56:8-166.2 & 56:8-166.3 et al. LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST

Compiled by the NJ State Law Library

LAWS OF: 2020 **CHAPTER:** 125

NJSA: 56:8-166.2 & 56:8-166.3 et al. ("Daniel's Law" prohibits disclosure of certain personal information of active,

formely active, and retired judicial officers, prosecutors, and law enforcement officers, and their family

members; establishes crime and civil action for disclosing such information)

BILL NO: A1649 (Substituted for S2797/2925)

SPONSOR(S) Annette Quijano and others

DATE INTRODUCED: 1/14/2020

COMMITTEE: ASSEMBLY: State & Local Government

Appropriations

SENATE: Judiciary

AMENDED DURING PASSAGE: Yes

DATE OF PASSAGE: ASSEMBLY: 11/16/2020

SENATE: 11/16/2020

DATE OF APPROVAL: 11/20/2020

FOLLOWING ARE ATTACHED IF AVAILABLE:

FINAL TEXT OF BILL (Third Reprint enacted)

Yes

A1649

INTRODUCED BILL (INCLUDES SPONSOR'S STATEMENT): Yes

COMMITTEE STATEMENT: ASSEMBLY: Yes State & Local Gov.

Appropriations

SENATE: Yes Judiciary

(Audio archived recordings of the committee meetings, corresponding to the date of the committee statement, *may possibly* be found at www.njleg.state.nj.us)

FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT: Yes

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE: No

S2797/2925

INTRODUCED BILL (INCLUDES SPONSOR'S STATEMENT): \$2797) Yes

INTRODUCED BILL (INCLUDES SPONSOR'S STATEMENT): (\$2925) Yes

COMMITTEE STATEMENT: ASSEMBLY: (S2797 and 2925) No

SENATE: (S2797 and 2925) Yes

(Audio archived recordings of the committee meetings, corresponding to the date of the committee statement, *may possibly* be found at www.njleg.state.nj.us)

FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT:	No
LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE:	No
VETO MESSAGE:	No
GOVERNOR'S PRESS RELEASE ON SIGNING:	Yes

FOLLOWING WERE PRINTED:

To check for circulating copies, contact New Jersey State Government Publications at the State Library (609) 278-2640 ext.103 or mailto:refdesk@njstatelib.org

REPORTS: No

HEARINGS: Yes

NEWSPAPER ARTICLES: Yes

"Bill OK'd to protect judges' personal info." The Jersey Journal, (Jersey City, NJ), November 21, 2020: 020.

"Murphy to sign law named for judge's son," South Jersey Times, November 19, 2020

RWH/CL

[&]quot;Criminal justice bills signed." The Times, (Trenton, NJ), October 22, 2020: 013.

[&]quot;Statehouse N.J. makes it illegal to post addresses of judges, prosecutors." The Times, (Trenton, NJ), November 22, 2020: 005.

[&]quot;Daniel's Law, named after North Brunswick man killed by crazed lawyer, protects privacy of judges." Cranbury Press (NJ), November 22, 2020.

[&]quot;'DANIEL'S LAW' SIGNED TO PROTECT NJ JUDGES." The Record (Hackensack, NJ), November 21, 2020: A3.

[&]quot;Bill to protect judges' personal information becomes law." Associated Press State Wire: New Jersey (NJ), November 20, 2020.

P.L. 2020, CHAPTER 125, approved November 20, 2020 Assembly, No. 1649 (Third Reprint)

AN ACT prohibiting disclosure of certain information regarding 1 ²[certain]² ¹[judges] ²[law enforcement officers,] active, 2 formerly active, and retired² judicial officers ²[and], ² 3 prosecutors ¹, ²[designated as Daniel's Law] and law 4 5 enforcement officers, as well as disclosure of certain information about their family members under certain circumstances², 6 ³designated as "Daniel's Law," and amending 1P.L.1995, c.23, 7 P.L.2001, c.404, and ²amending² the title and body of ¹ ², and 8 supplementing, P.L.2015, c.226 ²[1, and supplementing Title 9 47 of the Revised Statues ¹]². 10

11 12

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

13 14

19

20

2122

23

2425

2627

28

29

30

3132

33

34

35

36

- 17 1. As used in P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.) as amended and supplemented:

"Biotechnology" means any technique that uses living organisms, or parts of living organisms, to make or modify products, to improve plants or animals, or to develop microorganisms for specific uses; including the industrial use of recombinant DNA, cell fusion, and novel bioprocessing techniques.

"Custodian of a government record" or "custodian" means in the case of a municipality, the municipal clerk and in the case of any other public agency, the officer officially designated by formal action of that agency's director or governing body, as the case may be.

"Government record" or "record" means any paper, written or printed book, document, drawing, map, plan, photograph, microfilm, data processed or image processed document, information stored or maintained electronically or by sound-recording or in a similar device, or any copy thereof, that has been made, maintained or kept on file in the course of his or its official business by any officer, commission, agency or authority of the State or of any political subdivision thereof, including subordinate

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

Matter underlined thus is new matter.

Matter enclosed in superscript numerals has been adopted as follows:

¹Assembly AAP committee amendments adopted September 17, 2020.

²Senate SJU committee amendments adopted October 22, 2020.

³Senate floor amendments adopted October 29, 2020.

boards thereof, or that has been received in the course of his or its official business by any such officer, commission, agency, or authority of the State or of any political subdivision thereof, including subordinate boards thereof. The terms shall not include inter-agency or intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material.

A government record shall not include the following information which is deemed to be confidential for the purposes of P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.) as amended and supplemented:

information received by a member of the Legislature from a constituent or information held by a member of the Legislature concerning a constituent, including but not limited to information in written form or contained in any e-mail or computer data base, or in any telephone record whatsoever, unless it is information the constituent is required by law to transmit;

any memorandum, correspondence, notes, report or other communication prepared by, or for, the specific use of a member of the Legislature in the course of the member's official duties, except that this provision shall not apply to an otherwise publicly-accessible report which is required by law to be submitted to the Legislature or its members;

any copy, reproduction or facsimile of any photograph, negative or print, including instant photographs and videotapes of the body, or any portion of the body, of a deceased person, taken by or for the medical examiner at the scene of death or in the course of a post mortem examination or autopsy made by or caused to be made by the medical examiner except:

when used in a criminal action or proceeding in this State which relates to the death of that person,

for the use as a court of this State permits, by order after good cause has been shown and after written notification of the request for the court order has been served at least five days before the order is made upon the county prosecutor for the county in which the post mortem examination or autopsy occurred,

for use in the field of forensic pathology or for use in medical or scientific education or research, or

for use by any law enforcement agency in this State or any other state or federal law enforcement agency;

criminal investigatory records;

victims' records, except that a victim of a crime shall have access to the victim's own records;

any written request by a crime victim for a record to which the victim is entitled to access as provided in this section, including, but not limited to, any law enforcement agency report, domestic violence offense report, and temporary or permanent restraining order:

personal firearms records, except for use by any person authorized by law to have access to these records or for use by any 1 government agency, including any court or law enforcement 2 agency, for purposes of the administration of justice;

personal identifying information received by the Division of Fish and Wildlife in the Department of Environmental Protection in connection with the issuance of any license authorizing hunting with a firearm. For the purposes of this paragraph, personal identifying information shall include, but not be limited to, identity, name, address, social security number, telephone number, fax number, driver's license number, email address, or social media address of any applicant or licensee;

trade secrets and proprietary commercial or financial information obtained from any source. For the purposes of this paragraph, trade secrets shall include data processing software obtained by a public body under a licensing agreement which prohibits its disclosure;

any record within the attorney-client privilege. This paragraph shall not be construed as exempting from access attorney or consultant bills or invoices except that such bills or invoices may be redacted to remove any information protected by the attorney-client privilege;

administrative or technical information regarding computer hardware, software and networks which, if disclosed, would jeopardize computer security;

emergency or security information or procedures for any buildings or facility which, if disclosed, would jeopardize security of the building or facility or persons therein;

security measures and surveillance techniques which, if disclosed, would create a risk to the safety of persons, property, electronic data or software;

information which, if disclosed, would give an advantage to competitors or bidders;

information generated by or on behalf of public employers or public employees in connection with any sexual harassment complaint filed with a public employer or with any grievance filed by or against an individual or in connection with collective negotiations, including documents and statements of strategy or negotiating position;

information which is a communication between a public agency and its insurance carrier, administrative service organization or risk management office;

information which is to be kept confidential pursuant to court order;

any copy of form DD-214, NGB-22, or that form, issued by the United States Government, or any other certificate of honorable discharge, or copy thereof, from active service or the reserves of a branch of the Armed Forces of the United States, or from service in the organized militia of the State, that has been filed by an individual with a public agency, except that a veteran or the

veteran's spouse or surviving spouse shall have access to the veteran's own records;

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

3132

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

any copy of an oath of allegiance, oath of office or any affirmation taken upon assuming the duties of any public office, or that oath or affirmation, taken by a current or former officer or employee in any public office or position in this State or in any county or municipality of this State, including members of the Legislative Branch, Executive Branch, Judicial Branch, and all law enforcement entities, except that the full name, title, and oath date of that person contained therein shall not be deemed confidential;

that portion of any document which discloses the social security number, credit card number, unlisted telephone number or driver license number of any person, or that portion of any document which discloses the home address, whether a primary or secondary residence, of any active ², formerly active, ² or retired ²judicial officer or prosecutor, and beginning 18 months after the effective date of P.L. , c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), any active, formerly active, or retired² law enforcement officer ²[, active or retired federal, State, or municipal judicial officer, or active or retired federal, State, county or municipal prosecutor]2; except for use by any government agency, including any court or law enforcement agency, in carrying out its functions, or any private person or entity acting on behalf thereof, or any private person or entity seeking to enforce payment of court-ordered child support; except with respect to the disclosure of driver information by the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission as permitted by section 2 of P.L.1997, c.188 (C.39:2-3.4); and except that a social security number contained in a record required by law to be made, maintained or kept on file by a public agency shall be disclosed when access to the document or disclosure of that information is not otherwise prohibited by State or federal law, regulation or order or by State statute, resolution of either or both houses of the Legislature, Executive Order of the Governor, rule of court or regulation promulgated under the authority of any statute or executive order of the Governor;

a list of persons identifying themselves as being in need of special assistance in the event of an emergency maintained by a municipality for public safety purposes pursuant to section 1 of P.L.2017, c.266 (C.40:48-2.67); and

a list of persons identifying themselves as being in need of special assistance in the event of an emergency maintained by a county for public safety purposes pursuant to section 6 of P.L.2011, c.178 (C.App.A:9-43.13).

A government record shall not include, with regard to any public institution of higher education, the following information which is deemed to be privileged and confidential:

pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research records and/or the specific details of any research project conducted under the

auspices of a public higher education institution in New Jersey, 1 2 including, but not limited to research, development information, 3 testing procedures, or information regarding test participants, 4 related to the development or testing of any pharmaceutical or 5 pharmaceutical delivery system, except that a custodian may not 6 deny inspection of a government record or part thereof that gives 7 the name, title, expenditures, source and amounts of funding and 8 date when the final project summary of any research will be

test questions, scoring keys and other examination data pertaining to the administration of an examination for employment or academic examination;

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48 available;

records of pursuit of charitable contributions or records containing the identity of a donor of a gift if the donor requires non-disclosure of the donor's identity as a condition of making the gift provided that the donor has not received any benefits of or from the institution of higher education in connection with such gift other than a request for memorialization or dedication;

valuable or rare collections of books [and/or] or documents obtained by gift, grant, bequest or devise conditioned upon limited public access;

information contained on individual admission applications; and information concerning student records or grievance or disciplinary proceedings against a student to the extent disclosure would reveal the identity of the student.

"Personal firearms record" means any information contained in a background investigation conducted by the chief of police, the county prosecutor, or the Superintendent of State Police, of any applicant for a permit to purchase a handgun, firearms identification card license, or firearms registration; any application for a permit to purchase a handgun, firearms identification card license, or firearms registration; any document reflecting the issuance or denial of a permit to purchase a handgun, firearms identification card license, or firearms registration; and any permit to purchase a handgun, firearms identification card license, or any firearms license, certification, certificate, form of register, or registration statement. For the purposes of this paragraph, information contained in a background investigation shall include, but not be limited to, identity, name, address, social security number, phone number, fax number, driver's license number, email address, social media address of any applicant, licensee, registrant or permit holder.

"Public agency" or "agency" means any of the principal departments in the Executive Branch of State Government, and any division, board, bureau, office, commission or other instrumentality within or created by such department; the Legislature of the State and any office, board, bureau or commission within or created by the Legislative Branch; and any independent State authority, commission, instrumentality or agency. The terms also mean any

political subdivision of the State or combination of political subdivisions, and any division, board, bureau, office, commission or other instrumentality within or created by a political subdivision of the State or combination of political subdivisions, and any independent authority, commission, instrumentality or agency created by a political subdivision or combination of political subdivisions.

"Law enforcement agency" means a public agency, or part thereof, determined by the Attorney General to have law enforcement responsibilities.

8

9

10

1112

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

"Constituent" means any State resident or other person communicating with a member of the Legislature.

"Judicial officer" means ²[the Chief Justice or an Associate 13 14 Justice of the United States Supreme Court, a judge of the United States Court of Appeals, a judge of a federal district court, 15 including a magistrate judge, a judge of] any lother court 16 established by federal law, the Chief Justice or an Associate Justice 17 of the New Jersey Supreme Court, a active, formerly active, or 18 retired federal, state, county, or municipal² judge ² of the Superior 19 Court] 2, 2 including 2 a judge of the Tax Court 2, a judge of a 20 municipal court and any other court of limited jurisdiction 21 established, altered, or abolished by law², a judge of the Office of 22 Administrative Law, a judge of the Division of Workers' 23 Compensation, ²[or a] and any other judge ²[of any other court or 24 who handles proceedings] established by law who serves 2 in the 25 executive branch ²[of the State government or a local government 26 established by State law]2. 27

"Member of the Legislature" means any person elected or selected to serve in the New Jersey Senate or General Assembly.

"Criminal investigatory record" means a record which is not required by law to be made, maintained or kept on file that is held by a law enforcement agency which pertains to any criminal investigation or related civil enforcement proceeding.

"Victim's record" means an individually-identifiable file or document held by a victims' rights agency which pertains directly to a victim of a crime except that a victim of a crime shall have access to the victim's own records.

"Victim of a crime" means a person who has suffered personal or psychological injury or death or incurs loss of or injury to personal or real property as a result of a crime, or if such a person is deceased or incapacitated, a member of that person's immediate family.

"Victims' rights agency" means a public agency, or part thereof, the primary responsibility of which is providing services, including but not limited to food, shelter, or clothing, medical, psychiatric, psychological or legal services or referrals, information and referral services, counseling and support services, or financial services to victims of crimes, including victims of sexual assault, domestic violence, violent crime, child endangerment, child abuse or child neglect, and the Victims of Crime Compensation Board, established pursuant to P.L.1971, c.317 (C.52:4B-1 et seq.) and continued as the Victims of Crime Compensation Office pursuant to P.L.2007, c.95 (C.52:4B-3.2 et al.) and Reorganization Plan No. 001-2008.¹ (cf: P.L.2019, c.255, s.4)

8

10

¹2. Section 6 of P.L.2001, c.404 (C.47:1A-5) is amended to read as follows:

6. a. The custodian of a government record shall permit the 11 12 record to be inspected, examined, and copied by any person during 13 regular business hours; or in the case of a municipality having a 14 population of 5,000 or fewer according to the most recent federal 15 decennial census, a board of education having a total district 16 enrollment of 500 or fewer, or a public authority having less than 17 \$10 million in assets, during not less than six regular business hours 18 over not less than three business days per week or the entity's 19 regularly-scheduled business hours, whichever is less; unless a 20 government record is exempt from public access by: P.L.1963, c.73 21 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.) as amended and supplemented; any other 22 statute; resolution of either or both houses of the Legislature; 23 regulation promulgated under the authority of any statute or 24 Executive Order of the Governor; Executive Order of the Governor; 25 Rules of Court; any federal law; federal regulation; or federal order. Prior to allowing access to any government record, the custodian 26 27 thereof shall redact from that record any information which 28 discloses the social security number, credit card number, unlisted 29 telephone number, or driver license number of any person, or the home address, whether a primary or secondary residence, of any 30 active ², formerly active, ² or retired ²judicial officer or prosecutor, 31 and beginning 18 months after the effective date of P.L. , 32 33 c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), any active, formerly active, or retired² law enforcement officer ²[, active or 34 retired federal, State, or municipal judicial officer, or active or 35 36 retired federal, State, county, or municipal prosecutor **1**²; except for 37 use by any government agency, including any court or law 38 enforcement agency, in carrying out its functions, or any private 39 person or entity acting on behalf thereof, or any private person or 40 entity seeking to enforce payment of court-ordered child support; 41 except with respect to the disclosure of driver information by the 42 New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission as permitted by section 2 of 43 P.L.1997, c.188 (C.39:2-3.4); and except that a social security 44 number contained in a record required by law to be made, 45 maintained or kept on file by a public agency shall be disclosed 46 when access to the document or disclosure of that information is not 47 otherwise prohibited by State or federal law, regulation or order or

by State statute, resolution of either or both houses of the Legislature, Executive Order of the Governor, rule of court or regulation promulgated under the authority of any statute or executive order of the Governor. Except where an agency can demonstrate an emergent need, a regulation that limits access to government records shall not be retroactive in effect or applied to deny a request for access to a government record that is pending before the agency, the council or a court at the time of the adoption of the regulation.

- b. (1) A copy or copies of a government record may be purchased by any person upon payment of the fee prescribed by law or regulation. Except as otherwise provided by law or regulation and except as provided in paragraph (2) of this subsection, the fee assessed for the duplication of a government record embodied in the form of printed matter shall be \$0.05 per letter size page or smaller, and \$0.07 per legal size page or larger. If a public agency can demonstrate that its actual costs for duplication of a government record exceed the foregoing rates, the public agency shall be permitted to charge the actual cost of duplicating the record. The actual cost of duplicating the record, upon which all copy fees are based, shall be the cost of materials and supplies used to make a copy of the record, but shall not include the cost of labor or other overhead expenses associated with making the copy except as provided for in subsection c. of this section. Access to electronic records and non-printed materials shall be provided free of charge, but the public agency may charge for the actual costs of any needed supplies such as computer discs.
- (2) No fee shall be charged to a victim of a crime for a copy or copies of a record to which the crime victim is entitled to access, as provided in section 1 of P.L.1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1).
- c. Whenever the nature, format, manner of collation, or volume of a government record embodied in the form of printed matter to be inspected, examined, or copied pursuant to this section is such that the record cannot be reproduced by ordinary document copying equipment in ordinary business size or involves an extraordinary expenditure of time and effort to accommodate the request, the public agency may charge, in addition to the actual cost of duplicating the record, a special service charge that shall be reasonable and shall be based upon the actual direct cost of providing the copy or copies; provided, however, that in the case of a municipality, rates for the duplication of particular records when the actual cost of copying exceeds the foregoing rates shall be established in advance by ordinance. The requestor shall have the opportunity to review and object to the charge prior to it being incurred.
- d. A custodian shall permit access to a government record and provide a copy thereof in the medium requested if the public agency maintains the record in that medium. If the public agency does not

1

3

5

7

8

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

maintain the record in the medium requested, the custodian shall 2 either convert the record to the medium requested or provide a copy in some other meaningful medium. If a request is for a record: (1) 4 in a medium not routinely used by the agency; (2) not routinely developed or maintained by an agency; or (3) requiring a substantial 6 amount of manipulation or programming of information technology, the agency may charge, in addition to the actual cost of duplication, a special charge that shall be reasonable and shall be based on the 9 cost for any extensive use of information technology, or for the 10 labor cost of personnel providing the service, that is actually incurred by the agency or attributable to the agency for the 12 programming, clerical, and supervisory assistance required, or both.

- Immediate access ordinarily shall be granted to budgets, vouchers, contracts, including collective negotiations agreements and individual employment contracts, and public employee salary and overtime information.
- The custodian of a public agency shall adopt a form for the use of any person who requests access to a government record held or controlled by the public agency. The form shall provide space for the name, address, and phone number of the requestor and a brief description of the government record sought. The form shall include space for the custodian to indicate which record will be made available, when the record will be available, and the fees to be charged. The form shall also include the following: (1) specific directions and procedures for requesting a record; (2) a statement as to whether prepayment of fees or a deposit is required; (3) the time period within which the public agency is required by P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.) as amended and supplemented, to make the record available; (4) a statement of the requestor's right to challenge a decision by the public agency to deny access and the procedure for filing an appeal; (5) space for the custodian to list reasons if a request is denied in whole or in part; (6) space for the requestor to sign and date the form; (7) space for the custodian to sign and date the form if the request is fulfilled or denied. The custodian may require a deposit against costs for reproducing documents sought through an anonymous request whenever the custodian anticipates that the information thus requested will cost in excess of \$5 to reproduce.
- g. A request for access to a government record shall be in writing and hand-delivered, mailed, transmitted electronically, or otherwise conveyed to the appropriate custodian. A custodian shall promptly comply with a request to inspect, examine, copy, or provide a copy of a government record. If the custodian is unable to comply with a request for access, the custodian shall indicate the specific basis therefor on the request form and promptly return it to the requestor. The custodian shall sign and date the form and provide the requestor with a copy thereof. If the custodian of a government record asserts that part of a particular record is exempt

- from public access pursuant to P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.) 1 2 as amended and supplemented, the custodian shall delete or excise 3 from a copy of the record that portion which the custodian asserts is 4 exempt from access and shall promptly permit access to the 5 remainder of the record. If the government record requested is 6 temporarily unavailable because it is in use or in storage, the 7 custodian shall so advise the requestor and shall make arrangements 8 to promptly make available a copy of the record. If a request for 9 access to a government record would substantially disrupt agency 10 operations, the custodian may deny access to the record after 11 attempting to reach a reasonable solution with the requestor that 12 accommodates the interests of the requestor and the agency.
 - h. Any officer or employee of a public agency who receives a request for access to a government record shall forward the request to the custodian of the record or direct the requestor to the custodian of the record.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

- (1) Unless a shorter time period is otherwise provided by statute, regulation, or executive order, a custodian of a government record shall grant access to a government record or deny a request for access to a government record as soon as possible, but not later than seven business days after receiving the request, provided that the record is currently available and not in storage or archived. In the event a custodian fails to respond within seven business days after receiving a request, the failure to respond shall be deemed a denial of the request, unless the requestor has elected not to provide a name, address or telephone number, or other means of contacting the requestor. If the requestor has elected not to provide a name, address, or telephone number, or other means of contacting the requestor, the custodian shall not be required to respond until the requestor reappears before the custodian seeking a response to the original request. If the government record is in storage or archived, the requestor shall be so advised within seven business days after the custodian receives the request. The requestor shall be advised by the custodian when the record can be made available. If the record is not made available by that time, access shall be deemed denied.
- (2) During a period declared pursuant to the laws of this State as a state of emergency, public health emergency, or state of local disaster emergency, the deadlines by which to respond to a request for, or grant or deny access to, a government record under paragraph (1) of this subsection or subsection e. of this section shall not apply, provided, however, that the custodian of a government record shall make a reasonable effort, as the circumstances permit, to respond to a request for access to a government record within seven business days or as soon as possible thereafter.
- j. A custodian shall post prominently in public view in the part or parts of the office or offices of the custodian that are open to or frequented by the public a statement that sets forth in clear, concise

and specific terms the right to appeal a denial of, or failure to provide, access to a government record by any person for inspection, examination, or copying or for purchase of copies thereof and the procedure by which an appeal may be filed.

k. The files maintained by the Office of the Public Defender that relate to the handling of any case shall be considered confidential and shall not be open to inspection by any person unless authorized by law, court order, or the State Public Defender. (cf: P.L.2020, c.10, s.1)

¹3. The title of P.L.2015, c.226 is amended to read as follows:

AN ACT concerning certain information regarding [law enforcement] certain public officers and supplementing Title 2C of the New Jersey Statutes and Titles 47 and 56 of the Revised Statutes.¹

16 (cf: P.L.2015, c.226, title)

¹[2.] <u>4.</u> Section 2 of P.L.2015, c.226 (C.47:1-17) is amended to read as follows:

2. A State or local governmental agency shall not knowingly post or publish on the Internet ², or repost, republish, or otherwise make available, ² the home address or unpublished home telephone number of any active ², formerly active, ² or retired ²judicial officer, as defined by section 1 of P.L.1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1), prosecutor, or ² law enforcement officer [or law enforcement officer] ²[,] ² [judge of any court of law of this State, or State, county] ²[any active or retired federal, State, or municipal judicial officer, as defined by section 1 of P.L.1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1) ¹, or ¹[municipal] any active or retired federal, State, county or municipal prosecutor] ² without first obtaining the written permission of that [law enforcement officer or retired law enforcement officer] ²[individual] person².

²The amendatory provisions of this section, enacted by P.L., c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), shall not be operative and enforceable with respect to any active, formerly active, or retired law enforcement officer until 18 months after the effective date of that act; but the provisions of this section, prior to being amended, shall remain operative and enforceable with respect to any active or retired law enforcement officer during that 18-month period.²

40 <u>18-month period.</u>² 41 (cf: P.L.2015, c.226, s.2)

43 ¹[1] <u>5.</u>¹ Section 1 of P.L.2015, c.226 (C.2C:20-31.1) is 44 amended to read as follows:

1. A person shall not knowingly, with purpose to expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property, or in

reckless disregard of the probability of such exposure, post or 1 publish on the Internet ², or repost, republish, or otherwise make 2 available,² the home address or unpublished home telephone 3 number of any active ², formerly active, ² or retired ²judicial officer, 4 as defined by section 1 of P.L.1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1), prosecutor, 5 or2 law enforcement officer, [law enforcement officer] 1 [judge of 6 any court of law of this State, or] ²[any active or retired federal, 7 8 State, or municipal judicial officer, as defined by section 1 of P.L.1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1), or any active or retired federal, 1 9 State, county or municipal prosecutor,]2 or the spouse or child [of 10 a law enforcement officer 1 thereof. A reckless violation of this 11 section is a crime of the fourth degree. A purposeful violation of 12 13 this section is a crime of the third degree. ²The amendatory provisions of this section, enacted by 14 P.L., c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), 15 16 shall not be operative and enforceable with respect to any active, 17 formerly active, or retired law enforcement officer, or the spouse or child thereof, until 18 months after the effective date of that act; but 18 19 the provisions of this section, prior to being amended, shall remain 20 operative and enforceable with respect to any active or retired law 21 enforcement officer, or the spouse or child of a law enforcement officer, during that 18-month period.² 22 23 (cf: P.L.2015, c.226, s.1) ¹[3.] <u>6.</u> Section 3 of P.L.2015, c.226 (C.56:8-166.1) is 25 26 amended to read as follows: 27

24

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40 41

42 43

44

3. a. A person, business, or association shall not disclose on the Internet ², or re-disclose or otherwise make available, ² the home address or unpublished home telephone number of [a law enforcement officer or] 2[an] any 2 active 2, formerly active, 2 or retired ²judicial officer, as defined by section 1 of P.L.1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1), prosecutor, or² law enforcement officer ²[,]² ¹ [judge of any court of law of this State, or] ² [an active or retired federal, State, or municipal judicial officer, as defined by section 1 of P.L.1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1), or an active or retired federal, 1 State, county or municipal prosecutor]² under circumstances in which a reasonable person would believe that providing that information would expose another to harassment or risk of harm to

life or property. ²The amendatory provisions of this section, enacted by P.L., c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), shall not be operative and enforceable with respect to any active, formerly active, or retired law enforcement officer until 18 months after the effective date of that act; but the provisions of this section,

prior to being amended, shall remain operative and enforceable with 45

- respect to any active or retired law enforcement officer during that 1 2 18-month period.²
- 3 b. A person, business, or association that violates subsection a. of this section shall be liable to the [law enforcement officer,] 4
- ¹[active or retired law enforcement officer, judge of any court of 5
- 6 law of this State, or State, county, or municipal prosecutor, or any
- 7 other person residing at the home address of the [law enforcement
- 8 officer] active or retired law enforcement officer, judge of any
- 9 court of law of this State, or State, county, or municipal
- prosecutor, aggrieved person or any other person residing at the 10
- home address of the aggrieved person, who may bring a civil 11
- 12 action in the Superior Court.
 - c. The court may award:
 - (1) actual damages, but not less than liquidated damages computed at the rate of \$1,000 for each violation of this act;
 - (2) punitive damages upon proof of willful or reckless disregard of the law;
- (3) reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation costs 18 19 reasonably incurred; and
- (4) any other preliminary and equitable relief as the court 20 21 determines to be appropriate.
- d. For the purposes of this section, "disclose" shall mean to 22 solicit, sell, manufacture, give, provide, lend, trade, mail, deliver, 23 transfer, ²post, ² publish, distribute, circulate, disseminate, present, 24 25 exhibit, advertise or offer.
- 26 (cf: P.L.2015, c.226, s.3)

27

13

14

15

16 17

- ¹7. (New section) a. ²(1) [An] Any active ², formerly active, ² 28
- or retired ²[law enforcement officer, an active or retired federal, 29
- State, or municipal 12 judicial officer, as defined by section 1 of 30
- P.L1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1), or ²[active or retired federal, State, 31
- county or municipal prosecutor 2,2 whose home address or 32
- unpublished telephone number ² is disclosed on the Internet, or re-33
- disclosed or otherwise made available, by any person, business, or 34
- association,² or whose immediate family member's name, home 35
- address ², or unpublished telephone number is disclosed on the 36
- Internet ², or re-disclosed or otherwise made available, ² by any 37
- person, business, or association ², which in the case of a family 38 member's name or home address may be used, alone or in 39
- conjunction with any other information, to identify the person as the 40
- 41
- family member of a judicial officer or prosecutor, ² may request that
- the person, business, or association that disclosed ², re-disclosed, or 42
- otherwise made available² that information refrain from ²[such 43
- disclosure that action and remove that action that action and remove that the information from 44
- the Internet ²or where otherwise made available. 45

(2) Beginning 18 months after the effective date of P.L., c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), any law enforcement officer whose home address or unpublished home telephone number is disclosed on the Internet, or re-disclosed or otherwise made available, by any person, business, or association, or whose immediate family member's name, home address, or unpublished telephone number is disclosed on the Internet, or re-disclosed or otherwise made available, by any person, business, or association, which in the case of a family member's name or home address may be used, alone or in conjunction with any other information, to identify the person as the family member of a law enforcement officer, may request that the person, business, or association that disclosed, re-disclosed, or otherwise made available that information refrain from that action and remove the information from the Internet or where otherwise made available.

For purposes of this section, "immediate family member" shall include a spouse, child, or parent of an active, formerly active, or retired judicial officer, as defined by section 1 of P.L.1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1), prosecutor, or law enforcement officer, or any other family member related by blood or by law to the judicial officer, prosecutor, or law enforcement officer who lives in the same residence².

b. ²(1)² A request to refrain ² [from disclosure] ² and remove information ² [from the Internet] ² pursuant to subsection a. of this section shall be made in writing, addressed to the person, business, or association that disclosed ², re-disclosed, or otherwise made available ² the information, and may be made by the ² [law enforcement officer, the federal, State, or municipal] ² judicial officer, as defined by section 1 of P.L.1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1), ² [or the federal, State, county or municipal] ² prosecutor, ² or law enforcement officer, ² as appropriate, or by the ² person's ² employer ² [of the law enforcement officer, judicial officer or prosecutor] ² with the consent of that ² [individual] person ².

²[c.] (2)² Upon receipt of a written request to refrain ²[from disclosure] and remove information ²[from the Internet pursuant to this section] , the person, business ², or association that ²[made such disclosure] disclosed, re-disclosed, or otherwise made available the information shall have 72 hours to remove that information from the Internet ²or where otherwise made available, and shall not disclose ², re-disclose, or otherwise make available that information to any other person, business, or association through any medium.

²[d.] c.² An active ², formerly active, ² or retired ²[law enforcement officer, an active or retired federal, State, or municipal] judicial officer, ²[as defined by section 1 of P.L.1995,

c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1), or an active or retired federal, State, county, or municipal 2 prosecutor 2, or law enforcement officer whose ²[home address or unpublished telephone number] own information, or [whose] immediate family member's [name, home address or unpublished telephone number **]** information, ² was not timely removed from the Internet ²[in violation of the provisions of subsection c. of this section or was disclosed on the Internet subsequent to or where otherwise made available within 72 hours by a person, business, or association following² receipt of a ²written² request ²[pursuant to subsection b. of this section] to refrain and remove that information, or the person, business, or association re-discloses on the Internet or otherwise makes available the same information at any time subsequent to receipt of the written request,² may bring an action seeking injunctive or declaratory relief in the Superior Court. If the court grants injunctive or declaratory relief, the person, business, or association responsible for the violation shall be required to pay reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred by the ²[law enforcement officer,]² judicial officer, ²[or], ² prosecutor, ²or law enforcement officer, ² as appropriate.

²[e. For purposes of this section, "immediate family member" shall include a spouse, child or parent of a law enforcement officer, a judicial officer, as defined by section 1 of P.L.1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1), or a federal, State, county, or municipal prosecutor, or any blood relative of a law enforcement officer, a judicial officer or a prosecutor or of that individual's spouse who lives in the same residence as the law enforcement officer, judicial officer or prosecutor. ¹]²

¹8. (New section) This act shall be liberally construed in order to accomplish its purpose and the public policy of this State, which is to enhance the safety and security of certain public officials in the justice system, including ²[law enforcement officers,]² judicial officers ²[and], ² prosecutors ², and law enforcement officers, ² who served or have served the people of New Jersey, and the immediate family members of these individuals, to foster the ability of these public servants who perform critical roles in the justice system to carry out their official duties without fear of personal reprisal from affected individuals related to the performance of their public functions. ¹

²[19. (New section) If any section, subsection, clause, sentence, paragraph, or part of this act, P.L. , c. (pending before the Legislature as this bill), or the application thereof to any person or circumstances, shall, for any reason, be adjudged by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, such judgment shall not affect,

A1649 [3R]

16

1	impair, or invalidate the remainder of this act, P.L., c. (pending
2	before the Legislature as this bill). ¹] ²
3	
4	¹ [4.] ² [10. ¹] 9. ² This act shall take effect immediately ² , but for
5	those provisions of the act which do not become operative and
6	enforceable with respect to law enforcement officers until 18
7	months after the effective date, any anticipatory administrative
8	action may be taken in advance of the operative date that is
9	necessary for the implementation of those provisions ² .
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	"Daniel's Law"; prohibits disclosure of certain personal
15	information of active, formerly active, and retired judicial officers,
16	prosecutors, and law enforcement officers, and their family
17	members; establishes crime and civil action for disclosing such
18	information.

ASSEMBLY, No. 1649

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

219th LEGISLATURE

PRE-FILED FOR INTRODUCTION IN THE 2020 SESSION

Sponsored by: Assemblywoman ANNETTE QUIJANO District 20 (Union)

SYNOPSIS

Prohibits posting or publishing on Internet home address or telephone number of certain judges and prosecutors.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

Introduced Pending Technical Review by Legislative Counsel.



1 **AN ACT** prohibiting disclosure of certain information regarding certain judges and prosecutors and amending P.L.2015, c.226.

3

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

5 6 7

8

- 1. Section 1 of P.L.2015, c.226 (C.2C:20-31.1) is amended to read as follows:
- 9 1. A person shall not knowingly, with purpose to expose 10 another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property, or in reckless disregard of the probability of such exposure, post or 11 12 publish on the Internet the home address or unpublished home telephone number of any active or retired law enforcement officer, 13 14 [law enforcement officer] judge of any court of law of this State, or 15 State, county, or municipal prosecutor, or the spouse or child [of a law enforcement officer 1 thereof. A reckless violation of this 16 17 section is a crime of the fourth degree. A purposeful violation of 18 this section is a crime of the third degree.
- 19 (cf: P.L.2015, c.226, s.1)

20

23

24

25

2627

28

29

- 21 2. Section 2 of P.L.2015, c.226 (C.47:1-17) is amended to read 22 as follows:
 - 2. A State or local governmental agency shall not knowingly post or publish on the Internet the home address or unpublished home telephone number of any <u>active or</u> retired law enforcement officer [or law enforcement officer], judge of any court of law of this State, or State, county, or municipal prosecutor without first obtaining the written permission of that [law enforcement officer or retired law enforcement officer] individual.
 - (cf: P.L.2015, c.226, s.2)

3031

34

35

36

3738

39

40

41

- 32 3. Section 3 of P.L.2015, c.226 (C.56:8-166.1) is amended to read as follows:
 - 3. a. A person, business, or association shall not disclose on the Internet the home address or unpublished home telephone number of **[**a law enforcement officer or **]** an active or retired law enforcement officer, judge of any court of law of this State, or State, county, or municipal prosecutor under circumstances in which a reasonable person would believe that providing that information would expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property.
- b. A person, business, or association that violates subsection a.
 of this section shall be liable to the [law enforcement officer,]
 active or retired law enforcement officer, judge of any court of law
 of this State, or State, county, or municipal prosecutor, or any other
 person residing at the home address of the [law enforcement]

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

officer <u>lactive</u> or retired law enforcement officer, <u>judge of any</u> court of law of this State, or State, county, or municipal prosecutor, who may bring a civil action in the Superior Court.

- c. The court may award:
- (1) actual damages, but not less than liquidated damages computed at the rate of \$1,000 for each violation of this act;
- (2) punitive damages upon proof of willful or reckless disregard of the law;
- (3) reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred; and
- (4) any other preliminary and equitable relief as the court determines to be appropriate.
- d. For the purposes of this section, "disclose" shall mean to solicit, sell, manufacture, give, provide, lend, trade, mail, deliver, transfer, publish, distribute, circulate, disseminate, present, exhibit, advertise or offer.

(cf: P.L.2015, c.226, s.3)

4. This act shall take effect immediately.

STATEMENT

This bill prohibits persons, State and local agencies, and businesses from posting or publishing on the Internet the home addresses or unpublished home telephone numbers of judges, or State, county, or municipal prosecutors regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce. This bill amends current law that already prohibits such disclosure for law enforcement officers.

Specifically, the bill makes it a crime for a person to knowingly, with purpose to expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property, or in reckless disregard of the probability of this exposure, to post or publish on the Internet the home address or unpublished telephone number of a judge or State, county, or municipal prosecutor, regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce, or their spouse or child. If the person recklessly violates this prohibition, it is a crime of the fourth degree. A fourth degree crime is punishable by a prison term of up to 18 months, a fine of up to \$10,000, or both. If the person purposefully violates this prohibition, it is a crime of the third degree. A third degree crime is punishable by a prison term of three to five years, a fine of up to \$15,000, or both.

The bill also prohibits State or local agencies from posting or publishing on the Internet a home address or telephone number of a judge or State, county, or municipal prosecutor regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce, without first obtaining written consent.

A1649 QUIJANO

1 2

Persons, businesses, and associations also are prohibited by the bill from disclosing on the Internet a judge's, or State, county, or municipal prosecutor's home address or unpublished home telephone number, regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce, under circumstances in which a reasonable person would believe that providing that information would expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property.

The bill specifies that persons, businesses, or associations may be liable for violating this prohibition of the bill. The bill authorizes a civil action in Superior Court. The court may award: (1) actual damages, but not less than liquidated damages computed at the rate of \$1,000 for each violation; (2) punitive damages upon proof of willful or reckless disregard of the law; (3) reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred; and (4) any other preliminary and equitable relief as the court determines to be appropriate.

The bill defines "disclose" as soliciting, selling, manufacturing, giving, providing, lending, trading, mailing, delivering, transferring, publishing, distributing, circulating, disseminating, presenting, exhibiting, advertising or offering.

ASSEMBLY STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 1649

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: AUGUST 13, 2020

The Assembly State and Local Government Committee reports favorably Assembly Bill No. 1649.

This bill prohibits persons, State and local agencies, and businesses from posting or publishing on the Internet the home addresses or unpublished home telephone numbers of judges, or State, county, or municipal prosecutors regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce. This bill amends current law that already prohibits such disclosure for law enforcement officers.

Specifically, the bill makes it a crime for a person to knowingly, with purpose to expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property, or in reckless disregard of the probability of this exposure, to post or publish on the Internet the home address or unpublished telephone number of a judge or State, county, or municipal prosecutor, regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce, or their spouse or child. If the person recklessly violates this prohibition, it is a crime of the fourth degree. A fourth degree crime is punishable by a prison term of up to 18 months, a fine of up to \$10,000, or both. If the person purposefully violates this prohibition, it is a crime of the third degree. A third degree crime is punishable by a prison term of three to five years, a fine of up to \$15,000, or both.

The bill also prohibits State or local agencies from posting or publishing on the Internet a home address or telephone number of a judge or State, county, or municipal prosecutor regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce, without first obtaining written consent.

Persons, businesses, and associations also are prohibited by the bill from disclosing on the Internet a judge's, or State, county, or municipal prosecutor's home address or unpublished home telephone number, regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce, under circumstances in which a reasonable person would believe that providing that information would expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property.

The bill specifies that persons, businesses, or associations may be liable for violating this prohibition of the bill. The bill authorizes a civil action in Superior Court. The court may award: (1) actual damages, but not less than liquidated damages computed at the rate of

\$1,000 for each violation; (2) punitive damages upon proof of willful or reckless disregard of the law; (3) reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred; and (4) any other preliminary and equitable relief as the court determines to be appropriate.

The bill defines "disclose" as soliciting, selling, manufacturing, giving, providing, lending, trading, mailing, delivering, transferring, publishing, distributing, circulating, disseminating, presenting, exhibiting, advertising or offering.

This bill was pre-filed for introduction in the 2018-2019 session pending technical review. As reported, the bill includes the changes required by technical review, which has been performed.

ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 1649

with committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: SEPTEMBER 17, 2020

The Assembly Appropriations Committee reports favorably and with committee amendments Assembly Bill No. 1649.

This bill prohibits persons, State and local agencies, and businesses from posting or publishing on the Internet the home addresses or unpublished home telephone numbers of judicial officers, or State, county, or municipal prosecutors regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce. This bill amends current law that already prohibits such disclosure for law enforcement officers.

Specifically, the bill makes it a crime for a person to knowingly, with purpose to expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property, or in reckless disregard of the probability of this exposure, to post or publish on the Internet the home address or unpublished telephone number of a judicial officer or State, county, or municipal prosecutor, regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce, or their spouse or child. If the person recklessly violates this prohibition, it is a crime of the fourth degree. A fourth degree crime is punishable by a prison term of up to 18 months, a fine of up to \$10,000, or both. If the person purposefully violates this prohibition, it is a crime of the third degree. A third degree crime is punishable by a prison term of three to five years, a fine of up to \$15,000, or both.

The bill also prohibits State or local agencies from posting or publishing on the Internet a home address or telephone number of a judicial officer or State, county, or municipal prosecutor regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce, without first obtaining written consent.

Persons, businesses, and associations also are prohibited by the bill from disclosing on the Internet a judicial officer's, or State, county, or municipal prosecutor's home address or unpublished home telephone number, regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce, under circumstances in which a reasonable person would believe that providing that information would expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property.

The bill specifies that persons, businesses, or associations may be liable for violating this prohibition of the bill. The bill authorizes a civil action in Superior Court. The court may award: (1) actual damages, but not less than liquidated damages computed at the rate of

\$1,000 for each violation; (2) punitive damages upon proof of willful or reckless disregard of the law; (3) reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred; and (4) any other preliminary and equitable relief as the court determines to be appropriate.

The bill defines "disclose" as soliciting, selling, manufacturing, giving, providing, lending, trading, mailing, delivering, transferring, publishing, distributing, circulating, disseminating, presenting, exhibiting, advertising or offering.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS

There are proposed committee amendments to the bill, including naming the bill "Daniel's law" in honor of the tragic killing of Daniel Anderl, the son of a U.S. District Court Judge who was shot and killed at the family's home by a person who had a fixation with the judge, and had access to the judge by way of her address being public.

The additional amendments:

add a definition of judicial officer;

add a definition of immediate family member;

make the protections of the bill applicable to federal, State county and municipal prosecutors;

exclude those portions of any document identifying a law enforcement officer's, a judicial officer's or a prosecutor's address from the definition of government record pursuant to the law commonly known as the open public records act;

require custodians of government records to redact a law enforcement officer's, a judicial officer's or prosecutor's address from any record prior to granting access to the record to a member of the public;

permit a law enforcement officer, judicial officer or prosecutor to request that the person, business, or association that disclosed the prohibited information to refrain from such disclosure and remove the information from the Internet;

require the request to refrain from disclosure to be in writing, addressed to the person, business, or association that disclosed the information, and may be made by the law enforcement officer, judicial officer, or prosecutor, or their employer with their consent;

provide that upon receipt of the written request, the person, business or association that made the disclosure will have 72 hours to remove the information from the Internet and must not disclose the information to anyone in any medium; and

provide that if the information is not timely removed or is disclosed on the Internet subsequent to receipt of a request to refrain from disclosure and to remove the information from the Internet, the law enforcement officer, judicial officer, or prosecutor, as appropriate, may bring an action seeking injunctive or declaratory relief in the Superior Court. If the court grants injunctive or declaratory relief, the

person or entity responsible for the violation will be required to pay reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred.

FISCAL IMPACT:

This bill is not certified as requiring a fiscal note.

[Corrected Copy]

SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

[First Reprint] **ASSEMBLY, No. 1649**

with committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: OCTOBER 22, 2020

The Senate Judiciary Committee reports favorably and with committee amendments the First Reprint of Assembly Bill No. 1649.

The bill, as amended, would: (1) prohibit the disclosure, by both governmental entities and private parties, of the home addresses of any active, formerly active, or retired federal, State, county, or municipal judicial officer, prosecutor, or law enforcement officer (the expansive definition of "judicial officer" includes judges of the Office of Administrative Law and of the Division of Workers' Compensation); (2) expand an existing crime concerning the disclosure of home addresses and unlisted telephone numbers for active or retired law enforcement officers to also cover formerly active law enforcement officers, as well as active, formerly active, or retired judicial officers or prosecutors; and (3) would permit criminal prosecutions and statutory civil actions concerning any prohibited disclosure. The bill represents legislative action directly related to, and intended to honor, Daniel Anderl, the son of a federal judge, who was shot and killed in July 2020 at the judge's family home by a person who had complied a dossier of personal information about the judge, including the judge's home address; the bill's scope, as described above, would expand beyond just protecting federal and State judges, and their families, but would provide protections for a multitude of federal, state (from all states), and local public officials involved with administering public safety and justice.

The bill's new protections for judicial officers and prosecutors would take effect immediately, and would begin 18 months after the bill's effective date for law enforcement officers, who under current law are already provided some protections to be expanded by the bill; the bill would allow for executive branch administrative action to be taken in advance of that future date that would be necessary for the implementation of the bill concerning law enforcement officers.

To prohibit the government's disclosure of a home address (all persons' unlisted telephone numbers are already protected from disclosure), the bill would exclude those portions of any document identifying an address, whether a primary or secondary residence, from the definition of "government record" pursuant to P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.), commonly known as the Open Public Records Act, thereby deeming such information confidential. The bill would also require custodians of government records under that act to redact a judicial officer's, prosecutor's, or law enforcement officer's address from any record prior to granting access to the record by a member of the public. However, an address would still be shared if it was sought for use by a governmental agency in carrying out its functions, or a private person or entity seeking to enforce a child support order.

The bill would also prohibit the State or a local governmental agency from posting or publishing on the Internet, or reposting, republishing, or otherwise making available, a home address or unlisted telephone number of an active, formerly active, or retired judicial officer or prosecutor, expanding the scope of section 2 of P.L.2015, c.226 (C.47:1-17) which already prohibits such actions with respect to law enforcement officers.

It would establish the same prohibition for individuals, businesses, and associations, who under current law are prohibited from posting or publishing such information about current and retired law enforcement officers, and would subject a party who violated the law to the same criminal and civil liabilities that apply to violations involving law enforcement officers.

Specifically, it would be a crime to knowingly, with purpose to expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property, or in reckless disregard of the probability of this exposure, to post or publish on the Internet, repost, republish, or otherwise make available, the home address or unpublished telephone number of an active, formerly active, or retired judicial officer, prosecutor, or law enforcement officer, or any such person's spouse or child. A reckless violation would be graded a crime of the fourth degree, punishable by a term of imprisonment of up to 18 months, a fine of up to \$10,000, or both. A purposeful violation would be a crime of the third degree, punishable by a term of imprisonment of three to five years, a fine of up to \$15,000, or both.

As to potential civil liability, individuals, businesses, and associations would be prohibited from disclosing on the Internet, or re-disclosing or otherwise making available, the information about an active, formerly active, or retired judicial officer, prosecutor, or law enforcement officer under any circumstances in which a reasonable person would believe that providing such information would expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property. As a result of a violation, a court could award: (1) actual

damages, but not less than liquidated damages computed at the rate of \$1,000 for each violation; (2) punitive damages upon proof of willful or reckless disregard of the law; (3) reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred; and (4) any other preliminary and equitable relief as the court determines to be appropriate.

Additionally, the bill would provide a means by which a judicial officer, prosecutor, or law enforcement officer would be able to make a request to cease a disclosure to an individual, business, or association that disclosed that public officer's home address or unpublished phone number, or the name, home address, or unpublished number of an immediate family member of that public officer (someone residing in the same residence); with respect to a family member's name or home address, the basis for ceasing the disclosure would be that the disclosed information, alone or in conjunction with any other information, could be used to identify the person as the family member of the public officer. The request would be required to be made in writing by the public officer, or that public officer's employer with the officer's consent. recipient of the request would have 72 hours to remove any disclosed information from the Internet or where otherwise made available, and refrain from disclosing the information to any other person or entity through any medium.

If the recipient did not timely remove the information from the Internet or where otherwise made available, or made a subsequent disclosure in any medium after receipt of the request to cease disclosure, the aggrieved public officer could bring an action seeking injunctive or declaratory relief in the Superior Court. The party responsible for the continued disclosure would be required to pay reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred for the action brought.

This bill, as amended and reported by the committee, is identical to the Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill Nos. 2797 and 2925, also reported by the committee today.

The committee amendments to the bill:

- apply the bill's protections to active, formerly active, and retired judicial officers, prosecutors, and law enforcement officers, instead of just active and retired officials;
- delay the application of the new bill's protections to law enforcement officers for 18 months after the effective date of the bill; as explained above, law enforcement officers are already provided some protections concerning their personal information that would be expanded by the bill;
- modify the definition of "judicial officer" to more broadly cover any judge in the judicial branch, whether it be at the federal, state (any state), county, or municipal level of government;

- broaden the existing law's criminal and civil liabilities on disclosures of a public official's information by both governmental entities and private parties, as described above, to cover not just acts of posting or publishing on the Internet, but also reposting, republishing, or otherwise making available that information;
- eliminate the severability clause from the bill (section 9), because all of the provisions of the bill are already severable, should the bill become law, and all or parts of it challenged in court, per R.S. 1:1-10;
- remove the designation of the bill as Daniel's Law from the bill's title, and instead, as described above, indicate in the bill's statement that the amended bill represents legislative action directly related to, and is intended to honor, Mr. Anderl, the son of a federal judge who was shot and killed in July 2020 at the judge's home by a person who had collected personal information about the judge; and
- update the bill's title and synopsis to more accurately reflect the changes made by the amendments.

STATEMENT TO

[Second Reprint] ASSEMBLY, No. 1649

with Senate Floor Amendments (Proposed by Senator CRYAN)

ADOPTED: OCTOBER 29, 2020

These floor amendments reinsert the designation of "Daniel's Law" for the bill, which prohibits the disclosure of certain personal information of various public officials who provide services in the criminal and civil justice systems for this State, for the federal government, and for other governmental entities. The designation is for Daniel Anderl, the son of a federal judge, who was shot and killed in July 2020 at the judge's family home by a person who had compiled a dossier of personal information about the judge, including the judge's home address.

SENATE, No. 2797

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

219th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED AUGUST 3, 2020

Sponsored by:

Senator JOSEPH P. CRYAN

District 20 (Union)

Senator NICHOLAS P. SCUTARI

District 22 (Middlesex, Somerset and Union)

SYNOPSIS

Prohibits disclosure of home address of federal, State, and municipal judicial officers; establishes crime and civil action for disclosing such information or judicial officers' unlisted telephone number.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



(Sponsorship Updated As Of: 9/18/2020)

AN ACT prohibiting the disclosure of certain personal information concerning any federal, State, or municipal judicial officer, and amending P.L.1995, c.23, P.L.2001, c.404, and the title and body of P.L.2015, c.226.

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. Section 1 of P.L.1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1) is amended to read as follows:
- 1. As used in P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.) as amended and supplemented:

"Biotechnology" means any technique that uses living organisms, or parts of living organisms, to make or modify products, to improve plants or animals, or to develop microorganisms for specific uses; including the industrial use of recombinant DNA, cell fusion, and novel bioprocessing techniques.

"Custodian of a government record" or "custodian" means in the case of a municipality, the municipal clerk and in the case of any other public agency, the officer officially designated by formal action of that agency's director or governing body, as the case may be.

"Government record" or "record" means any paper, written or printed book, document, drawing, map, plan, photograph, microfilm, data processed or image processed document, information stored or maintained electronically or by sound-recording or in a similar device, or any copy thereof, that has been made, maintained or kept on file in the course of his or its official business by any officer, commission, agency or authority of the State or of any political subdivision thereof, including subordinate boards thereof, or that has been received in the course of his or its official business by any such officer, commission, agency, or authority of the State or of any political subdivision thereof, including subordinate boards thereof. The terms shall not include inter-agency or intra-agency advisory, consultative, or deliberative material.

A government record shall not include the following information which is deemed to be confidential for the purposes of P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.) as amended and supplemented:

information received by a member of the Legislature from a constituent or information held by a member of the Legislature concerning a constituent, including but not limited to information in written form or contained in any e-mail or computer data base, or in any telephone record whatsoever, unless it is information the constituent is required by law to transmit;

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

any memorandum, correspondence, notes, report or other communication prepared by, or for, the specific use of a member of the Legislature in the course of the member's official duties, except that this provision shall not apply to an otherwise publiclyaccessible report which is required by law to be submitted to the Legislature or its members;

any copy, reproduction or facsimile of any photograph, negative or print, including instant photographs and videotapes of the body, or any portion of the body, of a deceased person, taken by or for the medical examiner at the scene of death or in the course of a post mortem examination or autopsy made by or caused to be made by the medical examiner except:

when used in a criminal action or proceeding in this State which relates to the death of that person,

for the use as a court of this State permits, by order after good cause has been shown and after written notification of the request for the court order has been served at least five days before the order is made upon the county prosecutor for the county in which the post mortem examination or autopsy occurred,

for use in the field of forensic pathology or for use in medical or scientific education or research, or

for use by any law enforcement agency in this State or any other state or federal law enforcement agency;

criminal investigatory records;

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

3637

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

victims' records, except that a victim of a crime shall have access to the victim's own records;

any written request by a crime victim for a record to which the victim is entitled to access as provided in this section, including, but not limited to, any law enforcement agency report, domestic violence offense report, and temporary or permanent restraining order;

personal firearms records, except for use by any person authorized by law to have access to these records or for use by any government agency, including any court or law enforcement agency, for purposes of the administration of justice;

personal identifying information received by the Division of Fish and Wildlife in the Department of Environmental Protection in connection with the issuance of any license authorizing hunting with a firearm. For the purposes of this paragraph, personal identifying information shall include, but not be limited to, identity, name, address, social security number, telephone number, fax number, driver's license number, email address, or social media address of any applicant or licensee;

trade secrets and proprietary commercial or financial information obtained from any source. For the purposes of this paragraph, trade secrets shall include data processing software obtained by a public body under a licensing agreement which prohibits its disclosure; any record within the attorney-client privilege. This paragraph shall not be construed as exempting from access attorney or consultant bills or invoices except that such bills or invoices may be redacted to remove any information protected by the attorney-client privilege;

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

2122

23

24

25

26

27

2829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

administrative or technical information regarding computer hardware, software and networks which, if disclosed, would jeopardize computer security;

emergency or security information or procedures for any buildings or facility which, if disclosed, would jeopardize security of the building or facility or persons therein;

security measures and surveillance techniques which, if disclosed, would create a risk to the safety of persons, property, electronic data or software;

information which, if disclosed, would give an advantage to competitors or bidders;

information generated by or on behalf of public employers or public employees in connection with any sexual harassment complaint filed with a public employer or with any grievance filed by or against an individual or in connection with collective negotiations, including documents and statements of strategy or negotiating position;

information which is a communication between a public agency and its insurance carrier, administrative service organization or risk management office;

information which is to be kept confidential pursuant to court order;

any copy of form DD-214, NGB-22, or that form, issued by the United States Government, or any other certificate of honorable discharge, or copy thereof, from active service or the reserves of a branch of the Armed Forces of the United States, or from service in the organized militia of the State, that has been filed by an individual with a public agency, except that a veteran or the veteran's spouse or surviving spouse shall have access to the veteran's own records;

any copy of an oath of allegiance, oath of office or any affirmation taken upon assuming the duties of any public office, or that oath or affirmation, taken by a current or former officer or employee in any public office or position in this State or in any county or municipality of this State, including members of the Legislative Branch, Executive Branch, Judicial Branch, and all law enforcement entities, except that the full name, title, and oath date of that person contained therein shall not be deemed confidential;

that portion of any document which discloses the social security number, credit card number, unlisted telephone number or driver license number of any person, or that portion of any document which discloses the home address, whether a primary or secondary residence, of any federal, State, or municipal judicial officer or

1 retired judicial officer; except for use by any government agency,

including any court or law enforcement agency, in carrying out its

3 functions, or any private person or entity acting on behalf thereof,

4 or any private person or entity seeking to enforce payment of court-

ordered child support; except with respect to the disclosure of driver

information by the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission as

7 permitted by section 2 of P.L.1997, c.188 (C.39:2-3.4); and except

8 that a social security number contained in a record required by law

9 to be made, maintained or kept on file by a public agency shall be 10

disclosed when access to the document or disclosure of that information is not otherwise prohibited by State or federal law,

11

12 regulation or order or by State statute, resolution of either or both 13

houses of the Legislature, Executive Order of the Governor, rule of court or regulation promulgated under the authority of any statute or

15 executive order of the Governor;

2

5

6

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

a list of persons identifying themselves as being in need of special assistance in the event of an emergency maintained by a municipality for public safety purposes pursuant to section 1 of P.L.2017, c.266 (C.40:48-2.67); and

a list of persons identifying themselves as being in need of special assistance in the event of an emergency maintained by a county for public safety purposes pursuant to section 6 of P.L.2011, c.178 (C.App.A:9-43.13).

A government record shall not include, with regard to any public institution of higher education, the following information which is deemed to be privileged and confidential:

pedagogical, scholarly and/or academic research records and/or the specific details of any research project conducted under the auspices of a public higher education institution in New Jersey, including, but not limited to research, development information, testing procedures, or information regarding test participants, related to the development or testing of any pharmaceutical or pharmaceutical delivery system, except that a custodian may not deny inspection of a government record or part thereof that gives the name, title, expenditures, source and amounts of funding and date when the final project summary of any research will be available;

test questions, scoring keys and other examination data pertaining to the administration of an examination for employment or academic examination;

records of pursuit of charitable contributions or records containing the identity of a donor of a gift if the donor requires nondisclosure of the donor's identity as a condition of making the gift provided that the donor has not received any benefits of or from the institution of higher education in connection with such gift other than a request for memorialization or dedication;

valuable or rare collections of books [and/or] or documents obtained by gift, grant, bequest or devise conditioned upon limited public access;

information contained on individual admission applications; and information concerning student records or grievance or disciplinary proceedings against a student to the extent disclosure would reveal the identity of the student.

"Personal firearms record" means any information contained in a background investigation conducted by the chief of police, the county prosecutor, or the Superintendent of State Police, of any applicant for a permit to purchase a handgun, firearms identification card license, or firearms registration; any application for a permit to purchase a handgun, firearms identification card license, or firearms registration; any document reflecting the issuance or denial of a permit to purchase a handgun, firearms identification card license, or firearms registration; and any permit to purchase a handgun, firearms identification card license, or any firearms license, certification, certificate, form of register, or registration statement. For the purposes of this paragraph, information contained in a background investigation shall include, but not be limited to, identity, name, address, social security number, phone number, fax number, driver's license number, email address, social media address of any applicant, licensee, registrant or permit holder.

"Public agency" or "agency" means any of the principal departments in the Executive Branch of State Government, and any division, board, bureau, office, commission or other instrumentality within or created by such department; the Legislature of the State and any office, board, bureau or commission within or created by the Legislative Branch; and any independent State authority, commission, instrumentality or agency. The terms also mean any political subdivision of the State or combination of political subdivisions, and any division, board, bureau, office, commission or other instrumentality within or created by a political subdivision of the State or combination of political subdivisions, and any independent authority, commission, instrumentality or agency created by a political subdivision of combination of political subdivisions.

"Law enforcement agency" means a public agency, or part thereof, determined by the Attorney General to have law enforcement responsibilities.

"Constituent" means any State resident or other person communicating with a member of the Legislature.

"Judicial officer" means the Chief Justice or an Associate Justice of the United States Supreme Court, a judge of the United States Court of Appeals, a judge of a federal district court, including a magistrate judge, a judge of any other court established by federal law, the Chief Justice or an Associate Justice of the New Jersey Supreme Court, a judge of the Superior Court, a judge of the Tax

- 1 Court, a judge of a municipal court, a judge of the Office of
- 2 Administrative Law, a judge of the Division of Workers'
- 3 Compensation, or a judge of any other court or who handles
- 4 proceedings in the executive branch of the State government or a
- 5 local government established by State law.

"Member of the Legislature" means any person elected or selected to serve in the New Jersey Senate or General Assembly.

"Criminal investigatory record" means a record which is not required by law to be made, maintained or kept on file that is held by a law enforcement agency which pertains to any criminal investigation or related civil enforcement proceeding.

"Victim's record" means an individually-identifiable file or document held by a victims' rights agency which pertains directly to a victim of a crime except that a victim of a crime shall have access to the victim's own records.

"Victim of a crime" means a person who has suffered personal or psychological injury or death or incurs loss of or injury to personal or real property as a result of a crime, or if such a person is deceased or incapacitated, a member of that person's immediate family.

"Victims' rights agency" means a public agency, or part thereof, the primary responsibility of which is providing services, including but not limited to food, shelter, or clothing, medical, psychiatric, psychological or legal services or referrals, information and referral services, counseling and support services, or financial services to victims of crimes, including victims of sexual assault, domestic violence, violent crime, child endangerment, child abuse or child neglect, and the Victims of Crime Compensation Board, established pursuant to P.L.1971, c.317 (C.52:4B-1 et seq.) and continued as the Victims of Crime Compensation Office pursuant to P.L.2007, c.95 (C.52:4B-3.2 et al.) and Reorganization Plan No. 001-2008.

(cf: P.L.2019, c.255, s.4)

- 2. Section 6 of P.L.2001, c.404 (C.47:1A-5) is amended to read as follows:
- 6. a. The custodian of a government record shall permit the record to be inspected, examined, and copied by any person during regular business hours; or in the case of a municipality having a population of 5,000 or fewer according to the most recent federal decennial census, a board of education having a total district enrollment of 500 or fewer, or a public authority having less than \$10 million in assets, during not less than six regular business hours over not less than three business days per week or the entity's regularly-scheduled business hours, whichever is less; unless a government record is exempt from public access by: P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.) as amended and supplemented; any other statute; resolution of either or both houses of the Legislature; regulation promulgated under the authority of any statute or

1 Executive Order of the Governor; Executive Order of the Governor; 2 Rules of Court; any federal law; federal regulation; or federal order. 3 Prior to allowing access to any government record, the custodian 4 thereof shall redact from that record any information which 5 discloses the social security number, credit card number, unlisted 6 telephone number, or driver license number of any person, or the 7 home address, whether a primary or secondary residence, of any 8 federal, State, or municipal judicial officer or retired judicial 9 officer; except for use by any government agency, including any 10 court or law enforcement agency, in carrying out its functions, or 11 any private person or entity acting on behalf thereof, or any private 12 person or entity seeking to enforce payment of court-ordered child 13 support; except with respect to the disclosure of driver information 14 by the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission as permitted by 15 section 2 of P.L.1997, c.188 (C.39:2-3.4); and except that a social 16 security number contained in a record required by law to be made, 17 maintained or kept on file by a public agency shall be disclosed 18 when access to the document or disclosure of that information is not 19 otherwise prohibited by State or federal law, regulation or order or 20 by State statute, resolution of either or both houses of the 21 Legislature, Executive Order of the Governor, rule of court or 22 regulation promulgated under the authority of any statute or 23 executive order of the Governor. Except where an agency can 24 demonstrate an emergent need, a regulation that limits access to 25 government records shall not be retroactive in effect or applied to 26 deny a request for access to a government record that is pending 27 before the agency, the council or a court at the time of the adoption 28 of the regulation.

b. (1) A copy or copies of a government record may be purchased by any person upon payment of the fee prescribed by law or regulation. Except as otherwise provided by law or regulation and except as provided in paragraph (2) of this subsection, the fee assessed for the duplication of a government record embodied in the form of printed matter shall be \$0.05 per letter size page or smaller, and \$0.07 per legal size page or larger. If a public agency can demonstrate that its actual costs for duplication of a government record exceed the foregoing rates, the public agency shall be permitted to charge the actual cost of duplicating the record. The actual cost of duplicating the record, upon which all copy fees are based, shall be the cost of materials and supplies used to make a copy of the record, but shall not include the cost of labor or other overhead expenses associated with making the copy except as provided for in subsection c. of this section. Access to electronic records and non-printed materials shall be provided free of charge, but the public agency may charge for the actual costs of any needed supplies such as computer discs.

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

(2) No fee shall be charged to a victim of a crime for a copy or copies of a record to which the crime victim is entitled to access, as provided in section 1 of P.L.1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1).

- c. Whenever the nature, format, manner of collation, or volume of a government record embodied in the form of printed matter to be inspected, examined, or copied pursuant to this section is such that the record cannot be reproduced by ordinary document copying equipment in ordinary business size or involves an extraordinary expenditure of time and effort to accommodate the request, the public agency may charge, in addition to the actual cost of duplicating the record, a special service charge that shall be reasonable and shall be based upon the actual direct cost of providing the copy or copies; provided, however, that in the case of a municipality, rates for the duplication of particular records when the actual cost of copying exceeds the foregoing rates shall be established in advance by ordinance. The requestor shall have the opportunity to review and object to the charge prior to it being incurred.
- d. A custodian shall permit access to a government record and provide a copy thereof in the medium requested if the public agency maintains the record in that medium. If the public agency does not maintain the record in the medium requested, the custodian shall either convert the record to the medium requested or provide a copy in some other meaningful medium. If a request is for a record: (1) in a medium not routinely used by the agency; (2) not routinely developed or maintained by an agency; or (3) requiring a substantial amount of manipulation or programming of information technology, the agency may charge, in addition to the actual cost of duplication, a special charge that shall be reasonable and shall be based on the cost for any extensive use of information technology, or for the labor cost of personnel providing the service, that is actually incurred by the agency or attributable to the agency for the programming, clerical, and supervisory assistance required, or both.
- e. Immediate access ordinarily shall be granted to budgets, bills, vouchers, contracts, including collective negotiations agreements and individual employment contracts, and public employee salary and overtime information.
- f. The custodian of a public agency shall adopt a form for the use of any person who requests access to a government record held or controlled by the public agency. The form shall provide space for the name, address, and phone number of the requestor and a brief description of the government record sought. The form shall include space for the custodian to indicate which record will be made available, when the record will be available, and the fees to be charged. The form shall also include the following: (1) specific directions and procedures for requesting a record; (2) a statement as to whether prepayment of fees or a deposit is required; (3) the time period within which the public agency is required by P.L.1963, c.73

S2797 CRYAN, SCUTARI

10

1 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.) as amended and supplemented, to make the 2 record available; (4) a statement of the requestor's right to challenge 3 a decision by the public agency to deny access and the procedure 4 for filing an appeal; (5) space for the custodian to list reasons if a 5 request is denied in whole or in part; (6) space for the requestor to 6 sign and date the form; (7) space for the custodian to sign and date 7 the form if the request is fulfilled or denied. The custodian may 8 require a deposit against costs for reproducing documents sought 9 through an anonymous request whenever the custodian anticipates 10 that the information thus requested will cost in excess of \$5 to 11 reproduce.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

3637

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- g. A request for access to a government record shall be in writing and hand-delivered, mailed, transmitted electronically, or otherwise conveyed to the appropriate custodian. A custodian shall promptly comply with a request to inspect, examine, copy, or provide a copy of a government record. If the custodian is unable to comply with a request for access, the custodian shall indicate the specific basis therefor on the request form and promptly return it to the requestor. The custodian shall sign and date the form and provide the requestor with a copy thereof. If the custodian of a government record asserts that part of a particular record is exempt from public access pursuant to P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.) as amended and supplemented, the custodian shall delete or excise from a copy of the record that portion which the custodian asserts is exempt from access and shall promptly permit access to the remainder of the record. If the government record requested is temporarily unavailable because it is in use or in storage, the custodian shall so advise the requestor and shall make arrangements to promptly make available a copy of the record. If a request for access to a government record would substantially disrupt agency operations, the custodian may deny access to the record after attempting to reach a reasonable solution with the requestor that accommodates the interests of the requestor and the agency.
- h. Any officer or employee of a public agency who receives a request for access to a government record shall forward the request to the custodian of the record or direct the requestor to the custodian of the record.
- i. (1) Unless a shorter time period is otherwise provided by statute, regulation, or executive order, a custodian of a government record shall grant access to a government record or deny a request for access to a government record as soon as possible, but not later than seven business days after receiving the request, provided that the record is currently available and not in storage or archived. In the event a custodian fails to respond within seven business days after receiving a request, the failure to respond shall be deemed a denial of the request, unless the requestor has elected not to provide a name, address or telephone number, or other means of contacting the requestor. If the requestor has elected not to provide a name,

- address, or telephone number, or other means of contacting the requestor, the custodian shall not be required to respond until the requestor reappears before the custodian seeking a response to the original request. If the government record is in storage or archived, the requestor shall be so advised within seven business days after the custodian receives the request. The requestor shall be advised by the custodian when the record can be made available. If the record is not made available by that time, access shall be deemed denied.
 - (2) During a period declared pursuant to the laws of this State as a state of emergency, public health emergency, or state of local disaster emergency, the deadlines by which to respond to a request for, or grant or deny access to, a government record under paragraph (1) of this subsection or subsection e. of this section shall not apply, provided, however, that the custodian of a government record shall make a reasonable effort, as the circumstances permit, to respond to a request for access to a government record within seven business days or as soon as possible thereafter.
 - j. A custodian shall post prominently in public view in the part or parts of the office or offices of the custodian that are open to or frequented by the public a statement that sets forth in clear, concise and specific terms the right to appeal a denial of, or failure to provide, access to a government record by any person for inspection, examination, or copying or for purchase of copies thereof and the procedure by which an appeal may be filed.
 - k. The files maintained by the Office of the Public Defender that relate to the handling of any case shall be considered confidential and shall not be open to inspection by any person unless authorized by law, court order, or the State Public Defender. (cf: P.L.2020, c.10, s.1)

- 3. The title of P.L.2015, c.226 is amended to read as follows:
- 33 AN ACT concerning certain information regarding [law enforcement] certain public officers and supplementing Title 2C of the New Jersey Statutes and Titles 47 and 56 of the Revised Statutes.
- 37 (cf: P.L.2015, c.226, title)

- 39 4. Section 2 of P.L.2015, c.226 (C.47:1-17) is amended to read 40 as follows:
- 2. A State or local governmental agency shall not knowingly post or publish on the Internet the home address or unpublished home telephone number of any [retired] law enforcement officer or retired law enforcement officer, or any federal, State, or municipal judicial officer as defined by section 1 of P.L.1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1) or retired judicial officer, without first obtaining the written

permission of that [law enforcement officer or retired law 1 2 enforcement officer person.

3 (cf: P.L.2015, c.226, s.2)

4

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

- 5 5. Section 1 of P.L.2015, c.226 (C.2C:20-31.1) is amended to 6 read as follows:
 - 1. A person shall not knowingly, with purpose to expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property, or in reckless disregard of the probability of such exposure, post or publish on the Internet the home address or unpublished home telephone number of any [retired] law enforcement officer [,] or retired law enforcement officer, or any federal, State, or municipal judicial officer as defined by section 1 of P.L.1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1) or retired judicial officer, or the spouse or child of a law
- 14 15 enforcement officer 1 thereof. A reckless violation of this section is
- 16 a crime of the fourth degree. A purposeful violation of this section 17 is a crime of the third degree.
- (cf: P.L.2015, c.226, s.1) 18

19 20

21

36

- 6. Section 3 of P.L.2015, c.226 (C.56:8-166.1) is amended to read as follows:
- 3. a. A person, business, or association shall not disclose on 22 23 the Internet the home address or unpublished home telephone 24 number of [a] any law enforcement officer or retired law 25 enforcement officer, or any federal, State, or municipal judicial 26 officer as defined by section 1 of P.L.1995, c.23 (C.47:1A-1.1) or 27 retired judicial officer, under circumstances in which a reasonable 28 person would believe that providing that information would expose 29 another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property.
- 30 b. A person, business, or association that violates subsection a. 31 of this section shall be liable to the [law enforcement officer, retired law enforcement officer, or any other aggrieved person 32 I residing at the home address of the law enforcement officer or 33 34 retired law enforcement officer], who may bring a civil action in 35 the Superior Court.
 - c. The court may award:
- 37 (1) actual damages, but not less than liquidated damages 38 computed at the rate of \$1,000 for each violation of this act;
- 39 (2) punitive damages upon proof of willful or reckless disregard 40 of the law;
- 41 (3) reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation costs 42 reasonably incurred; and
- (4) any other preliminary and equitable relief as the court 44 determines to be appropriate.
- 45 d. For the purposes of this section, "disclose" shall mean to 46 solicit, sell, manufacture, give, provide, lend, trade, mail, deliver,

S2797 CRYAN, SCUTARI

transfer, publish, distribute, circulate, disseminate, present, exhibit,advertise or offer.

(cf: P.L.2015, c.226, s.3)

7. This act shall take effect immediately.

STATEMENT

This bill prohibits the disclosure of the home addresses of federal, State, and municipal judicial officers and retired judicial officers, and would expand an existing crime and statutory civil action concerning the disclosure of home addresses and unlisted telephone numbers for active and retired law enforcement officers to also cover active and retired judicial officers. The bill defines a "judicial officer" as "the Chief Justice or an Associate Justice of the United States Supreme Court, a judge of the United States Court of Appeals, a judge of a federal district court, including a magistrate judge, a judge of any other court established by federal law, the Chief Justice or an Associate Justice of the New Jersey Supreme Court, a judge of the Superior Court, a judge of the Tax Court, a judge of a municipal court, a judge of the Office of Administrative Law, a judge of the Division of Workers' Compensation, or a judge of any other court or who handles proceedings in the executive branch of the State government or a local government established by State law."

To prohibit the disclosure of a judicial officer's address (all persons' unlisted telephone numbers are already protected from disclosure), the bill would exclude those portions of any document identifying an address from the definition of "government record" pursuant to P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.), commonly known as the Open Public Records Act, thereby deeming such information confidential. The bill would also require custodians of government records under that act to redact a judicial officer's address from any record prior to granting access to the record by a member of the public. However, an address would still be shared if it was sought for use by a governmental agency in carrying out its functions, or a private person or entity seeking to enforce a child support order.

The bill would also prohibit the State or a local governmental agency from posting or publishing on the Internet a home address or unlisted telephone number of an active or retired judicial officer, expanding the scope of section 2 of P.L.2015, c.226 (C.47:1-17) which already prohibits such actions with respect to active and retired law enforcement officers.

It would establish the same prohibition for individuals, businesses, and associations, who under current law are prohibited from posting or publishing such information about active and retired law enforcement officers, and would subject a party who

S2797 CRYAN, SCUTARI

violated the law to the same criminal and civil liabilities that apply to violations involving law enforcement officers.

Specifically, it would be a crime to knowingly, with purpose to expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property, or in reckless disregard of the probability of this exposure, to post or publish on the Internet the home address or unpublished telephone number of an active or retired judicial officer, or the judicial officer's spouse or child. A reckless violation would be graded a crime of the fourth degree, punishable by a term of imprisonment of up to 18 months, a fine of up to \$10,000, or both. A purposeful violation would be a crime of the third degree, punishable by a term of imprisonment of three to five years, a fine of up to \$15,000, or both.

As to potential civil liability, individuals, businesses, and associations would be prohibited from disclosing on the Internet the information about an active or retired judicial officer under any circumstances in which a reasonable person would believe that providing such information would expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property. As a result of a violation, a court could award: (1) actual damages, but not less than liquidated damages computed at the rate of \$1,000 for each violation; (2) punitive damages upon proof of willful or reckless disregard of the law; (3) reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred; and (4) any other preliminary and equitable relief as the court determines to be appropriate.

SENATE, No. 2925

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

219th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED SEPTEMBER 17, 2020

Sponsored by:

Senator NELLIE POU

District 35 (Bergen and Passaic)

Senator BOB SMITH

District 17 (Middlesex and Somerset)

Co-Sponsored by:

Senators Diegnan and Lagana

SYNOPSIS

Prohibits posting or publishing on Internet home address or telephone number of certain judges and prosecutors.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



(Sponsorship Updated As Of: 10/22/2020)

1 **AN ACT** prohibiting disclosure of certain information regarding certain judges and prosecutors and amending P.L.2015, c.226.

3 4

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

567

8

- 1. Section 1 of P.L.2015, c.226 (C.2C:20-31.1) is amended to read as follows:
- 1. A person shall not knowingly, with purpose to expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property, or in reckless disregard of the probability of such exposure, post or publish on the Internet the home address or unpublished home telephone number of any active or retired law enforcement officer, law enforcement officer judge of any court of law of this State, or
- 15 <u>State, county, or municipal prosecutor,</u> or the spouse or child of a
- law enforcement officer <u>I</u> thereof. A reckless violation of this section is a crime of the fourth degree. A purposeful violation of
- this section is a crime of the third degree. A purposerur violation
- 19 (cf: P.L.2015, c.226, s.1)

20

- 21 2. Section 2 of P.L.2015, c.226 (C.47:1-17) is amended to read 22 as follows:
- 23 2. A State or local governmental agency shall not knowingly post or publish on the Internet the home address or unpublished home telephone number of any active or retired law enforcement officer [or law enforcement officer], judge of any court of law of this State, or State, county, or municipal prosecutor without first obtaining the written permission of that [law enforcement officer or retired law enforcement officer] individual.
- 30 (cf: P.L.2015, c.226, s.2) 31

32

33

34

35

3637

38

39

40

- 3. Section 3 of P.L.2015, c.226 (C.56:8-166.1) is amended to read as follows:
- 3. a. A person, business, or association shall not disclose on the Internet the home address or unpublished home telephone number of **[**a law enforcement officer or **]** an active or retired law enforcement officer, judge of any court of law of this State, or State, county, or municipal prosecutor under circumstances in which a reasonable person would believe that providing that information would expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property.
- b. A person, business, or association that violates subsection a.
 of this section shall be liable to the [law enforcement officer,]
 active or retired law enforcement officer, judge of any court of law
 of this State, or State, county, or municipal prosecutor, or any other

- person residing at the home address of the [law enforcement officer] active or retired law enforcement officer, judge of any court of law of this State, or State, county, or municipal prosecutor, who may bring a civil action in the Superior Court.
 - c. The court may award:
 - (1) actual damages, but not less than liquidated damages computed at the rate of \$1,000 for each violation of this act;
 - (2) punitive damages upon proof of willful or reckless disregard of the law;
 - (3) reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred; and
 - (4) any other preliminary and equitable relief as the court determines to be appropriate.
 - d. For the purposes of this section, "disclose" shall mean to solicit, sell, manufacture, give, provide, lend, trade, mail, deliver, transfer, publish, distribute, circulate, disseminate, present, exhibit, advertise or offer.

(cf: P.L.2015, c.226, s.3)

4. This act shall take effect immediately.

STATEMENT

This bill prohibits persons, State and local agencies, and businesses from posting or publishing on the Internet the home addresses or unpublished home telephone numbers of judges, or State, county, or municipal prosecutors regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce. This bill amends current law that already prohibits such disclosure for law enforcement officers.

Specifically, the bill makes it a crime for a person to knowingly, with purpose to expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property, or in reckless disregard of the probability of this exposure, to post or publish on the Internet the home address or unpublished telephone number of a judge or State, county, or municipal prosecutor, regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce, or their spouse or child. If the person recklessly violates this prohibition, it is a crime of the fourth degree. A fourth degree crime is punishable by a prison term of up to 18 months, a fine of up to \$10,000, or both. If the person purposefully violates this prohibition, it is a crime of the third degree. A third degree crime is punishable by a prison term of three to five years, a fine of up to \$15,000, or both.

The bill also prohibits State or local agencies from posting or publishing on the Internet a home address or telephone number of a judge or State, county, or municipal prosecutor regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce, without first obtaining written consent.

S2925 POU, B.SMITH

Persons, businesses, and associations also are prohibited by the bill from disclosing on the Internet a judge's, or State, county, or municipal prosecutor's home address or unpublished home telephone number, regardless of whether they are retired or in the workforce, under circumstances in which a reasonable person would believe that providing that information would expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property.

The bill specifies that persons, businesses, or associations may be liable for violating this prohibition of the bill. The bill authorizes a civil action in Superior Court. The court may award: (1) actual damages, but not less than liquidated damages computed at the rate of \$1,000 for each violation; (2) punitive damages upon proof of willful or reckless disregard of the law; (3) reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred; and (4) any other preliminary and equitable relief as the court determines to be appropriate.

The bill defines "disclose" as soliciting, selling, manufacturing, giving, providing, lending, trading, mailing, delivering, transferring, publishing, distributing, circulating, disseminating, presenting, exhibiting, advertising or offering.

[Corrected Copy]

SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR SENATE, Nos. 2797 and 2925

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: OCTOBER 22, 2020

The Senate Judiciary Committee reports favorably a Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill Nos. 2797 and 2925.

This substitute bill would: (1) prohibit the disclosure, by both governmental entities and private parties, of the home addresses of any active, formerly active, or retired federal, State, county, or municipal judicial officer, prosecutor, or law enforcement officer (the expansive definition of "judicial officer" includes judges of the Office of Administrative Law and of the Division of Workers' Compensation); (2) expand an existing crime concerning the disclosure of home addresses and unlisted telephone numbers for active or retired law enforcement officers to also cover formerly active law enforcement officers, as well as active, formerly active, or retired judicial officers or prosecutors; and (3) would permit criminal prosecutions and statutory civil actions concerning any prohibited disclosure. The bill represents legislative action directly related to, and intended to honor, Daniel Anderl, the son of a federal judge, who was shot and killed in July 2020 at the judge's family home by a person who had complied a dossier of personal information about the judge, including the judge's home address; the bill's scope, as described above, would expand beyond just protecting federal and State judges, and their families, but would provide protections for a multitude of federal, state (from all states), and local public officials involved with administering public safety and justice.

The bill's new protections for judicial officers and prosecutors would take effect immediately, and would begin 18 months after the bill's effective date for law enforcement officers, who under current law are already provided some protections to be expanded by the bill; the bill would allow for executive branch administrative action to be taken in advance of that future date that would be necessary for the implementation of the bill concerning law enforcement officers.

To prohibit the government's disclosure of a home address (all persons' unlisted telephone numbers are already protected from disclosure), the bill would exclude those portions of any document identifying an address, whether a primary or secondary residence, from the definition of "government record" pursuant to P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.), commonly known as the Open Public Records Act, thereby deeming such information confidential. The bill would also require custodians of government records under that act to redact a judicial officer's, prosecutor's, or law enforcement officer's address from any record prior to granting access to the record by a member of the public. However, an address would still be shared if it was sought for use by a governmental agency in carrying out its functions, or a private person or entity seeking to enforce a child support order.

The bill would also prohibit the State or a local governmental agency from posting or publishing on the Internet, or reposting, republishing, or otherwise making available, a home address or unlisted telephone number of an active, formerly active, or retired judicial officer or prosecutor, expanding the scope of section 2 of P.L.2015, c.226 (C.47:1-17) which already prohibits such actions with respect to law enforcement officers.

It would establish the same prohibition for individuals, businesses, and associations, who under current law are prohibited from posting or publishing such information about current and retired law enforcement officers, and would subject a party who violated the law to the same criminal and civil liabilities that apply to violations involving law enforcement officers.

Specifically, it would be a crime to knowingly, with purpose to expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or property, or in reckless disregard of the probability of this exposure, to post or publish on the Internet, repost, republish, or otherwise make available, the home address or unpublished telephone number of an active, formerly active, or retired judicial officer, prosecutor, or law enforcement officer, or any such person's spouse or child. A reckless violation would be graded a crime of the fourth degree, punishable by a term of imprisonment of up to 18 months, a fine of up to \$10,000, or both. A purposeful violation would be a crime of the third degree, punishable by a term of imprisonment of three to five years, a fine of up to \$15,000, or both.

As to potential civil liability, individuals, businesses, and associations would be prohibited from disclosing on the Internet, or re-disclosing or otherwise making available, the information about an active, formerly active, or retired judicial officer, prosecutor, or law enforcement officer under any circumstances in which a reasonable person would believe that providing such information would expose another to harassment or risk of harm to life or

property. As a result of a violation, a court could award: (1) actual damages, but not less than liquidated damages computed at the rate of \$1,000 for each violation; (2) punitive damages upon proof of willful or reckless disregard of the law; (3) reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred; and (4) any other preliminary and equitable relief as the court determines to be appropriate.

Additionally, the bill would provide a means by which a judicial officer, prosecutor, or law enforcement officer would be able to make a request to cease a disclosure to an individual, business, or association that disclosed that public officer's home address or unpublished phone number, or the name, home address, or unpublished number of an immediate family member of that public officer (someone residing in the same residence); with respect to a family member's name or home address, the basis for ceasing the disclosure would be that the disclosed information, alone or in conjunction with any other information, could be used to identify the person as the family member of the public officer. The request would be required to be made in writing by the public officer, or that public officer's employer with the officer's consent. recipient of the request would have 72 hours to remove any disclosed information from the Internet or where otherwise made available, and refrain from disclosing the information to any other person or entity through any medium.

If the recipient did not timely remove the information from the Internet or where otherwise made available, or made a subsequent disclosure in any medium after receipt of the request to cease disclosure, the aggrieved public officer could bring an action seeking injunctive or declaratory relief in the Superior Court. The party responsible for the continued disclosure would be required to pay reasonable attorney's fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred for the action brought.

The substitute bill, as reported by the committee, is identical to the First Reprint of Assembly Bill No. 1649, as amended and also reported by the committee today.

Governor Murphy Signs "Daniel's Law"

11/20/2020

TRENTON – Governor Phil Murphy today signed legislation (A1649), which protects the home addresses and telephone numbers of judges, prosecutors, and law enforcement officers from public disclosure. The bill, known as "Daniel's Law," is named in honor of Daniel Anderl, the late son of U.S. District Court Judge Esther Salas. Daniel fell victim to a senseless act of gun violence committed by an individual who had compiled a dossier of personal information about Judge Salas, including the judge's home address.

"This is a renewed commitment to ensure our judiciary, prosecutors, and members of law enforcement who answer the call of justice can do so without fear for their personal safety, or that of their loved ones," **said Governor Murphy.** "By shielding the home addresses and private contact information for those who serve on the bench and enforce our laws, we are demonstrating that in the face of unspeakable tragedy, New Jersey responds not with thoughts and prayers, but with concrete action."

"My husband, Mark, and I would like to thank Governor Murphy and all the men and women of the New Jersey State Legislature for enacting this trailblazing legislation," **said U.S. District Court Judge Esther Salas**. "We hope this law can be a steppingstone to improving the security of my sisters and brothers who serve as federal judges throughout the country. Nobody should be forced to endure the kind of pain my family has experienced ever again. Together we can work to ensure that all members of the judiciary (federal, state, and municipal courts) can perform their duties without fear of retribution or harm. Daniel used to say, 'Mom, I love talking with you.' I know Daniel is listening now, and he is smiling down on us today because he knows that with this bill signing, we are doing our part to ensure that his death will not be in vain."

The bill amends the Open Public Records Act ("OPRA") to exclude from the definition of a government (i.e., public) record the portion of any document which discloses the home address of any active or retired 1) judge, 2) prosecutor or 3) law enforcement officer.

Further, the bill prohibits government agencies, individuals and businesses from knowingly publishing on the internet, or otherwise making available, the home address or unpublished home telephone number of any active or retired judge or any active or retired prosecutor.

The bill also enables any active or retired judge, prosecutor, or law enforcement officer whose home address or unpublished telephone number is disclosed on the internet or otherwise made available to the public, or whose immediate family member's name, home address, or unpublished phone number is disclosed on the internet or otherwise made available to the public, to request that the information be removed. The government agency, individual or business would be required to remove the information within 72 hours of receiving such a request in writing.

"Daniel Anderl's tragic death reminds us that the disclosure of personal information can leave judges and family members vulnerable to threats and violence," **said Chief Justice Stuart Rabner**. "We are grateful to the Governor and the Legislature for taking this important step to provide common sense protections for active and retired judges and their families, along with others in the justice system, in the hope that a future tragedy can be prevented."

"Judges, prosecutors, and law enforcement officers all play vital roles in keeping the public safe, but in doing so, they often jeopardize their own safety, becoming targets of vengeful criminals or litigants," **said Attorney General Gurbir S. Grewal**. "We need to protect them as they protect all of us. This commonsense law will go a long way to ensure the privacy and security of these public servants and their families."

"To everyone who played a role in getting this done, I thank you. However, our work does not end here. We must extend these privacy protections nationwide, so that no one lives through what Judge Salas and her husband lived through," **said U.S. Senator Bob Menendez.** "We are living in a time of endless vitriol, rising hate crimes, and increased personal attacks. And while we may not be able to eliminate hatred from someone's heart, we can take action to better protect the men and women of our federal bench. That's why I am proud to see Governor Murphy sign Daniel's Law here in New Jersey— and why I remain committed to the passage of the Daniel Anderl Judicial Security and Privacy Act in Washington."

"No person who takes on the responsibility of serving as a federal judge should ever have to live in fear that they or their family could be targeted by someone who is able to easily access their personal information," **said U.S. Senator Cory Booker.** "Judge Salas and her husband, Mark, have gone through something that no parent should ever have to endure. I am grateful for the leadership of Governor Murphy, Senator Cryan, and Assemblywoman Quijano as we honor the memory of Daniel with a commitment that this should never happen again."

Primary sponsors of A1649 include Assemblymembers Annette Quijano, Yvonne Lopez, Craig Coughlin, and Ralph Caputo, and Senators Joe Cryan, Nicholas Scutari, Nellie Pou, and Bob Smith.

"Making tough decisions is part of the job for judges and prosecutors. Sometimes these decisions aren't popular, and they become a target. It's frightening to think that disgruntled individuals may be able to find their home addresses and personal phone numbers readily available at the touch of a button." **said Assemblymembers Quijano, Lopez, Coughlin and Caputo in a joint statement.** "Our hearts continue to break for Judge Salas and her family. The goal of this bill is to better protect the privacy of judges and prosecutors by prohibiting their personal addresses and contact information from being shared online without their consent."

"This law will honor the legacy of Daniel Anderl and respect the loving memories of his family," **said Senator Joe Cryan**, **a former Union County Sheriff who oversaw courthouse security in Union County**. "This was a tragic act of violence targeted at a respected judge and her family because the gunman was able to locate their home address. It was also an attack on the justice system that was felt by everyone who serves or has served in law enforcement. They devote their lives to the safety of the public – they should be kept safe as well."

"We must act to protect our public officials and their families from potential attacks," **said Senator Nicholas Scutari**, **Chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee.** "The targeting of Judge Salas at her private residence by a gunman, resulting in the tragic death of her son and the serious wounding of her husband, underscores the need for us to do more to protect our judges and their families. Domestic terrorism is a very real threat in today's society. Not long ago, a gunman attempted to take the life of then-Congresswoman Gabby Giffords, another gunman opened fire at a congressional softball game and, most recently, there was the foiled plot to kidnap and murder the governor of Michigan. This is a vital first step in ensuring the safety of our public officials in New Jersey."

"Judges and other court officers who serve our legal system deserve to be protected from any possible attack or retaliation for merely performing their sworn duties. What happened to Judge Esther Salas, and her family, and in particular the fatal shooting of her son, Daniel, in his own home, must never happen again," said **Senator Nellie Pou**. "This law, restricting access to home addresses of judges and others who work in our court system will add a needed layer of protection for these public servants."

"As a representative and resident from Middlesex County, I was shocked, heartbroken and angered by the home attack on Judge Salas and her family, which left her husband critically wounded and ended in the death of her son, Daniel," **said Senator Bob Smith**. "The Salas family are among my constituents, so it is important for me that I am a part of any action we take in response to this horrific incident. We have to do more to protect our judges, prosecutors and law enforcement officers from violent retaliation, especially in a time when people are actually planning physical violence against civil servants."

"This measure takes the steps necessary to obscure details about judges that could put them and their families at risk," **said Senator Robert Singer.** "There is so much information exchanged online, and a reckless post or an innocuous comment can, in the wrong hands, be dangerous. Enacting this law will help increase security for judges our legal system relies on."

This Week in New Jersey: November 20th, 2020

11/20/2020



Governor Murphy Signs "Daniel's Law"

Governor Phil Murphy signed legislation (A1649), which protects the home addresses and telephone numbers of judges, prosecutors, and law enforcement officers from public disclosure. The bill, known as "Daniel's Law," is named in honor of Daniel Anderl, the late son of U.S. District Court Judge Esther Salas. Daniel fell victim to a senseless act of gun violence committed by an individual who had compiled a dossier of personal information about Judge Salas, including the judge's home address.

"This is a renewed commitment to ensure our judiciary, prosecutors, and members of law enforcement who answer the call of justice can do so without fear for their personal safety, or that of their loved ones," **said Governor Murphy.** "By shielding the home addresses and private contact information for those who serve on the bench and enforce our laws, we are demonstrating that in the face of unspeakable tragedy, New Jersey responds not with thoughts and prayers, but with concrete action."

"My husband, Mark, and I would like to thank Governor Murphy and all the men and women of the New Jersey State Legislature for enacting this trailblazing legislation," **said U.S. District Court Judge Esther Salas**. "We hope this law can be a steppingstone to improving the security of my sisters and brothers who serve as federal judges throughout the country. Nobody should be forced to endure the kind of pain my family has experienced ever again. Together we can work to ensure that all members of the judiciary (federal, state, and municipal courts) can perform their duties without

fear of retribution or harm. Daniel used to say, 'Mom, I love talking with you.' I know Daniel is listening now, and he is smiling down on us today because he knows that with this bill signing, we are doing our part to ensure that his death will not be in vain."

"To everyone who played a role in getting this done, I thank you. However, our work does not end here. We must extend these privacy protections nationwide, so that no one lives through what Judge Salas and her husband lived through," said U.S. Senator Bob Menendez. "We are living in a time of endless vitriol, rising hate crimes, and increased personal attacks. And while we may not be able to eliminate hatred from someone's heart, we can take action to better protect the men and women of our federal bench. That's why I am proud to see Governor Murphy sign Daniel's Law here in New Jersey– and why I remain committed to the passage of the Daniel Anderl Judicial Security and Privacy Act in Washington."

"No person who takes on the responsibility of serving as a federal judge should ever have to live in fear that they or their family could be targeted by someone who is able to easily access their personal information," **said U.S. Senator Cory Booker.** "Judge Salas and her husband, Mark, have gone through something that no parent should ever have to endure. I am grateful for the leadership of Governor Murphy, Senator Cryan, and Assemblywoman Quijano as we honor the memory of Daniel with a commitment that this should never happen again."

READ MORE

Governor Murphy Signs Executive Order Lowering Limits On Indoor And Outdoor Gatherings

Governor Phil Murphy signed Executive Order No. 196, which lowers indoor and outdoor gathering limits. Effective Tuesday, November 17 at 6:00 a.m., the indoor gathering limit has decreased from 25 to 10 people. The outdoor gathering limit has decreased from 500 people to 150 people, effective Monday, November 23 at 6:00 a.m.



"As we have been saying for weeks, this will not be a normal a holiday season, and it's incumbent on all of us to avoid the type of gatherings that have proven to be particularly dangerous places for COVID-19 to spread," **said Governor Murphy.** "With the alarming numbers we are seeing right now, we have to take these steps today to preserve and protect public health and to slow the spread of this virus."

READ MORE

Governor Murphy
Highlights
Investments In
Critical
Infrastructure
Projects To
Enhance Regional
Port Operations

Governor Phil Murphy, joined by Department of Transportation
Commissioner Diane
Gutierrez-Scaccetti, officials from the Port
Authority of New York and New Jersey, Mayor Ras
Baraka, Mayor Jimmy
Davis, Senator Joseph
Cryan, and labor officials, announced a series of



infrastructure projects that will enhance safety and vehicle movement, including on routes serving the broader regional port operations. The Port of New York and New Jersey is comprised of facilities in both states, serving more than 134 million people regionally. Roughly 500,000 jobs are supported by Port activities, which generate billions of dollars in economic activity each year. The Port is an economic driver not only for New Jersey, but the entire region. Based on record-breaking total cargo volumes in 2019, it is now the busiest port on the East Coast and second busiest port in the nation.

"For decades, New Jersey and our ports have been the corridor through which much of our nation's economic activity has flowed," **said Governor Murphy.** "I'm thrilled that these critical projects will further enhance regional operations and contribute to a stronger, more modern infrastructure that will both deliver safer roads and bridges as well as fuel our state's economic recovery — not just as we work toward the end of the pandemic, but for decades to come. In doing so, the jobs here at the Port, and the jobs created by the infrastructure projects around us will help ensure a stronger, fairer, and more resilient post-COVID economy."

"The New Jersey Department of Transportation has invested hundreds of millions of dollars in advancing projects in the port district that directly and indirectly support the efficient movement of goods," **said Transportation Commissioner Diane Gutierrez-Scaccetti**. "The investment in these Portway projects today ensures our road and bridge network will be able to handle the intermodal freight needs of the future in a safe and efficient manner."

READ MORE

Governor Phil Murphy Announces First Tenants Of The Hub In New Brunswick

Governor Phil Murphy announced Princeton University, Rutgers University, Hackensack Meridian Health and RWJBarnabas Health will be the first tenants of The Hub, a new collaborative site in downtown New Brunswick designed to foster research, entrepreneurship, innovation, and start-up incubation in New Jersey.

"This project is a major step in helping New Jersey reclaim its spot at the top of the innovation economy," **said Governor Murphy.** "With its three core partners and first institutional tenant, The Hub will allow us to



harness the collective experience of two of the country's most prestigious research universities and two of its largest healthcare systems, which will serve to revolutionize research and development in our state."

The New Jersey Economic Development Authority will also serve to drive activity at The Hub.

The primary mission of The Hub, scheduled to open in New Brunswick in 2024, will be to nurture the growth of start-up companies and new technologies in a range of industries and to support the rapid translation and real-world application of innovation so that those new ventures grow and expand the New Jersey economy.

READ MORE

Regional Coalition Of Northeast Governors Announce Colleges Will Be Encouraged To Provide Testing For Students Before Leaving For Thanksgiving Break, Recommend Expanded Remote Instruction To End Fall Semester Safely

New Jersey Governor Phil Murphy, New York Governor Andrew M. Cuomo, Connecticut Governor Ned Lamont, Delaware Governor John Carney, Pennsylvania Governor Tom Wolf, Rhode Island Governor Gina Raimondo, and Massachusetts Governor Charlie Baker announced they will encourage residential colleges and universities in their

respective states to provide testing for all students traveling home for Thanksgiving break to the maximum extent possible before they leave campus. Any student who tests positive will be encouraged to isolate on campus before they can travel or detail arrangements of their safe travel home with the local department of health. These efforts will help mitigate the threat of

COVID-19 UPDATE



New Jersey Governor Phil Murphy • New York Governor Andrew Cuomo •
Pennsylvania Governor Tom Wolf • Delaware Governor John Carney
Connecticut Governor Ned Lamont • Rhode Island Governor Gina Raimondo
Massachusetts Governor Charlie Baker

Urging all of colleges and universities to make COVID testing available to all residential students before they leave for Thanksgiving break.

Any student who tests positive will be encouraged to isolate on campus or – if they are to go home – to make arrangements for their safe travel home in coordination with local health officials.

covid19.nj.gov

college students returning home for the holidays importing COVID-19 into their communities. In addition, colleges should inform students and their families of relevant quarantine policies in their home state.

"With Thanksgiving and the broader holiday season fast approaching, we have to recognize that any large family gathering — particularly among different age groups — runs the risk of turning the dinner table into a COVID hotspot," **said Governor Murphy.** "To reduce the risk of transmission across our region, we are encouraging colleges and universities to ramp up testing for students returning home, and for anyone who tests positive to adhere to their state's quarantine restrictions. If we collectively recommit ourselves to the commonsense mitigation practices that got us through the first wave of this pandemic, we can save lives before a vaccine becomes broadly available."

"As everyone predicted, cases are rising as temperatures drop, and New York is not immune. With the holidays approaching, we are fighting 'living room spread' from small gatherings in private homes -- and adding college students' interstate travel will be like pouring gasoline on a fire," **said New York Governor Cuomo.** "We know this virus does not respect borders, which is why governors from across the region are working together to stop the spread. Colleges and universities have to do their part by testing all students before they leave, informing them about quarantine rules, and keeping classes online between Thanksgiving and Winter Break. We beat back the COVID beast in the spring, and by working together we can do it once again this winter."

"College students returning from highly infected states could accelerate the spread of COVID in Connecticut," **said Connecticut Governor Ned Lamont.** "I appreciate the joint effort of all our regional governors to clearly state the testing/quarantine rules for returning home from college."

"There's no sugarcoating it: this will be a difficult winter," **said Delaware Governor John Carney**. "We are seeing rising cases, hospitalizations and deaths from COVID-19 in our region and across the country as we enter the colder months. The holidays present a significant challenge. I'm thankful for the cooperation in our region, and will continue to urge Delawareans to do what works. Wear a mask. Don't gather with anyone outside your household. Stay vigilant."

"It is our collective responsibility to protect our communities and our most vulnerable from COVID-19 and to continue to work together to get through this pandemic," **said Pennsylvania Governor Tom Wolf**. "These targeted mitigation efforts, combined with existing ones, are paramount to decreasing the spread of COVID-19. We need everyone to be united in wearing a mask, practicing social distancing, and washing our hands in order to save lives and help protect our economies."

"As our COVID cases and hospitalizations continue to rise, it's critical that we come together as a region to slow the spread and keep our constituents safe," **said Rhode Island Governor Gina M. Raimondo.** "We all need to be more vigilant about keeping our circles small and our masks on, while at the same time we're continuing to ramp up

asymptomatic testing across-the-board. This collaborative approach among Northeastern states will help us flatten the curve and contain spread over the Thanksgiving holiday."

"The region is experiencing a surge in COVID cases and a surge in the serious health impacts this disease brings with it. Working together on travel and higher education policies like these, states can have a bigger impact on COVID spread as students travel for the holidays," **said Massachusetts Governor Charlie Baker**. "Gathering with friends and family significantly increases the risk of spreading the virus and while testing and isolation guidelines can help slow the spread, it is up to everyone to wear a mask and avoid gathering indoors with people outside of your household."

READ MORE

Committee Meeting

of

SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

The following nomination(s) will be interviewed:

To be reappointed as an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court

"Honorable Faustino J. Fernandez-Vina, of Barrington, for the term prescribed by law"

LOCATION: Committee Room 6

State House Annex Trenton, New Jersey

DATE: October 22, 2020 12:00 p.m.

MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE PRESENT:

Senator Nellie Pou, Vice Chair

Senator Linda R. Greenstein

Senator Paul A. Sarlo

Senator Bob Smith

Senator Brian P. Stack

Senator Stephen M. Sweeney

Senator Loretta Weinberg

Senator Christopher "Kip" Bateman

Senator Gerald Cardinale

Senator Kristin M. Corrado

Senator Michael J. Doherty



ALSO PRESENT:

David J. Lorette
Office of Legislative Services
Committee Aide

Alison Accettola Senate Majority Committee Aide Michael Molimock Senate Republican Committee Aide

Meeting Recorded and Transcribed by
The Office of Legislative Services, Public Information Office,
Hearing Unit, State House Annex, PO 068, Trenton, New Jersey

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Faustino J. Fernandez-Vina Associate Justice Supreme Court of New Jersey	3
Stuart Rabner Chief Justice Supreme Court of New Jersey	12
mej: 1-12	

SENATOR NELLIE POU (Vice Chair): Good morning everyone. We are now going to start our Senate Judiciary Committee.

At this moment, I am going to ask Mr. Lorette to please take roll call.

MR. LORETTE (Committee Aide): Committee roll call.

Senator Doherty.

SENATOR DOHERTY: Yes.

MR. LORETTE: Senator Corrado.

SENATOR CORRADO: Here.

MR. LORETTE: Senator Cardinale.

SENATOR CARDINALE: Here.

MR. LORETTE: Senator Bateman.

SENATOR BATEMAN: Here.

MR. LORETTE: Senator Weinberg.

SENATOR WEINBERG: Here.

MR. LORETTE: Senator Stack.

SENATOR STACK: Here.

MR. LORETTE: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Here.

MR. LORETTE: Senator Sweeney is subbing in for Senator Singleton, he is marked in as present.

Senator Pou.

SENATOR POU: Here.

MR. LORETTE: Vice Chair, you have a quorum.

SENATOR POU: Thank you for that.

I'd like to begin first by welcoming Chief Justice Stuart Rabner, who has joined us here today for this Senate Judiciary Committee.

And I am going to-- Before I ask our Associate Justice to come up and come before us to take his hearing, I am going to ask you to just hold on for just a moment, Fernandez-Vina. And let me just say how privileged and honored I am to welcome you to these hearings, Associate Justice Fernandez-Vina, who has been nominated -- re-nominated, I should say -- to serve on the State Supreme Court.

Justice Fernandez-Vina was appointed to the State's highest court by Governor Christie is 2013, and he has served there with distinction these past seven years, offering measured, well-argued opinions whether writing for the majority or writing in dissent.

Prior to his service on the bench, Justice Fernandez-Vina was in private practice from 1982 to 2004. He described his former practice as exclusively devoted to civil litigation. He was appointed to the bench by Governor McGreevey in 2004 and was the first Latino nominee to the Superior Court in Camden County.

Upon appointing him Assignment Judge, Chief Justice Rabner stated that Fernandez-Vina brings to the position of Assignment Judge a wealth of experience, a proven and practical approach to addressing issues, superb judgement, and respect of the bench and bar.

Justice Fernandez-Vina has shown a clear eye, common-sense view of the law as it affects all residents of New Jersey. He also brings an important voice to the court, and adds diversity to a panel that seeks to serve the legal needs of the entire state.

I made it a point of providing these particular introductory notes because I've had the pleasure-- I was here serving as a member of the Senate Judiciary Committee when, in fact, he was first appointed, and that was my first interaction with him, back seven years ago. And I had a very good opportunity to have a long dialogue at the time when he was being nominated.

So it's my honor to ask you again, Associate Justice Vina, if you can please come forward so that we can administer the oath.

JUSTICE FAUSTINO J. FERNANDEZ-VINA: Thank you so much Senator Pou.

SENATOR POU: Please, David.

MR. LORETTE: Yes, the administration of the oath.

Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give here today is true, correct, and complete to the best of your knowledge, information, and belief?

JUSTICE FERNANDEZ-VINA: I do.

MR. LORETTE: Thank you.

JUSTICE FERNANDEZ-VINA: If I may?

SENATOR POU: Yes, please.

JUSTICE FERNANDEZ-VINA: Thank you, Senator.

I would like to thank Governor Murphy for nominating me for tenure on the New Jersey Supreme Court, as well as the State for their thoughtful efforts.

I would also like to thank Senate Judiciary Committee Chairperson Senator Pou, as well as the other honorable Senators and

members of the Judiciary Committee, and the rest of the members here, for taking the time to consider my nomination, especially in these trying times.

It has been an honor and a privilege to serve the citizens of our great state as a member of our Judiciary for the past seven years -- the last seven in our Supreme Court. I would be honored to continue serving our State and our citizens in that capacity.

As I said during my confirmation hearing seven years ago: I truly believe in the rule of law. It is what makes our country and our State a beacon for freedom and democracy in the world. I have personally seen as a child -- and relived through my mother, and father, and their friends' stories about their travels, fears, and destroyed dreams -- what can happen when democracy fails and totalitarian regimes come into power with no regard for individual freedoms, or liberty, or democracy.

As a judge -- and now as a justice -- I have kept those lessons at the forefront. I have always based my analysis and decision on the premise that our court's constitutional mandate is to effect the will of the Legislature; to apply the laws as written; and to always be faithful to the rule of law and the separation of powers.

It would be an honor to continue our Supreme Court's legacy and help to uphold the rule of law.

Thank you. I would be pleased to try and answer any of your questions.

SENATOR POU: Thank you so much for your opening remarks.

I'm going to open it up now for anyone who has any questions for our Justice Vina. Questions from any of our members or comments that anyone would wish to make?

Senator Cardinale.

JUSTICE FERNANDEZ-VINA: Good morning, Senator.

SENATOR CARDINALE: Good morning, good morning.

It is almost like yesterday that we heard you say that you will apply the facts to the law. You will apply the law to the facts.

MR. FERNANDEZ-VINA: Yes.

SENATOR CARDINALE: And as I have reviewed your record in recent days, that seems to have been something that you have just characteristically done automatically.

And it is refreshing, almost, that we have people on our Supreme Court who have tended in that direction -- which has not always been the case. We have had our court at times act as a super legislature and create some problems for New Jersey, which we are trying to cope with and trying to overcome for the benefit of the people.

But you have shown yourself to be really true to the law, and it is my great pleasure to be able to support you for tenure.

JUSTICE FERNANDEZ-VINA: Thank you so much, Senator. I truly appreciate your comments.

SENATOR POU: Thank you Senator Cardinale.

And other comments from any of our other members?

Senator Doherty, did I see your hand up?

SENATOR DOHERTY: Yes, is this the time to ask questions?

SENATOR POU: If you have a question, I am happy to recognize you.

SENATOR DOHERTY: Okay.

We have redistricting coming up next year, possibly. And I have a question-- I've always been troubled by this.

The New Jersey Constitution states that when it comes to redistricting and drawing the 40 districts, that you are not supposed to cut up a county in New Jersey unless that county is more than 1/40 of the State population.

And I'm amazed-- This was voted upon by the people of New Jersey back in the 1960's and, you know, we would never think of doing away with the 11th tie-breaking member that's appointed by the Chief Justice to decide these disputes on the map. However, I think that, in fact, the people of New Jersey voted on this. They didn't want counties broken up -- unless you had to -- to make the legislative districts.

And I think this was done because they wanted to make sure that smaller counties had a voice and that they weren't diluted. And so we've seen this trend recently in New Jersey where the map has been approved and given constitutional approval where some of our counties like Somerset, divided into six; and Hunterdon divided into three; my home county divided into two. And I am just amazed that we have a Constitution that seems to be ignored. And then when the Supreme Court takes a look at it, they just ignore these provisions.

And I often ask people, "can you imagine a world where the Chief Justice didn't appoint an 11th tie-breaking member just because he didn't feel like doing it?" And people say, "Well, no, because it's in the

Constitution." While in the next paragraph, it says you can't break up a county unless you have to, and somehow that doesn't matter.

So I just wanted to get your opinion on that, or your thoughts. Does the Constitution matter in New Jersey? And when it says that you shouldn't break counties up unless you have to, how can a court just approve that decade after decade, when that is clearly not doing what the people of New Jersey wanted?

The people of New Jersey voted on this. They went to the polls and they said, "We don't want counties divided." Now how the heck are we in 2020 -- next year 2021 -- and that's going to be totally disregarded, what the people of New Jersey voted upon?

And sorry for being passionate, but I like supporting the Constitution. I think we should look at the Constitution and dust it off every once in a while, and I just wanted to get your comments on that.

JUSTICE FERNANDEZ-VINA: Well, Senator, as I've indicated seven years ago and echoed to Senator Cardinale: I always review the Constitution, I apply it to the facts as I determine the facts to be.

With respect to the statements that you've made, I haven't reviewed that. Perhaps it'll come before the court, and if that's the case, as you know, I can't give you an advisory opinion. I can't tell you how I would rule on something like that because that would be inappropriate.

All I can tell you is that I will uphold my oath, review the Constitution, review the statute and apply the law to the facts. That is all I can promise you.

SENATOR DOHERTY: Okay. Thank you, thank you sir. SENATOR POU: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: So, free legal advice in a statement.

When you do the review, I think you're going to find that those portions of our Constitution have been overruled at the Federal level as not being consistent with "one-person, one-vote."

At one time in New Jersey, we used to have a State senator for every county, which made counties with 3 people in it as powerful as counties with 300,000 people in it. So I think when somebody does the research, in answer to Senator Doherty, it's a matter that the Federal constitution has been interpreted to overrule the provision that he's talking about. Best guess.

But while I have you and the Chief Justice in the room, one of the things we're going to do today is to release a bill -- hopefully -- to provide more safety and security for the judges and prosecutors in New Jersey. And I don't expect you to have any opinion at this point, but before we pass the bill, it would be great if the AOC or the appropriate parties in the judicial branch would let us know whether, number one: Have we gone far enough; and number two: Have we gone too far?

There were multiple opinions in here, including one from the New Jersey Land Title Association, which said we may be creating some problems for judges, especially on the transfer of property, don't expect you to have an answer. But it would be great if the Judiciary would weigh in before we pass the bill.

SENATOR POU: Any other questions?

SENATOR DOHERTY: Yes, this is a very important point, and thank you Senator Smith for bringing it up, because that's common-This whole thing about redistricting.

I agree. It was back in the 60's, they said, under "one-man, one-vote" you can't-- We had a system in New Jersey where every county had one Senator, right, and they said under one man, one vote, that violates it.

And so, actually, we had to scrap what we had. And we came up with a new system of 40 districts, right, and so nobody is saying that a smaller county should have the same amount of power in the Legislature as a larger county like we did in the past.

But what happened in New Jersey is the people went to the polls and they voted to change the Constitution. And so after that decision where you couldn't have one senator for each county, they decided to come up with a system where we had 40 legislative districts, each district represented by one senator and two members of the assembly.

And the people decided that you couldn't divide a county up for an assembly district unless you had to. So right now we have about 225,000 people per legislative district. So if you have a county that's less than 225,000 people, you cannot divide that up to make an assembly district.

And that is not saying that every county should have a Senator and go back to before 1965. That's saying that the people of New Jersey voted to come up with a new way of making our districts, and that you shouldn't divide up the small counties.

SENATOR POU: Senator--

SENATOR DOHERTY: I'm just clarifying because this is a very important point that he brought up.

SENATOR POU: Okay, Senator, hold on. Through the Chair, first of all.

SENATOR DOHERTY: Okay.

SENATOR POU: I appreciate-- In fact, I'm enjoying the conversation, the legal debate that's going on right now.

But we have, today, the re-nomination of our Justice Vina in front of us. That's what is at hand at the moment.

I was giving you some latitude-- I understand how passionate you are, and I certainly recognize it and know how you are. I am happy to allow that discussion.

But right now our focus should be right on what we're doing here at hand, not the debate or dispute about the legal proceedings and terms of something that may or may not come before the Supreme Court, for this discussion.

So I'm going to ask you-- Thank you very much for your comments. I'm going to ask for us to return back to the vote at hand and the point of what we're doing.

With that being said, I am going to ask any members if they have any questions or comments directly towards the re-nomination of Justice Fernandez-Vina. And if not, I am going to ask for a motion. It's been moved by Senator Sweeney, and it's been seconded by so many of our members -- Senator Brian Stack, Senator Bateman, and Senator Cardinale.

I am going to give you all the opportunity to be acknowledged in terms of that support and that motion.

With that, a roll call please.

MR. LORETTE: Committee roll call.

Senator Doherty.

SENATOR DOHERTY: Yes.

MR. LORETTE: Thank you.

MR. LORETTE: Senator Corrado.

SENATOR CORRADO: Yes.

MR. LORETTE: Senator Cardinale.

SENATOR CARDINALE: Yes.

MR. LORETTE: Senator Bateman.

SENATOR BATEMAN: Yes.

MR. LORETTE: Senator Weinberg.

SENATOR WEINBERG: I am certainly going to vote yes.

I'm sorry that we didn't reach out and have an opportunity to have a person-to-person meeting. I read the opinions that you've written that were submitted to the committee, and I look forward to voting yes.

JUSTICE FERNANDEZ-VINA: Thank you Senator Weinberg.

MR. LORETTE: Senator Stack.

SENATOR STACK: Yes.

MR. LORETTE: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Yes.

MR. LORETTE: Senator Sweeney.

SENATOR SWEENEY: Yes.

MR. LORETE: Senator Pou.

SENATOR POU: Yes.

MR. LORETTE: The nomination is released.

SENATOR POU: Thank you so very much.

Congratulations to you, Justice.

JUSTICE FERNANDEZ-VINA: Thank you very much.

It's an honor to serve the State and to appear before this legislative body.

SENATOR POU: Thank you so very much.

JUSTICE FERNANDEZ-VINA: Thank you so much.

SENATOR POU: Chief Justice Rabner, before you leave I don't want to ignore the fact that if you wanted to make any comments before we conclude this, we're happy-- If not, we welcome and we thank you for being here.

CHIEF JUSTICE STUART RABNER: Thank you, I'm delighted that you have passed on this extraordinary candidate.

SENATOR POU: Thank you. Thank you so very much.

(MEETING CONCLUDED)