52:4D-13

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST

Compiled by the NJ State Law Library

LAWS OF: 2003 **CHAPTER**: 195

NJSA: 52:4D-13 (Establishes \$50 maximum total appeal bond)

BILL NO: S2738 (Substituted for A3717)

SPONSOR: Kavanaugh and others

DATE INTRODUCED: June 30, 2003

COMMITTEE: ASSEMBLY: ----

SENATE: Budget and Appropriations

AMENDED DURING PASSAGE: Yes

DATE OF PASSAGE: ASSEMBLY: June 30, 2003

SENATE: June 30, 2003

DATE OF APPROVAL: November 21, 2003

FOLLOWING ARE ATTACHED IF AVAILABLE:

FINAL TEXT OF BILL (1st reprint enacted)

(Amendments during passage denoted by superscript numbers)

S2738

SPONSORS STATEMENT: (Begins on page 3 of original bill)

Yes

COMMITTEE STATEMENT: ASSEMBLY: No.

SENATE: Yes

FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT: No

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE: No

A3717

SPONSORS STATEMENT: (Begins on page 3 of original bill)

Yes

Bill and Sponsors Statement identical to S2738

COMMITTEE STATEMENT: <u>ASSEMBLY</u>: <u>Yes</u>

SENATE: No

FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT: No

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE: No

VETO MESSAGE: No

GOVERNOR'S PRESS RELEASE ON SIGNING: No

FOLLOWING WERE PRINTED:

To check for circulating copies, contact New Jersey State Government Publications at the State Library (609) 278-2640 ext. 103 or mailto:refdesk@njstatelib.org.

REPORTS:	No
HEARINGS:	No
NEWSPAPER ARTICLES:	No

P.L. 2003, CHAPTER 195, approved November 21, 2003 Senate, No. 2738 (First Reprint)

1 AN ACT concerning tobacco product manufacturer signatories to a
2 master settlement agreement and supplementing Title 52 of the
3 Revised Statutes.

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. a. The Legislature finds and declares that:
- (1) New Jersey receives hundreds of millions of dollars annually as a result of the Master Settlement Agreement. These funds have been used to provide important services for the citizens of the State, including tobacco-use prevention, elder care, pharmaceutical assistance, health insurance for the working poor, cancer research, and school renovation and construction. If this reliable revenue stream were jeopardized, the State might be forced to cut many vital services and programs.
- (2) Recent jury verdicts in private litigation against tobacco manufacturers who were signatories to the Master Settlement Agreement have resulted in a \$145 billion class action judgment, which is on appeal, and other large judgments. A plaintiff can typically collect such judgments while an appeal is proceeding, meaning that a defendant's assets can be taken even while it appeals.
- (3) A defendant can prevent a plaintiff from taking its assets while it appeals in two ways, by posting a bond under State law or by declaring bankruptcy. If a tobacco company faced with a large judgment could not afford to post a bond under State law it might be forced to declare bankruptcy, and this could interrupt the flow of payments to the State under the Master Settlement Agreement. This would hurt the residents of New Jersey.
- (4) New Jersey law requires a defendant to post a bond at least equal to the full amount of the judgment. This may not be possible for the signatories to the Master Settlement Agreement in light of the size of the judgments they are facing. The Legislature finds that it is strongly in the public interest to ensure that a Master Settlement Agreement signatory has access to a full appeal of an adverse judgment before its financial soundness, and its payments to the State, are threatened by the judgment, and thus to ensure that a Master Settlement Agreement signatory is not forced into bankruptcy due to its inability to post a bond pending appeal of an adverse judgment. In

EXPLANATION - Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and intended to be omitted in the law.

Matter underlined \underline{thus} is new matter.

Matter enclosed in superscript numerals has been adopted as follows:

¹ Senate SBA committee amendments adopted June 30, 2003.

furtherance of this compelling public interest the Legislature finds that
 a maximum appeal bond should be established for cases involving
 Master Settlement Agreement signatories, successors and affiliates.

- 4 (5) The Legislature declares that nothing in this act, P.L. 5 (C.) (now before the Legislature as this bill), is intended to affect the liability of a tobacco manufacturer in any litigation. This act merely 6 ensures that a Master Settlement Agreement signatory, a successor of 7 8 a signatory, or any affiliate of a signatory, can fully appeal an adverse 9 judgment, thereby avoiding the necessity of seeking a stay in the 10 bankruptcy court. This, in turn, will protect not only New Jersey but 11 all states participating in the Master Settlement Agreement by preserving the uninterrupted flow of tobacco settlement revenues. 12
- b. In order to secure and protect the monies to be received as a 13 14 result of the Master Settlement Agreement, as defined in section 2 of 15 P.L.1999, c.148 (C.52:4D-2), in civil litigation under any legal theory involving a signatory, a successor of a signatory, or any affiliate of a 16 17 signatory to the Master Settlement Agreement, the appeal bond to be furnished during the pendency of all appeals or discretionary reviews 18 by any appellate courts in order to stay the execution of any judgment 19 20 granting legal, equitable or other relief during the entire course of 21 appellate review shall be set in accordance with applicable laws or 22 court rules, except that the total appeal bond that is required of all appellants collectively shall not exceed 1 [\$25,000,000] $$50,000,000^{1}$, 23 24 regardless of the value of the judgment.
 - c. Notwithstanding subsection b. of this section, if an appellee proves by a preponderance of the evidence that an appellant is dissipating assets outside the ordinary course of business to avoid payment of a judgment, a court may enter orders that:
 - (1) are necessary to protect the appellee; and
 - (2) require the appellant to post a bond in an amount up to the total amount of the judgment.
 - 2. This act shall take effect immediately and shall apply to all cases pending or filed on or after its effective date.

25

26

27

2829

30

313233

34

3536

38

Establishes \$50 million maximum total appeal bond to stay execution of judgments related to tobacco Master Settlement Agreement.

SENATE, No. 2738

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

210th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED JUNE 30, 2003

Sponsored by: Senator WALTER J. KAVANAUGH District 16 (Morris and Somerset)

SYNOPSIS

Establishes \$25 million maximum total appeal bond to stay execution of judgments related to tobacco Master Settlement Agreement.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



1 AN ACT concerning tobacco product manufacturer signatories to a 2 master settlement agreement and supplementing Title 52 of the 3 Revised Statutes.

4

5 BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey: 6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

45

- 1. a. The Legislature finds and declares that:
- (1) New Jersey receives hundreds of millions of dollars annually as a result of the Master Settlement Agreement. These funds have been used to provide important services for the citizens of the State, including tobacco-use prevention, elder care, pharmaceutical assistance, health insurance for the working poor, cancer research, and school renovation and construction. If this reliable revenue stream were jeopardized, the State might be forced to cut many vital services and programs.
- (2) Recent jury verdicts in private litigation against tobacco manufacturers who were signatories to the Master Settlement Agreement have resulted in a \$145 billion class action judgment, which is on appeal, and other large judgments. A plaintiff can typically collect such judgments while an appeal is proceeding, meaning that a defendant's assets can be taken even while it appeals.
- (3) A defendant can prevent a plaintiff from taking its assets while it appeals in two ways, by posting a bond under State law or by declaring bankruptcy. If a tobacco company faced with a large judgment could not afford to post a bond under State law it might be forced to declare bankruptcy, and this could interrupt the flow of payments to the State under the Master Settlement Agreement. This would hurt the residents of New Jersey.
- (4) New Jersey law requires a defendant to post a bond at least equal to the full amount of the judgment. This may not be possible for the signatories to the Master Settlement Agreement in light of the size of the judgments they are facing. The Legislature finds that it is strongly in the public interest to ensure that a Master Settlement Agreement signatory has access to a full appeal of an adverse judgment before its financial soundness, and its payments to the State, are threatened by the judgement, and thus to ensure that a Master Settlement Agreement signatory is not forced into bankruptcy due to its inability to post a bond pending appeal of an adverse judgement. In furtherance of this compelling public interest the Legislature finds that a maximum appeal bond should be established for cases involving Master Settlement Agreement signatories, successors and affiliates.
- (5) The Legislature declares that nothing in this act, P.L. 44 (C.) (now before the Legislature as this bill), is intended to affect the liability of a tobacco manufacture in any litigation. This act merely ensures that a Master Settlement Agreement signatory, a successor of 46

S2738 KAVANAUGH

3

a signatory, or any affiliate of a signatory, can fully appeal an adverse judgement, thereby avoiding the necessity of seeking a stay in the bankruptcy court. This, in turn, will protect not only New Jersey but all states participating in the Master Settlement Agreement by preserving the uninterrupted flow of tobacco settlement revenues.

- 6 b. In order to secure and protect the monies to be received as a 7 result of the Master Settlement Agreement, as defined in section 2 of 8 P.L.1999, c.148 (C.52:4D-2), in civil litigation under any legal theory 9 involving a signatory, a successor of a signatory, or any affiliate of a 10 signatory to the Master Settlement Agreement, the appeal bond to be furnished during the pendency of all appeals or discretionary reviews 11 12 by any appellate courts in order to stay the execution of any judgment 13 granting legal, equitable or other relief during the entire course of 14 appellate review shall be set in accordance with applicable laws or 15 court rules, except that the total appeal bond that is required of all appellants collectively shall not exceed \$25,000,000, regardless of the 16 value of the judgment. 17
 - c. Notwithstanding subsection b. of this section, if an appellee proves by a preponderance of the evidence that an appellant is dissipating assets outside the ordinary course of business to avoid payment of a judgment, a court may enter orders that:
 - (1) are necessary to protect the appellee; and
 - (2) require the appellant to post a bond in an amount up to the total amount of the judgment.

2. This act shall take effect immediately and shall apply to all cases pending or filed on or after its effective date.

282930

27

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

STATEMENT

3132

3334

35

36

This bill establishes a maximum bond required to stay an execution of a judgment in any civil action under any legal theory involving a signatory, a successor of a signatory or any affiliate of a signatory to the Master Settlement Agreement, defined in N.J.S.A.52:4D-2, during the course of all appeals in the amount of \$25 million.

SENATE BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

SENATE, No. 2738

with committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JUNE 30, 2003

The Senate Budget and Appropriations Committee reports favorably and with committee amendments Senate Bill No. 2738.

This bill establishes a maximum bond required to stay an execution of a judgment in any civil action under any legal theory involving a signatory, a successor of a signatory or any affiliate of a signatory to the Master Settlement Agreement, defined in N.J.S.A.52:4D-2, during the course of all appeals in the amount of \$50 million.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS

Committee amendments to this bill increase the ceiling on the bond requirement to \$50 million from \$25 million.

FISCAL IMPACT

This bill has not been certified as having a fiscal impact.

ASSEMBLY, No. 3717

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

210th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED JUNE 30, 2003

Sponsored by:
Assemblyman ALBIO SIRES
District 33 (Hudson)
Assemblyman JOSEPH J. ROBERTS, JR.
District 5 (Camden and Gloucester)

SYNOPSIS

Establishes \$25 million maximum total appeal bond to stay execution of judgments related to tobacco Master Settlement Agreement.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



AN ACT concerning tobacco product manufacturer signatories to a master settlement agreement and supplementing Title 52 of the Revised Statutes.

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. a. The Legislature finds and declares that:
- (1) New Jersey receives hundreds of millions of dollars annually as a result of the Master Settlement Agreement. These funds have been used to provide important services for the citizens of the State, including tobacco-use prevention, elder care, pharmaceutical assistance, health insurance for the working poor, cancer research, and school renovation and construction. If this reliable revenue stream were jeopardized, the State might be forced to cut many vital services and programs.
- (2) Recent jury verdicts in private litigation against tobacco manufacturers who were signatories to the Master Settlement Agreement have resulted in a \$145 billion class action judgment, which is on appeal, and other large judgments. A plaintiff can typically collect such judgments while an appeal is proceeding, meaning that a defendant's assets can be taken even while it appeals.
- (3) A defendant can prevent a plaintiff from taking its assets while it appeals in two ways, by posting a bond under State law or by declaring bankruptcy. If a tobacco company faced with a large judgment could not afford to post a bond under State law it might be forced to declare bankruptcy, and this could interrupt the flow of payments to the State under the Master Settlement Agreement. This would hurt the residents of New Jersey.
- (4) New Jersey law requires a defendant to post a bond at least equal to the full amount of the judgment. This may not be possible for the signatories to the Master Settlement Agreement in light of the size of the judgments they are facing. The Legislature finds that it is strongly in the public interest to ensure that a Master Settlement Agreement signatory has access to a full appeal of an adverse judgment before its financial soundness, and its payments to the State, are threatened by the judgement, and thus to ensure that a Master Settlement Agreement signatory is not forced into bankruptcy due to its inability to post a bond pending appeal of an adverse judgement. In furtherance of this compelling public interest the Legislature finds that a maximum appeal bond should be established for cases involving Master Settlement Agreement signatories, successors and affiliates.
- 43 (5) The Legislature declares that nothing in this act, P.L., c. 44 (C.) (now before the Legislature as this bill), is intended to affect the 45 liability of a tobacco manufacture in any litigation. This act merely 46 ensures that a Master Settlement Agreement signatory, a successor of

A3717 SIRES, ROBERTS

7

a signatory, or any affiliate of a signatory, can fully appeal an adverse judgement, thereby avoiding the necessity of seeking a stay in the bankruptcy court. This, in turn, will protect not only New Jersey but all states participating in the Master Settlement Agreement by preserving the uninterrupted flow of tobacco settlement revenues.

- 6 b. In order to secure and protect the monies to be received as a 7 result of the Master Settlement Agreement, as defined in section 2 of 8 P.L.1999, c.148 (C.52:4D-2), in civil litigation under any legal theory 9 involving a signatory, a successor of a signatory, or any affiliate of a signatory to the Master Settlement Agreement, the appeal bond to be 10 furnished during the pendency of all appeals or discretionary reviews 11 12 by any appellate courts in order to stay the execution of any judgment 13 granting legal, equitable or other relief during the entire course of 14 appellate review shall be set in accordance with applicable laws or 15 court rules, except that the total appeal bond that is required of all appellants collectively shall not exceed \$25,000,000, regardless of the 16 17 value of the judgment.
 - c. Notwithstanding subsection b. of this section, if an appellee proves by a preponderance of the evidence that an appellant is dissipating assets outside the ordinary course of business to avoid payment of a judgment, a court may enter orders that:
 - (1) are necessary to protect the appellee; and
 - (2) require the appellant to post a bond in an amount up to the total amount of the judgment.

2. This act shall take effect immediately and shall apply to all cases pending or filed on or after its effective date.

282930

27

18 19

20

21

22

23

242526

STATEMENT

3132

3334

35

36

This bill establishes a maximum bond required to stay an execution of a judgment in any civil action under any legal theory involving a signatory, a successor of a signatory or any affiliate of a signatory to the Master Settlement Agreement, defined in N.J.S.A. 52:4D-2, during the course of all appeals in the amount of \$25 million.

ASSEMBLY BUDGET COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 3717

with Assembly committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JULY 1, 2003

The Assembly Budget Committee reports favorably Assembly Bill No. 3717, with committee amendments.

Assembly Bill No. 3717, as amended, establishes a maximum bond required to stay an execution of a judgement in any civil action under any legal theory involving a signatory, a successor of a signatory or any affiliate of a signatory to the Master Settlement Agreement, defined in N.J.S.A. 52:4D-2, during the course of all appeals in the amount of \$50 million.

FISCAL IMPACT:

This bill has no determinable fiscal impact. The bill establishes a maximum bond amount of \$50 million to protect the continuing economic viability of a signatory, a successor of a signatory or any affiliate of a signatory to the Master Settlement Agreement. Protecting the economic viability of the tobacco companies protects the flow of funds from the companies to the States, including New Jersey.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS:

The amendments increase the maximum bond amount from \$25 million to \$50 million.