2A: 34-23

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST

Compiled by the NJ State Law Library

(Equitable distribution--alimony--parents responsibility)

NJSA:

2A:34-23

LAWS OF:

1997

CHAPTER: 302

BILL NO:

A81

SPONSOR(S):

Kavanaugh

Pre-filed

COMMITTEE:

ASSEMBLY:

Judiciary

SENATE:

Women's Issues

AMENDED DURING PASSAGE:

No

DATE OF PASSAGE:

DATE INTRODUCED:

ASSEMBLY:

September 26, 1996

SENATE:

December 15, 1997

DATE OF APPROVAL:

January 8, 1998

FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ARE ATTACHED IF AVAILABLE:

SPONSOR STATEMENT:

Yes

COMMITTEE STATEMENT:

ASSEMBLY:

Yes

SENATE:

Yes

No

VETO MESSAGE:

FISCAL NOTE:

No

MESSAGE ON SIGNING:

No

FOLLOWING WERE PRINTED:

REPORTS:

No

HEARINGS:

No

974.90

New Jersey. Commission to Study the Law of Divorce.

M359

Report...April 18, 1995. Trenton, 1995. [see recommendation 19 -- pp.46]

1995a

974.90

New Jersey. Commission to Study the Law of Divorce.

M359

Preliminary report... March 15, 1995. Trenton, 1995.

1995

[see recommendation 17 -- pp. 52-53]

See newspaper clipping attached:

"Divorce reform heads list of new laws," 1-9-98, Star Ledger.

KBP:pp

P.L. 1997, CHAPTER 302, approved January 8, 1998 Assembly, No. 81 (CORRECTED COPY)

1 AN ACT concerning alimony and amending N.J.S. 2A:34-23.

2

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State
 of New Jersey:

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

3132

33

1. N.J.S. 2A:34-23 is amended to read as follows:

2A:34-23. Pending any matrimonial action brought in this State or elsewhere, or after judgment of divorce or maintenance, whether obtained in this State or elsewhere, the court may make such order as to the alimony or maintenance of the parties, and also as to the care, custody, education and maintenance of the children, or any of them, as the circumstances of the parties and the nature of the case shall render fit, reasonable and just, and require reasonable security for the due observance of such orders, including, but not limited to, the creation of trusts or other security devices, to assure payment of reasonably foreseeable medical and educational expenses. Upon neglect or refusal to give such reasonable security, as shall be required, or upon default in complying with any such order, the court may award and issue process for the immediate sequestration of the personal estate, and the rents and profits of the real estate of the party so charged, and appoint a receiver thereof, and cause such personal estate and the rents and profits of such real estate, or so much thereof as shall be necessary, to be applied toward such alimony and maintenance as to the said court shall from time to time seem reasonable and just; or the performance of the said orders may be enforced by other ways according to the practice of the court. Orders so made may be revised and altered by the court from time to time as circumstances may require.

The court may order one party to pay a retainer on behalf of the other for expert and legal services when the respective financial circumstances of the parties make the award reasonable and just. In considering an application, the court shall review the financial capacity of each party to conduct the litigation and the criteria for award of

EXPLANATION - Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and intended to be omitted in the law.

- counsel fees that are then pertinent as set forth by court rule. 1
- 2 Whenever any other application is made to a court which includes an
- 3 application for pendente lite or final award of counsel fees, the court
- 4 shall determine the appropriate award for counsel fees, if any, at the
- 5 same time that a decision is rendered on the other issue then before the
- court and shall consider the factors set forth in the court rule on 6
- 7 counsel fees, the financial circumstances of the parties, and the good
- 8 or bad faith of either party.

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

23

27

35

39

40

41

42

43

- a. In determining the amount to be paid by a parent for support of the child and the period during which the duty of support is owed, the court in those cases not governed by court rule shall consider, but not be limited to, the following factors:
- (1) Needs of the child;
 - (2) Standard of living and economic circumstances of each parent;
 - (3) All sources of income and assets of each parent;
- 16 Earning ability of each parent, including educational 17 background, training, employment skills, work experience, custodial responsibility for children including the cost of providing child care 18 19 and the length of time and cost of each parent to obtain training or 20 experience for appropriate employment;
- 21 (5) Need and capacity of the child for education, including higher 22 education;
 - (6) Age and health of the child and each parent;
- 24 (7) Income, assets and earning ability of the child;
- 25 (8) Responsibility of the parents for the court-ordered support of 26 others;
 - (9) Reasonable debts and liabilities of each child and parent; and
- 28 (10) Any other factors the court may deem relevant.
- b. In all actions brought for divorce, divorce from bed and board, 29 30 or nullity the court may award permanent or rehabilitative alimony or 31 both to either party, and in so doing shall consider, but not be limited 32 to, the following factors:
- 33 (1) The actual need and ability of the parties to pay;
- 34 (2) The duration of the marriage;
 - (3) The age, physical and emotional health of the parties;
- (4) The standard of living established in the marriage and the 36 37 likelihood that each party can maintain a reasonably comparable standard of living; 38
 - (5) The earning capacities, educational levels, vocational skills, and employability of the parties;
 - (6) The length of absence from the job market [and custodial responsibilities for children of the party seeking maintenance;
 - (7) The parental responsibilities for the children:
- 44 (8) The time and expense necessary to acquire sufficient education 45 or training to enable the party seeking maintenance to find appropriate 46 employment, the availability of the training and employment, and the

opportunity for future acquisitions of capital assets and income;

1 2

 [(8)](9) The history of the financial or non-financial contributions to the marriage by each party including contributions to the care and education of the children and interruption of personal careers or educational opportunities;

[(9)](10) The equitable distribution of property ordered and any payouts on equitable distribution, directly or indirectly, out of current income, to the extent this consideration is reasonable, just and fair; and

[(10)](11) Any other factors which the court may deem relevant.

When a share of a retirement benefit is treated as an asset for purposes of equitable distribution, the court shall not consider income generated thereafter by that share for purposes of determining alimony.

In any case in which there is a request for an award of rehabilitative or permanent alimony, the court shall consider and make specific findings on the evidence about the above factors.

An award of rehabilitative alimony may be modified based either upon changed circumstances, or upon the nonoccurrence of circumstances that the court found would occur at the time of the rehabilitative award. This section is not intended to preclude a court from modifying permanent alimony awards based upon the law. In all actions for divorce other than those where judgment is granted solely on the ground of separation the court may consider also the proofs made in establishing such ground in determining an amount of alimony or maintenance that is fit, reasonable and just. In all actions for divorce or divorce from bed and board where judgment is granted on the ground of institutionalization for mental illness the court may consider the possible burden upon the taxpayers of the State as well as the ability of the party to pay in determining an amount of maintenance to be awarded.

In all actions where a judgment of divorce or divorce from bed and board is entered the court may make such award or awards to the parties, in addition to alimony and maintenance, to effectuate an equitable distribution of the property, both real and personal, which was legally and beneficially acquired by them or either of them during the marriage. However, all such property, real, personal or otherwise, legally or beneficially acquired during the marriage by either party by way of gift, devise, or intestate succession shall not be subject to equitable distribution, except that interspousal gifts shall be subject to equitable distribution.

41 (cf: P.L.1988, c.153. s.3)

2. This act shall take effect immediately.

1 ______

3 Amends alimony statute to clarify that court must consider both

4 parents' responsibilities for the children.

Δ 0
4

1	
2	

This bill would amend N.J.S.2A:34-23, the alimony statute, to clarify that the court consider the impact on both parties in awarding permanent or rehabilitative alimony.

STATEMENT

Currently, the statute provides that, among other factors used in determining alimony, the court must consider:

The length of absence from the job market and custodial responsibilities for children of the party seeking maintenance.

[2A:34-23b.(6).**]**

It is generally acknowledged that this factor is directed to the alimony recipient's earning capacity and how that capacity has been affected by two factors -- the length of the recipient's absence from the job market, and the recipient's custodial responsibilities for the children. However, the sponsor believes that in order to advance the principles of fairness and gender neutrality which are inherent in modern law, this factor should be changed to reflect not just the alimony recipient's child-rearing responsibilities, but <u>both</u> parties' child-rearing responsibilities. Consequently, the bill would split the current factor (6) into two separate factors, to now read:

- (6) The length of absence from the job market of the party seeking maintenance;
 - (7) The parental responsibilities for the children.
- This bill embodies Recommendation 19 of the report of the Commission to Study the Law of Divorce, issued April 18, 1995.

--

Amends equitable distribution statute to clarify that court must consider both parents' responsibilities for the children.

[Corrected Copy]

ASSEMBLY JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 81

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JUNE 10, 1996

The Assembly Judiciary Committee reports favorably Assembly Bill No.81.

This bill would amend N.J.S.2A:34-23, the alimony statute, to clarify that the court consider the impact on both parties in awarding alimony.

Currently, the statute provides that, among other factors used in determining alimony, the court must consider:

The length of absence from the job market and custodial responsibilities for children of the party seeking maintenance. (2A:34-23b.(6).

It is generally acknowledged that this factor is directed to the alimony recipient's earning capacity and how that capacity has been affected by two factors -- the length of the recipient's absence from the job market, and the recipient's custodial responsibilities for the children. This factor is changed to reflect not just the alimony recipient's child-rearing responsibilities, but both parties' child-rearing responsibilities. Consequently, the bill would split the current factor (6) into two separate factors, to now read:

- (6) The length of absence from the job market of the party seeking maintenance;
 - (7) The parental responsibilities for the children.

This bill embodies Recommendation 19 of the report of the Commission to Study the Law of Divorce, issued April 18, 1995.

This bill was prefiled for introduction in the 1996 session pending technical review. As reported, the bill includes the changes required by technical review which has been performed.

SENATE WOMEN'S ISSUES, CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 81

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: OCTOBER 7, 1996

The Senate Women's Issues, Children and Family Services Committee favorably reports Assembly Bill No. 81.

This bill would amend N.J.S.2A:34-23, concerning alimony, to clarify that the court shall consider the impact on both parties in awarding permanent or rehabilitative alimony.

Currently, the statute provides that, among other factors used in determining alimony, the court must consider the length of absence from the job market and custodial responsibilities for children of the party seeking maintenance.

It is generally acknowledged that when determining alimony, the alimony recipient's earning capacity and how that capacity has been affected by two factors -- the length of the recipient's absence from the job market, and the recipient's custodial responsibilities for the children--must be considered. This bill would change the current method of determining alimony payments based on the recipient's earning capacity to also include the child-rearing responsibility of both parents.

This bill embodies Recommendation 19 of the report of the Commission to Study the Law of Divorce, issued April 18, 1995.

This bill is identical to Senate Bill No. 708, sponsored by Senators James Cafiero and Robert Martin, which was also released by this committee on October 7, 1996.