## 58:10B-27.2

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST

Compiled by the NJ State Law Library

- LAWS OF: CHAPTER: 360 2005
- NJSA: 58:10B-27.2 (Authorizes redevelopment agreements for reimbursement of remediation costs for projects that have already begun)
- BILL NO: (Substituted for A4383) S2907
- SPONSOR(S): Doria and others
- DATE INTRODUCED: December 1, 2005
- ASSEMBLY: COMMITTEE:
  - SENATE: Environment

- AMENDED DURING PASSAGE: Yes
- DATE OF PASSAGE: ASSEMBLY: January 9, 2006
  - SENATE: January 5, 2006
- DATE OF APPROVAL: January 12, 2006

#### FOLLOWING ARE ATTACHED IF AVAILABLE:

FINAL TEXT OF BILL (1st reprint enacted)

#### S2907

32907	SPONSOR'S STATEMENT: (Begins on page 4 of original bill)		Yes
	COMMITTEE STATEMENT:	ASSEMBLY:	No
		SENATE:	Yes
A4383	FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT:		No
	LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE:		Yes
	SECTION STATEMENT: (Begins on page	ge 4 of original bill)	Yes
	COMMITTEE STATEMENT:	ASSEMBLY:	Yes <u>12-8-2005 (Env)</u> <u>1-5-2006 (Approp)</u>
		SENATE:	No
	FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT:		No
	LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE:		Yes
VETO MESSAGE:		No	
VLIO	WESSAGE.		110

#### FOLLOWING WERE PRINTED:

To check for circulating copies, contact New Jersey State Government Publications at the State Library (609) 278-2640 ext.103 or mailto:refdesk@njstatelib.org

REPORTS:	No
HEARINGS:	No
NEWSPAPER ARTICLES:	No

IS 3/7/08

### P.L. 2005, CHAPTER 360, approved January 12, 2006 Senate, No. 2907 (First Reprint)

AN ACT concerning the remediation of contaminated sites, and
 amending and supplementing P.L.1997, c.278.

3

**BE IT ENACTED** by the Senate and General Assembly of the State
of New Jersey:

6

7 1. (New section) a. The provisions of any other law, or rule or 8 regulation adopted pursuant thereto, to the contrary notwithstanding, 9 the State may enter into a redevelopment agreement pursuant to 10 sections 35 and 36 of P.L.1997, c. 278 (C.58:10B-27 and 58:10B-28) 11 for a redevelopment project that was commenced prior to the effective 12 date of sections 34 through 39 of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-26 through 58:10B-31) in which the State may agree to reimburse a 13 14 developer for 75% of remediation costs incurred subsequent to 15 entering into the redevelopment agreement, provided that the Chief Executive Officer and Secretary of the Commerce and Economic 16 Growth Commission, in consultation with the State Treasurer, finds 17 18 that:

(1) the remediation that has not yet been performed on the subject
real property is necessary to ensure that the public health and safety
and the environment are protected; and

22 (2) (a) the cost or extent of remediation was unanticipated at the 23 time the redevelopment project was commenced; (b) changes to the 24 rules and regulations governing site remediation were adopted after 25 the redevelopment project was commenced; (c) principles of fairness and consistency indicate that the reimbursement of remediation costs 26 27 provided by P.L.1997, c.278 should be made available to the 28 developer who agreed to remediate and redevelop a brownfield prior 29 to the enactment of P.L.1997, c.278; (d) an estimate of the cost of the 30 remediation to be performed subsequent to entry into the 31 redevelopment agreement as approved by the Department of 32 Environmental Protection exceeds \$10 million; (e) the subject real 33 property is situated within a Planning Area 1 as designated in the State 34 Development and Redevelopment Plan; and (f) a phase of the 35 redevelopment project has not been commenced.

b. A developer that enters into a redevelopment agreement
pursuant to this section shall be eligible for reimbursement of
remediation costs pursuant to sections 36 and 37 of P.L.1997, c.278
(C.58:10B-28 and 58:10B-29), provided that:

**EXPLANATION - Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.** 

Matter underlined thus is new matter.

Matter enclosed in superscript numerals has been adopted as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Senate SEN committee amendments adopted December 8, 2005.

(1) in estimating the amount of State taxes that are anticipated to
be derived from a redevelopment project the director shall only
consider tax revenues generated subsequent to the date of the
redevelopment agreement from a phase of the redevelopment project
that has not <sup>1</sup>[commenced at the time the State and the developer
enter into the redevelopment agreement] generated tax revenues prior
to January 1, 2006<sup>1</sup>; and

8 (2) a developer has entered into a memorandum of agreement or 9 other oversight document with the Commissioner of Environmental 10 Protection for the remediation of a contaminated site located on the 11 site of the redevelopment project and the developer is in compliance 12 with the memorandum of agreement or oversight document.

13 c. Nothing in this section shall require that a no further action 14 letter be obtained by a developer for remediation of groundwater 15 beneath the subject real property prior to reimbursement of the 16 remediation costs, provided that the developer has completed any 17 capital construction or infrastructure required for the remediation of 18 groundwater on the site.

19

20 2. Section 39 of P.L. 1997 c.278 (C.58:10B-31) is amended to 21 read as follows:

22 39. a. The State Treasurer shall reimburse the developer the 23 amount of the remediation costs agreed upon in the redevelopment agreement, and as provided in sections 35 and 36 of P.L.1997, c.278 24 25 (C.58:10B-27 and C.58:10B-28) upon issuance of the certification by 26 the director pursuant to section 36 of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-28). 27 The developer shall be entitled to periodic payments from the fund in 28 an amount, in the frequency, and over the time period as provided in 29 the redevelopment agreement. Notwithstanding any other provision 30 of sections 34 through 39 of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-26 through 31 C.58:10B-31), the State Treasurer may not reimburse the developer 32 any amount of the remediation costs from the fund until the State 33 Treasurer is satisfied that the anticipated tax revenues from the 34 redevelopment project have been realized by the State in an amount 35 sufficient to pay for the cost of the reimbursements.

36 b. A developer shall submit to the director updated remediation 37 costs actually incurred by the developer for the remediation of the 38 contaminated property located at the site of the redevelopment project 39 as provided in the redevelopment agreement. The reimbursement 40 authorized pursuant to this section shall continue until such time as the aggregate dollar amount of the agreed upon reimbursement. To 41 42 remain entitled to the reimbursement authorized pursuant to this 43 section, the developer shall perform and complete all remediation 44 activities as may be required pursuant to the memorandum of 45 agreement or other oversight agreement entered into with the Commissioner of Environmental Protection pursuant to section 37 of 46

#### S2907 [1R] 3

1 P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-29). The Department of Environmental 2 Protection may review the remediation costs incurred by the developer 3 to determine if they are reasonable. 4 Reimbursable remediation costs shall include costs that are incurred 5 in preparing the area of land whereon the contaminated site is located 6 for remediation and may include costs of dynamic compaction of soil 7 necessary for the remediation. 8 (cf: P.L.2001, c.398, s.4) 9 10 3. This act shall take effect immediately. 11 12 13 14 15 Authorizes redevelopment agreements for reimbursement of remediation costs for projects that have already begun. 16

# SENATE, No. 2907 STATE OF NEW JERSEY 211th LEGISLATURE

**INTRODUCED DECEMBER 1, 2005** 

**Senator JOSEPH V. DORIA, JR.Sponsored by:** District 31 (Hudson)

### SYNOPSIS

Authorizes redevelopment agreements for reimbursement of remediation costs for projects that have already begun.

## **CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT**

As introduced.



2

AN ACT concerning the remediation of contaminated sites, and
 amending and supplementing P.L.1997, c.278.

3

**BE IT ENACTED** by the Senate and General Assembly of the State
of New Jersey:

6

1. (New section) a. The provisions of any other law, or rule or 7 8 regulation adopted pursuant thereto, to the contrary notwithstanding, 9 the State may enter into a redevelopment agreement pursuant to sections 35 and 36 of P.L.1997, c. 278 (C.58:10B-27 and 58:10B-28) 10 11 for a redevelopment project that was commenced prior to the effective date of sections 34 through 39 of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-26 12 13 through 58:10B-31) in which the State may agree to reimburse a 14 developer for 75% of remediation costs incurred subsequent to 15 entering into the redevelopment agreement, provided that the Chief 16 Executive Officer and Secretary of the Commerce and Economic 17 Growth Commission, in consultation with the State Treasurer, finds 18 that:

(1) the remediation that has not yet been performed on the subject
real property is necessary to ensure that the public health and safety
and the environment are protected; and

22 (2) (a) the cost or extent of remediation was unanticipated at the 23 time the redevelopment project was commenced; (b) changes to the 24 rules and regulations governing site remediation were adopted after 25 the redevelopment project was commenced; (c) principles of fairness 26 and consistency indicate that the reimbursement of remediation costs provided by P.L.1997, c.278 should be made available to the 27 28 developer who agreed to remediate and redevelop a brownfield prior 29 to the enactment of P.L.1997, c.278; (d) an estimate of the cost of the 30 remediation to be performed subsequent to entry into the redevelopment agreement as approved by the Department of 31 32 Environmental Protection exceeds \$10 million; (e) the subject real property is situated within a Planning Area 1 as designated in the State 33 34 Development and Redevelopment Plan; and (f) a phase of the 35 redevelopment project has not been commenced.

b. A developer that enters into a redevelopment agreement
pursuant to this section shall be eligible for reimbursement of
remediation costs pursuant to sections 36 and 37 of P.L.1997, c.278
(C.58:10B-28 and 58:10B-29), provided that:

(1) in estimating the amount of State taxes that are anticipated to
be derived from a redevelopment project the director shall only
consider tax revenues generated subsequent to the date of the
redevelopment agreement from a phase of the redevelopment project

Matter underlined <u>thus</u> is new matter.

EXPLANATION - Matter enclosed in **bold-faced brackets** [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

1 that has not commenced at the time the State and the developer enter 2 into the redevelopment agreement; and 3 (2) a developer has entered into a memorandum of agreement or 4 other oversight document with the Commissioner of Environmental Protection for the remediation of a contaminated site located on the 5 6 site of the redevelopment project and the developer is in compliance with the memorandum of agreement or oversight document. 7 8 c. Nothing in this section shall require that a no further action 9 letter be obtained by a developer for remediation of groundwater 10 beneath the subject real property prior to reimbursement of the 11 remediation costs, provided that the developer has completed any capital construction or infrastructure required for the remediation of 12 13 groundwater on the site. 14 15 2. Section 39 of P.L. 1997 c.278 (C.58:10B-31) is amended to read as follows: 16 17 39. a. The State Treasurer shall reimburse the developer the 18 amount of the remediation costs agreed upon in the redevelopment 19 agreement, and as provided in sections 35 and 36 of P.L.1997, c.278 20 (C.58:10B-27 and C.58:10B-28) upon issuance of the certification by 21 the director pursuant to section 36 of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-28). 22 The developer shall be entitled to periodic payments from the fund in 23 an amount, in the frequency, and over the time period as provided in 24 the redevelopment agreement. Notwithstanding any other provision 25 of sections 34 through 39 of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-26 through 26 C.58:10B-31), the State Treasurer may not reimburse the developer 27 any amount of the remediation costs from the fund until the State Treasurer is satisfied that the anticipated tax revenues from the 28 29 redevelopment project have been realized by the State in an amount 30 sufficient to pay for the cost of the reimbursements. 31 b. A developer shall submit to the director updated remediation 32 costs actually incurred by the developer for the remediation of the 33 contaminated property located at the site of the redevelopment project 34 as provided in the redevelopment agreement. The reimbursement 35 authorized pursuant to this section shall continue until such time as the 36 aggregate dollar amount of the agreed upon reimbursement. To 37 remain entitled to the reimbursement authorized pursuant to this 38 section, the developer shall perform and complete all remediation 39 activities as may be required pursuant to the memorandum of 40 agreement or other oversight agreement entered into with the 41 Commissioner of Environmental Protection pursuant to section 37 of 42 P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-29). The Department of Environmental 43 Protection may review the remediation costs incurred by the developer 44 to determine if they are reasonable. 45 Reimbursable remediation costs shall include costs that are incurred

46 in preparing the area of land whereon the contaminated site is located

4

1 for remediation and may include costs of dynamic compaction of soil 2 necessary for the remediation. 3 (cf: P.L.2001, c.398, s.4) 4 5 3. This act shall take effect immediately. 6 7 8 **STATEMENT** 9 10 This bill would authorize the State and a developer to enter into a 11 redevelopment agreement for a project that was commenced prior to the effective date of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-26 et seq.) in which 12 13 the State may agree to reimburse a developer for remediation costs 14 incurred subsequent to entering into the redevelopment agreement, 15 provided that the Chief Executive Officer and Secretary of the Commerce and Economic Growth Commission, in consultation with 16 the State Treasurer, finds that the remediation of the subject real 17 18 property is necessary to ensure that the public health and safety and 19 the environment are protected, and: (1) the cost or extent of 20 remediation was unanticipated at the time the redevelopment project 21 was commenced; (2) changes to the rules and regulations governing 22 site remediation were adopted after the redevelopment project was 23 commenced; (3) principles of fairness and consistency indicate that the 24 reimbursement of remediation costs provided by P.L.1997, c.278 25 should be made available to the developer who agreed to remediate 26 and redevelop a brownfield prior to the enactment of P.L.1997, c.278; 27 (4) an estimate of the cost of the remediation to be performed 28 subsequent to entry into the redevelopment agreement as approved by 29 the Department of Environmental Protection exceeds \$10 million; (5) 30 the subject real property is situated within a Planning Area 1 as 31 designated in the State Development and Redevelopment Plan; and (6) 32 a phase of the redevelopment project has not been commenced. 33 The bill also provides that a developer that enters into a 34 redevelopment agreement pursuant to the provisions of this bill would 35 be eligible for reimbursement of remediation costs pursuant to sections 36 and 37 of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-28 and 58:10B-29). In 36 37 estimating the amount of State taxes that are anticipated to be derived 38 from a redevelopment project, the Director of the Division of Taxation 39 would only consider tax revenues generated subsequent to the date of 40 the redevelopment agreement from a phase of the redevelopment 41 project that is commenced subsequent to the date of the 42 redevelopment agreement. The bill also requires that in order to be 43 eligible for the reimbursement of remediation costs, the developer 44 must have entered into a memorandum of agreement or other 45 oversight document with the Commissioner of Environmental Protection for the remediation of a contaminated site located on the 46

- site of the redevelopment project and the developer must be in
   compliance with the memorandum of agreement or oversight
   document.
- 4 Finally, the bill provides that a no further action letter need not be
- 5 obtained by the developer for groundwater remediation beneath the
- 6 subject real property prior to reimbursement of the remediation costs,
- 7 provided that the developer has completed any capital construction or
- 8 infrastructure required for the groundwater remediation on the site.

## STATEMENT TO

## **SENATE, No. 2907**

with committee amendments

# STATE OF NEW JERSEY

#### DATED: DECEMBER 8, 2005

The Senate Environment Committee reports favorably and with committee amendments Senate Bill No. 2907.

Senate Bill No. 2907 would authorize the State and a developer to enter into a redevelopment agreement for a project that was commenced prior to the effective date of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-26 et seq.) in which the State may agree to reimburse a developer for 75% of remediation costs incurred subsequent to entering into the redevelopment agreement, provided that the Chief Executive Officer and Secretary of the Commerce and Economic Growth Commission, in consultation with the State Treasurer, finds that the remediation of the subject real property is necessary to ensure that the public health and safety and the environment are protected, and: (1) the cost or extent of remediation was unanticipated at the time the redevelopment project was commenced; (2) changes to the rules and regulations governing site remediation were adopted after the redevelopment project was commenced; (3) principles of fairness and consistency indicate that the reimbursement of remediation costs provided by P.L.1997, c.278 should be made available to the developer who agreed to remediate and redevelop a brownfield prior to the enactment of P.L.1997, c.278; (4) an estimate of the cost of the remediation to be performed subsequent to entry into the redevelopment agreement as approved by the Department of Environmental Protection exceeds \$10 million; (5) the subject real property is situated within a Planning Area 1 as designated in the State Development and Redevelopment Plan; and (6) a phase of the redevelopment project has not been commenced.

As amended, the bill provides that a developer that enters into a redevelopment agreement pursuant to the provisions of this bill would be eligible for reimbursement of remediation costs pursuant to sections 36 and 37 of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-28 and 58:10B-29). In estimating the amount of State taxes that are anticipated to be derived from a redevelopment project, the Director of the Division of Taxation would only consider tax revenues generated subsequent to the date of

the redevelopment agreement from a phase of the redevelopment project that has not generated tax revenues prior to January 1, 2006.

The bill also requires that in order to be eligible for the reimbursement of remediation costs, the developer must have entered into a memorandum of agreement or other oversight document with the Commissioner of Environmental Protection for the remediation of a contaminated site located on the site of the redevelopment project and the developer must be in compliance with the memorandum of agreement or oversight document.

Finally, the bill provides that a no further action letter need not be obtained by the developer for groundwater remediation beneath the subject real property prior to reimbursement of the remediation costs, provided that the developer has completed any capital construction or infrastructure required for the groundwater remediation on the site.

# LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE [First Reprint] SENATE, No. 2907 STATE OF NEW JERSEY 211th LEGISLATURE

DATED: FEBRUARY 21, 2006

## SUMMARY

Synopsis:	Authorizes redevelopment agreements for reimbursement of remediation costs for projects that have already begun.		
Type of Impact:	Reduction of sales tax revenue to the General Fund.		
Agencies Affected: Departments of the Treasury and Environmental Protection.			

### **Office of Legislative Services Estimate**

Fiscal Impact	<u>Year 1</u>	<u>Year 2</u>	<u>Year 3</u>
State Revenue Decrease (Loss)		Indeterminate See Comments Below	1

- ! The bill P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-26 et seq.) to authorize the State and a developer to enter into a redevelopment reimbursement agreement for a project that was commenced prior to the effective date of that act.
- ! "P.L.1997, c.278, the "Brownfield and Contaminated Site Remediation Act," provides that the State may reimburse a developer for 75 percent of remediation costs of a brownfield property; such costs would be paid from potential State sales tax revenues generated from the property after it is developed.
- ! The Office of Legislative Services estimates that the bill's expansion of reimbursement authority would result in the further loss of potential sales tax revenues.

## **BILL DESCRIPTION**

Senate Bill No. 2907 (1R) of 2005 would authorize the State and a developer to enter into a redevelopment agreement for a project that was commenced prior to the effective date of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-26 et seq.). The bill would thereby allow the State to reimburse a developer for 75 percent of remediation costs incurred subsequent to entering into the redevelopment agreement, provided that certain conditions are met as set forth therein. In estimating the amount of State taxes that are anticipated to be derived from a redevelopment



## S2907 [1R]

2

project, the Director of the Division of Taxation would only consider tax revenues generated subsequent to the date of the redevelopment agreement from a phase of the redevelopment project that has not generated tax revenues prior to January 1, 2006.

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **EXECUTIVE BRANCH**

None received.

#### **OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE SERVICES**

The Office of Legislative Services (OLS) estimates that the bill would expand the sales tax reimbursement authority pursuant to P.L.1997, c.278, thereby further facilitating the loss of potential State sales tax revenues. The OLS, however, cannot estimate at this time the amount of such loss due to the lack of pertinent data on this subject.

Section:Environment, Agriculture, Energy and Natural ResourcesAnalyst:Richard M. Handelman<br/>Senior Fiscal AnalystApproved:David J. Rosen<br/>Legislative Budget and Finance Officer

This fiscal estimate has been prepared pursuant to P.L.1980, c.67.

# ASSEMBLY, No. 4383 **STATE OF NEW JERSEY** 211th LEGISLATURE

**INTRODUCED NOVEMBER 10, 2005** 

Sponsored by: Assemblyman LOUIS MANZO District 31 (Hudson)

SYNOPSIS

Authorizes redevelopment agreements for certain projects.

**CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT** As introduced.



AN ACT concerning the remediation of contaminated sites, and
 amending and supplementing P.L.1997, c.278..

3

**BE IT ENACTED** by the Senate and General Assembly of the State
of New Jersey:

6

7 1. (New section) a. The provisions of any other law, or rule or 8 regulation adopted pursuant thereto to the contrary notwithstanding, 9 the State may enter into a redevelopment agreement pursuant to 10 sections 35 and 36 of P.L. 1997, c. 278 (C.58:10B-27 and 58:10B-28) 11 for a redevelopment project that was commenced or completed prior to the effective date of P.L. 1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-26 et seq.) in 12 which the State may agree to reimburse a developer for remediation 13 costs incurred subsequent to entering into the redevelopment 14 15 agreement, provided that the Secretary, in consultation with the State 16 Treasurer, finds that:

(1) the remediation of the subject real property is necessary toensure that the public health and safety and the environment areprotected; and

20 (2) (i) the cost or extent of remediation was unanticipated at the 21 time the project was commenced; (ii) principles of fairness and 22 consistency indicate that the reimbursement of remediation costs 23 provided by P.L. 1997, c. 278 should be made available to the 24 developer who agreed to remediate and redevelop a brownfield prior 25 to the enactment of P.L. 1997, c. 278; (iii) an estimate of the cost of 26 the remediation subsequent to entry into the redevelopment agreement 27 as approved by the Department of Environmental Protection exceeds 28 \$10 million; or (iv) the subject real property is situated within a 29 Planning Area 1 as designated in the State Development and 30 Redevelopment Plan.

b. A developer that enters into a redevelopment agreement
pursuant to this section shall be eligible for reimbursement of
remediation costs pursuant to section 36 and 37 of P.L. 1997, c.278
(C.58:10B-28 and 58:10B-29), provided that:

(1) in estimating the amount of State taxes that are anticipated to
be derived from a redevelopment project the director shall only
consider tax revenues generated subsequent to the date of the
redevelopment agreement; and

39 (2) a developer has entered into a memorandum of agreement or
40 other oversight document with the Commissioner of Environmental
41 Protection for the remediation of a contaminated site located on the
42 site of the redevelopment project and the developer is in compliance
43 with the memorandum of agreement or oversight document.

**EXPLANATION - Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and intended to be omitted in the law.** 

Matter underlined <u>thus</u> is new matter.

c. Nothing in this section shall require that a no further action
letter be obtained by a developer for remediation of groundwater
beneath the subject real property prior to reimbursement of the
remediation costs, provided that the developer has completed any
capital construction or infrastructure required for the remediation of
ground water on the site.

7

8 2. Section 39 of P.L. 1997 c.278 (C.58:10B-31) is amended to 9 read as follows:

10 39. a. The State Treasurer shall reimburse the developer the 11 amount of the remediation costs agreed upon in the redevelopment agreement, and as provided in sections 35 and 36 of P.L.1997, c.278 12 13 (C.58:10B-27 and C.58:10B-28) upon issuance of the certification by 14 the director pursuant to section 36 of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-28). 15 The developer shall be entitled to periodic payments from the fund in an amount, in the frequency, and over the time period as provided in 16 17 the redevelopment agreement. Notwithstanding any other provision 18 of sections 34 through 39 of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-26 through 19 C.58:10B-31), the State Treasurer may not reimburse the developer 20 any amount of the remediation costs from the fund until the State 21 Treasurer is satisfied that the anticipated tax revenues from the 22 redevelopment project have been realized by the State in an amount 23 sufficient to pay for the cost of the reimbursements.

24 b. A developer shall submit to the director updated remediation 25 costs actually incurred by the developer for the remediation of the 26 contaminated property located at the site of the redevelopment project 27 as provided in the redevelopment agreement. The reimbursement authorized pursuant to this section shall continue until such time as the 28 29 aggregate dollar amount of the agreed upon reimbursement. To 30 remain entitled to the reimbursement authorized pursuant to this 31 section, the developer shall perform and complete all remediation 32 activities as may be required pursuant to the memorandum of 33 agreement or other oversight agreement entered into with the 34 Commissioner of Environmental Protection pursuant to section 37 of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-29). The Department of Environmental 35 36 Protection may review the remediation costs incurred by the developer 37 to determine if they are reasonable.

Reimbursable remediation costs shall include costs that are incurred
in preparing the area of land whereon the contaminated site is located
for remediation and may include costs of dynamic compaction of soil
necessary for the remediation.

- 42 (cf: P.L.2001, c.398, s.4)
- 43
- 44 3. This act shall take effect immediately.

#### STATEMENT

1

2

3 This bill would authorize the State and a developer to enter into a 4 redevelopment agreement for a project that was commenced or 5 completed prior to the effective date of P.L. 1997, c.278 6 (C.58:10B-26 et seq.) in which the State may agree to reimburse a developer for remediation costs incurred subsequent to entering into 7 8 the redevelopment agreement, provided that the Secretary, in 9 consultation with the State Treasurer, finds that the remediation of the 10 subject real property is necessary to ensure that the public health and 11 safety and the environment are protected, and (1) the cost or extent of remediation was unanticipated at the time the project was commenced; 12 13 (2) principles of fairness and consistency indicate that the 14 reimbursement of remediation costs provided by P.L. 1997, c. 278 15 should be made available to the developer who agreed to remediate and redevelop a brownfield prior to the enactment of P.L. 1997, c. 16 278; (3) an estimate of the cost of the remediation subsequent to entry 17 18 into the redevelopment agreement as approved by the Department of 19 Environmental Protection exceeds \$10 million; or (4) the subject real 20 property is situated within a Planning Area 1 as designated in the State 21 Development and Redevelopment Plan.

22 The bill also provides that a developer that enters into a 23 redevelopment agreement pursuant to the provisions of this bill shall 24 be eligible for reimbursement of remediation costs pursuant to section 25 36 and 37 of P.L. 1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-28 and 58:10B-29). In 26 estimating the amount of State taxes that are anticipated to be derived 27 from a redevelopment project, the Director of the Division of Taxation 28 shall only consider tax revenues generated subsequent to the date of 29 the redevelopment agreement. The bill also requires that in order to 30 be eligible for the reimbursement of remediation costs, the developer 31 has entered into a memorandum of agreement or other oversight 32 document with the Commissioner of Environmental Protection for the remediation of a contaminated site located on the site of the 33 34 redevelopment project and the developer is in compliance with the 35 memorandum of agreement or oversight document. Finally, the bill provides that a no further action letter need not be obtained by the 36 37 developer for remediation of groundwater beneath the subject real 38 property prior to reimbursement of the remediation costs, provided 39 that the developer has completed any capital construction or 40 infrastructure required for the remediation of ground water on the site.

## ASSEMBLY ENVIRONMENT AND SOLID WASTE COMMITTEE

## STATEMENT TO

## ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR ASSEMBLY, No. 4383

# STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: DECEMBER 8, 2005

The Assembly Environment and Solid Waste Committee reports favorably an Assembly Committee Substitute for Assembly Bill No. 4383.

This committee substitute would authorize the State and a developer to enter into a redevelopment agreement for a project that was commenced prior to the effective date of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-26 et seq.) in which the State may agree to reimburse a developer for 75% of remediation costs incurred subsequent to entering into the redevelopment agreement, provided that the Chief Executive Officer and Secretary of the Commerce and Economic Growth Commission, in consultation with the State Treasurer, finds that the remediation of the subject real property is necessary to ensure that the public health and safety and the environment are protected, and: (1) the cost or extent of remediation was unanticipated at the time the redevelopment project was commenced; (2) changes to the rules and regulations governing site remediation were adopted after the redevelopment project was commenced; (3) principles of fairness and consistency indicate that the reimbursement of remediation costs provided by P.L.1997, c.278 should be made available to the developer who agreed to remediate and redevelop a brownfield prior to the enactment of P.L.1997, c.278; (4) an estimate of the cost of the remediation to be performed subsequent to entry into the redevelopment agreement as approved by the Department of Environmental Protection exceeds \$10 million; (5) the subject real property is situated within a Planning Area 1 as designated in the State Development and Redevelopment Plan; and (6) a phase of the redevelopment project has not been commenced.

The committee substitute provides that a developer that enters into a redevelopment agreement pursuant to the provisions of this bill would be eligible for reimbursement of remediation costs pursuant to sections 36 and 37 of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-28 and 58:10B-29). In estimating the amount of State taxes that are anticipated to be derived from a redevelopment project, the Director of the Division of Taxation would only consider tax revenues generated subsequent to the date of the redevelopment agreement from a phase of the redevelopment project that has not generated tax revenues prior to January 1, 2006.

The committee substitute also requires that in order to be eligible for the reimbursement of remediation costs, the developer must have entered into a memorandum of agreement or other oversight document with the Commissioner of Environmental Protection for the remediation of a contaminated site located on the site of the redevelopment project and the developer must be in compliance with the memorandum of agreement or oversight document.

Finally, the committee substitute provides that a no further action letter need not be obtained by the developer for groundwater remediation beneath the subject real property prior to reimbursement of the remediation costs, provided that the developer has completed any capital construction or infrastructure required for the groundwater remediation on the site.

As reported by the committee, this Assembly Committee Substitute for Assembly Bill No. 4383 is identical to Senate Bill No. 2907 as amended and reported by the Senate Environment Committee on December 8, 2005.

## ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

## STATEMENT TO

## ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR ASSEMBLY, No. 4383

# **STATE OF NEW JERSEY**

#### DATED: JANUARY 5, 2006

The Assembly Appropriations Committee reports favorably Assembly Bill No. 4383 (ACS).

Assembly Bill No. 4383 (ACS) authorizes redevelopment agreements for State reimbursement of development remediation costs for projects that have already begun.

The bill authorizes the State and a developer to enter into a redevelopment agreement for a project that was commenced prior to the effective date of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-26 et seq.) in which the State may agree to reimburse a developer for 75% of remediation costs incurred subsequent to entering into the redevelopment agreement, provided that the Chief Executive Officer and Secretary of the Commerce and Economic Growth Commission, in consultation with the State Treasurer, finds that the remediation of the subject real property is necessary to ensure that the public health and safety and the environment are protected, and: (1) the cost or extent of remediation was unanticipated at the time the redevelopment project was commenced; (2) changes to the rules and regulations governing site remediation were adopted after the redevelopment project was commenced; (3) principles of fairness and consistency indicate that the reimbursement of remediation costs provided by P.L.1997, c.278 should be made available to the developer who agreed to remediate and redevelop a brownfield prior to the enactment of P.L.1997, c.278; (4) an estimate of the cost of the remediation to be performed subsequent to entry into the redevelopment agreement as approved by the Department of Environmental Protection exceeds \$10 million; (5) the subject real property is situated within a Planning Area 1 as designated in the State Development and Redevelopment Plan; and (6) a phase of the redevelopment project has not been commenced.

The bill provides that a developer that enters into a redevelopment agreement pursuant to the provisions of this bill would be eligible for reimbursement of remediation costs pursuant to sections 36 and 37 of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-28 and 58:10B-29). In estimating the amount of State taxes that are anticipated to be derived from a redevelopment project, the Director of the Division of Taxation would only consider tax revenues generated subsequent to the date of the

redevelopment agreement from a phase of the redevelopment project that has not generated tax revenues prior to January 1, 2006.

The bill also requires that in order to be eligible for the reimbursement of remediation costs, the developer must have entered into a memorandum of agreement or other oversight document with the Commissioner of Environmental Protection for the remediation of a contaminated site located on the site of the redevelopment project and the developer must be in compliance with the memorandum of agreement or oversight document.

The bill provides that a no further action letter need not be obtained by the developer for groundwater remediation beneath the subject real property prior to reimbursement of the remediation costs, provided that the developer has completed any capital construction or infrastructure required for the groundwater remediation on the site.

#### FISCAL IMPACT:

The amount of State revenue redirected to a developer, which is the State cost of the bill, depends on the terms of the redevelopment agreements between developers and the State. These agreements are anticipated to be highly project-specific, and no estimate can be made of the State revenue impact until one is actually entered.

# [Corrected Copy]

# LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR ASSEMBLY, No. 4383 STATE OF NEW JERSEY 211th LEGISLATURE

DATED: JANUARY 10, 2006

## SUMMARY

Synopsis:	Authorizes redevelopment agreements for reimbursement of remediation costs for projects that have already begun.		
Type of Impact:	Reduction of sales tax revenue to the General Fund.		
Agencies Affected: Departments of the Treasury and Environmental Protection.			

### Office of Legislative Services Estimate

Fiscal Impact	<u>Year 1</u>	<u>Year 2</u>	<u>Year 3</u>
State Revenue Decrease (Loss)	Indeterminate - See Comments Below		

- ! The committee substitute amends P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-26 et seq.) to authorize the State and a developer to enter into a redevelopment reimbursement agreement for a project that was commenced prior to the effective date of that act.
- ! "P.L.1997, c.278, the "Brownfield and Contaminated Site Remediation Act," provides that the State may reimburse a developer for 75 percent of remediation costs of a brownfield property; such costs would be paid from potential State sales tax revenues generated from the property after it is developed.
- ! The Office of Legislative Services estimates that the committee substitute's expansion of reimbursement authority would result in incurred State expenditures.

## **BILL DESCRIPTION**

Assembly Committee Substitute for Assembly Bill No. 4383 of 2005 would authorize the State and a developer to enter into a redevelopment agreement for a project that was commenced prior to the effective date of P.L.1997, c.278 (C.58:10B-26 et seq.). The committee



substitute would thereby allow the State to reimburse a developer for 75 percent of remediation costs incurred subsequent to entering into the redevelopment agreement, provided that certain conditions are met as set forth therein. In estimating the amount of State taxes that are anticipated to be derived from a redevelopment project, the Director of the Division of Taxation would only consider tax revenues generated subsequent to the date of the redevelopment agreement from a phase of the redevelopment project that has not generated tax revenues prior to January 1, 2006.

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### EXECUTIVE BRANCH

None received.

#### **OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE SERVICES**

The OLS estimates that the committee substitute would expand reimbursement authority pursuant to P.L.1997, c.278. The OLS, however, cannot estimate at this time the amount of such expenditure due to the lack of pertinent data on this subject.

Section:Environment, Agriculture, Energy and Natural ResourcesAnalyst:Richard M. Handelman<br/>Senior Fiscal AnalystApproved:David J. Rosen<br/>Legislative Budget and Finance Officer

This fiscal estimate has been prepared pursuant to P.L.1980, c.67.