18A:7G-5

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST

Compiled by the NJ State Law Library

LAWS OF: 2009 **CHAPTER:** 185

NJSA: 18A:7G-5 (Revises State share calculation for debt service aid for new school facilities projects of

county vocational school districts)

BILL NO: S2941 (Substituted for A4137)

SPONSOR(S) Codey and Others

DATE INTRODUCED: June 11, 2009

COMMITTEE: ASSEMBLY: Appropriations

SENATE: Budget and Appropriations

AMENDED DURING PASSAGE: Yes

DATE OF PASSAGE: ASSEMBLY: January 7, 2010

SENATE: June 25, 2009

DATE OF APPROVAL: January 12, 2010

FOLLOWING ARE ATTACHED IF AVAILABLE:

FINAL TEXT OF BILL (First reprint enacted)

S2941

SPONSOR'S STATEMENT: (Begins on page 13 of original bill) Yes

COMMITTEE STATEMENT: ASSEMBLY: Yes

SENATE: Yes

(Audio archived recordings of the committee meetings, corresponding to the date of the committee statement, *may possibly* be found at www.njleg.state.nj.us)

FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT: No

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE: Yes

A4137

SPONSOR'S STATEMENT: (Begins on page 12 of original bill)

Yes

COMMITTEE STATEMENT: ASSEMBLY: Yes

SENATE: No

FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT: No

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL NOTE: No

(continued)

VETO MESSAGE:	No
GOVERNOR'S PRESS RELEASE ON SIGNING:	No
FOLLOWING WERE PRINTED: To check for circulating copies, contact New Jersey State Government Publications at the State Library (609) 278-2640 ext.103 or mailto:refdesk@njstate	elib.org
REPORTS:	No
HEARINGS:	No
NEWSPAPER ARTICLES:	No

LAW/RWH

[First Reprint]

SENATE, No. 2941

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

213th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED JUNE 11, 2009

Sponsored by:

Senator RICHARD J. CODEY

District 27 (Essex)

Senator RONALD L. RICE

District 28 (Essex)

Assemblyman ALBERT COUTINHO

District 29 (Essex and Union)

Assemblywoman L. GRACE SPENCER

District 29 (Essex and Union)

Assemblyman THOMAS P. GIBLIN

District 34 (Essex and Passaic)

Co-Sponsored by:

Senator Girgenti

SYNOPSIS

Revises State share calculation for debt service aid for new school facilities projects of county vocational school districts.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As reported by the Senate Budget and Appropriations Committee on June 22, 2009, with amendments.

(Sponsorship Updated As Of: 1/8/2010)

AN ACT concerning debt service aid for certain county vocational school districts and amending P.L.2000, c.72.

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. Section 5 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-5) is amended to read as follows:
- 5. a. The development authority shall undertake and the financing authority shall finance the school facilities projects of SDA districts.
- b. In the case of a district other than an SDA district, State support for the project shall be determined pursuant to section 9 or section 15 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-9 or C.18A:7G-15), as applicable.
- c. Notwithstanding any provision of N.J.S.18A:18A-16 to the contrary, the procedures for obtaining approval of a school facilities project shall be as set forth in this act; provided that any district whose school facilities project is not constructed by the development authority shall also be required to comply with the provisions of N.J.S.18A:18A-16.
- d. (1) Any district seeking to initiate a school facilities project shall apply to the commissioner for approval of the project. The application may include, but not be limited to: a description of the school facilities project; a schematic drawing of the project or, at the option of the district, preliminary plans and specifications; a delineation and description of each of the functional components of the project; educational specifications detailing the programmatic needs of each proposed space; the number of unhoused students to be housed in the project; the area allowances per FTE student as calculated pursuant to section 8 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-8); and the estimated cost to complete the project as determined by the district.
- (2) In the case of an SDA district school facilities project, based upon its educational priority ranking and the Statewide strategic plan established pursuant to subsection m. of this section, the commissioner may authorize the development authority to undertake preconstruction activities which may include, but need not be limited to, site identification, investigation, and acquisition, feasibility studies, land-related design work, design work, site remediation, demolition, and acquisition of temporary facilities. Upon receipt of the authorization, the development authority may initiate the preconstruction activities required to prepare the

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

¹Senate SBA committee amendments adopted June 22, 2009.

application for commissioner approval of the school facilities project.

- 3 e. The commissioner shall review each proposed school 4 facilities project to determine whether it is consistent with the 5 district's long-range facilities plan and whether it complies with the 6 facilities efficiency standards and the area allowances per FTE 7 student derived from those standards; and in the case of an SDA 8 district the commissioner shall also review the project's educational 9 priority ranking and the Statewide strategic plan developed pursuant 10 to paragraphs (2) and (3) of subsection m. of this section; and in the 11 case of a district other than an SDA district the commissioner shall 12 also review the project's priority pursuant to paragraph (4) of 13 subsection m. of this section. The commissioner shall make a 14 decision on a district's application within 90 days from the date he 15 determines that the application is fully and accurately completed 16 and that all information necessary for a decision has been filed by 17 the district, or from the date of the last revision made by the district. 18 If the commissioner is not able to make a decision within 90 days, 19 he shall notify the district in writing explaining the reason for the 20 delay and indicating the date on which a decision on the project will 21 be made, provided that the date shall not be later than 60 days from 22 the expiration of the original 90 days set forth in this subsection. If 23 the decision is not made by the subsequent date indicated by the 24 commissioner, then the project shall be deemed approved and the 25 preliminary eligible costs for new construction shall be calculated 26 by using the proposed square footage of the building as the 27 approved area for unhoused students.
 - f. If the commissioner determines that the school facilities project complies with the facilities efficiency standards and the district's long-range facilities plan and does not exceed the area allowance per FTE student derived from those standards, the commissioner shall calculate the preliminary eligible costs of the project pursuant to the formulas set forth in section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7); except that (1) in the case of a county special services school district or a county vocational school district, the commissioner shall calculate the preliminary eligible costs to equal the amount determined by the board of school estimate and approved by the board of chosen freeholders pursuant to section 14 of P.L.1971, c.271 (C.18A:46-42) or N.J.S.18A:54-31 as appropriate, and (2) in the case of an SDA district, the commissioner shall calculate the preliminary eligible costs to equal the estimated cost as determined by the development authority.

2829

30

31

32

33

34

35

3637

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- g. If the commissioner determines that the school facilities project is inconsistent with the facilities efficiency standards or exceeds the area allowances per FTE student derived from those standards, the commissioner shall notify the district.
- (1) The commissioner shall approve area allowances in excess of the area allowances per FTE student derived from the facilities

efficiency standards if the board of education or State district superintendent, as appropriate, demonstrates that school facilities needs related to required programs cannot be addressed within the facilities efficiency standards and that all other proposed spaces are consistent with those standards. The commissioner shall approve area allowances in excess of the area allowances per FTE student derived from the facilities efficiency standards if the additional area allowances are necessary to accommodate centralized facilities to be shared among two or more school buildings within the district and the centralized facilities represent a more cost effective alternative.

(2) The commissioner may waive a facilities efficiency standard if the board of education or State district superintendent, as appropriate, demonstrates to the commissioner's satisfaction that the waiver will not adversely affect the educational adequacy of the school facility, including the ability to deliver the programs and services necessary to enable all students to achieve the core curriculum content standards.

(3) To house the district's central administration, a district may request an adjustment to the approved areas for unhoused students of 2.17 square feet for each FTE student in the projected total district school enrollment if the proposed administrative offices will be housed in a school facility and the district demonstrates either that the existing central administrative offices are obsolete or that it is more practical to convert those offices to instructional space. To the extent that existing administrative space will continue to be used for administrative purposes, the space shall be included in the formulas set forth in section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7).

If the commissioner approves excess facilities efficiency standards or additional area allowances pursuant to paragraph (1), (2), or (3) of this subsection, the commissioner shall calculate the preliminary eligible costs based upon the additional area allowances or excess facilities efficiency standards pursuant to the formulas set forth in section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7). In the event that the commissioner does not approve the excess facilities efficiency standards or additional area allowances, the district may either: modify its submission so that the school facilities project meets the facilities efficiency standards; or pay for the excess costs.

(4) The commissioner shall approve spaces in excess of, or inconsistent with, the facilities efficiency standards, hereinafter referred to as nonconforming spaces, upon a determination by the district that the spaces are necessary to comply with State or federal law concerning individuals with disabilities, including that the spaces are necessary to provide in-district programs and services for current disabled pupils who are being served in out-of-district placements or in-district programs and services for the projected disabled pupil population. A district may apply for additional State aid for nonconforming spaces that will permit pupils with

1 disabilities to be educated to the greatest extent possible in the same 2 buildings or classes with their nondisabled peers. 3 nonconforming spaces may: (a) allow for the return of pupils with 4 disabilities from private facilities; (b) permit the retention of pupils 5 with disabilities who would otherwise be placed in private facilities; 6 (c) provide space for regional programs in a host school building 7 that houses both disabled and nondisabled pupils; and (d) provide 8 space for the coordination of regional programs by a county special 9 services school district, educational services commission, jointure 10 commission, or other agency authorized by law to provide regional 11 educational services in a school building that houses both disabled 12 and nondisabled pupils. A district's State support ratio shall be adjusted to equal the lesser of the sum of its district aid percentage 13 14 as defined in section 3 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-3) plus 0.25, or 15 100% for any nonconforming spaces approved by the commissioner 16 pursuant to this paragraph. 17

h. Upon approval of a school facilities project and determination of the preliminary eligible costs:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

(1) In the case of a district other than an SDA district, the commissioner shall notify the district whether the school facilities project is approved and, if so approved, the preliminary eligible costs and the excess costs, if any. Following the determination of preliminary eligible costs and the notification of project approval, the district may appeal to the commissioner for an increase in those costs if the detailed plans and specifications completed by a design professional for the school facilities project indicate that the cost of constructing that portion of the project which is consistent with the facilities efficiency standards and does not exceed the area allowances per FTE student exceeds the preliminary eligible costs as determined by the commissioner for the project by 10% or more. The district shall file its appeal within 30 days of the preparation of the plans and specifications. If the district chooses not to file an appeal, then the final eligible costs shall equal the preliminary eligible costs.

The appeal shall outline the reasons why the preliminary eligible costs calculated for the project are inadequate and estimate the amount of the adjustment which needs to be made to the preliminary eligible costs. The commissioner shall forward the appeal information to the development authority for its review and recommendation. If the additional costs are the result of factors that are within the control of the district or are the result of design factors that are not required to meet the facilities efficiency standards, the development authority shall recommend to the commissioner that the preliminary eligible costs be accepted as the final eligible costs. If the development authority determines the additional costs are not within the control of the district or are the result of design factors required to meet the facilities efficiency standards, the development authority shall recommend to the

commissioner a final eligible cost based on its experience for districts with similar characteristics, provided that, notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the commissioner shall not approve an adjustment to the preliminary eligible costs which exceeds 10% of the preliminary eligible costs. The commissioner shall make a determination on the appeal within 30 days of its receipt. If the commissioner does not approve an adjustment to the school facilities project's preliminary eligible costs, the commissioner shall issue his findings in writing on the reasons for the denial and on why the preliminary eligible costs as originally calculated are sufficient.

- (2) In the case of an SDA district, the commissioner shall promptly prepare and submit to the development authority a preliminary project report which shall consist, at a minimum, of the following information: a complete description of the school facilities project; the actual location of the project; the total square footage of the project together with a breakdown of total square footage by functional component; the preliminary eligible costs of the project; the project's priority ranking determined pursuant to subsection m. of this section; any other factors to be considered by the development authority in undertaking the project; and the name and address of the person from the district to contact in regard to the project.
- i. Upon receipt by the development authority of the preliminary project report, the development authority, upon consultation with the district, shall prepare detailed plans and specifications and schedules which contain the development authority's estimated cost and schedule to complete the school facilities project. The development authority shall transmit to the commissioner its recommendations in regard to the project which shall, at a minimum, contain the detailed plans and specifications; whether the school facilities project can be completed within the preliminary eligible costs; and any other factors which the development authority determines should be considered by the commissioner.
- (1) In the event that the development authority determines that the school facilities project can be completed within the preliminary eligible costs: the final eligible costs shall be deemed to equal the preliminary eligible costs; the commissioner shall be deemed to have given final approval to the project; and the preliminary project report shall be deemed to be the final project report delivered to the development authority pursuant to subsection j. of this section.
- (2) In the event that the development authority determines that the school facilities project cannot be completed within the preliminary eligible costs, prior to the submission of its recommendations to the commissioner, the development authority shall, in consultation with the district and the commissioner, determine whether changes can be made in the project which will

result in a reduction in costs while at the same time meeting the facilities efficiency standards approved by the commissioner.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- (a) If the development authority determines that changes in the school facilities project are possible so that the project can be accomplished within the scope of the preliminary eligible costs while still meeting the facilities efficiency standards, the development authority shall so advise the commissioner, whereupon the commissioner shall: calculate the final eligible costs to equal the preliminary eligible costs; give final approval to the project with the changes noted; and issue a final project report to the development authority pursuant to subsection j. of this section.
- (b) If the development authority determines that it is not possible to make changes in the school facilities project so that it can be completed within the preliminary eligible costs either because the additional costs are the result of factors outside the control of the district or the additional costs are required to meet the facilities efficiency standards, the development authority shall recommend to the commissioner that the preliminary eligible costs be increased accordingly, whereupon the commissioner shall: calculate the final eligible costs to equal the sum of the preliminary eligible costs plus the increase recommended by the development authority; give final approval to the project; and issue a final project report to the development authority pursuant to subsection j. of this section.
- (c) If the additional costs are the result of factors that are within the control of the district or are the result of design factors that are not required to meet the facilities efficiency standards or approved pursuant to paragraph (1) of subsection g. of this section, the development authority shall recommend to the commissioner that the preliminary eligible costs be accepted, whereupon the commissioner shall: calculate the final eligible costs to equal the preliminary eligible costs and specify the excess costs which are to be borne by the district; give final approval to the school facilities project; and issue a final project report to the development authority pursuant to subsection j. of this section; provided that the commissioner may approve final eligible costs which are in excess of the preliminary eligible costs if, in his judgment, the action is necessary to meet the educational needs of the district.
- (d) For a school facilities project undertaken by development authority, the development authority shall be responsible for any costs of construction, but only from the proceeds of bonds issued by the financing authority pursuant to P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.) and P.L.2007, c.137 (C.52:18A-235 et al.), which exceed the amount originally projected by the development authority and approved for financing by the development authority, provided that the excess is the result of an underestimate of labor or materials costs by the development authority. After receipt by the development authority of the final

project report, the district shall be responsible only for the costs associated with changes, if any, made at the request of the district to the scope of the school facilities project.

- j. The development authority shall not commence the construction of a school facilities project unless the commissioner transmits to the development authority a final project report and the district complies with the approval requirements for the local share, if any, pursuant to section 11 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-11). The final project report shall contain all of the information contained in the preliminary project report and, in addition, shall contain: the final eligible costs; the excess costs, if any; the total costs which equals the final eligible costs plus excess costs, if any; the State share; and the local share.
- k. For the SDA districts, the State share shall be 100% of the final eligible costs. [For] Except as otherwise provided pursuant to

 [sections 9 and 10 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-9 and C.18A:7G-10)] section 9 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-9), for all other districts, the State share shall be an amount equal to the district aid percentage; except that the State share shall not be less than 40% of the final eligible costs.

If any district which is included in district factor group A or B, other than an SDA district, is having difficulty financing the local share of a school facilities project, the district may apply to the commissioner to receive 100% State support for the project and the commissioner may request the approval of the Legislature to increase the State share of the project to 100%.

- l. The local share for school facilities projects constructed by the authority or a redevelopment entity shall equal the final eligible costs plus any excess costs less the State share.
- m. (1) Within 90 days of the effective date of P.L.2007, c.137 (C.52:18A-235 et al.), the commissioner shall develop an educational facilities needs assessment for each SDA district. The assessment shall be updated periodically by the commissioner in accordance with the schedule the commissioner deems appropriate for the district; except that each assessment shall at a minimum be updated within five years of the development of the district's most recent prior educational facilities needs assessment. The assessment shall be transmitted to the development authority to be used to initiate the planning activities required prior to the establishment of the educational priority ranking of school facilities projects pursuant to paragraph (2) of this subsection.
- (2) Following the approval of an SDA district's long-range facilities plan or of an amendment to that plan, but prior to authorization of preconstruction activities for a school facilities project included in the plan or amendment, the commissioner shall establish, in consultation with the SDA district, an educational priority ranking of all school facilities projects in the SDA district

based upon the commissioner's determination of critical need in accordance with priority project categories developed by the commissioner. The priority project categories shall include, but not be limited to, health and safety, overcrowding in the early childhood, elementary, middle, and high school grade levels, spaces necessary to provide in-district programs and services for current disabled students who are being served in out-of-district placements or in-district programs and services for the projected disabled student population, rehabilitation, and educational adequacy.

(3) Upon the commissioner's determination of the educational priority ranking of school facilities projects in SDA districts pursuant to paragraph (2) of this subsection, the development authority, in consultation with the commissioner, the SDA districts, and the governing bodies of the municipalities in which the SDA districts are situate, shall establish a Statewide strategic plan to be used in the sequencing of SDA district school facilities projects based upon the projects' educational priority rankings and issues which impact the development authority's ability to complete the projects including, but not limited to, the construction schedule and other appropriate factors. The development authority shall revise the Statewide strategic plan and the sequencing of SDA district school facilities projects in accordance with that plan no less than once every five years.

Any amendment to an SDA district's long-range facilities plan that is submitted to the commissioner in the period between the five-year updates of the long-range facilities plan shall be considered by the development authority, in consultation with the commissioner, for incorporation into the Statewide strategic plan. In making a determination on whether or not to amend the Statewide strategic plan, the development authority shall consider the cost of the amendment, the impact of the amendment upon the school development plans for other districts, and other appropriate factors.

(4) In the case of a district other than an SDA district, the commissioner shall establish a priority process for the financing of based upon the commissioner's school facilities projects determination of critical need in accordance with priority project categories developed by the commissioner. The priority project categories shall include, but not be limited to, health and safety, overcrowding in the elementary, middle, and high school grade levels, spaces necessary to provide in-district programs and services for current disabled students who are being served in out-of-district placements or in-district programs and services for the projected disabled student population, and full-day kindergarten facilities in the case of school districts required to provide full-day preschool pursuant to section 12 of P.L.2007, c.260 (C.18A:7F-54).

n. The provisions of the "Public School Contracts Law," N.J.S.18A:18A-1 et seq., shall be applicable to any school facilities

- project constructed by a district but shall not be applicable to projects constructed by the development authority or a redevelopment entity pursuant to the provisions of this act.
 - o. In the case of a school facilities project of a district other than an SDA district, any proceeds of school bonds issued by the district for the purpose of funding the project which remain unspent upon completion of the project shall be used by the district to reduce the outstanding principal amount of the school bonds.
 - p. Upon completion by the development authority of a school facilities project, if the cost of construction and completion of the project is less than the total costs, the district shall be entitled to receive a portion of the local share based on a pro rata share of the difference based on the ratio of the State share to the local share.
 - q. The development authority shall determine the cause of any costs of construction which exceed the amount originally projected by the development authority and approved for financing by the financing authority.
 - r. (Deleted by amendment, P.L.2007, c.137).
- 19 s. (Deleted by amendment, P.L.2007, c.137).
- 20 (cf: P.L.2008, c.39, s.2)

2122

23

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

- 2. Section 9 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-9) is amended to read as follows:
- 9. a. State debt service aid for capital investment in school facilities for a district other than an SDA district which elects not to finance the project under section 15 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-15), shall be distributed upon a determination of preliminary
- eligible costs by the commissioner, according to the following
- 29 formula:
- Aid is the sum of A for each issuance of school bonds issued for a school facilities project approved by the commissioner after the effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.)
- 33 where
- 34 $A = B \times AC/P \times DAP \times M$, with AC/P = 1
- 35 whenever AC/P would otherwise yield a number greater than one,
- 36 and where:
- B is the district's debt service for the individual issuance for the fiscal year;
- AC is the preliminary eligible costs determined pursuant to section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7);
- P is the principal of the individual issuance plus any other funding sources approved for the school facilities project;
- DAP is the district's district aid percentage as defined pursuant to section 3 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-3) and where DAP shall not be less than 40%; and
- M is a factor representing the degree to which a district has fulfilled maintenance requirements for a school facilities project determined pursuant to subsection b. of this section.

For county special services school districts, DAP shall be that of the county vocational school district in the same county.

3 Notwithstanding the provisions of this subsection to the contrary, 4 ¹[in the case of a county vocational school district which has a 5 resident enrollment composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA districts, DAP shall not be less than 90% DAP for a 6 7 county vocational school district school facilities project that is 8 approved by the commissioner following the effective date of 9 P.L., c. (pending before the Legislature as this bill) shall equal 10 the greater of the district's district aid percentage as defined 11 pursuant to section 3 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-3) or the 12 percentage of the students in the county vocational school district's 13 resident enrollment who reside in SDA districts; except that DAP 14 shall not be less than 40% or greater than 90% 1.

b. The maintenance factor (M) shall be 1.0 except when one of the following conditions applies, in which case the maintenance factor shall be as specified:

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

3031

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

- (1) Effective ten years from the date of the enactment of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.), the maintenance factor for aid for reconstruction, remodeling, alteration, modernization, renovation or repair, or for an addition to a school facility, shall be zero for all school facilities projects for which the district fails to demonstrate over the ten years preceding issuance a net investment in maintenance of the related school facility of at least 2% of the replacement cost of the school facility, determined pursuant to subsection b. of section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7) using the area cost allowance of the year ten years preceding the year in which the school bonds are issued.
- (2) For new construction, additions, and school facilities aided under subsection b. of section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7) supported by financing issued for projects approved by the commissioner after the effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.), beginning in the fourth year after occupancy of the school facility, the maintenance factor shall be reduced according to the following schedule for all school facilities projects for which the district fails to demonstrate in the prior fiscal year an investment in maintenance of the related school facility of at least two-tenths of 1% of the replacement cost of the school facility, determined pursuant to subsection b. of section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7).
- 41 Maintenance Percentage Maintenance Factor (M)
- 42 .199% .151% 75% 43 .150% - .100% 50% 44 Less than .100% Zero
- 45 (3) Within one year of the enactment of P.L.2000, c.72 46 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.), the commissioner shall promulgate rules 47 requiring districts to develop a long-range maintenance plan and

1 specifying the expenditures that qualify as an appropriate 2 investment in maintenance for the purposes of this subsection.

3 Any district which obtained approval from the commissioner 4 since September 1, 1998 and prior to the effective date of P.L.2000, 5 c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.) of the educational specifications for a 6 school facilities project or obtained approval from the Department 7 of Community Affairs or the appropriately licensed municipal code 8 official since September 1, 1998 of the final construction plans and 9 specifications, and the district has issued debt, may elect to have the 10 final eligible costs of the project determined pursuant to section 5 of 11 P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-5) and to receive debt service aid under 12 this section or under section 10 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-10).

Any district which received approval from the commissioner for a school facilities project at any time prior to the effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.), and has not issued debt, other than short term notes, may submit an application pursuant to section 5 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-5) to have the final eligible costs of the project determined pursuant to that section and to have the New Jersey Economic Development Authority construct the project; or, at its discretion, the district may choose to receive debt service aid under this section or under section 10 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-10) or to receive a grant under section 15 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-15).

For the purposes of this subsection, the "issuance of debt" shall include lease purchase agreements in excess of five years.

d. For school bonds issued for a school facilities project after the effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.) and prior to the effective date of P.L.2008, c.39 (C.18A:7G-14.1 et al.), State debt service aid shall be calculated in accordance with the provisions of this section as the same read before the effective date of P.L.2008, c.39 (C.18A:7G-14.1 et al.).

(cf: P.L.2008, c.39, s.3)

32 33

36

37

38

39

40

47

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

34 ¹[3. Section 10 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-10) is amended to 35 read as follows:

10. For each issuance of school bonds or certificates of participation issued for a school facilities project approved by the commissioner prior to the effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.):

Aid is the sum of A

41 where

42 $A = B \times EQAID/AB$

43 and where

44 B is the district's total debt service or lease purchase payment for 45 the individual issuance for the fiscal year;

46 EQAID is the district's equalization aid amount determined pursuant to section 11 of P.L.2007, c.260 (C.18A:7G-53); and

S2941 [1R] CODEY, RICE 13

1	AB is the district's adequacy budget determined pursuant to
2	section 9 of P.L.2007, c.260 (C.18A:7F-51).
3	For county special services school districts, EQAID/AB shall be
4	that of the county vocational school district in the same county.
5	Notwithstanding the provisions of this section to the contrary, in the
6	case of a county vocational school district which has a resident
7	enrollment composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA
8	districts, EQUAID/AB shall not be less than 90%.
9	(cf: P.L.2007, c.260, s.43)] ¹
10	
11	¹ [4,] 3. This act shall take effect immediately.

SENATE, No. 2941

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

213th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED JUNE 11, 2009

Sponsored by: Senator RICHARD J. CODEY District 27 (Essex) Senator RONALD L. RICE District 28 (Essex)

SYNOPSIS

Increases State support for debt service costs of county vocational school districts with a resident enrollment composed of at least 90% of SDA district resident students.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



AN ACT concerning debt service aid for certain county vocational 2 school districts and amending P.L.2000, c.72.

3 4

1

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

5 6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42

43

- 1. Section 5 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-5) is amended to read as follows:
- 5. a. The development authority shall undertake and the financing authority shall finance the school facilities projects of SDA districts.
- b. In the case of a district other than an SDA district, State support for the project shall be determined pursuant to section 9 or section 15 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-9 or C.18A:7G-15), as applicable.
- c. Notwithstanding any provision of N.J.S.18A:18A-16 to the contrary, the procedures for obtaining approval of a school facilities project shall be as set forth in this act; provided that any district whose school facilities project is not constructed by the development authority shall also be required to comply with the provisions of N.J.S.18A:18A-16.
- d. (1) Any district seeking to initiate a school facilities project shall apply to the commissioner for approval of the project. The application may include, but not be limited to: a description of the school facilities project; a schematic drawing of the project or, at the option of the district, preliminary plans and specifications; a delineation and description of each of the functional components of the project; educational specifications detailing the programmatic needs of each proposed space; the number of unhoused students to be housed in the project; the area allowances per FTE student as calculated pursuant to section 8 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-8); and the estimated cost to complete the project as determined by the district.
- (2) In the case of an SDA district school facilities project, based upon its educational priority ranking and the Statewide strategic plan established pursuant to subsection m. of this section, the commissioner may authorize the development authority to undertake preconstruction activities which may include, but need not be limited to, site identification, investigation, and acquisition, feasibility studies, land-related design work, design work, site remediation, demolition, and acquisition of temporary facilities. Upon receipt of the authorization, the development authority may initiate the preconstruction activities required to prepare the

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

Matter underlined thus is new matter.

application for commissioner approval of the school facilities project.

3 e. The commissioner shall review each proposed school 4 facilities project to determine whether it is consistent with the 5 district's long-range facilities plan and whether it complies with the 6 facilities efficiency standards and the area allowances per FTE 7 student derived from those standards; and in the case of an SDA 8 district the commissioner shall also review the project's educational 9 priority ranking and the Statewide strategic plan developed pursuant 10 to paragraphs (2) and (3) of subsection m. of this section; and in the 11 case of a district other than an SDA district the commissioner shall 12 also review the project's priority pursuant to paragraph (4) of 13 subsection m. of this section. The commissioner shall make a 14 decision on a district's application within 90 days from the date he 15 determines that the application is fully and accurately completed 16 and that all information necessary for a decision has been filed by 17 the district, or from the date of the last revision made by the district. 18 If the commissioner is not able to make a decision within 90 days, 19 he shall notify the district in writing explaining the reason for the 20 delay and indicating the date on which a decision on the project will 21 be made, provided that the date shall not be later than 60 days from 22 the expiration of the original 90 days set forth in this subsection. If 23 the decision is not made by the subsequent date indicated by the 24 commissioner, then the project shall be deemed approved and the 25 preliminary eligible costs for new construction shall be calculated 26 by using the proposed square footage of the building as the 27 approved area for unhoused students.

f. If the commissioner determines that the school facilities project complies with the facilities efficiency standards and the district's long-range facilities plan and does not exceed the area allowance per FTE student derived from those standards, the commissioner shall calculate the preliminary eligible costs of the project pursuant to the formulas set forth in section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7); except that (1) in the case of a county special services school district or a county vocational school district, the commissioner shall calculate the preliminary eligible costs to equal the amount determined by the board of school estimate and approved by the board of chosen freeholders pursuant to section 14 of P.L.1971, c.271 (C.18A:46-42) or N.J.S.18A:54-31 as appropriate, and (2) in the case of an SDA district, the commissioner shall calculate the preliminary eligible costs to equal the estimated cost as determined by the development authority.

2829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

g. If the commissioner determines that the school facilities project is inconsistent with the facilities efficiency standards or exceeds the area allowances per FTE student derived from those standards, the commissioner shall notify the district.

- (1) The commissioner shall approve area allowances in excess of the area allowances per FTE student derived from the facilities efficiency standards if the board of education or State district superintendent, as appropriate, demonstrates that school facilities needs related to required programs cannot be addressed within the facilities efficiency standards and that all other proposed spaces are consistent with those standards. The commissioner shall approve area allowances in excess of the area allowances per FTE student derived from the facilities efficiency standards if the additional area allowances are necessary to accommodate centralized facilities to be shared among two or more school buildings within the district and the centralized facilities represent a more cost effective alternative.
- (2) The commissioner may waive a facilities efficiency standard if the board of education or State district superintendent, as appropriate, demonstrates to the commissioner's satisfaction that the waiver will not adversely affect the educational adequacy of the school facility, including the ability to deliver the programs and services necessary to enable all students to achieve the core curriculum content standards.
- (3) To house the district's central administration, a district may request an adjustment to the approved areas for unhoused students of 2.17 square feet for each FTE student in the projected total district school enrollment if the proposed administrative offices will be housed in a school facility and the district demonstrates either that the existing central administrative offices are obsolete or that it is more practical to convert those offices to instructional space. To the extent that existing administrative space will continue to be used for administrative purposes, the space shall be included in the formulas set forth in section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7).
- If the commissioner approves excess facilities efficiency standards or additional area allowances pursuant to paragraph (1), (2), or (3) of this subsection, the commissioner shall calculate the preliminary eligible costs based upon the additional area allowances or excess facilities efficiency standards pursuant to the formulas set forth in section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7). In the event that the commissioner does not approve the excess facilities efficiency standards or additional area allowances, the district may either: modify its submission so that the school facilities project meets the facilities efficiency standards; or pay for the excess costs.
- (4) The commissioner shall approve spaces in excess of, or inconsistent with, the facilities efficiency standards, hereinafter referred to as nonconforming spaces, upon a determination by the district that the spaces are necessary to comply with State or federal law concerning individuals with disabilities, including that the spaces are necessary to provide in-district programs and services for

1 current disabled pupils who are being served in out-of-district 2 placements or in-district programs and services for the projected 3 disabled pupil population. A district may apply for additional State 4 aid for nonconforming spaces that will permit pupils with 5 disabilities to be educated to the greatest extent possible in the same 6 buildings or classes with their nondisabled peers. 7 nonconforming spaces may: (a) allow for the return of pupils with 8 disabilities from private facilities; (b) permit the retention of pupils 9 with disabilities who would otherwise be placed in private facilities; 10 (c) provide space for regional programs in a host school building 11 that houses both disabled and nondisabled pupils; and (d) provide 12 space for the coordination of regional programs by a county special services school district, educational services commission, jointure 13 14 commission, or other agency authorized by law to provide regional 15 educational services in a school building that houses both disabled 16 and nondisabled pupils. A district's State support ratio shall be 17 adjusted to equal the lesser of the sum of its district aid percentage 18 as defined in section 3 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-3) plus 0.25, or 19 100% for any nonconforming spaces approved by the commissioner 20 pursuant to this paragraph. 21

h. Upon approval of a school facilities project and determination of the preliminary eligible costs:

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

(1) In the case of a district other than an SDA district, the commissioner shall notify the district whether the school facilities project is approved and, if so approved, the preliminary eligible costs and the excess costs, if any. Following the determination of preliminary eligible costs and the notification of project approval, the district may appeal to the commissioner for an increase in those costs if the detailed plans and specifications completed by a design professional for the school facilities project indicate that the cost of constructing that portion of the project which is consistent with the facilities efficiency standards and does not exceed the area allowances per FTE student exceeds the preliminary eligible costs as determined by the commissioner for the project by 10% or more. The district shall file its appeal within 30 days of the preparation of the plans and specifications. If the district chooses not to file an appeal, then the final eligible costs shall equal the preliminary eligible costs.

The appeal shall outline the reasons why the preliminary eligible costs calculated for the project are inadequate and estimate the amount of the adjustment which needs to be made to the preliminary eligible costs. The commissioner shall forward the appeal information to the development authority for its review and recommendation. If the additional costs are the result of factors that are within the control of the district or are the result of design factors that are not required to meet the facilities efficiency

standards, the development authority shall recommend to the commissioner that the preliminary eligible costs be accepted as the final eligible costs. If the development authority determines the additional costs are not within the control of the district or are the result of design factors required to meet the facilities efficiency standards, the development authority shall recommend to the commissioner a final eligible cost based on its experience for districts with similar characteristics, provided that, notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the commissioner shall not approve an adjustment to the preliminary eligible costs which exceeds 10% of the preliminary eligible costs. The commissioner shall make a determination on the appeal within 30 days of its receipt. If the commissioner does not approve an adjustment to the school facilities project's preliminary eligible costs, the commissioner shall issue his findings in writing on the reasons for the denial and on why the preliminary eligible costs as originally calculated are sufficient.

(2) In the case of an SDA district, the commissioner shall promptly prepare and submit to the development authority a preliminary project report which shall consist, at a minimum, of the following information: a complete description of the school facilities project; the actual location of the project; the total square footage of the project together with a breakdown of total square footage by functional component; the preliminary eligible costs of the project; the project's priority ranking determined pursuant to subsection m. of this section; any other factors to be considered by the development authority in undertaking the project; and the name and address of the person from the district to contact in regard to the project.

- i. Upon receipt by the development authority of the preliminary project report, the development authority, upon consultation with the district, shall prepare detailed plans and specifications and schedules which contain the development authority's estimated cost and schedule to complete the school facilities project. The development authority shall transmit to the commissioner its recommendations in regard to the project which shall, at a minimum, contain the detailed plans and specifications; whether the school facilities project can be completed within the preliminary eligible costs; and any other factors which the development authority determines should be considered by the commissioner.
- (1) In the event that the development authority determines that the school facilities project can be completed within the preliminary eligible costs: the final eligible costs shall be deemed to equal the preliminary eligible costs; the commissioner shall be deemed to have given final approval to the project; and the preliminary project

report shall be deemed to be the final project report delivered to the development authority pursuant to subsection j. of this section.

- (2) In the event that the development authority determines that the school facilities project cannot be completed within the preliminary eligible costs, prior to the submission of its recommendations to the commissioner, the development authority shall, in consultation with the district and the commissioner, determine whether changes can be made in the project which will result in a reduction in costs while at the same time meeting the facilities efficiency standards approved by the commissioner.
- (a) If the development authority determines that changes in the school facilities project are possible so that the project can be accomplished within the scope of the preliminary eligible costs while still meeting the facilities efficiency standards, the development authority shall so advise the commissioner, whereupon the commissioner shall: calculate the final eligible costs to equal the preliminary eligible costs; give final approval to the project with the changes noted; and issue a final project report to the development authority pursuant to subsection j. of this section.
- (b) If the development authority determines that it is not possible to make changes in the school facilities project so that it can be completed within the preliminary eligible costs either because the additional costs are the result of factors outside the control of the district or the additional costs are required to meet the facilities efficiency standards, the development authority shall recommend to the commissioner that the preliminary eligible costs be increased accordingly, whereupon the commissioner shall: calculate the final eligible costs to equal the sum of the preliminary eligible costs plus the increase recommended by the development authority; give final approval to the project; and issue a final project report to the development authority pursuant to subsection j. of this section.
- (c) If the additional costs are the result of factors that are within the control of the district or are the result of design factors that are not required to meet the facilities efficiency standards or approved pursuant to paragraph (1) of subsection g. of this section, the development authority shall recommend to the commissioner that the preliminary eligible costs be accepted, whereupon the commissioner shall: calculate the final eligible costs to equal the preliminary eligible costs and specify the excess costs which are to be borne by the district; give final approval to the school facilities project; and issue a final project report to the development authority pursuant to subsection j. of this section; provided that the commissioner may approve final eligible costs which are in excess of the preliminary eligible costs if, in his judgment, the action is necessary to meet the educational needs of the district.

- (d) For a school facilities project undertaken by development authority, the development authority shall be responsible for any costs of construction, but only from the proceeds of bonds issued by the financing authority pursuant to P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.) and P.L.2007, c.137 (C.52:18A-235 et al.), which exceed the amount originally projected by the development authority and approved for financing by the development authority, provided that the excess is the result of an underestimate of labor or materials costs by the development authority. After receipt by the development authority of the final project report, the district shall be responsible only for the costs associated with changes, if any, made at the request of the district to the scope of the school facilities project.
- The development authority shall not commence the construction of a school facilities project unless the commissioner transmits to the development authority a final project report and the district complies with the approval requirements for the local share, if any, pursuant to section 11 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-11). The final project report shall contain all of the information contained in the preliminary project report and, in addition, shall contain: the final eligible costs; the excess costs, if any; the total costs which equals the final eligible costs plus excess costs, if any; the State share; and the local share.
 - k. For the SDA districts, the State share shall be 100% of the final eligible costs. **[**For**]** Except as otherwise provided pursuant to sections 9 and 10 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-9 and C.18A:7G-10), for all other districts, the State share shall be an amount equal to the district aid percentage; except that the State share shall not be less than 40% of the final eligible costs.

- If any district which is included in district factor group A or B, other than an SDA district, is having difficulty financing the local share of a school facilities project, the district may apply to the commissioner to receive 100% State support for the project and the commissioner may request the approval of the Legislature to increase the State share of the project to 100%.
- l. The local share for school facilities projects constructed by the authority or a redevelopment entity shall equal the final eligible costs plus any excess costs less the State share.
- m. (1) Within 90 days of the effective date of P.L.2007, c.137 (C.52:18A-235 et al.), the commissioner shall develop an educational facilities needs assessment for each SDA district. The assessment shall be updated periodically by the commissioner in accordance with the schedule the commissioner deems appropriate for the district; except that each assessment shall at a minimum be updated within five years of the development of the district's most recent prior educational facilities needs assessment. The

assessment shall be transmitted to the development authority to be used to initiate the planning activities required prior to the establishment of the educational priority ranking of school facilities projects pursuant to paragraph (2) of this subsection.

- (2) Following the approval of an SDA district's long-range facilities plan or of an amendment to that plan, but prior to authorization of preconstruction activities for a school facilities project included in the plan or amendment, the commissioner shall establish, in consultation with the SDA district, an educational priority ranking of all school facilities projects in the SDA district based upon the commissioner's determination of critical need in accordance with priority project categories developed by the commissioner. The priority project categories shall include, but not be limited to, health and safety, overcrowding in the early childhood, elementary, middle, and high school grade levels, spaces necessary to provide in-district programs and services for current disabled students who are being served in out-of-district placements or in-district programs and services for the projected disabled student population, rehabilitation, and educational adequacy.
- (3) Upon the commissioner's determination of the educational priority ranking of school facilities projects in SDA districts pursuant to paragraph (2) of this subsection, the development authority, in consultation with the commissioner, the SDA districts, and the governing bodies of the municipalities in which the SDA districts are situate, shall establish a Statewide strategic plan to be used in the sequencing of SDA district school facilities projects based upon the projects' educational priority rankings and issues which impact the development authority's ability to complete the projects including, but not limited to, the construction schedule and other appropriate factors. The development authority shall revise the Statewide strategic plan and the sequencing of SDA district school facilities projects in accordance with that plan no less than once every five years.

Any amendment to an SDA district's long-range facilities plan that is submitted to the commissioner in the period between the five-year updates of the long-range facilities plan shall be considered by the development authority, in consultation with the commissioner, for incorporation into the Statewide strategic plan. In making a determination on whether or not to amend the Statewide strategic plan, the development authority shall consider the cost of the amendment, the impact of the amendment upon the school development plans for other districts, and other appropriate factors.

(4) In the case of a district other than an SDA district, the commissioner shall establish a priority process for the financing of school facilities projects based upon the commissioner's

- determination of critical need in accordance with priority project
- 2 categories developed by the commissioner. The priority project
- 3 categories shall include, but not be limited to, health and safety,
- 4 overcrowding in the elementary, middle, and high school grade
- 5 levels, spaces necessary to provide in-district programs and services
- 6 for current disabled students who are being served in out-of-district
- 7 placements or in-district programs and services for the projected
- 8 disabled student population, and full-day kindergarten facilities in
- 9 the case of school districts required to provide full-day preschool
- 10 pursuant to section 12 of P.L.2007, c.260 (C.18A:7F-54).
- 11 n. The provisions of the "Public School Contracts Law,"
- 12 N.J.S.18A:18A-1 et seq., shall be applicable to any school facilities
- 13 project constructed by a district but shall not be applicable to
- 14 projects constructed by the development authority or a
- redevelopment entity pursuant to the provisions of this act.
 - o. In the case of a school facilities project of a district other than an SDA district, any proceeds of school bonds issued by the district for the purpose of funding the project which remain unspent upon completion of the project shall be used by the district to
- 20 reduce the outstanding principal amount of the school bonds.
- p. Upon completion by the development authority of a school
- facilities project, if the cost of construction and completion of the project is less than the total costs, the district shall be entitled to
- project is less than the total costs, the district shall be entitled to receive a portion of the local share based on a pro rata share of the
- difference based on the ratio of the State share to the local share.
- q. The development authority shall determine the cause of any
- 27 costs of construction which exceed the amount originally projected
- by the development authority and approved for financing by the financing authority.
- 30 r. (Deleted by amendment, P.L.2007, c.137).
- 31 s. (Deleted by amendment, P.L.2007, c.137).
- 32 (cf: P.L.2008, c.39, s.2)

33

16

17

18

- 34 2. Section 9 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-9) is amended to 35 read as follows:
- 9. a. State debt service aid for capital investment in school
- 37 facilities for a district other than an SDA district which elects not to
- 38 finance the project under section 15 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-
- 39 15), shall be distributed upon a determination of preliminary
- 40 eligible costs by the commissioner, according to the following
- 41 formula:
- Aid is the sum of A for each issuance of school bonds issued for
- a school facilities project approved by the commissioner after the
- 44 effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.)
- 45 where
- A = B x AC/P x DAP x M, with AC/P = 1

- 1 whenever AC/P would otherwise yield a number greater than one,
- 2 and where:

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

41

43

45

- 3 B is the district's debt service for the individual issuance for the 4 fiscal year;
- 5 AC is the preliminary eligible costs determined pursuant to 6 section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7);
 - P is the principal of the individual issuance plus any other funding sources approved for the school facilities project;
- 9 DAP is the district's district aid percentage as defined pursuant to 10 section 3 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-3) and where DAP shall not 11 be less than 40%; and
 - M is a factor representing the degree to which a district has fulfilled maintenance requirements for a school facilities project determined pursuant to subsection b. of this section.
 - For county special services school districts, DAP shall be that of the county vocational school district in the same county.
 - Notwithstanding the provisions of this subsection to the contrary, in the case of a county vocational school district which has a resident enrollment composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA districts, DAP shall not be less than 90%.
 - The maintenance factor (M) shall be 1.0 except when one of the following conditions applies, in which case the maintenance factor shall be as specified:
 - (1) Effective ten years from the date of the enactment of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.), the maintenance factor for aid reconstruction, remodeling, alteration, modernization, renovation or repair, or for an addition to a school facility, shall be zero for all school facilities projects for which the district fails to demonstrate over the ten years preceding issuance a net investment in maintenance of the related school facility of at least 2% of the replacement cost of the school facility, determined pursuant to subsection b. of section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7) using the area cost allowance of the year ten years preceding the year in which the school bonds are issued.
- 35 (2) For new construction, additions, and school facilities aided 36 under subsection b. of section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7) 37 supported by financing issued for projects approved by the commissioner after the effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-38 39 1 et al.), beginning in the fourth year after occupancy of the school 40 facility, the maintenance factor shall be reduced according to the following schedule for all school facilities projects for which the 42 district fails to demonstrate in the prior fiscal year an investment in maintenance of the related school facility of at least two-tenths of 44 1% of the replacement cost of the school facility, determined pursuant to subsection b. of section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7).

 1
 Maintenance Percentage
 Maintenance Factor (M)

 2
 .199% - .151%
 75%

 3
 .150% - .100%
 50%

 4
 Less than .100%
 Zero

- (3) Within one year of the enactment of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.), the commissioner shall promulgate rules requiring districts to develop a long-range maintenance plan and specifying the expenditures that qualify as an appropriate investment in maintenance for the purposes of this subsection.
- Any district which obtained approval from the commissioner since September 1, 1998 and prior to the effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.) of the educational specifications for a school facilities project or obtained approval from the Department of Community Affairs or the appropriately licensed municipal code official since September 1, 1998 of the final construction plans and specifications, and the district has issued debt, may elect to have the final eligible costs of the project determined pursuant to section 5 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-5) and to receive debt service aid under this section or under section 10 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-10).
 - Any district which received approval from the commissioner for a school facilities project at any time prior to the effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.), and has not issued debt, other than short term notes, may submit an application pursuant to section 5 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-5) to have the final eligible costs of the project determined pursuant to that section and to have the New Jersey Economic Development Authority construct the project; or, at its discretion, the district may choose to receive debt service aid under this section or under section 10 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-10) or to receive a grant under section 15 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-15).
- For the purposes of this subsection, the "issuance of debt" shall include lease purchase agreements in excess of five years.
 - d. For school bonds issued for a school facilities project after the effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.) and prior to the effective date of P.L.2008, c.39 (C.18A:7G-14.1 et al.), State debt service aid shall be calculated in accordance with the provisions of this section as the same read before the effective date of P.L.2008, c.39 (C.18A:7G-14.1 et al.).

39 (cf: P.L.2008, c.39, s.3)

41 3. Section 10 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-10) is amended to 42 read as follows:

10. For each issuance of school bonds or certificates of participation issued for a school facilities project approved by the commissioner prior to the effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.):

S2941 CODEY, RICE

1	Aid is the sum of A
2	where
3	$A = B \times EQAID/AB$
4	and where
5	B is the district's total debt service or lease purchase payment for
6	the individual issuance for the fiscal year;
7	EQAID is the district's equalization aid amount determined
8	pursuant to section 11 of P.L.2007, c.260 (C.18A:7G-53); and
9	AB is the district's adequacy budget determined pursuant to
10	section 9 of P.L.2007, c.260 (C.18A:7F-51).
11	For county special services school districts, EQAID/AB shall be
12	that of the county vocational school district in the same county.
13	Notwithstanding the provisions of this section to the contrary, in the
14	case of a county vocational school district which has a resident
15	enrollment composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA
16	districts, EQUAID/AB shall not be less than 90%.
17	(cf: P.L.2007, c.260, s.43)
18	
19	4. This act shall take effect immediately.
20	
20	STATEMENT
20 21	STATEMENT
20 21 22	STATEMENT This bill provides that a county vocational school district which
20 21 22 23	
20 21 22 23 24	This bill provides that a county vocational school district which
20 21 22 23 24 25	This bill provides that a county vocational school district which has a student body composed of at least 90% of students who reside
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	This bill provides that a county vocational school district which has a student body composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts) will receive not less than
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	This bill provides that a county vocational school district which has a student body composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts) will receive not less than 90% State support of the debt service costs of bonds issued to
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	This bill provides that a county vocational school district which has a student body composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts) will receive not less than 90% State support of the debt service costs of bonds issued to finance the approved costs of a school facilities project. Under
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	This bill provides that a county vocational school district which has a student body composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts) will receive not less than 90% State support of the debt service costs of bonds issued to finance the approved costs of a school facilities project. Under current law a school district's State support ratio for debt service
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	This bill provides that a county vocational school district which has a student body composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts) will receive not less than 90% State support of the debt service costs of bonds issued to finance the approved costs of a school facilities project. Under current law a school district's State support ratio for debt service costs is equal to the percentage of the district's adequacy budget
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	This bill provides that a county vocational school district which has a student body composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts) will receive not less than 90% State support of the debt service costs of bonds issued to finance the approved costs of a school facilities project. Under current law a school district's State support ratio for debt service costs is equal to the percentage of the district's adequacy budget that is supported by equalization aid. In light of the fact that SDA
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	This bill provides that a county vocational school district which has a student body composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts) will receive not less than 90% State support of the debt service costs of bonds issued to finance the approved costs of a school facilities project. Under current law a school district's State support ratio for debt service costs is equal to the percentage of the district's adequacy budget that is supported by equalization aid. In light of the fact that SDA school districts have a State support ratio of 100% for school
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	This bill provides that a county vocational school district which has a student body composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts) will receive not less than 90% State support of the debt service costs of bonds issued to finance the approved costs of a school facilities project. Under current law a school district's State support ratio for debt service costs is equal to the percentage of the district's adequacy budget that is supported by equalization aid. In light of the fact that SDA school districts have a State support ratio of 100% for school facilities projects, it is appropriate that those county vocational
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	This bill provides that a county vocational school district which has a student body composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts) will receive not less than 90% State support of the debt service costs of bonds issued to finance the approved costs of a school facilities project. Under current law a school district's State support ratio for debt service costs is equal to the percentage of the district's adequacy budget that is supported by equalization aid. In light of the fact that SDA school districts have a State support ratio of 100% for school facilities projects, it is appropriate that those county vocational school districts which educate such a significant percentage of
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	This bill provides that a county vocational school district which has a student body composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts) will receive not less than 90% State support of the debt service costs of bonds issued to finance the approved costs of a school facilities project. Under current law a school district's State support ratio for debt service costs is equal to the percentage of the district's adequacy budget that is supported by equalization aid. In light of the fact that SDA school districts have a State support ratio of 100% for school facilities projects, it is appropriate that those county vocational school districts which educate such a significant percentage of students who reside in SDA districts will also receive an increased
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	This bill provides that a county vocational school district which has a student body composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts) will receive not less than 90% State support of the debt service costs of bonds issued to finance the approved costs of a school facilities project. Under current law a school district's State support ratio for debt service costs is equal to the percentage of the district's adequacy budget that is supported by equalization aid. In light of the fact that SDA school districts have a State support ratio of 100% for school facilities projects, it is appropriate that those county vocational school districts which educate such a significant percentage of students who reside in SDA districts will also receive an increased level of State support for their projects. The provisions of this bill would impact the Essex County Vocational School District which currently has a resident
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	This bill provides that a county vocational school district which has a student body composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts) will receive not less than 90% State support of the debt service costs of bonds issued to finance the approved costs of a school facilities project. Under current law a school district's State support ratio for debt service costs is equal to the percentage of the district's adequacy budget that is supported by equalization aid. In light of the fact that SDA school districts have a State support ratio of 100% for school facilities projects, it is appropriate that those county vocational school districts which educate such a significant percentage of students who reside in SDA districts will also receive an increased level of State support for their projects. The provisions of this bill would impact the Essex County

SENATE BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

SENATE, No. 2941

with committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JUNE 22, 2009

The Senate Budget and Appropriations Committee reports favorably Senate Bill No. 2941, with committee amendments.

This bill, with committee amendments, provides that in the case of county vocational school district school facilities projects that are approved by the Commissioner of Education following the bill's effective date, the State share for debt service aid will equal the greater of the State share as calculated under current law (equalization aid as a percentage of the district's adequacy budget but not less than 40%), or the percentage of students in the county vocational school district resident enrollment who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts). The bill specifies that the State share cannot be greater than 90%.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS

The committee amendments provide: 1) that the bill's provisions will impact county vocational school district school facilities projects which are approved by the Commissioner of Education following the bill's effective date; 2) stipulate that the State share for debt service aid for such projects will equal the greater of the State share as calculated under current law or the percentage of students in the county vocational school district's resident enrollment who reside in SDA districts; and 3) provide that the State share cannot be greater than 90%.

The bill in its original form would provide a State share for debt service aid of not less than 90% in the case of any county vocational school district which has a resident enrollment composed of at least 90% of students who reside in SDA districts.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The fiscal impact of this legislation cannot be determined as it is contingent on factors that are not known at this time. The bill stipulates that the higher DAP will only apply to school facilities projects initiated after the effective date. As such, the legislation would not alter the amount of School Construction Debt Service Aid currently awarded. The future costs of this bill will be contingent on

the approved costs and associated interest costs of future school facilities projects in the affected districts.

Table 1 compares the DAP in FY 2010 under current law to the percent of the district's resident students who live in SDA districts as of October 2008. In three districts, Essex, Hudson, and Passaic County Vocational School Districts, the current share of students residing in SDA districts is greater than the current DAP. These districts, at least in the short run, would be affected by the legislation.

Table 1
Impact of S-2941 (1R) on District Aid Percentage in County
Vocational School Districts

	Current District	Current Share	District Aid
	Aid Percentage	of Students	Percentage
		Residing in	under
		SDA Districts	S-2941 (1R)
Atlantic Co Voc	40.0%	12.6%	40.0%
Bergen Co Voc	40.0%	6.2%	40.0%
Burlington Co Voc	40.0%	15.5%	40.0%
Camden Co Voc	56.6%	52.6%	56.6%
Cape May Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Cumberland Co Voc	70.5%	67.1%	70.5%
Essex Co Voc	48.6%	95.0%	90.0%
Gloucester Co Voc	48.7%	0.7%	48.7%
Hudson Co Voc	53.3%	67.1%	67.1%
Hunterdon Co Voc Mercer Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
	32.3%	9.6%	32.3%
Middlesex Co Voc	40.0%	35.6%	40.0%
Monmouth Co Voc	40.0%	9.3%	40.0%
Morris Co Voc	40.0%	0.1%	40.0%
Ocean Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Passaic Co Voc	56.1%	80.7%	80.7%
Salem Co Voc	59.2%	3.7%	59.2%
Somerset Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Sussex Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Union Co Voc	40.0%	15.8%	40.0%
Warren Co Voc	41.2%	14.5%	41.2%

ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

[First Reprint] **SENATE, No. 2941**

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JANUARY 4, 2010

The Assembly Appropriations Committee reports favorably Senate Bill No. 2941 (1R).

The bill provides that in the case of county vocational school district school facilities projects that are approved by the Commissioner of Education following the bill's effective date, the State share for debt service aid will equal the greater of the State share as calculated under current law (equalization aid as a percentage of the district's adequacy budget but not less than 40%), or the percentage of students in the county vocational school district resident enrollment who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts). The bill specifies that the State share cannot be greater than 90%.

This bill is identical to Assembly Bill No. 4137, as also reported by the committee.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The fiscal impact of this legislation cannot be determined as it is contingent on factors that are not known at this time. The bill stipulates that the higher District and Percentage (DAP) will only apply to school facilities projects initiated after the effective date. As such, the legislation would not alter the amount of School Construction Debt Service Aid currently awarded. The future costs of this bill will be contingent on the approved costs and associated interest costs of future school facilities projects in the affected districts.

Table 1 compares the DAP in FY 2010 under current law to the percent of the district's resident students who live in SDA districts as of October 2008. In three districts, Essex, Hudson, and Passaic County Vocational School Districts, the current share of students residing in SDA districts is greater than the current DAP. These districts, at least in the short run, would be affected by the legislation.

Table 1
Impact of S-2941 (1R) on District Aid Percentage in County
Vocational School Districts

	Current District	Current Share	District Aid
	Aid Percentage	of Students	Percentage
		Residing in	under
		SDA Districts	S-2941 (1R)
Atlantic Co Voc	40.0%	12.6%	40.0%
Bergen Co Voc	40.0%	6.2%	40.0%
Burlington Co Voc	40.0%	15.5%	40.0%
Camden Co Voc	56.6%	52.6%	56.6%
Cape May Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Cumberland Co Voc	70.5%	67.1%	70.5%
Essex Co Voc	48.6%	95.0%	90.0%
Gloucester Co Voc	48.7%	0.7%	48.7%
Hudson Co Voc	53.3%	67.1%	67.1%
Hunterdon Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Mercer Co Voc	32.3%	9.6%	32.3%
Middlesex Co Voc	40.0%	35.6%	40.0%
Monmouth Co Voc	40.0%	9.3%	40.0%
Morris Co Voc	40.0%	0.1%	40.0%
Ocean Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Passaic Co Voc	56.1%	80.7%	80.7%
Salem Co Voc	59.2%	3.7%	59.2%
Somerset Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Sussex Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Union Co Voc	40.0%	15.8%	40.0%
Warren Co Voc	41.2%	14.5%	41.2%

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE

[First Reprint]

SENATE, No. 2941 STATE OF NEW JERSEY 213th LEGISLATURE

DATED: JUNE 30, 2009

SUMMARY

Synopsis: Revises State share calculation for debt service aid for new school

facilities projects of county vocational school districts.

Type of Impact: Indeterminate. Expenditure Increase in the Property Tax Relief Fund

Agencies Affected: Department of Education, local school districts

Office of Legislative Services Estimate

Fiscal Impact	FY 2010	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	
State Cost	Indeterminate- See comments below			
Local Revenue				

- The potential State cost and additional local revenue associated with Senate, No. 2941 (1R) of 2009 cannot be determined. The legislation increases State support for future school facilities projects only. As such, the legislation would have no impact on the amount of School Construction Debt Service Aid currently awarded to county vocational school districts. The increased aid that would be awarded to county vocational school districts for future school facilities projects would be contingent on the approved costs and associated interest costs of such projects in districts affected by the legislation.
- Based on the current district aid percentage (DAP) and enrollment data, three school districts (Essex, Hudson, and Passaic County Vocational School Districts) would be affected by the provisions of the bill.

BILL DESCRIPTION

Senate Bill No. 2941 (1R) of 2009 increases the level of State support of future school facilities projects in certain county vocational school districts. Under current law, the State provides School Construction Debt Service Aid to districts for school facilities projects initiated after the effective date of the "Educational Facilities Construction and Financing Act" (EFCFA). Districts receive an amount of aid equal to the principal and interest on approved costs due in the budget year multiplied by the DAP, which is calculated as the greater of 40 percent or the



district's equalization aid divided by its adequacy budget as determined under the provisions of the "School Funding Reform Act of 2008" (SFRA).

This bill modifies the DAP in county vocational school districts to equal the greater of the DAP as calculated under current law or the percent of the district's resident enrollment that live in an SDA (former Abbott) school district; the legislation also establishes a 90 percent maximum DAP. Based on enrollment data from the October 2008 Application for State School Aid and the FY 2010 DAP calculated pursuant to current law, three county vocational school districts would be eligible for greater support on future projects: Essex, Hudson, and Passaic County Vocational School Districts.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE SERVICES

The fiscal impact of this legislation cannot be determined as it is contingent on factors that are not known at this time. The bill stipulates that the higher DAP will only apply to school facilities projects initiated after the effective date. As such, the legislation would not alter the amount of School Construction Debt Service Aid currently awarded. The future State costs of this bill will be contingent on the approved costs and associated interest costs of school facilities projects in the affected districts.

Table 1 compares the DAP in FY 2010 under current law to the percent of the district's resident students who live in SDA districts as of October 2008. In three districts, Essex, Hudson, and Passaic County Vocational School Districts, the current share of students residing in SDA districts is greater than the current DAP. Based on the current data, these districts would be affected by the legislation.

Table 1
Impact of S-2941 (1R) on District Aid Percentage in County Vocational School Districts

	G P:	G . G1 . C	D A.I
	Current District Aid	Current Share of	District Aid
	Percentage	Students Residing in	Percentage under
		SDA Districts	S-2941 (1R)
Atlantic Co Voc	40.0%	12.6%	40.0%
Bergen Co Voc	40.0%	6.2%	40.0%
Burlington Co Voc	40.0%	15.5%	40.0%
Camden Co Voc	56.6%	52.6%	56.6%
Cape May Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Cumberland Co Voc	70.5%	67.1%	70.5%
Essex Co Voc	48.6%	95.0%	90.0%
Gloucester Co Voc	48.7%	0.7%	48.7%
Hudson Co Voc	53.3%	67.1%	67.1%
Hunterdon Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Mercer Co Voc	32.3%	9.6%	32.3%
Middlesex Co Voc	40.0%	35.6%	40.0%
Monmouth Co Voc	40.0%	9.3%	40.0%
Morris Co Voc	40.0%	0.1%	40.0%
Ocean Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Passaic Co Voc	56.1%	80.7%	80.7%
Salem Co Voc	59.2%	3.7%	59.2%
Somerset Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Sussex Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Union Co Voc	40.0%	15.8%	40.0%
Warren Co Voc	41.2%	14.5%	41.2%

Section: Education

Analyst: Allen T. Dupree

Senior Fiscal Analyst

Approved: David J. Rosen

Legislative Budget and Finance Officer

This fiscal estimate has been prepared pursuant to P.L.1980, c.67 (C.52:13B-1 et seq.).

ASSEMBLY, No. 4137

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

213th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED JUNE 22, 2009

Sponsored by:

Assemblyman ALBERT COUTINHO
District 29 (Essex and Union)
Assemblywoman L. GRACE SPENCER
District 29 (Essex and Union)
Assemblyman THOMAS P. GIBLIN
District 34 (Essex and Passaic)

SYNOPSIS

Revises State share calculation for debt service aid for new school facilities projects of county vocational school districts.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



AN ACT concerning debt service aid for certain county vocational school districts and amending P.L.2000, c.72.

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. Section 5 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-5) is amended to read as follows:
- 5. a. The development authority shall undertake and the financing authority shall finance the school facilities projects of SDA districts.
- b. In the case of a district other than an SDA district, State support for the project shall be determined pursuant to section 9 or section 15 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-9 or C.18A:7G-15), as applicable.
- c. Notwithstanding any provision of N.J.S.18A:18A-16 to the contrary, the procedures for obtaining approval of a school facilities project shall be as set forth in this act; provided that any district whose school facilities project is not constructed by the development authority shall also be required to comply with the provisions of N.J.S.18A:18A-16.
- d. (1) Any district seeking to initiate a school facilities project shall apply to the commissioner for approval of the project. The application may include, but not be limited to: a description of the school facilities project; a schematic drawing of the project or, at the option of the district, preliminary plans and specifications; a delineation and description of each of the functional components of the project; educational specifications detailing the programmatic needs of each proposed space; the number of unhoused students to be housed in the project; the area allowances per FTE student as calculated pursuant to section 8 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-8); and the estimated cost to complete the project as determined by the district.
- (2) In the case of an SDA district school facilities project, based upon its educational priority ranking and the Statewide strategic plan established pursuant to subsection m. of this section, the commissioner may authorize the development authority to undertake preconstruction activities which may include, but need not be limited to, site identification, investigation, and acquisition, feasibility studies, land-related design work, design work, site remediation, demolition, and acquisition of temporary facilities. Upon receipt of the authorization, the development authority may initiate the preconstruction activities required to prepare the application for commissioner approval of the school facilities project.

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

- 1 The commissioner shall review each proposed school 2 facilities project to determine whether it is consistent with the 3 district's long-range facilities plan and whether it complies with the 4 facilities efficiency standards and the area allowances per FTE 5 student derived from those standards; and in the case of an SDA 6 district the commissioner shall also review the project's educational 7 priority ranking and the Statewide strategic plan developed pursuant 8 to paragraphs (2) and (3) of subsection m. of this section; and in the 9 case of a district other than an SDA district the commissioner shall 10 also review the project's priority pursuant to paragraph (4) of 11 subsection m. of this section. The commissioner shall make a 12 decision on a district's application within 90 days from the date he 13 determines that the application is fully and accurately completed 14 and that all information necessary for a decision has been filed by 15 the district, or from the date of the last revision made by the district. 16 If the commissioner is not able to make a decision within 90 days, 17 he shall notify the district in writing explaining the reason for the 18 delay and indicating the date on which a decision on the project will 19 be made, provided that the date shall not be later than 60 days from 20 the expiration of the original 90 days set forth in this subsection. If 21 the decision is not made by the subsequent date indicated by the 22 commissioner, then the project shall be deemed approved and the 23 preliminary eligible costs for new construction shall be calculated 24 by using the proposed square footage of the building as the 25 approved area for unhoused students. 26
 - f. If the commissioner determines that the school facilities project complies with the facilities efficiency standards and the district's long-range facilities plan and does not exceed the area allowance per FTE student derived from those standards, the commissioner shall calculate the preliminary eligible costs of the project pursuant to the formulas set forth in section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7); except that (1) in the case of a county special services school district or a county vocational school district, the commissioner shall calculate the preliminary eligible costs to equal the amount determined by the board of school estimate and approved by the board of chosen freeholders pursuant to section 14 of P.L.1971, c.271 (C.18A:46-42) or N.J.S.18A:54-31 as appropriate, and (2) in the case of an SDA district, the commissioner shall calculate the preliminary eligible costs to equal the estimated cost as determined by the development authority.

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- g. If the commissioner determines that the school facilities project is inconsistent with the facilities efficiency standards or exceeds the area allowances per FTE student derived from those standards, the commissioner shall notify the district.
- (1) The commissioner shall approve area allowances in excess of the area allowances per FTE student derived from the facilities efficiency standards if the board of education or State district superintendent, as appropriate, demonstrates that school facilities

A4137 COUTINHO, SPENCER

needs related to required programs cannot be addressed within the facilities efficiency standards and that all other proposed spaces are consistent with those standards. The commissioner shall approve area allowances in excess of the area allowances per FTE student derived from the facilities efficiency standards if the additional area allowances are necessary to accommodate centralized facilities to be shared among two or more school buildings within the district and the centralized facilities represent a more cost effective alternative.

- (2) The commissioner may waive a facilities efficiency standard if the board of education or State district superintendent, as appropriate, demonstrates to the commissioner's satisfaction that the waiver will not adversely affect the educational adequacy of the school facility, including the ability to deliver the programs and services necessary to enable all students to achieve the core curriculum content standards.
- (3) To house the district's central administration, a district may request an adjustment to the approved areas for unhoused students of 2.17 square feet for each FTE student in the projected total district school enrollment if the proposed administrative offices will be housed in a school facility and the district demonstrates either that the existing central administrative offices are obsolete or that it is more practical to convert those offices to instructional space. To the extent that existing administrative space will continue to be used for administrative purposes, the space shall be included in the formulas set forth in section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7).

If the commissioner approves excess facilities efficiency standards or additional area allowances pursuant to paragraph (1), (2), or (3) of this subsection, the commissioner shall calculate the preliminary eligible costs based upon the additional area allowances or excess facilities efficiency standards pursuant to the formulas set forth in section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7). In the event that the commissioner does not approve the excess facilities efficiency standards or additional area allowances, the district may either: modify its submission so that the school facilities project meets the facilities efficiency standards; or pay for the excess costs.

(4) The commissioner shall approve spaces in excess of, or inconsistent with, the facilities efficiency standards, hereinafter referred to as nonconforming spaces, upon a determination by the district that the spaces are necessary to comply with State or federal law concerning individuals with disabilities, including that the spaces are necessary to provide in-district programs and services for current disabled pupils who are being served in out-of-district placements or in-district programs and services for the projected disabled pupil population. A district may apply for additional State aid for nonconforming spaces that will permit pupils with disabilities to be educated to the greatest extent possible in the same buildings or classes with their nondisabled peers. The

1 nonconforming spaces may: (a) allow for the return of pupils with 2 disabilities from private facilities; (b) permit the retention of pupils 3 with disabilities who would otherwise be placed in private facilities; 4 (c) provide space for regional programs in a host school building 5 that houses both disabled and nondisabled pupils; and (d) provide 6 space for the coordination of regional programs by a county special 7 services school district, educational services commission, jointure 8 commission, or other agency authorized by law to provide regional 9 educational services in a school building that houses both disabled 10 and nondisabled pupils. A district's State support ratio shall be 11 adjusted to equal the lesser of the sum of its district aid percentage 12 as defined in section 3 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-3) plus 0.25, or 13 100% for any nonconforming spaces approved by the commissioner 14 pursuant to this paragraph.

h. Upon approval of a school facilities project and determination of the preliminary eligible costs:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

(1) In the case of a district other than an SDA district, the commissioner shall notify the district whether the school facilities project is approved and, if so approved, the preliminary eligible costs and the excess costs, if any. Following the determination of preliminary eligible costs and the notification of project approval, the district may appeal to the commissioner for an increase in those costs if the detailed plans and specifications completed by a design professional for the school facilities project indicate that the cost of constructing that portion of the project which is consistent with the facilities efficiency standards and does not exceed the area allowances per FTE student exceeds the preliminary eligible costs as determined by the commissioner for the project by 10% or more. The district shall file its appeal within 30 days of the preparation of the plans and specifications. If the district chooses not to file an appeal, then the final eligible costs shall equal the preliminary eligible costs.

The appeal shall outline the reasons why the preliminary eligible costs calculated for the project are inadequate and estimate the amount of the adjustment which needs to be made to the preliminary eligible costs. The commissioner shall forward the appeal information to the development authority for its review and recommendation. If the additional costs are the result of factors that are within the control of the district or are the result of design factors that are not required to meet the facilities efficiency standards, the development authority shall recommend to the commissioner that the preliminary eligible costs be accepted as the final eligible costs. If the development authority determines the additional costs are not within the control of the district or are the result of design factors required to meet the facilities efficiency standards, the development authority shall recommend to the commissioner a final eligible cost based on its experience for districts with similar characteristics, provided that, notwithstanding

anything to the contrary, the commissioner shall not approve an adjustment to the preliminary eligible costs which exceeds 10% of the preliminary eligible costs. The commissioner shall make a determination on the appeal within 30 days of its receipt. If the commissioner does not approve an adjustment to the school facilities project's preliminary eligible costs, the commissioner shall issue his findings in writing on the reasons for the denial and on why the preliminary eligible costs as originally calculated are sufficient.

- (2) In the case of an SDA district, the commissioner shall promptly prepare and submit to the development authority a preliminary project report which shall consist, at a minimum, of the following information: a complete description of the school facilities project; the actual location of the project; the total square footage of the project together with a breakdown of total square footage by functional component; the preliminary eligible costs of the project; the project's priority ranking determined pursuant to subsection m. of this section; any other factors to be considered by the development authority in undertaking the project; and the name and address of the person from the district to contact in regard to the project.
- i. Upon receipt by the development authority of the preliminary project report, the development authority, upon consultation with the district, shall prepare detailed plans and specifications and schedules which contain the development authority's estimated cost and schedule to complete the school facilities project. The development authority shall transmit to the commissioner its recommendations in regard to the project which shall, at a minimum, contain the detailed plans and specifications; whether the school facilities project can be completed within the preliminary eligible costs; and any other factors which the development authority determines should be considered by the commissioner.
- (1) In the event that the development authority determines that the school facilities project can be completed within the preliminary eligible costs: the final eligible costs shall be deemed to equal the preliminary eligible costs; the commissioner shall be deemed to have given final approval to the project; and the preliminary project report shall be deemed to be the final project report delivered to the development authority pursuant to subsection j. of this section.
- (2) In the event that the development authority determines that the school facilities project cannot be completed within the preliminary eligible costs, prior to the submission of its recommendations to the commissioner, the development authority shall, in consultation with the district and the commissioner, determine whether changes can be made in the project which will result in a reduction in costs while at the same time meeting the facilities efficiency standards approved by the commissioner.

- (a) If the development authority determines that changes in the school facilities project are possible so that the project can be accomplished within the scope of the preliminary eligible costs while still meeting the facilities efficiency standards, the development authority shall so advise the commissioner, whereupon the commissioner shall: calculate the final eligible costs to equal the preliminary eligible costs; give final approval to the project with the changes noted; and issue a final project report to the development authority pursuant to subsection j. of this section.
- (b) If the development authority determines that it is not possible to make changes in the school facilities project so that it can be completed within the preliminary eligible costs either because the additional costs are the result of factors outside the control of the district or the additional costs are required to meet the facilities efficiency standards, the development authority shall recommend to the commissioner that the preliminary eligible costs be increased accordingly, whereupon the commissioner shall: calculate the final eligible costs to equal the sum of the preliminary eligible costs plus the increase recommended by the development authority; give final approval to the project; and issue a final project report to the development authority pursuant to subsection j. of this section.
- (c) If the additional costs are the result of factors that are within the control of the district or are the result of design factors that are not required to meet the facilities efficiency standards or approved pursuant to paragraph (1) of subsection g. of this section, the development authority shall recommend to the commissioner that the preliminary eligible costs be accepted, whereupon the commissioner shall: calculate the final eligible costs to equal the preliminary eligible costs and specify the excess costs which are to be borne by the district; give final approval to the school facilities project; and issue a final project report to the development authority pursuant to subsection j. of this section; provided that the commissioner may approve final eligible costs which are in excess of the preliminary eligible costs if, in his judgment, the action is necessary to meet the educational needs of the district.
- (d) For a school facilities project undertaken by the development authority, the development authority shall be responsible for any costs of construction, but only from the proceeds of bonds issued by the financing authority pursuant to P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.) and P.L.2007, c.137 (C.52:18A-235 et al.), which exceed the amount originally projected by the development authority and approved for financing by the development authority, provided that the excess is the result of an underestimate of labor or materials costs by the development authority. After receipt by the development authority of the final project report, the district shall be responsible only for the costs

associated with changes, if any, made at the request of the district to the scope of the school facilities project.

The development authority shall not commence the construction of a school facilities project unless the commissioner transmits to the development authority a final project report and the district complies with the approval requirements for the local share, if any, pursuant to section 11 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-11). The final project report shall contain all of the information contained in the preliminary project report and, in addition, shall contain: the final eligible costs; the excess costs, if any; the total costs which equals the final eligible costs plus excess costs, if any; the State share; and the local share.

k. For the SDA districts, the State share shall be 100% of the final eligible costs. **[**For**]** Except as otherwise provided pursuant to section 9 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-9), for all other districts, the State share shall be an amount equal to the district aid percentage; except that the State share shall not be less than 40% of the final eligible costs.

If any district which is included in district factor group A or B, other than an SDA district, is having difficulty financing the local share of a school facilities project, the district may apply to the commissioner to receive 100% State support for the project and the commissioner may request the approval of the Legislature to increase the State share of the project to 100%.

- l. The local share for school facilities projects constructed by the authority or a redevelopment entity shall equal the final eligible costs plus any excess costs less the State share.
- m. (1) Within 90 days of the effective date of P.L.2007, c.137 (C.52:18A-235 et al.), the commissioner shall develop an educational facilities needs assessment for each SDA district. The assessment shall be updated periodically by the commissioner in accordance with the schedule the commissioner deems appropriate for the district; except that each assessment shall at a minimum be updated within five years of the development of the district's most recent prior educational facilities needs assessment. The assessment shall be transmitted to the development authority to be used to initiate the planning activities required prior to the establishment of the educational priority ranking of school facilities projects pursuant to paragraph (2) of this subsection.
- (2) Following the approval of an SDA district's long-range facilities plan or of an amendment to that plan, but prior to authorization of preconstruction activities for a school facilities project included in the plan or amendment, the commissioner shall establish, in consultation with the SDA district, an educational priority ranking of all school facilities projects in the SDA district based upon the commissioner's determination of critical need in accordance with priority project categories developed by the commissioner. The priority project categories shall include, but not

be limited to, health and safety, overcrowding in the early childhood, elementary, middle, and high school grade levels, spaces necessary to provide in-district programs and services for current disabled students who are being served in out-of-district placements or in-district programs and services for the projected disabled student population, rehabilitation, and educational adequacy.

(3) Upon the commissioner's determination of the educational priority ranking of school facilities projects in SDA districts pursuant to paragraph (2) of this subsection, the development authority, in consultation with the commissioner, the SDA districts, and the governing bodies of the municipalities in which the SDA districts are situate, shall establish a Statewide strategic plan to be used in the sequencing of SDA district school facilities projects based upon the projects' educational priority rankings and issues which impact the development authority's ability to complete the projects including, but not limited to, the construction schedule and other appropriate factors. The development authority shall revise the Statewide strategic plan and the sequencing of SDA district school facilities projects in accordance with that plan no less than once every five years.

Any amendment to an SDA district's long-range facilities plan that is submitted to the commissioner in the period between the five-year updates of the long-range facilities plan shall be considered by the development authority, in consultation with the commissioner, for incorporation into the Statewide strategic plan. In making a determination on whether or not to amend the Statewide strategic plan, the development authority shall consider the cost of the amendment, the impact of the amendment upon the school development plans for other districts, and other appropriate factors.

- (4) In the case of a district other than an SDA district, the commissioner shall establish a priority process for the financing of school facilities projects based upon the commissioner's determination of critical need in accordance with priority project categories developed by the commissioner. The priority project categories shall include, but not be limited to, health and safety, overcrowding in the elementary, middle, and high school grade levels, spaces necessary to provide in-district programs and services for current disabled students who are being served in out-of-district placements or in-district programs and services for the projected disabled student population, and full-day kindergarten facilities in the case of school districts required to provide full-day preschool pursuant to section 12 of P.L.2007, c.260 (C.18A:7F-54).
- n. The provisions of the "Public School Contracts Law,"
 N.J.S.18A:18A-1 et seq., shall be applicable to any school facilities
 project constructed by a district but shall not be applicable to
 projects constructed by the development authority or a
 redevelopment entity pursuant to the provisions of this act.

- o. In the case of a school facilities project of a district other than an SDA district, any proceeds of school bonds issued by the district for the purpose of funding the project which remain unspent upon completion of the project shall be used by the district to reduce the outstanding principal amount of the school bonds.
 - p. Upon completion by the development authority of a school facilities project, if the cost of construction and completion of the project is less than the total costs, the district shall be entitled to receive a portion of the local share based on a pro rata share of the difference based on the ratio of the State share to the local share.
 - q. The development authority shall determine the cause of any costs of construction which exceed the amount originally projected by the development authority and approved for financing by the financing authority.
 - r. (Deleted by amendment, P.L.2007, c.137).
- s. (Deleted by amendment, P.L.2007, c.137).
- 17 (cf: P.L.2008, c.39, s.2)

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

- 19 2. Section 9 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-9) is amended to 20 read as follows:
- 9. a. State debt service aid for capital investment in school facilities for a district other than an SDA district which elects not to finance the project under section 15 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-15), shall be distributed upon a determination of preliminary eligible costs by the commissioner, according to the following
- formula:
 Aid is the sum of A for each issuance of school bonds issued for
 a school facilities project approved by the commissioner after the
- 29 effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.)
- 30 where
- 31 $A = B \times AC/P \times DAP \times M$, with AC/P = 1
- 32 whenever AC/P would otherwise yield a number greater than one,
- 33 and where:
- B is the district's debt service for the individual issuance for the fiscal year;
- AC is the preliminary eligible costs determined pursuant to section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7);
- P is the principal of the individual issuance plus any other funding sources approved for the school facilities project;
- DAP is the district's district aid percentage as defined pursuant to section 3 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-3) and where DAP shall not be less than 40%; and
- M is a factor representing the degree to which a district has fulfilled maintenance requirements for a school facilities project determined pursuant to subsection b. of this section.
- For county special services school districts, DAP shall be that of the county vocational school district in the same county.

- Notwithstanding the provisions of this subsection to the contrary, DAP for a county vocational school district school facilities project that is approved by the commissioner following the effective date of P.L. c. (pending before the Legislature as this bill) shall equal the greater of the district's district aid percentage as defined pursuant to section 3 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-3) or the percentage of the students in the county vocational school district's resident enrollment who reside in SDA districts; except that DAP shall not be less than 40% or greater than 90%.
 - b. The maintenance factor (M) shall be 1.0 except when one of the following conditions applies, in which case the maintenance factor shall be as specified:

- (1) Effective ten years from the date of the enactment of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.), the maintenance factor for aid for reconstruction, remodeling, alteration, modernization, renovation or repair, or for an addition to a school facility, shall be zero for all school facilities projects for which the district fails to demonstrate over the ten years preceding issuance a net investment in maintenance of the related school facility of at least 2% of the replacement cost of the school facility, determined pursuant to subsection b. of section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7) using the area cost allowance of the year ten years preceding the year in which the school bonds are issued.
- (2) For new construction, additions, and school facilities aided under subsection b. of section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7) supported by financing issued for projects approved by the commissioner after the effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.), beginning in the fourth year after occupancy of the school facility, the maintenance factor shall be reduced according to the following schedule for all school facilities projects for which the district fails to demonstrate in the prior fiscal year an investment in maintenance of the related school facility of at least two-tenths of 1% of the replacement cost of the school facility, determined pursuant to subsection b. of section 7 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-7)
- 36 Maintenance Percentage Maintenance Factor (M)
- 37 .199% .151% 75% 38 .150% - .100% 50% 39 Less than .100% Zero
 - (3) Within one year of the enactment of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.), the commissioner shall promulgate rules requiring districts to develop a long-range maintenance plan and specifying the expenditures that qualify as an appropriate investment in maintenance for the purposes of this subsection.
 - c. Any district which obtained approval from the commissioner since September 1, 1998 and prior to the effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.) of the educational specifications for a school facilities project or obtained approval from the Department

A4137 COUTINHO, SPENCER

of Community Affairs or the appropriately licensed municipal code official since September 1, 1998 of the final construction plans and specifications, and the district has issued debt, may elect to have the final eligible costs of the project determined pursuant to section 5 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-5) and to receive debt service aid under this section or under section 10 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-10).

Any district which received approval from the commissioner for a school facilities project at any time prior to the effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.), and has not issued debt, other than short term notes, may submit an application pursuant to section 5 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-5) to have the final eligible costs of the project determined pursuant to that section and to have the New Jersey Economic Development Authority construct the project; or, at its discretion, the district may choose to receive debt service aid under this section or under section 10 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-10) or to receive a grant under section 15 of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-15).

For the purposes of this subsection, the "issuance of debt" shall include lease purchase agreements in excess of five years.

d. For school bonds issued for a school facilities project after the effective date of P.L.2000, c.72 (C.18A:7G-1 et al.) and prior to the effective date of P.L.2008, c.39 (C.18A:7G-14.1 et al.), State debt service aid shall be calculated in accordance with the provisions of this section as the same read before the effective date of P.L.2008, c.39 (C.18A:7G-14.1 et al.).

(cf: P.L.2008, c.39, s.3)

3. This act shall take effect immediately.

STATEMENT

This bill provides that in the case of county vocational school district school facilities projects that are approved by the Commissioner of Education following the bill's effective date, the State share for debt service aid will equal the greater of the State share as calculated under current law (equalization aid as a percentage of the district's adequacy budget but not less than 40%), or the percentage of students in the county vocational school district resident enrollment who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts). The bill specifies that the State share cannot be greater than 90%.

ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 4137

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JANUARY 4, 2010

The Assembly Appropriations Committee reports favorably Assembly Bill No. 4137.

The bill provides that in the case of county vocational school district school facilities projects that are approved by the Commissioner of Education following the bill's effective date, the State share for debt service aid will equal the greater of the State share as calculated under current law (equalization aid as a percentage of the district's adequacy budget but not less than 40%), or the percentage of students in the county vocational school district resident enrollment who reside in SDA districts (former Abbott districts). The bill specifies that the State share cannot be greater than 90%.

This bill is identical to Senate Bill No. 2941 (1R), as also reported by the committee.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The fiscal impact of this legislation cannot be determined as it is contingent on factors that are not known at this time. The bill stipulates that the higher District and Percentage (DAP) will only apply to school facilities projects initiated after the effective date. As such, the legislation would not alter the amount of School Construction Debt Service Aid currently awarded. The future costs of this bill will be contingent on the approved costs and associated interest costs of future school facilities projects in the affected districts.

Table 1 compares the DAP in FY 2010 under current law to the percent of the district's resident students who live in SDA districts as of October 2008. In three districts, Essex, Hudson, and Passaic County Vocational School Districts, the current share of students residing in SDA districts is greater than the current DAP. These districts, at least in the short run, would be affected by the legislation.

Table 1
Impact of A-4137 on District Aid Percentage in County Vocational
School Districts

	Current District	Current Share	District Aid
	Aid Percentage	of Students	Percentage
		Residing in	under
		SDA Districts	A-4137
Atlantic Co Voc	40.0%	12.6%	40.0%
Bergen Co Voc	40.0%	6.2%	40.0%
Burlington Co Voc	40.0%	15.5%	40.0%
Camden Co Voc	56.6%	52.6%	56.6%
Cape May Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Cumberland Co Voc	70.5%	67.1%	70.5%
Essex Co Voc	48.6%	95.0%	90.0%
Gloucester Co Voc	48.7%	0.7%	48.7%
Hudson Co Voc	53.3%	67.1%	67.1%
Hunterdon Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Mercer Co Voc	32.3%	9.6%	32.3%
Middlesex Co Voc	40.0%	35.6%	40.0%
Monmouth Co Voc	40.0%	9.3%	40.0%
Morris Co Voc	40.0%	0.1%	40.0%
Ocean Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Passaic Co Voc	56.1%	80.7%	80.7%
Salem Co Voc	59.2%	3.7%	59.2%
Somerset Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Sussex Co Voc	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Union Co Voc	40.0%	15.8%	40.0%
Warren Co Voc	41.2%	14.5%	41.2%