spent and services rendered by secretaries and staff, other factors pertinent in the evaluation of the services rendered, the amount of the allowance applied for, an itemization of the disbursements for which reimbursement is sought, and any other factors relevant in evaluating fees and costs; and
- (2) How much has been paid to the attorney and what provision, if any, has been made for the payment of these fees in the future.
- d. As used in this section, the term "party" includes a municipality which provides the means for the defense of a member or officer of its police department or force pursuant to section 1 of P.L.1971, c.197 (C.40A:14-155).
- 43 (cf: P.L.1988, c.46, s.1)
 - 2. This act shall take effect immediately.

EXPLANATION—Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

SPONSOR'S STATEMENT

This bill would allow a municipality to recover legal costs incurred in defending a police officer against a frivolous complaint. The proliferation of these complaints in recent years has made the cost of defending against them a significant municipal budget item.

Municipalities are required by section 1 of P.L.1971, c.197 (C.40A:14-155) to provide for the defense of their police officers against certain complaints. However, the law intended to discourage frivolous law suits, section 1 of P.L.1988, c.46 (C.2A:15-59.1), permits only a party to such a suit to petition for the recovery of costs. This bill would also permit a municipality which defends its police officer against a frivolous action to seek reimbursement of its legal costs.

Allows municipality to recover costs in frivolous suits against police.

\$

SENATE, No. 1399

STATE OF NEW JERSEY /

INTRODUCED SEPTEMBER 19, 1994

By Senator SCOTT

AN ACT concerning certain lawsuits and amending P.L.1988, c.46.

1. 2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. Section 1 of P.L.1988, c.46 (C.2A:15-59.1) is amended to read as follows:
- 1. a. A party who prevails in a civil action, either as plaintiff or defendant, against any other party may be awarded all reasonable litigation costs and reasonable attorney fees, if the judge finds at any time during the proceedings or upon judgment that a complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense of the nonprevailing person was frivolous.
- b. In order to find that a complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense of the nonprevailing party was frivolous, the judge shall find on the basis of the pleadings, discovery, or the evidence presented that either:
- (1) The complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense was commenced, used or continued in bad faith, solely for the purpose of harassment, delay or malicious injury; or
- (2) The nonprevailing party knew, or should have known, that the complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense was without any reasonable basis in law or equity and could not be supported by a good faith argument for an extension, modification or reversal of existing law.
- c. A party seeking an award under this section shall make application to the court which heard the matter. The application shall be supported by an affidavit stating in detail:
- (1) The nature of the services rendered, the responsibility assumed, the results obtained, the amount of time spent by the attorney, any particular novelty or difficulty, the time spent and services rendered by secretaries and staff, other factors pertinent in the evaluation of the services rendered, the amount of the allowance applied for, an itemization of the disbursements for which reimbursement is sought, and any other factors relevant in evaluating fees and costs; and
- 36 (2) How much has been paid to the attorney and what 37 provision, if any, has been made for the payment of these fees in 38 the future.
- d. As used in this section, the term "party" includes a municipality which provides the means for the defense of a member or officer of its police department or force pursuant to
- 42 N.J.S.40A:14-155.
- 43 (cf: P.L.1988, c.46, s.1)

EXPLANATION—-Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

S1399

2. This act shall take effect immediately.

STATEMENT

This bill would allow a municipality to recover legal costs incurred in defending a police officer against a frivolous complaint. The proliferation of these complaints in recent years has made the cost of defending against them a significant municipal budget item.

Municipalities are required by section 1 of P.L.1971, c.197 (C.40A:14-155) to provide for the defense of their police officers against certain complaints. However, the law intended to discourage frivolous law suits, section 1 of P.L.1988, c.46 (C.2A:15-59.1), permits only a party to such a suit to petition for the recovery of costs. This bill would also permit a municipality which defends its police officer against a frivolous action to seek reimbursement of its legal costs.

Allows municipality to recover costs in frivolous suits against police.

SENATE, No. 1290

FOR LIBRARY USE OWISTATE OF NEW JERSEY DO NOT CIRCULATE

INTRODUCED JUNE 30, 1994

By Senator McGREEVEY

1 AN ACT concerning certain lawsuits and amending P.L.1988, c.46.

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. Section 1 of P.L.1988, c.46 (C.2A:15-59.1) is amended to read as follows:
- 1. a. A party who prevails in a civil action, either as plaintiff or defendant, against any other party may be awarded all reasonable litigation costs and reasonable attorney fees, if the judge finds at any time during the proceedings or upon judgment that a complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense of the nonprevailing person was frivolous.
- b. In order to find that a complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense of the nonprevailing party was frivolous, the judge shall find on the basis of the pleadings, discovery, or the evidence presented that either:
- (1) The complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense was commenced, used or continued in bad faith, solely for the purpose of harassment, delay or malicious injury; or
- (2) The nonprevailing party knew, or should have known, that the complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim or defense was without any reasonable basis in law or equity and could not be supported by a good faith argument for an extension, modification or reversal of existing law.
- c. A party seeking an award under this section shall make application to the court which heard the matter. The application shall be supported by an affidavit stating in detail:
- (1) The nature of the services rendered, the responsibility assumed, the results obtained, the amount of time spent by the attorney, any particular novelty or difficulty, the time spent and services rendered by secretaries and staff, other factors pertinent in the evaluation of the services rendered, the amount of the allowance applied for, an itemization of the disbursements for which reimbursement is sought, and any other factors relevant in evaluating fees and costs; and
- 36 (2) How much has been paid to the attorney and what 37 provision, if any, has been made for the payment of these fees in 38 the future.
- d. As used in this section, the term "party" includes a member or officer of its police department or force pursuant to
- 42 N.J.S.40A:14-155.
- 43 (cf: P.L.1988, c.46, s.1)

EXPLANATION—-Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

Matter underlined thus is new matter.

2. This act shall	take effect	immediately.
-------------------	-------------	--------------

STATEMENT

 This bill would allow a municipality to recover legal costs incurred in defending a police officer against a frivolous complaint. The proliferation of these complaints in recent years has made the cost of defending against them a significant municipal budget item.

Municipalities are required by section 1 of P.L.1971, c.197 (C.40A:14-155) to provide for the defense of their police officers against certain complaints. However, the law intended to discourage frivolous law suits, section 1 of P.L.1988, c.46 (C.2A:15-59.1), permits only a party to such a suit to petition for the recovery of costs. This bill would also permit a municipality which defends its police officer against a frivolous action to seek reimbursement of its legal costs.

Allows municipality to recover costs in frivolous suits against police.

ASSEMBLY LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 1012

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: MAY 12, 1994

The Assembly Local Government Committee reports favorably Assembly Bill No. 1012.

Assembly Bill No. 1012 would allow a municipality to recover legal costs incurred in defending a police officer against a frivolous complaint. The proliferation of these complaints in recent years has made the cost of defending against them a significant municipal budget item.

Municipalities are required by section 1 of P.L.1971, c.197 (C.40A:14-155) to provide for the defense of their police officers against certain complaints. However, the law intended to discourage frivolous law suits, section 1 of P.L.1988, c.46 (C.2A:15-59.1), permits only a party to such a suit to petition for the recovery of costs. This bill would also permit a municipality which defends its police officer against a frivolous action to seek reimbursement of its legal costs.

SENATE COMMUNITY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 1012, SENATE, Nos. 1399 and 1290

with committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: OCTOBER 13, 1994

The Senate Community Affairs Committee reports favorably a Senate Committee Substitute for Assembly Bill No. 1012 and Senate Bill Nos. 1399 and 1290.

The committee substitute would allow a public entity that is required or authorized by law to provide for the defense of a present or former employee to recover reasonable litigation costs and attorney fees incurred in defending the employee if the individual for whom defense was provided is the prevailing party in a civil action and if there is a judicial determination at any time during the proceedings or upon judgment that a complaint, counterclaim, cross-claim, or defense of the nonprevailing party was frivolous.

As introduced, Assembly, No. 1012, and Senate, Nos. 1399 and 1290 would have permitted a municipality to recover litigation costs and attorney fees incurred in defending a police officer against a frivolous complaint. The committee substitute expands the scope of the bill in recognition of the numerous sections of law that require public entities to provide for the defense of employees.

Section 1 of P.L.1972, c.48 (C.59:10A-1) requires the Attorney General to provide for the defense of an employee or former employee of the State under certain circumstances. N.J.S.40A:14-117 requires the governing body of a county or county park commission to provide a member or officer of a county police or county park police department or force with the necessary means for the defense of that employee under certain circumstances. N.J.S.40A:14-28 requires the governing body of a municipality to provide a member or officer of a municipal fire department or force with counsel and costs incidental to representation for the defense of an action or proceeding under certain circumstances. N.J.S.40A:14-155 requires the governing body of a municipality to provide a member or officer of the municipal police department or force with necessary means for the defense of an action or proceeding under certain circumstances. Section 2 of P.L.1991, c.73 (C.40A:9-134.1) requires the governing body of a municipality to provide its municipal clerk with necessary means for the defense action or proceeding under certain circumstances. N.J.S.18A:16-6 requires a board of education to defray the costs of defending an action brought against any person holding any office, position or employment under the jurisdiction of the board under certain circumstances.

While the abovementioned statutes require various public entities to provide for the defense of their employees under certain circumstances, the law intended to discourage frivolous law suits, section 1 of P.L.1988, c.46 (C.2A:15-59.1), permits only a party to such a suit to petition for the recovery of costs and attorney fees. Because public entities that provide for the defense of their employees are not actually a party to the litigation, current law prohibits them from recovering costs and attorney fees.



OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR **NEWS RELEASE**

CN-001

Contact: RITA MANNO 609-777-2600 TRENTON, N.J. 08625
Release: FRIDAY, JANUARY 20, 1995

Gov. Christie Whitman signed legislation today that permits a public entity that has defended a current or former employee to recover court costs and attorney fees from the losing party in a frivolous lawsuit. The Governor noted that this amendment to New Jersey's frivolous lawsuit statute is an important step in reforming the state's civil justice system and does not infringe on the Supreme Court's authority to regulate the conduct of attorneys.

The legislation, signed in a public ceremony, is a Senate Committee Substitute for Assembly Bill 1012 and Senate Bills 1399 and 1290. Sponsor of the bill is Assemblyman Stephen Mikulak R-Middlesex County.