39:4-50.16a and 39:4-50.17b et al. LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST

Compiled by the NJ State Law Library

LAWS OF: 2019 **CHAPTER**: 248

NJSA: 39:4-50.16a and 39:4-50.17b et al. (Revises certain drunk driving penalties; expands use of ignition interlock

devices.)

BILL NO: S824 (Substituted for A2089 (ACS))

SPONSOR(S) Nicholas P. Scutari and others

DATE INTRODUCED: 1/9/2018

COMMITTEE: ASSEMBLY: ---

SENATE: SJU

Budget & Appropriations

AMENDED DURING PASSAGE: Yes

DATE OF PASSAGE: ASSEMBLY: 6/20/2019

SENATE: 6/20/2019

DATE OF APPROVAL: 8/23/2019

FOLLOWING ARE ATTACHED IF AVAILABLE:

FINAL TEXT OF BILL

(Senate Committee Substitute (First Reprint) for Senate Committee Substitute enacted) Yes

S824

SPONSOR'S STATEMENT: (Begins on page 13 of introduced bill) Yes

COMMITTEE STATEMENT: ASSEMBLY: No

SENATE: Yes Judiciary

Budget & Appropriations

(Audio archived recordings of the committee meetings, corresponding to the date of the committee statement, *may possibly* be found at www.njleg.state.nj.us)

FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT: Yes

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE: Yes

A2089

SPONSOR'S STATEMENT: (Begins on page 14 of introduced bill) Yes

COMMITTEE STATEMENT: ASSEMBLY: Yes Judiciary

Appropriations

SENATE: No.

(Audio archived recordings of the committee meetings, corresponding to the date of the committee statement, *may possibly* be found at www.njleg.state.nj.us)

FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT:

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE:	Yes
VETO MESSAGE:	No
GOVERNOR'S PRESS RELEASE ON SIGNING:	Yes
FOLLOWING WERE PRINTED: To check for circulating copies, contact New Jersey State Government Publications at the State Library (609) 278-2640 ext.103 or mailto:refdesk@njstateli	b.org
REPORTS:	No
HEARINGS:	No
NEWSPAPER ARTICLES:	No

RWH/JA

P.L. 2019, CHAPTER 248, approved August 23, 2019

Senate Committee Substitute (*First Reprint*) for Senate Committee Substitute for Senate, No. 824

AN ACT concerning certain drunk driving offenses, amending various parts of the statutory law, and supplementing P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.).

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. (New section) The Legislature finds and declares that:
- a. State law has required repeat drunk drivers and drunk drivers with a high blood alcohol concentration (BAC) to install an ignition interlock device since January 2001, but installation of these devices is not mandatory for other first time offenders.
- b. Because a majority of drunk drivers, including first time offenders, often continue to drive with suspended licenses, ignition interlock devices are more effective in deterring drunk driving than license suspension.
- c. Ignition interlock devices are paid for by the offender and constitute a low cost solution to a dangerous and often fatal activity that imposes large social and economic costs on society. Studies indicate that the potential for interlock device programs to prevent alcohol-involved driving and alcohol-related crashes is most significant when the program is applied to a broader cross-section of offenders and a higher proportion of offenders are required to install the devices. To protect the public safety, states that currently do not require mandatory participation for all first time offenders should adopt strong interlock device programs to prevent future costly alcohol-related fatal crashes.
- d. For example, according to a recent national study by the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS), state laws mandating interlock devices for drunk drivers reduced the number of drivers in fatal crashes with a blood alcohol content of 0.08 percent or higher by 16 percent compared to states with no interlock law, three percent when ignition interlock devices were required for repeat offenders, and eight percent when required for first time and repeat offenders.

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

- e. Reportedly, ignition interlock devices have prevented more than 73,740 attempts to drive with a BAC over the legal limit of 0.08 percent in this State over the past 11 years.
- Numerous organizations support requiring the use of ignition interlock devices by all convicted drunk drivers, including all first-time offenders, including: Mothers Against Drunk Driving, Advocates for Auto and Highway Safety, American Automobile Association, American Trucking Association, Auto Alliance, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Foundation for Advancing Alcohol Responsibility, Insurance Institute for Highway Safety, International Association of Chiefs of Police, National Academy of Sciences, National Football League, National Safety Council, and National Transportation Safety Board.
 - g. Therefore, it is fitting and proper to require all first time drunk driving offenders in this State, not just high BAC offenders, to install an ignition interlock device.

2. R.S.39:4-50 is amended to read as follows:

39:4-50. (a) **[**Except as provided in subsection (g) of this section, a **]** A person who operates a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, narcotic, hallucinogenic or habit-producing drug, or operates a motor vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08% or more by weight of alcohol in the defendant's blood or permits another person who is under the influence of intoxicating liquor, narcotic, hallucinogenic or habit-producing drug to operate a motor vehicle **[**owned by him or in his **]** the person owns or which is in the person's custody or control or permits another to operate a motor vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08% or more by weight of alcohol in the defendant's blood shall be subject:

- (1) For the first offense:
- (i) if the person's blood alcohol concentration is 0.08% or higher but less than 0.10%, or the person operates a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, or the person permits another person who is under the influence of intoxicating liquor to operate a motor vehicle owned by him or in his custody or control or permits another person with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08% or higher but less than 0.10% to operate a motor vehicle, to a fine of not less than \$250 nor more than \$400 and a period of detainment of not less than 12 hours nor more than 48 hours spent during two consecutive days of not less than six hours each day and served as prescribed by the program requirements of the Intoxicated Driver Resource Centers established under subsection (f) of this section and, in the discretion of the court, a term of imprisonment of not more than 30 days [and] . In addition, the court shall [forthwith] order the person to forfeit

- 1 [his] the right to operate a motor vehicle over the highways of this
- 2 State [for a period of three months] until the person installs an
- 3 ignition interlock device in one motor vehicle the person owns,
- 4 leases, or principally operates, whichever the person most often
- 5 operates, for the purpose of complying with the provisions of
- 6 P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.);

(ii) if the person's blood alcohol concentration is 0.10% or higher, or the person operates a motor vehicle while under the influence of narcotic, hallucinogenic or habit-producing drug, or the person permits another person who is under the influence of narcotic, hallucinogenic or habit-producing drug to operate a motor vehicle owned by him or in his custody or control, or permits another person with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.10% or more to operate a motor vehicle, to a fine of not less than \$300 nor more than \$500 and a period of detainment of not less than 12 hours nor more than 48 hours spent during two consecutive days of not less than six hours each day and served as prescribed by the program requirements of the Intoxicated Driver Resource Centers established under subsection (f) of this section and, in the discretion of the court, a term of imprisonment of not more than 30 days [and];

in the case of a person who is convicted of operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of a narcotic, hallucinogenic or habit-producing drug or permitting another person who is under the influence of narcotic, hallucinogenic or habit-producing drug to operate a motor vehicle owned by the person or under the person's custody or control, the person shall [forthwith] forfeit [his] the right to operate a motor vehicle over the highways of this State for a period of not less than seven months nor more than one year;

in the case of a person whose blood alcohol concentration is 0.10% or higher but less than 0.15%, the person shall forfeit the right to operate a motor vehicle over the highways of this State until the person installs an ignition interlock device in one motor vehicle the person owns, leases, or principally operates, whichever the person most often operates, for the purpose of complying with the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.);

in the case of a person whose blood alcohol concentration is 0.15% or higher, the person shall forfeit the right to operate a motor vehicle over the highways of this State for a period of not less than four months or more than six months following installation of an ignition interlock device in one motor vehicle the person owns, leases, or principally operates, whichever the person most often operates, for the purpose of complying with the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.);

(iii) [For a first offense, a person also shall be subject to the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.).] Deleted by amendment, P.L. c. (pending before the Legislature as this bill)

(2) For a second violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of not less than \$500 nor more than \$1,000, and shall be ordered by the court to perform community service for a period of 30 days, which shall be of such form and on [such] terms [as] the court shall deem appropriate under the circumstances, and shall be sentenced to imprisonment for a term of not less than 48 consecutive hours, which shall not be suspended or served on probation, [nor] or more than 90 days, and shall forfeit [his] the right to operate a motor vehicle over the highways of this State for a period of not less than one year or more than two years upon conviction [, and after].

After the expiration of [said] the license forfeiture period, [he] the person may make application to the Chief Administrator of the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission for a license to operate a motor vehicle, which application may be granted at the discretion of the chief administrator, consistent with subsection (b) of this section. For a second violation, a person also shall be required to install an ignition interlock device under the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.).

(3) For a third or subsequent violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of \$1,000, and shall be sentenced to imprisonment for a term of not less than 180 days in a county jail or workhouse, except that the court may lower such term for each day, not exceeding 90 days, served participating in a drug or alcohol inpatient rehabilitation program approved by the Intoxicated Driver Resource Center and shall thereafter forfeit [his] the right to operate a motor vehicle over the highways of this State for [10] eight years.

For a third or subsequent violation, a person also shall be required to install an ignition interlock device under the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.).

As used in this section, the phrase "narcotic, hallucinogenic or habit-producing drug" includes an inhalant or other substance containing a chemical capable of releasing any toxic vapors or fumes for the purpose of inducing a condition of intoxication, such as any glue, cement or any other substance containing one or more of the following chemical compounds: acetone and acetate, amyl nitrite or amyl nitrate or their isomers, benzene, butyl alcohol, butyl nitrite, butyl nitrate or their isomers, ethyl acetate, ethyl alcohol, ethyl nitrite or ethyl nitrate, ethylene dichloride, isobutyl alcohol or isopropyl alcohol, methyl alcohol, methyl ethyl ketone, nitrous oxide, n-propyl alcohol, pentachlorophenol, petroleum ether, propyl nitrite or propyl nitrate or their isomers, toluene, toluol or xylene or any other chemical substance capable of causing a condition of intoxication, inebriation, excitement, stupefaction or the dulling of the brain or nervous system as a result of the inhalation of the fumes or vapors of such chemical substance.

Whenever an operator of a motor vehicle has been involved in an accident resulting in death, bodily injury or property damage, a police officer shall consider that fact along with all other facts and circumstances in determining whether there are reasonable grounds to believe that person was operating a motor vehicle in violation of this section.

A conviction of a violation of a law of a substantially similar nature in another jurisdiction, regardless of whether that jurisdiction is a signatory to the Interstate Driver License Compact pursuant to P.L.1966, c.73 (C.39:5D-1 et seq.), shall constitute a prior conviction under this subsection unless the defendant can demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that the conviction in the other jurisdiction was based exclusively upon a violation of a proscribed blood alcohol concentration of less than 0.08%.

If the driving privilege of any person is under revocation or suspension for a violation of any provision of this Title or Title 2C of the New Jersey Statutes at the time of any conviction for a violation of this section, the revocation or suspension period imposed shall commence as of the date of termination of the existing revocation or suspension period. In the case of any person who at the time of the imposition of sentence is less than 17 years of age, the forfeiture, suspension or revocation of the driving privilege imposed by the court under this section shall commence immediately, run through the offender's seventeenth birthday and continue from that date for the period set by the court pursuant to paragraphs (1) through (3) of this subsection. A court that imposes a term of imprisonment for a first or second offense under this section may sentence the person so convicted to the county jail, to the workhouse of the county wherein the offense was committed, to an inpatient rehabilitation program or to an Intoxicated Driver Resource Center or other facility approved by the chief of the Intoxicated Driving Program Unit in the <u>Division of Mental Health</u> and Addiction Services in the Department of Health. For a third or subsequent offense a person shall not serve a term of imprisonment at an Intoxicated Driver Resource Center as provided in subsection (f).

A person who has been convicted of a previous violation of this section need not be charged as a second or subsequent offender in the complaint made against him in order to render him liable to the punishment imposed by this section on a second or subsequent offender, but if the second offense occurs more than 10 years after the first offense, the court shall treat the second conviction as a first offense for sentencing purposes and if a third offense occurs more than 10 years after the second offense, the court shall treat the third conviction as a second offense for sentencing purposes.

(b) A person convicted under this section must satisfy the screening, evaluation, referral, program and fee requirements of the

1 Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services' Intoxicated 2 Driving Program Unit, and of the Intoxicated Driver Resource 3 Centers and a program of alcohol and drug education and highway 4 safety, as prescribed by the chief administrator. The sentencing 5 court shall inform the person convicted that failure to satisfy such 6 requirements shall result in a mandatory two-day term of 7 imprisonment in a county jail and a driver license revocation or 8 suspension and continuation of revocation or suspension until such 9 requirements are satisfied, unless stayed by court order in 10 accordance with the Rules Governing the Courts of the State of 11 New Jersey, or R.S.39:5-22. Upon sentencing, the court shall 12 forward to the Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services' 13 Intoxicated Driving Program Unit a copy of a person's conviction 14 record. A fee of \$100 shall be payable to the Alcohol Education, 15 Rehabilitation and Enforcement Fund established pursuant to 16 section 3 of P.L.1983, c.531 (C.26:2B-32) to support the 17 Intoxicated Driving Program Unit.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

3637

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

- (c) Upon conviction of a violation of this section, the court shall collect forthwith the New Jersey driver's license or licenses of the person so convicted and forward such license or licenses to the chief administrator. The court shall inform the person convicted that if he is convicted of personally operating a motor vehicle during the period of license suspension imposed pursuant to subsection (a) of this section, he shall, upon conviction, be subject to the penalties established in R.S.39:3-40. The person convicted shall be informed orally and in writing. A person shall be required to acknowledge receipt of that written notice in writing. Failure to receive a written notice or failure to acknowledge in writing the receipt of a written notice shall not be a defense to a subsequent charge of a violation of R.S.39:3-40. In the event that a person convicted under this section is the holder of any out-of-State driver's license, the court shall not collect the license but shall notify forthwith the chief administrator, who shall, in turn, notify appropriate officials in the licensing jurisdiction. The court shall, however, revoke the nonresident's driving privilege to operate a motor vehicle in this State, in accordance with this section. Upon conviction of a violation of this section, the court shall notify the person convicted, orally and in writing, of the penalties for a second, third or subsequent violation of this section. A person shall be required to acknowledge receipt of that written notice in writing. Failure to receive a written notice or failure to acknowledge in writing the receipt of a written notice shall not be a defense to a subsequent charge of a violation of this section.
- (d) The chief administrator shall promulgate rules and regulations pursuant to the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.) in order to establish a program of alcohol education and highway safety, as prescribed by this act.

(e) Any person accused of a violation of this section who is liable to punishment imposed by this section as a second or subsequent offender shall be entitled to the same rights of discovery as allowed defendants pursuant to the Rules Governing the Courts of the State of New Jersey.

(f) The counties, in cooperation with the Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services and the commission, but subject to the approval of the Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services, shall designate and establish on a county or regional basis Intoxicated Driver Resource Centers. These centers shall have the capability of serving as community treatment referral centers and as court monitors of a person's compliance with the ordered treatment, service alternative or community service. All centers established pursuant to this subsection shall be administered by a counselor certified by the [Alcohol and Drug Counselor] Addiction Professionals Certification Board of New Jersey or other professional with a minimum of five years' experience in the treatment of alcoholism. All centers shall be required to develop individualized treatment plans for all persons attending the centers; provided that the duration of any ordered treatment or referral shall not exceed one year. It shall be the center's responsibility to establish networks with the community alcohol and drug education, treatment and rehabilitation resources and to receive monthly reports from the referral agencies regarding a person's participation and compliance with the program. Nothing in this subsection shall bar these centers from developing their own education and treatment programs; provided that they are approved by the Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services.

Upon a person's failure to report to the initial screening or any subsequent ordered referral, the Intoxicated Driver Resource Center shall promptly notify the sentencing court of the person's failure to comply.

Required detention periods at the Intoxicated Driver Resource Centers shall be determined according to the individual treatment classification assigned by the Intoxicated Driving Program Unit. Upon attendance at an Intoxicated Driver Resource Center, a person shall be required to pay a per diem fee of \$75 for the first offender program or a per diem fee of \$100 for the second offender program, as appropriate. Any increases in the per diem fees after the first full year shall be determined pursuant to rules and regulations adopted by the Commissioner of Health in consultation with the Governor's Council on Alcoholism and Drug Abuse pursuant to the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.).

The centers shall conduct a program of alcohol and drug education and highway safety, as prescribed by the chief administrator.

The Commissioner of Health shall adopt rules and regulations pursuant to the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.), in order to effectuate the purposes of this subsection.

(g) [When a violation of this section occurs while:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

- (1) on any school property used for school purposes which is owned by or leased to any elementary or secondary school or school board, or within 1,000 feet of such school property;
- (2) driving through a school crossing as defined in R.S.39:1-1 if the municipality, by ordinance or resolution, has designated the school crossing as such; or
- (3) driving through a school crossing as defined in R.S.39:1-1 knowing that juveniles are present if the municipality has not designated the school crossing as such by ordinance or resolution, the convicted person shall: for a first offense, be fined not less than \$500 or more than \$800, be imprisoned for not more than 60 days and have his license to operate a motor vehicle suspended for a period of not less than one year or more than two years; for a second offense, be fined not less than \$1,000 or more than \$2,000, perform community service for a period of 60 days, be imprisoned for not less than 96 consecutive hours, which shall not be suspended or served on probation, nor more than 180 days, except that the court may lower such term for each day, not exceeding 90 days, served performing community service in such form and on such terms as the court shall deem appropriate under the circumstances and have his license to operate a motor vehicle suspended for a period of four years; and, for a third offense, be fined \$2,000, imprisoned for 180 days in a county jail or workhouse, except that the court may lower such term for each day, not exceeding 90 days, served participating in a drug or alcohol inpatient rehabilitation program approved by the Intoxicated Driver Resource Center, and have his license to operate a motor vehicle suspended for a period of 20 years; the period of license suspension shall commence upon the completion of any prison sentence imposed upon that person.

A map or true copy of a map depicting the location and boundaries of the area on or within 1,000 feet of any property used for school purposes which is owned by or leased to any elementary or secondary school or school board produced pursuant to section 1 of P.L.1987, c.101 (C.2C:35-7) may be used in a prosecution under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

It shall not be relevant to the imposition of sentence pursuant to paragraph (1) or (2) of this subsection that the defendant was unaware that the prohibited conduct took place while on or within 1,000 feet of any school property or while driving through a school crossing. Nor shall it be relevant to the imposition of sentence that no juveniles were present on the school property or crossing zone at the time of the offense or that the school was not in session.

Deleted by amendment, P.L. c. (pending before the Legislature as this bill)

- (h) A court also may order a person convicted pursuant to subsection (a) of this section, to participate in a supervised visitation program as either a condition of probation or a form of community service, giving preference to those who were under the age of 21 at the time of the offense. Prior to ordering a person to participate in such a program, the court may consult with any person who may provide useful information on the defendant's physical, emotional and mental suitability for the visit to ensure that it will not cause any injury to the defendant. The court also may order that the defendant participate in a counseling session under the supervision of the Intoxicated Driving Program Unit prior to participating in the supervised visitation program. The supervised visitation program shall be at one or more of the following facilities which have agreed to participate in the program under the supervision of the facility's personnel and the probation department:
- (1) a trauma center, critical care center or acute care hospital having basic emergency services, which receives victims of motor vehicle accidents for the purpose of observing appropriate victims of drunk drivers and victims who are, themselves, drunk drivers;
- (2) a facility which cares for advanced alcoholics or drug abusers, to observe persons in the advanced stages of alcoholism or drug abuse; or
- (3) if approved by a county medical examiner, the office of the county medical examiner or a public morgue to observe appropriate victims of vehicle accidents involving drunk drivers.

As used in this section, "appropriate victim" means a victim whose condition is determined by the facility's supervisory personnel and the probation officer to be appropriate for demonstrating the results of accidents involving drunk drivers without being unnecessarily gruesome or traumatic to the defendant.

If at any time before or during a visitation the facility's supervisory personnel and the probation officer determine that the visitation may be or is traumatic or otherwise inappropriate for that defendant, the visitation shall be terminated without prejudice to the defendant. The program may include a personal conference after the visitation, which may include the sentencing judge or the judge who coordinates the program for the court, the defendant, defendant's counsel, and, if available, the defendant's parents to discuss the visitation and its effect on the defendant's future conduct. If a personal conference is not practicable because of the defendant's absence from the jurisdiction, conflicting time schedules, or any other reason, the court shall require the defendant to submit a written report concerning the visitation experience and its impact on the defendant. The county, a court, any facility visited

pursuant to the program, any agents, employees, or independent contractors of the court, county, or facility visited pursuant to the program, and any person supervising a defendant during the visitation, are not liable for any civil damages resulting from injury to the defendant, or for civil damages associated with the visitation which are caused by the defendant, except for willful or grossly negligent acts intended to, or reasonably expected to result in, that injury or damage.

The Supreme Court may adopt court rules or directives to effectuate the purposes of this subsection.

(i) In addition to any other fine, fee, or other charge imposed pursuant to law, the court shall assess a person convicted of a violation of the provisions of this section a surcharge of \$125, of which amount \$50 shall be payable to the municipality in which the conviction was obtained, \$50 shall be payable to the Treasurer of the State of New Jersey for deposit into the General Fund, and \$25 which shall be payable as follows: in a matter where the summons was issued by a municipality's law enforcement agency, to that municipality to be used for the cost of equipping police vehicles with mobile video recording systems pursuant to the provisions of section 1 of P.L.2014, c.54 (C.40A:14-118.1); in a matter where the summons was issued by a county's law enforcement agency, to that county; and in a matter where the summons was issued by a State law enforcement agency, to the General Fund.

25 (cf: P.L2014, c.54, s.2)

- 3. Section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a) is amended to read as follows:
- 2. a. [Except as provided in subsection b. of this section, the] The municipal court shall **[**revoke the right to operate a motor vehicle of order any operator person who, after being arrested for a violation of R.S.39:4-50 or section 1 of P.L.1992, c.189 (C.39:4-50.14), [shall refuse] refuses to submit, upon request, to a test provided for in section 2 of P.L.1966, c.142 (C.39:4-50.2) when requested to do so, for not less than seven months or more than one year unless 1:
 - (1) if the refusal was in connection with a first offense under this section, to forfeit the right to operate a motor vehicle over the highways of this State until the person installs an ignition interlock device in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the person, whichever the person most often operates, for the purpose of complying with the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.);
- 44 (2) if the refusal was in connection with a second offense under 45 this section, [in which case the revocation period shall be for two 46 years or unless], to forfeit the right to operate a motor vehicle over

the highways of this State for a period of not less than one year or more than two years following the installation of an ignition interlock device in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the person, whichever the person most often operates, for the purpose of complying with the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417

(C.39:4-50.16 et al.);

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

(3) if the refusal was in connection with a third or subsequent offense under this section [in which case the revocation shall be for ten years], to forfeit the right to operate a motor vehicle over the highways of this State for a period of eight years following the installation of an ignition interlock device in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the person, whichever the person most often operates, for the purpose of complying with the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.). A conviction or administrative determination of a violation of a law of a substantially similar nature in another jurisdiction, regardless of whether that jurisdiction is a signatory to the Interstate Driver License Compact pursuant to P.L.1966, c.73 (C.39:5D-1 et seq.), shall constitute a prior conviction under this section.

The municipal court shall determine by a preponderance of the evidence whether the arresting officer had probable cause to believe that the person had been driving or was in actual physical control of a motor vehicle on the public highways or quasi-public areas of this State while the person was under the influence of intoxicating liquor or a narcotic, hallucinogenic, or habit-producing drug or marijuana; whether the person was placed under arrest, if appropriate, and whether he refused to submit to the test upon request of the officer; and if these elements of the violation are not established, no conviction shall issue. In addition to any other requirements provided by law, a person whose operator's license is revoked for refusing to submit to a test shall be referred to an Intoxicated Driver Resource Center established by subsection (f) of R.S.39:4-50 and shall satisfy the same requirements of the center for refusal to submit to a test as provided for in section 2 of P.L.1966, c.142 (C.39:4-50.2) in connection with a first, second, third or subsequent offense under this section that must be satisfied by a person convicted of a commensurate violation of this section, or be subject to the same penalties as such a person for failure to do so. For a first offense, the revocation may be concurrent with or consecutive to any revocation imposed for a conviction under the provisions of R.S.39:4-50 arising out of the same incident. For a second or subsequent offense, the revocation shall be consecutive to any revocation imposed for a conviction under the provisions of R.S.39:4-50. In addition to issuing a revocation, [except as provided in subsection b. of this section, I the municipal court shall fine a person convicted under this section, a fine of not less than \$300 or more than \$500 for a first offense; a fine of not less than

- \$500 or more than \$1,000 for a second offense; and a fine of \$1,000 for a third or subsequent offense. The person also shall be required to install an ignition interlock device pursuant to the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.).
 - b. **[**For a first offense, the fine imposed upon the convicted person shall be not less than \$600 or more than \$1,000 and the period of license suspension shall be not less than one year or more than two years; for a second offense, a fine of not less than \$1,000 or more than \$2,000 and a license suspension for a period of four years; and for a third or subsequent offense, a fine of \$2,000 and a license suspension for a period of 20 years when a violation of this section occurs while:
 - (1) on any school property used for school purposes which is owned by or leased to any elementary or secondary school or school board, or within 1,000 feet of such school property;
 - (2) driving through a school crossing as defined in R.S.39:1-1 if the municipality, by ordinance or resolution, has designated the school crossing as such; or
 - (3) driving through a school crossing as defined in R.S.39:1-1 knowing that juveniles are present if the municipality has not designated the school crossing as such by ordinance or resolution.

A map or true copy of a map depicting the location and boundaries of the area on or within 1,000 feet of any property used for school purposes which is owned by or leased to any elementary or secondary school or school board produced pursuant to section 1 of P.L.1987, c.101 (C.2C:35-7) may be used in a prosecution under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

It shall not be relevant to the imposition of sentence pursuant to paragraph (1) or (2) of this subsection that the defendant was unaware that the prohibited conduct took place while on or within 1,000 feet of any school property or while driving through a school crossing. Nor shall it be relevant to the imposition of sentence that no juveniles were present on the school property or crossing zone at the time of the offense or that the school was not in session. I (Deleted by amendment, P.L. , c.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill)

37 (cf: P.L.2009, c.201, s.5)

- 39 4. Section 2 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.17) is amended to 40 read as follows:
- 2. a. (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2) of this subsection, (a) in sentencing a first offender under subparagraph (i) of paragraph (1) of subsection (a) of R.S.39:4-50, whose blood alcohol concentration was at least 0.08% but less than 0.10%, or who was otherwise under the influence of intoxicating liquor, the court [may] shall order, in addition to any other penalty imposed by that section, the installation of an ignition interlock device in

[the] one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the offender I following the expiration of the period of license suspension imposed under that section. In sentencing a first offender under section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a), the court shall order, in addition to any other penalty imposed by that section, the installation of an ignition interlock device in the motor vehicle principally operated by the offender during and following the expiration of the period of license suspension imposed under that section. The device, whichever the offender most often operates, which shall remain installed for **[**not less than six months or more than one year, commencing immediately upon the return of the offender's driver's license after the required period of suspension has been served three months.

- (b) In sentencing a first offender under subparagraph (ii) of paragraph (1) of subsection (a) of R.S.39:4-50 whose blood alcohol concentration was 0.10% or higher, but less than 0.15%, the court shall order, in addition to any other penalty imposed, the installation of an ignition interlock device in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the offender, whichever the offender most often operates, which shall remain installed for not less than seven months or more than one year.
- (2) If the first offender's blood alcohol concentration is 0.15% or higher, or the offender violated section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a), the court shall order, in addition to any other penalty imposed under R.S.39:4-50 or section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a), the installation of an ignition interlock device in [the] one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the offender, whichever the offender most often operates, during and following the expiration of the period of license [suspension] forfeiture imposed under [that section] those sections. In addition to installation during the period of license suspension, the device shall remain installed for not less than [six] nine months or more than [one year] 15 months, commencing immediately upon installation of the device and the return of the offender's driver's license pursuant to section 3 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.18) after the required period of [suspension] forfeiture has been served.
- b. In sentencing a second or subsequent offender under R.S.39:4-50 or section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a), the court shall order, in addition to any other penalty imposed by that section, the installation of an ignition interlock device in the motor vehicle principally operated by the offender during and following the expiration of the period of license [suspension] forfeiture imposed under R.S.39:4-50 or section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a). In addition to installation during the period of license [suspension] forfeiture, the device shall remain installed for not less than [one year] two years or more than [three] four years,

commencing immediately upon <u>installation of the device and</u> the return of the offender's driver's license <u>pursuant to section 3 of P.L.1999</u>, c.417 (C.39:4-50.18) after the required period of **Isuspension** forfeiture has been served.

c. The court shall require that, for the duration of its order, an offender shall <u>not</u> drive **[**no**]** <u>any</u> vehicle other than one in which an ignition interlock device has been installed pursuant to the order.

The offender shall provide to the court information identifying the motor vehicle on which the ignition interlock is to be installed, and any other information deemed relevant by the court, including, but not limited to, the offender's complete name, address, date of birth, eye color, and gender. An offender who does not own, lease, or operate a motor vehicle shall attest to this to the court. A violation of this provision shall constitute perjury pursuant to N.J.S.2C:28-1. An offender immediately shall notify the court of the purchase, lease, or access to operation of a motor vehicle and install an ignition interlock device in the vehicle.

The driver's license of an offender who attests to not owning, leasing, or operating a motor vehicle shall be forfeited for the ignition interlock installation period required pursuant to subsections a. and b. of this section.

- d. As used in [this act] P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.), "ignition interlock device" or "device" means a blood alcohol equivalence measuring device which will prevent a motor vehicle from starting if the operator's blood alcohol [content] concentration exceeds a predetermined level when the operator blows into the device.
- e. The provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.) and any amendments and supplements thereto shall be applicable only to violations of R.S.39:4-50 and section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a).
- f. A person who does not possess a valid driver's license issued by this State at the time of the imposition of a sentence pursuant to this section shall be prohibited from obtaining a driver's license for the duration of that sentence. Upon obtaining a driver's license, the person shall be sentenced to a period of ignition interlock device installation pursuant to the provisions of this section.

38 (cf: P.L.2009, c.201, s.2)

5. Section 3 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.18) is amended to read as follows:

3. <u>a.</u> The court shall notify the [Director] <u>Chief Administrator</u>
of the [Division of] <u>New Jersey</u> Motor [Vehicles] <u>Vehicle</u>
Commission when a person has been ordered to install an <u>ignition</u>
interlock device in a vehicle [owned, leased or regularly operated
by the person] <u>pursuant to the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417</u>

- 1 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.). The [division] commission shall require that
- 2 the device be installed before [reinstatement] restoration of the
- 3 person's driver's license that has been [suspended] forfeited
- 4 pursuant to R.S.39:4-50 or section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-
- 5 50.4a).

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- b. The **[**division**]** commission shall imprint a notation on the driver's license stating that the person shall not operate a motor vehicle unless it is equipped with an <u>ignition</u> interlock device and shall enter this requirement in the person's driving record. The expiration date of the device requirement shall not be imprinted on the license.
 - c. Notwithstanding the provisions of section 2 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.17), an ignition interlock device shall be removed on the date the person completes the installation period only if the person submits to the chief administrator a certification from the vendor that:
 - (1) during the final 30 days of the installation period there was not more than one failure to take or pass a test with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08% or higher unless a re-test conducted within five minutes of the initial test indicates a blood alcohol concentration of less than 0.08%; and
 - (2) the person complied with all required maintenance, repair, calibration, monitoring, and inspection requirements related to the device.
 - d. If the vendor does not issue a certification to the person because there were two or more violations of paragraph (1) of subsection c. of this section, the vendor shall forward the violation information to the chief administrator and the court. The court shall decide whether to extend the period of ignition interlock device installation for up to 90 days or issue the certification to the chief administrator.
- 32 (cf: P.L.1999, c.417, s.3)

- 16. (New section) The chief administrator semiannually shall issue a summary report containing the following information concerning offenders required to install an ignition interlock device pursuant to section 2 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.17):
- a. the total number of offenders ordered to install an ignition
 interlock categorized by the offender's number of convictions and
 place of residence;
- b. whether the offender qualifies for a reduced fee for monthly rental of an ignition interlock device pursuant to section 6 of P.L.2009, c.201 (C.39:4-50.17a) categorized by family income exceeding 100 percent or 149 percent of the federal poverty level; the percentage these offenders constitute of the total number of
- 46 <u>offenders</u>; and the number of these offenders that reside in each
- 47 <u>county;</u>

[1R] SCS for **S824**

1	c. the average length of time an offender maintains installation
2	of the device categorized by the offender's number of convictions;
3	<u>and</u>
4	d. the percent of offenders who remove the ignition interlock
5	device because they are unable to afford continued installation. ¹
6	
7	${}^{1}[6]\underline{7}^{1}$. This act shall take effect on the first day of the fourth
8	month after enactment and shall apply to any offense occurring on
9	or after that date ¹ ; the act shall expire on the first day of the fifth
10	year next following the effective date ¹ . The Chief Administrator of
11	the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission may take any
12	anticipatory administrative action in advance of that date as shall be
13	necessary to implement the provisions of this act.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Revises certain drunk driving penalties; expands use of ignition
19	interlock devices.

SENATE, No. 824

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

218th LEGISLATURE

PRE-FILED FOR INTRODUCTION IN THE 2018 SESSION

Sponsored by:

Senator NICHOLAS P. SCUTARI

District 22 (Middlesex, Somerset and Union)

Co-Sponsored by:

Senators Cardinale and Diegnan

SYNOPSIS

Revises penalties for drunk driving and ignition interlock device violations.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

Introduced Pending Technical Review by Legislative Counsel.



(Sponsorship Updated As Of: 9/14/2018)

AN ACT concerning drunk driving and ignition interlock devices 2 and amending R.S.39:4-50, P.L.1981, c.512, and P.L.1999, c.417.

3 4 5

1

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

6 7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

- 1. R.S.39:4-50 is amended to read as follows:
- 39:4-50. (a) Except as provided in subsection (g) of this section, a person who operates a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, narcotic, hallucinogenic or habit-producing drug, or operates a motor vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08% or more by weight of alcohol in the defendant's blood or permits another person who is under the influence of intoxicating liquor, narcotic, hallucinogenic or habit-producing drug to operate a motor vehicle owned by him or in his custody or control or permits another to operate a motor vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08% or more by weight of alcohol in the defendant's blood shall be subject:
 - (1) For the first offense:
- (i) if the person's blood alcohol concentration is 0.08% or higher but less than 0.10%, or the person operates a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, or the person permits another person who is under the influence of intoxicating liquor to operate a motor vehicle owned by him or in his custody or control or permits another person with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08% or higher but less than 0.10% to operate a motor vehicle, to a fine of not less than \$250 nor more than \$400 and a period of detainment of not less than 12 hours nor more than 48 hours spent during two consecutive days of not less than six hours each day and served as prescribed by the program requirements of the Intoxicated Driver Resource Centers established under subsection (f) of this section and, in the discretion of the court, a term of imprisonment of not more than 30 days and shall forthwith forfeit his right to operate a motor vehicle over the highways of this State for a period of [three months] 30 days;
- (ii) if the person's blood alcohol concentration is 0.10% or higher, or the person operates a motor vehicle while under the influence of narcotic, hallucinogenic or habit-producing drug, or the person permits another person who is under the influence of narcotic, hallucinogenic or habit-producing drug to operate a motor vehicle owned by him or in his custody or control, or permits another person with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.10% or more to operate a motor vehicle, to a fine of not less than \$300 nor more than \$500 and a period of detainment of not less than 12 hours nor

EXPLANATION - Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

- 1 more than 48 hours spent during two consecutive days of not less 2 than six hours each day and served as prescribed by the program 3 requirements of the Intoxicated Driver Resource Centers established 4 under subsection (f) of this section and, in the discretion of the 5 court, a term of imprisonment of not more than 30 days and shall forthwith forfeit his right to operate a motor vehicle over the 6 7 highways of this State for a period of Inot less than seven months nor more than one year 1 45 days if the person's blood alcohol 8 9 concentration was less than 0.15 percent and a period of 90 days if 10 the person's blood alcohol concentration was 0.15 percent or higher; 11
 - (iii) For a first offense, a person also shall be subject to the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.).

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

- (2) For a second violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of not less than \$500 nor more than \$1,000, and shall be ordered by the court to perform community service for a period of 30 days, which shall be of such form and on such terms as the court shall deem appropriate under the circumstances, and shall be sentenced to imprisonment for a term of not less than 48 consecutive hours, which shall not be suspended or served on probation, nor more than 90 days, and shall forfeit his right to operate a motor vehicle over the highways of this State for a period of two years upon conviction, and, after the expiration of said period, he may make application to the Chief Administrator of the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission for a license to operate a motor vehicle, which application may be granted at the discretion of the chief administrator, consistent with subsection (b) of this section. For a second violation, a person also shall be required to install an ignition interlock device under the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.).
- (3) For a third or subsequent violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of \$1,000, and shall be sentenced to imprisonment for a term of not less than 180 days in a county jail or workhouse, except that the court may lower such term for each day, not exceeding 90 days, served participating in a drug or alcohol inpatient rehabilitation program approved by the Intoxicated Driver Resource Center and shall thereafter forfeit his right to operate a motor vehicle over the highways of this State for 10 years. For a third or subsequent violation, a person also shall be required to install an ignition interlock device under the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.).

As used in this section, the phrase "narcotic, hallucinogenic or habit-producing drug" includes an inhalant or other substance containing a chemical capable of releasing any toxic vapors or fumes for the purpose of inducing a condition of intoxication, such as any glue, cement or any other substance containing one or more of the following chemical compounds: acetone and acetate, amyl nitrite or amyl nitrate or their isomers, benzene, butyl alcohol, butyl

nitrite, butyl nitrate or their isomers, ethyl acetate, ethyl alcohol, 1 2 ethyl nitrite or ethyl nitrate, ethylene dichloride, isobutyl alcohol or 3 isopropyl alcohol, methyl alcohol, methyl ethyl ketone, nitrous 4 oxide, n-propyl alcohol, pentachlorophenol, petroleum ether, propyl 5 nitrite or propyl nitrate or their isomers, toluene, toluol or xylene or 6 any other chemical substance capable of causing a condition of intoxication, inebriation, excitement, stupefaction or the dulling of 7 8 the brain or nervous system as a result of the inhalation of the 9 fumes or vapors of such chemical substance.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

3536

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

Whenever an operator of a motor vehicle has been involved in an accident resulting in death, bodily injury or property damage, a police officer shall consider that fact along with all other facts and circumstances in determining whether there are reasonable grounds to believe that person was operating a motor vehicle in violation of this section.

A conviction of a violation of a law of a substantially similar nature in another jurisdiction, regardless of whether that jurisdiction is a signatory to the Interstate Driver License Compact pursuant to P.L.1966, c.73 (C.39:5D-1 et seq.), shall constitute a prior conviction under this subsection unless the defendant can demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that the conviction in the other jurisdiction was based exclusively upon a violation of a proscribed blood alcohol concentration of less than 0.08%.

If the driving privilege of any person is under revocation or suspension for a violation of any provision of this Title or Title 2C of the New Jersey Statutes at the time of any conviction for a violation of this section, the revocation or suspension period imposed shall commence as of the date of termination of the existing revocation or suspension period. In the case of any person who at the time of the imposition of sentence is less than 17 years of age, the forfeiture, suspension or revocation of the driving privilege imposed by the court under this section shall commence immediately, run through the offender's seventeenth birthday and continue from that date for the period set by the court pursuant to paragraphs (1) through (3) of this subsection. A court that imposes a term of imprisonment for a first or second offense under this section may sentence the person so convicted to the county jail, to the workhouse of the county wherein the offense was committed, to an inpatient rehabilitation program or to an Intoxicated Driver Resource Center or other facility approved by the chief of the Intoxicated Driving Program Unit in the <u>Division of Mental Health</u> and Addiction Services in the Department of [Health] Human Services. For a third or subsequent offense a person shall not serve a term of imprisonment at an Intoxicated Driver Resource Center as provided in subsection (f).

A person who has been convicted of a previous violation of this section need not be charged as a second or subsequent offender in the complaint made against him in order to render him liable to the

punishment imposed by this section on a second or subsequent 2 offender, but if the second offense occurs more than 10 years after 3 the first offense, the court shall treat the second conviction as a first 4 offense for sentencing purposes and if a third offense occurs more than 10 years after the second offense, the court shall treat the third conviction as a second offense for sentencing purposes.

1

5

6

7

8

11

21

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- (b) A person convicted under this section must satisfy the screening, evaluation, referral, program and fee requirements of the 9 Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services' Intoxicated 10 Driving Program Unit, and of the Intoxicated Driver Resource Centers and a program of alcohol and drug education and highway 12 safety, as prescribed by the chief administrator. The sentencing 13 court shall inform the person convicted that failure to satisfy such 14 requirements shall result in a mandatory two-day term of 15 imprisonment in a county jail and a driver license revocation or 16 suspension and continuation of revocation or suspension until such 17 requirements are satisfied, unless stayed by court order in 18 accordance with the Rules Governing the Courts of the State of 19 New Jersey, or R.S.39:5-22. Upon sentencing, the court shall 20 forward to the Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services' Intoxicated Driving Program Unit a copy of a person's conviction 22 record. A fee of \$100 shall be payable to the Alcohol Education, 23 Rehabilitation and Enforcement Fund established pursuant to 24 section 3 of P.L.1983, c.531 (C.26:2B-32) to support the 25 Intoxicated Driving Program Unit.
 - (c) Upon conviction of a violation of this section, the court shall collect forthwith the New Jersey driver's license or licenses of the person so convicted and forward such license or licenses to the chief administrator. The court shall inform the person convicted that if he is convicted of personally operating a motor vehicle during the period of license suspension imposed pursuant to subsection (a) of this section, he shall, upon conviction, be subject to the penalties established in R.S.39:3-40. The person convicted shall be informed orally and in writing. A person shall be required to acknowledge receipt of that written notice in writing. Failure to receive a written notice or failure to acknowledge in writing the receipt of a written notice shall not be a defense to a subsequent charge of a violation of R.S.39:3-40. In the event that a person convicted under this section is the holder of any out-of-State driver's license, the court shall not collect the license but shall notify forthwith the chief administrator, who shall, in turn, notify appropriate officials in the licensing jurisdiction. The court shall, however, revoke the nonresident's driving privilege to operate a motor vehicle in this State, in accordance with this section. Upon conviction of a violation of this section, the court shall notify the person convicted, orally and in writing, of the penalties for a second, third or subsequent violation of this section. A person shall be required to acknowledge receipt of that written notice in writing.

Failure to receive a written notice or failure to acknowledge in writing the receipt of a written notice shall not be a defense to a subsequent charge of a violation of this section.

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

3839

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

- (d) The chief administrator shall promulgate rules and regulations pursuant to the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.) in order to establish a program of alcohol education and highway safety, as prescribed by this act.
- (e) Any person accused of a violation of this section who is liable to punishment imposed by this section as a second or subsequent offender shall be entitled to the same rights of discovery as allowed defendants pursuant to the Rules Governing the Courts of the State of New Jersey.
- (f) The counties, in cooperation with the Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services and the commission, but subject to the approval of the Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services, shall designate and establish on a county or regional basis Intoxicated Driver Resource Centers. These centers shall have the capability of serving as community treatment referral centers and as court monitors of a person's compliance with the ordered treatment, service alternative or community service. All centers established pursuant to this subsection shall be administered by a counselor certified by the Alcohol and Drug Counselor Certification Board of New Jersey or other professional with a minimum of five years' experience in the treatment of alcoholism. All centers shall be required to develop individualized treatment plans for all persons attending the centers; provided that the duration of any ordered treatment or referral shall not exceed one year. It shall be the center's responsibility to establish networks with the community alcohol and drug education, treatment and rehabilitation resources and to receive monthly reports from the referral agencies regarding a person's participation and compliance with the program. Nothing in this subsection shall bar these centers from developing their own education and treatment programs; provided that they are approved by the Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services.

Upon a person's failure to report to the initial screening or any subsequent ordered referral, the Intoxicated Driver Resource Center shall promptly notify the sentencing court of the person's failure to comply.

Required detention periods at the Intoxicated Driver Resource Centers shall be determined according to the individual treatment classification assigned by the Intoxicated Driving Program Unit. Upon attendance at an Intoxicated Driver Resource Center, a person shall be required to pay a per diem fee of \$75 for the first offender program or a per diem fee of \$100 for the second offender program, as appropriate. Any increases in the per diem fees after the first full year shall be determined pursuant to rules and regulations adopted by the Commissioner of [Health] Human Services in consultation with the Governor's Council on Alcoholism and Drug Abuse

pursuant to the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.).

The centers shall conduct a program of alcohol and drug education and highway safety, as prescribed by the chief administrator.

The Commissioner of [Health] <u>Human Services</u> shall adopt rules and regulations pursuant to the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.), in order to effectuate the purposes of this subsection.

(g) When a violation of this section occurs while:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

- (1) on any school property used for school purposes which is owned by or leased to any elementary or secondary school or school board, or within 1,000 feet of such school property;
- (2) driving through a school crossing as defined in R.S.39:1-1 if the municipality, by ordinance or resolution, has designated the school crossing as such; or
- (3) driving through a school crossing as defined in R.S.39:1-1 knowing that juveniles are present if the municipality has not designated the school crossing as such by ordinance or resolution, the convicted person shall: for a first offense, be fined not less than \$500 or more than \$800, be imprisoned for not more than 60 days and have his license to operate a motor vehicle suspended for a period of not less than one year or more than two years; for a second offense, be fined not less than \$1,000 or more than \$2,000, perform community service for a period of 60 days, be imprisoned for not less than 96 consecutive hours, which shall not be suspended or served on probation, nor more than 180 days, except that the court may lower such term for each day, not exceeding 90 days, served performing community service in such form and on such terms as the court shall deem appropriate under the circumstances and have his license to operate a motor vehicle suspended for a period of four years; and, for a third offense, be fined \$2,000, imprisoned for 180 days in a county jail or workhouse, except that the court may lower such term for each day, not exceeding 90 days, served participating in a drug or alcohol inpatient rehabilitation program approved by the Intoxicated Driver Resource Center, and have his license to operate a motor vehicle suspended for a period of 20 years; the period of license suspension shall commence upon the completion of any prison sentence imposed upon that person.

A map or true copy of a map depicting the location and boundaries of the area on or within 1,000 feet of any property used for school purposes which is owned by or leased to any elementary or secondary school or school board produced pursuant to section 1 of P.L.1987, c.101 (C.2C:35-7) may be used in a prosecution under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

It shall not be relevant to the imposition of sentence pursuant to paragraph (1) or (2) of this subsection that the defendant was unaware that the prohibited conduct took place while on or within 1,000 feet of any school property or while driving through a school crossing. Nor shall it be relevant to the imposition of sentence that no juveniles were present on the school property or crossing zone at the time of the offense or that the school was not in session.

1 2

- (h) A court also may order a person convicted pursuant to subsection (a) of this section, to participate in a supervised visitation program as either a condition of probation or a form of community service, giving preference to those who were under the age of 21 at the time of the offense. Prior to ordering a person to participate in such a program, the court may consult with any person who may provide useful information on the defendant's physical, emotional and mental suitability for the visit to ensure that it will not cause any injury to the defendant. The court also may order that the defendant participate in a counseling session under the supervision of the Intoxicated Driving Program Unit prior to participating in the supervised visitation program. The supervised visitation program shall be at one or more of the following facilities which have agreed to participate in the program under the supervision of the facility's personnel and the probation department:
- (1) a trauma center, critical care center or acute care hospital having basic emergency services, which receives victims of motor vehicle accidents for the purpose of observing appropriate victims of drunk drivers and victims who are, themselves, drunk drivers;
- (2) a facility which cares for advanced alcoholics or drug abusers, to observe persons in the advanced stages of alcoholism or drug abuse; or
- (3) if approved by a county medical examiner, the office of the county medical examiner or a public morgue to observe appropriate victims of vehicle accidents involving drunk drivers.

As used in this section, "appropriate victim" means a victim whose condition is determined by the facility's supervisory personnel and the probation officer to be appropriate for demonstrating the results of accidents involving drunk drivers without being unnecessarily gruesome or traumatic to the defendant.

If at any time before or during a visitation the facility's supervisory personnel and the probation officer determine that the visitation may be or is traumatic or otherwise inappropriate for that defendant, the visitation shall be terminated without prejudice to the defendant. The program may include a personal conference after the visitation, which may include the sentencing judge or the judge who coordinates the program for the court, the defendant, defendant's counsel, and, if available, the defendant's parents to discuss the visitation and its effect on the defendant's future conduct. If a personal conference is not practicable because of the defendant's absence from the jurisdiction, conflicting time schedules, or any other reason, the court shall require the defendant to submit a written report concerning the visitation experience and

1 its impact on the defendant. The county, a court, any facility visited 2 pursuant to the program, any agents, employees, or independent 3 contractors of the court, county, or facility visited pursuant to the 4 program, and any person supervising a defendant during the 5 visitation, are not liable for any civil damages resulting from injury 6 to the defendant, or for civil damages associated with the visitation which are caused by the defendant, except for willful or grossly 7 8 negligent acts intended to, or reasonably expected to result in, that 9 injury or damage.

The Supreme Court may adopt court rules or directives to effectuate the purposes of this subsection.

(i) In addition to any other fine, fee, or other charge imposed pursuant to law, the court shall assess a person convicted of a violation of the provisions of this section a surcharge of \$125, of which amount \$50 shall be payable to the municipality in which the conviction was obtained, \$50 shall be payable to the Treasurer of the State of New Jersey for deposit into the General Fund, and \$25 which shall be payable as follows: in a matter where the summons was issued by a municipality's law enforcement agency, to that municipality to be used for the cost of equipping police vehicles with mobile video recording systems pursuant to the provisions of section 1 of P.L.2014, c.54 (C.40A:14-118.1); in a matter where the summons was issued by a county's law enforcement agency, to that county; and in a matter where the summons was issued by a State law enforcement agency, to the General Fund.

(cf: P.L.2014, c.54, s.2)

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

3637

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2. Section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a) is amended to read as follows:

2. a. Except as provided in subsection b. of this section, the municipal court shall revoke the right to operate a motor vehicle of any operator who, after being arrested for a violation of R.S.39:4-50 or section 1 of P.L.1992, c.189 (C.39:4-50.14), shall refuse to submit to a test provided for in section 2 of P.L.1966, c.142 (C.39:4-50.2) when requested to do so, for Inot less than seven months or more than one year **]** 90 days unless the refusal was in connection with a second offense under this section, in which case the revocation period shall be for two years or unless the refusal was in connection with a third or subsequent offense under this section in which case the revocation shall be for ten years. conviction or administrative determination of a violation of a law of a substantially similar nature in another jurisdiction, regardless of whether that jurisdiction is a signatory to the Interstate Driver License Compact pursuant to P.L.1966, c.73 (C.39:5D-1 et seq.), shall constitute a prior conviction under this section.

The municipal court shall determine by a preponderance of the evidence whether the arresting officer had probable cause to believe that the person had been driving or was in actual physical control of

1 a motor vehicle on the public highways or quasi-public areas of this 2 State while the person was under the influence of intoxicating 3 liquor or a narcotic, hallucinogenic, or habit-producing drug or 4 marijuana; whether the person was placed under arrest, if 5 appropriate, and whether he refused to submit to the test upon request of the officer; and if these elements of the violation are not 6 7 established, no conviction shall issue. In addition to any other 8 requirements provided by law, a person whose operator's license is 9 revoked for refusing to submit to a test shall be referred to an 10 Intoxicated Driver Resource Center established by subsection (f) of 11 R.S.39:4-50 and shall satisfy the same requirements of the center 12 for refusal to submit to a test as provided for in section 2 of 13 P.L.1966, c.142 (C.39:4-50.2) in connection with a first, second, 14 third or subsequent offense under this section that must be satisfied 15 by a person convicted of a commensurate violation of this section, 16 or be subject to the same penalties as such a person for failure to do 17 so. For a first offense, the revocation may be concurrent with or 18 consecutive to any revocation imposed for a conviction under the 19 provisions of R.S.39:4-50 arising out of the same incident. For a 20 second or subsequent offense, the revocation shall be consecutive to any revocation imposed for a conviction under the provisions of 21 22 R.S.39:4-50. In addition to issuing a revocation, except as provided 23 in subsection b. of this section, the municipal court shall fine a 24 person convicted under this section, a fine of not less than \$300 or 25 more than \$500 for a first offense; a fine of not less than \$500 or 26 more than \$1,000 for a second offense; and a fine of \$1,000 for a 27 third or subsequent offense. The person also shall be required to 28 install an ignition interlock device pursuant to the provisions of 29 P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.).

b. For a first offense, the fine imposed upon the convicted person shall be not less than \$600 or more than \$1,000 and the period of license suspension shall be not less than one year or more than two years; for a second offense, a fine of not less than \$1,000 or more than \$2,000 and a license suspension for a period of four years; and for a third or subsequent offense, a fine of \$2,000 and a license suspension for a period of 20 years when a violation of this section occurs while:

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

- (1) on any school property used for school purposes which is owned by or leased to any elementary or secondary school or school board, or within 1,000 feet of such school property;
- (2) driving through a school crossing as defined in R.S.39:1-1 if the municipality, by ordinance or resolution, has designated the school crossing as such; or
- (3) driving through a school crossing as defined in R.S.39:1-1 knowing that juveniles are present if the municipality has not designated the school crossing as such by ordinance or resolution.
- 47 A map or true copy of a map depicting the location and boundaries of the area on or within 1,000 feet of any property used

for school purposes which is owned by or leased to any elementary or secondary school or school board produced pursuant to section 1 of P.L.1987, c.101 (C.2C:35-7) may be used in a prosecution under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

It shall not be relevant to the imposition of sentence pursuant to paragraph (1) or (2) of this subsection that the defendant was unaware that the prohibited conduct took place while on or within 1,000 feet of any school property or while driving through a school crossing. Nor shall it be relevant to the imposition of sentence that no juveniles were present on the school property or crossing zone at the time of the offense or that the school was not in session.

(cf: P.L.2009, c.201, s.5)

121314

15

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 3 Section 2 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.17) is amended to read as follows:
- 16 2. a. (1) [Except as provided in paragraph (2) of this 17 subsection, in In sentencing a first offender under R.S.39:4-50, the 18 court [may] shall order, in addition to any other penalty imposed 19 by that section, the installation of an ignition interlock device in the 20 motor vehicle principally operated by the offender during and 21 following the expiration of the period of license suspension 22 imposed under that section. In addition to installation during the 23 period of license suspension, the device shall remain installed not 24 less than three months or more than six months if the offender's 25 blood alcohol concentration is 0.08 percent or higher but less than 26 0.10 percent, and not less than six months or more than one year if 27 the offender's blood alcohol concentration is 0.10 percent or higher, 28 but less than 0.15 percent. In sentencing a first offender under 29 section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a), the court shall order, in 30 addition to any other penalty imposed by that section, the 31 installation of an ignition interlock device in the motor vehicle 32 principally operated by the offender during and following the 33 expiration of the period of license suspension imposed under that 34 section. The device shall remain installed not less than six months 35 or more than one year, commencing immediately upon the return of 36 the offender's driver's license after the required period of 37 suspension has been served.
 - (2) If the first offender's blood alcohol concentration is 0.15% or higher, the court shall order, in addition to any other penalty imposed under R.S.39:4-50, the installation of an ignition interlock device in the motor vehicle principally operated by the offender during and following the expiration of the period of license suspension imposed under that section. In addition to installation during the period of license suspension, the device shall remain installed for not less than [six months] one year or more than [one year] 18 months, commencing immediately upon the return of the

offender's driver's license after the required period of suspension has been served.

- b. In sentencing a second or subsequent offender under R.S.39:4-50 or section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a), the court shall order, in addition to any other penalty imposed by that section, the installation of an ignition interlock device in [the] each motor vehicle [principally] owned or operated, or both, by the offender during and following the expiration of the period of license suspension imposed under R.S.39:4-50 or section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a). In addition to installation during the period of license suspension, the device shall remain installed for not less than one year or more than three years, commencing immediately upon the return of the offender's driver's license after the required period of suspension has been served.
 - c. The court shall require that, for the duration of its order, an offender shall <u>not</u> drive **[**no**]** <u>any</u> vehicle other than <u>the</u> one in which an interlock device has been installed pursuant to the order
 - d. As used in this act, "ignition interlock device" or "device" means a blood alcohol equivalence measuring device which will prevent a motor vehicle from starting if the operator's blood alcohol content exceeds a predetermined level when the operator blows into the device.
 - e. The provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.) and any amendments and supplements thereto shall be applicable only to violations of R.S.39:4-50 and section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a).
 - (cf: P.L.2009, c.201, s.2)

- 29 4. Section 3 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.18) is amended to 30 read as follows;
 - 3. <u>a.</u> The court shall notify the [Director] <u>Chief Administrator</u> of the [Division of] <u>New Jersey</u> Motor [Vehicles] <u>Vehicle Commission</u> when a person has been ordered to install an interlock device in a vehicle [owned, leased or regularly operated by the person] <u>pursuant to section 2 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.17)</u>. The [division] <u>commission</u> shall require that the device be installed before reinstatement of the person's driver's license that has been suspended pursuant to R.S.39:4-50.
 - <u>b.</u> The **[**division**]** <u>commission</u> shall imprint a notation on the driver's license stating that the person shall not operate a motor vehicle unless it is equipped with an interlock device and shall enter this requirement in the person's driving record. <u>The expiration date of the interlock device requirement shall not be imprinted on the license.</u>
- c. Notwithstanding the provisions of section 2 of P.L.1999,
 c.41 (C.39:4-50.17), an ignition interlock device shall not be
 removed on the date of completion of the person's interlock

- sentence unless the person provides to the New Jersey Motor
 Vehicle Commission certification from the manufacturer that,
 within the final one-third of that sentence:
 - (1) there were no attempts to start the motor vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or higher unless a retest conducted within five minutes of the initial test indicates a blood alcohol concentration of less than 0.08 percent;
 - (2) there were no failures to take or pass any test with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or higher unless a re-test conducted within five minutes of the initial test indicates a blood alcohol concentration of less than 0.08 percent; and
 - (3) the person complied with all maintenance, repair, calibration, monitoring, or inspection requirements related to the interlock device.
- d. For the purposes of subsection c. of this section, the data from the readings of the interlock device shall be made available to the sentenced person upon request.

18 (cf: P.L.1999, c.417, s.3)

- 5. Section 4 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.19) is amended to read as follows:
- 4. a. [A] The driver's license of a person who fails to install an interlock device as ordered by the court in a motor vehicle [owned, leased or regularly operated by him shall have his driver's license] pursuant to section 2 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.17) shall be suspended for [one year] 18 months, in addition to any other suspension or revocation imposed under R.S.39:4-50, unless the court determines a valid reason exists for the failure to comply. A person in whose vehicle an interlock device is installed pursuant to a court order who drives that vehicle after it has been started by any means other than [his own] the person blowing into the device
- or who drives a vehicle that is not equipped with [such] a device shall have [his] the person's driver's license suspended for [one
- year <u>18 months</u>, in addition to any other penalty applicable by law.
 - b. A person is a disorderly person who:
 - (1) blows into an interlock device or otherwise starts a motor vehicle equipped with [such a] the device for the purpose of providing an operable motor vehicle to a person who has been ordered by the court to install the device in the vehicle;
 - (2) tampers or in any way circumvents the operation of an interlock device; or
- 42 (3) knowingly rents, leases or lends a motor vehicle not 43 equipped with an interlock device to a person who has been ordered 44 by the court to install an interlock device in a vehicle [he owns, 45 leases or regularly operates] pursuant to section 2 of P.L.1999,
- 46 c.417 (C.39:4-50.17).

The provisions of subsection b. of this section shall not apply if a motor vehicle required to be equipped with an ignition interlock device is started by a person for the purpose of safety or 4 mechanical repair of the device or the vehicle, provided the person subject to the court order does not operate the vehicle.

(cf: P. L.2009, c.201, s.3)

6 7 8

9

10

11 12

1 2

3

5

6. This act shall take effect on the first day of the fourth month after enactment and shall apply to any offense occurring on or after that date, and additionally the Chief Administrator of the Motor Vehicle Commission may take any anticipatory administrative action in advance of that date as shall be necessary to implement the provisions of this act.

14 15

13

STATEMENT

16 17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

This bill decreases the length of driver's license suspensions for drunk driving and refusing to submit to a breathalyzer test, but increases ignition interlock device requirements for these offenses.

Under current law, the period of driver's license suspension for first time drunk driving offenders is based on the offender's blood alcohol concentration (BAC). If the offender's BAC is 0.08 percent or higher but less than 0.10 percent, the driver's license is suspended for three months. If the offender's BAC is 0.10 percent or higher, the driver's license is suspended for seven months to one year. The driver's license suspension for refusing to submit to a breathalyzer test currently is seven months to one year.

Under this bill, the three month driver's license suspension for first time offenders with a BAC of between 0.08 percent and 0.10 is reduced to 30 days. The seven month driver's license suspension for first time offenders with a BAC of 0.10 percent or higher is reduced to 45 days if the BAC is less than 0.15 percent and reduced to 90 days if the BAC is 0.15 percent or more. The seven month driver's license suspension for a first of offense of refusing to submit to a breathalyzer test is reduced from seven months to one year to 90 days under the bill.

Under current law, the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID) is discretionary for first time drunk driving offenders whose BAC is under 0.15 percent; if required by the court, the IID is to be installed in the motor vehicle principally operated by the offender for six months to one year following the license suspension. First time offenders whose BAC is 0.15 percent or higher are required to install an IID in the motor vehicle they principally operate during the period of suspension, in addition to six months to one year following the suspension. Installation of an IID also is mandatory for a first offense of refusing to submit to a breathalyzer test; it is

required during the period of license suspension and six months to one year after the suspension.

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Under the bill, installation of an IID would be mandatory for first time offenders and would be required during the license suspension, as well as following the suspension. For first time offenders whose BAC is 0.08 or higher and less than 0.10 percent, the required period of installation is three to six months; for a BAC of 0.10 percent or higher but less than 0.15 percent, the installation period is six months to one year; and for a BAC of 0.15 percent or higher the installation period is one year to 18 months.

Under the bill, drivers with multiple convictions of drunk driving or refusing the breathalyzer would be required to install the IID on each motor vehicle they own or operate.

The bill further specifies that a driver may not remove an IID on the date of completing the required period of installation unless the driver provides to the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission certification from the manufacturer that, within the final one-third of that period certain conditions were met. First, the driver is required to certify that there were no attempts to start the motor vehicle with a BAC of 0.08 percent or higher unless a re-test conducted within five minutes of the initial test indicates a BAC of less than 0.08 percent. The driver also is to certify that there were no failures to take or pass a test with a BAC of 0.08 percent or higher unless a re-test conducted within five minutes of the initial test indicates a BAC of less than 0.08 percent. Finally, the driver has to certify that he or she complied with all maintenance, repair, calibration, monitoring, or inspection requirements related to the IID. The data from the readings of the IID are to be made available to the sentenced person upon request.

Current law provides for a one year driver's license suspension for failing to install a required IID. The bill increases the suspension to 18 months.

SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR SENATE, No. 824

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: SEPTEMBER 24, 2018

The Senate Judiciary Committee reports favorably a Senate Committee Substitute for Senate Bill No. 824.

This substitute bill revises the penalty provisions for various drunk driving offenses, particularly making changes concerning the use of, and applicable time periods covering, driver's license forfeitures and installations of ignition interlock devices on motor vehicles owned or operated by these drivers.

Drunk Driving

Concerning the offense of driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs (R.S.39:4-50), the bill revises the relevant penalty provisions as follows:

For a first offense, if that offense involved a person's blood alcohol concentration of 0.08% or higher but less than 0.10%, or otherwise operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, the court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the person, whichever the person most often operates, which would then remain on the vehicle for a period of three months, unless the court was clearly convinced, after consideration on the record of a series of aggravating and mitigating factors as set forth in the bill, to instead order a license forfeiture of three months (a six-month forfeiture would apply instead of device installation if the person did not own, lease, or operate any motor vehicle).

The aggravating and mitigating factors for consideration by the court to order a license forfeiture, instead of device installation would include, but not be limited to: the nature and circumstances of the person's conduct, including whether such conduct posed a high risk of danger to the public; the person's driving record; whether the character and attitude of the person indicate that the person would be likely to commit another violation; and the need for personal or general deterrence.

If the court did order the installation of the ignition interlock device, the person's driver's license would only be restored upon showing proof of such installation, and the commission would imprint a notation concerning driving with the device on the person's driver's license.

Additionally, for a person with an ignition interlock device installed on a motor vehicle, the three-month installation period would be subject to possible extension for an additional period of 30 days, commencing upon the expiration of the initial period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 30 days of the initial installation period; if the attempt to operate the vehicle occurred during any extended period, an additional 30-day extension would commence upon the expiration of such extended period. This extension would occur without need of further court order, following notification of the event to the affected person by the Chief Administrator of the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission. A person would have the right to appeal any extended period of ignition interlock installation administratively imposed for attempting to operate a motor vehicle with an impermissible blood alcohol concentration.

If the first offense involved a person's blood alcohol concentration of 0.10% or higher but less than 0.15%, the court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the person, whichever the person most often operates, which would then remain on the vehicle for not less than seven months or more than one year, unless the court was clearly convinced, after consideration of the series of aggravating and mitigating factors as described above, to instead order a license forfeiture of not less than seven months or more than one year (a forfeiture of not less than one year or more than two years would apply instead of device installation if the person did not own, lease, or operate a motor vehicle). As above, if the person was ordered to install an ignition interlock device, the person could only reinstate the person's driver's license through the Motor Vehicle Commission upon showing proof of such installation, and could, when given notice by the chief administrator, have the installation period extended for one or more additional periods of 45 days, commencing upon the expiration of the current installation period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 45 days of the installation period.

If the first offense involved a person's blood alcohol concentration of 0.15% or higher, the court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the person, whichever the person most often operates, and maintain installation of the device during a period of license forfeiture of not less than seven months or more than one year and after the period of license forfeiture for an additional period of not less than seven months or more than one year, unless there was no such vehicle, in which case the person would

receive a period of forfeiture of not less than two years or more than four years.

With respect to the license forfeiture of a person with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.15% or higher, the person would have the opportunity, beginning 90 days after the start of the forfeiture, to petition the court to restore the person's driving privileges for the duration of the initially ordered period of forfeiture, subject to the person maintaining the installation of the ignition interlock device in the person's motor vehicle both for the remainder of the initially ordered forfeiture period and afterward for the additional seven-month to one-year period. For a person whose driving privileges were forfeited for a two to four year period because the person did not own, lease, or operate a motor vehicle, such person may petition the court, upon proof of owning, leasing, or intending to operating a motor vehicle, to restore the person's driving privileges for the duration of the forfeiture period after at least two years of the period have passed, subject to the person maintaining the installation of an ignition interlock device in that vehicle. As above, a person ordered to install an ignition interlock device could only restore a driver's license through the Motor Vehicle Commission upon showing proof of such installation, and could, when given notice by the chief administrator, have the installation period extended for one or more additional periods of 90 days, commencing upon the expiration of the current installation period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 90 days of the installation period.

If the offense involved a "drugged" driver (i.e., operating a motor vehicle under the influence of a narcotic, hallucinogenic, or habit-producing drug), the court would order a license forfeiture of not less than seven months or more than one year, with no option to instead operate a motor vehicle with an ignition interlock device installed.

For any such first offense of drunk or "drugged" driving occurring on or near a school property or crossing, the bill would eliminate any enhanced penalties currently available under the law and instead treat such an offense the same as all other first offenses.

For a second offense, the bill increases, for all drunk and "drugged" drivers, the period of license forfeiture from the current law's two years to instead a period of not less than two years or more than four years. The court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in each motor vehicle owned, leased, or operated by the person to be maintained during the two to four year period of forfeiture, and to remain installed afterward for a period of not less than one year or more than three years, unless there was no such vehicle, in which case the person would receive a period of forfeiture of not less than four years or more than eight years.

With respect to a second offender's license forfeiture, a person who does not own, lease, or operate any motor vehicle may petition the court, upon proof of owning, leasing, or intending to operate a motor vehicle, to restore the person's driving privileges for the duration of the forfeiture period after at least four years of the period have passed, subject to the person maintaining the installation of an ignition interlock device in that vehicle.

As above for any first offender, a person who is a second offender ordered to install an ignition interlock device could only reinstate a driver's license through the Motor Vehicle Commission upon showing proof of such installation, and could, when given notice by the chief administrator, have the installation period extended for one or more additional periods, commencing upon the expiration of the current installation period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 30, 45, or 90 days of the installation period, depending upon the blood alcohol concentration associated with the violation in the same manner as described above with respect to a first offense.

For a second offense occurring on or near a school property or crossing, the bill would eliminate any enhanced penalties currently available under the law and instead treat such an offense the same as all other second offenses.

For a third or subsequent offense, the bill increases, for all drunk and "drugged" drivers, the period of license forfeiture from the current law's 10 years to instead a period of not less than 10 years or more than 20 years. The court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in each motor vehicle owned, leased, or operated by the person to be maintained during the 10 to 20 year period of forfeiture, and to remain installed afterwards for a period of not less than one year or more than three years, unless there was no such vehicle, in which case the person would receive a period of forfeiture of not less than 20 years or more than 40 years.

With respect to a third or subsequent offender's license forfeiture, a person who does not own, lease, or operate any motor vehicle may petition the court, upon proof of owning, leasing, or intending to operate a motor vehicle, to restore the person's driving privileges for the duration of the forfeiture period after at least 20 years of the period have passed, subject to the person maintaining the installation of an ignition interlock device in that vehicle.

As above for both first and second offenders, a person who is a third or subsequent offender ordered to install an ignition interlock device could only reinstate a driver's license through the Motor Vehicle Commission upon showing proof of such installation, and could, when given notice by the chief administrator, have the installation period extended for one or more additional periods, commencing upon the expiration of the current installation period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 30, 45, or 90 days of the installation period, depending upon the blood alcohol concentration associated

with the violation in the same manner as described above with respect to a first offense.

A third or subsequent offender would also be required to perform community service for a period of not less than 60 days, which would be in the form and on the terms as the court deemed appropriate. This would be, at a minimum, a doubling of the 30-day community service requirement under the current law for a second offender.

For a third or subsequent offense occurring on or near a school property or crossing, the bill would eliminate any enhanced penalties currently available under the law and instead treat such an offense the same as all other third or subsequent offenses.

Refusing a Breath Test

Concerning the offense of refusing to submit to a breath test (section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a)), the bill revises the relevant penalty provisions as follows:

For a first offense, the court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the person, whichever the person most often operates, which would then remain on the vehicle for not less than seven months or more than one year, unless the court was clearly convinced, after consideration on the record of a series of aggravating and mitigating factors as set forth in the bill, to instead order a license forfeiture of not less than seven months or more than one year (a forfeiture of not less than one year or more than two years would apply instead of device installation if the person did not own, lease, or operate a motor vehicle).

As above with respect to a drunk driving offense for a blood alcohol concentration of 0.10% or higher but less than 0.15%, if the person was ordered to install an ignition interlock device, the person could only reinstate the person's driver's license through the Motor Vehicle Commission upon showing proof of such installation, and could have the installation period extended for one or more additional periods of 45 days, commencing upon the expiration of the current installation period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 45 days of the installation period.

For any first offense of refusing a breath test occurring on or near a school property or crossing, the bill would eliminate any enhanced penalties currently available under the law and instead treat such an offense the same as all other first offenses.

For a second offense, the bill increases the period of license forfeiture from the current two years to instead a period of not less than two years or more than four years. The court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in each motor vehicle owned, leased, or operated by the person to be maintained during the two to four year forfeiture period and remain installed afterward for a period of not less than one year or more than

three years, unless there was no such vehicle, in which case the person would receive a period of forfeiture of not less than four years or more than eight years.

A person who does not own, lease, or operate a motor vehicle may petition the court, upon proof of owning, leasing, or intending to operate a motor vehicle, to restore the person's driving privileges for the duration of the forfeiture period after at least four years of the period have passed, subject to the person maintaining the installation of an ignition interlock device in that vehicle.

As above with respect to a first offense of refusing a breath test, a person who is a second offender ordered to install an ignition interlock device could only reinstate a driver's license through the Motor Vehicle Commission upon showing proof of such installation, and could have the installation period extended for one or more additional periods of 45 days, commencing upon the expiration of the current installation period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 45 days of the installation period.

For a second offense of refusing a breath test occurring on or near a school property or crossing, the bill would eliminate any enhanced penalties currently available under the law and instead treat such an offense the same as all other second offenses.

For a third or subsequent offense, the bill increases the period of license forfeiture from the current 10 years to instead a period of not less than 10 years or more than 20 years. The court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in each motor vehicle owned, leased, or operated by the person to be maintained during the 10 to 20 year period of forfeiture, and to remain installed afterwards for a period of not less than one year or more than three years, unless there was no such vehicle, in which case the person would receive a period of forfeiture of not less than 20 years or more than 40 years.

A third or subsequent offender who does not own, lease, or operate a motor vehicle may petition the court, upon proof of owning, leasing, or intending to operate a motor vehicle, to restore the person's driving privileges for the duration of the forfeiture period after at least 20 years of the period have passed, subject to the person maintaining the installation of an ignition interlock device in that vehicle.

As above with respect to a first or second offense of refusing a breath test, a person who is a third or subsequent offender ordered to install an ignition interlock device could only reinstate a driver's license through the Motor Vehicle Commission upon showing proof of such installation, and could have the installation period extended for one or more additional periods of 45 days, commencing upon the expiration of the current installation period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 45 days of the installation period.

For a third or subsequent offense of refusing a breath test occurring on or near a school property or crossing, the bill would eliminate any enhanced penalties currently available under the law and instead treat such an offense the same as all other third or subsequent offenses.

Ignition Interlock Device Installation; License Reinstatement

A person required to install an ignition interlock device would be required to provide the vendor of the device at the time of installation the blood alcohol concentration, or information on the refusal to submit to a breath test, on which the sentence was based. Every vendor of an ignition interlock device would be required to adopt real time data reporting of the blood alcohol concentration of a person required to install a device and the predetermined fail level of each device.

With respect to all cases for which a person has been ordered to install one or more ignition interlock devices, the court would notify the Chief Administrator of the Motor Vehicle Commission. The commission would thereafter require that the one or more devices be installed before the reinstatement of the person's driver's license. The commission would imprint a notation on the restored driver's license stating that the person could not operate a motor vehicle unless it is equipped with an ignition interlock device, and would enter this requirement in the person's driving record.

SENATE BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR

SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR SENATE, No. 824

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JUNE 17, 2019

The Senate Budget and Appropriations Committee reports favorably this Senate Committee Substitute For Senate Bill No. 824 SCS.

This Senate committee substitute for Senate Bill No. 824 (SCS) reduces the length of driver's license forfeiture for convictions of drunk driving and refusing to submit to a breathalyzer and increases the period of required ignition interlock device (IID) installation for these offenses. The committee substitute also requires the license of a drunk driver who attests to not owning, leasing, or operating a motor vehicle to be forfeited during the required period of IID installation. The committee substitute further imposes certain IID compliance requirements to be met before an IID may be removed after the required period of installation. Finally, the committee substitute removes enhanced penalties for drunk driving and refusal convictions occurring in a school zone.

Drunk Driving

For a first offense of drunk driving, the offender's driver's license is to be forfeited until an IID is installed in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the offender, whichever the person most often operates. If the offender's blood alcohol concentration (BAC) was 0.08% or higher, but less than 0.10%, or the offender was convicted of operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor without a BAC reading, the current three-month license forfeiture is replaced with mandatory IID installation for three months; if the offender's BAC was 0.10% or higher, but less than 0.15%, the current license forfeiture of seven months to one year is replaced with the requirement that an IID is to be installed for seven months to one year; if the offender's BAC was 0.15% or more, the current license forfeiture of seven months to one year is replaced by four to six months license forfeiture and an IID is to be installed during the period of license forfeiture, as well as for not less than nine months or more than 15 months after the period of license forfeiture.

For second drunk driving offenses, the current driver's license forfeiture is two years and for third and subsequent offenses, the forfeiture is for 10 years; the IID requirement is for one to three years. Under the substitute, license forfeiture is reduced to one to two years for second time offenders and to eight years for third and subsequent offenders; the requirement that IID be installed in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the offender, whichever the person most often operates, is increased to two to four years.

The license forfeiture period for first-time offenders of driving under the influence of drugs is not changed by the substitute. Further, installation of an IID is not required for first offenses of drugged driving.

The provision establishing enhanced penalties for a conviction of driving while intoxicated in a school zone is removed.

Refusing a Breath Test

For a first offense, the committee substitute requires the offender's license to be forfeited until the person installs an IID in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the person, whichever the person most often operates, rather than requiring the current seven months to one year. The IID is to remain installed during the license forfeiture and for the following nine to 15 months.

For a second offense, the bill decreases the period of license forfeiture from the current two years to one to two years. The offender's license is to be forfeited until an IID is installed and is to remain installed for two to four years.

For a third or subsequent offense, the committee substitute decreases the license forfeiture from the current 10 years to eight years. An IID would be required during the license forfeiture and remain installed for two to four years.

The provision establishing enhanced penalties for a conviction of refusing to submit to a breathalyzer occurring in a school zone is removed.

Ignition Interlock Device Installation

The committee substitute requires drunk driving offenders to provide the court with information identifying the motor vehicle on which an ignition interlock is to be installed, as well as any other information deemed relevant by the court, such as the offender's complete name, address, date of birth, eye color, and gender. An offender who does not own, lease, or operate a motor vehicle is required to attest to this to the court. An offender who falsely attests to the court may be prosecuted for perjury. If an offender subsequently purchases, leases, or gains access to a motor vehicle to operate, the offender is required to immediately notify the court and install an ignition interlock device in that vehicle.

The driver's license of an offender who attests to not owning, leasing, or operating a motor vehicle is to be forfeited for the required ignition interlock installation period.

In order to remove an IID after the required installation period, the IID vendor is to submit a certification to the Chief Administrator of the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission that during the final 30 days of the installation period there was not more than one failure to take or pass a test with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or higher unless a re-test conducted within five minutes of the initial test indicates a blood alcohol concentration of less than 0.08 percent; and the offender complied with all required maintenance, repair, calibration, monitoring, and inspection requirements related to the device. If these requirements are not satisfied, the vendor is required to forward the violation information to the chief administrator and the court. The court has the discretion to either extend the period of IID installation for up to 90 days or issue the certification to the chief administrator.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The Office of Legislative Services finds that the bill will likely result in a small indeterminate increase in Judiciary Branch costs related to additional court time required to process these cases. The Motor Vehicle Commission will incur staffing expenses to monitor and verify the installation of interlock devices. The MVC may also incur limited costs to produce new informational materials reflecting the new structure of the penalties. Finally, the State may realize an indeterminate reduction in revenue due to the bill's removal of enhanced penalties for violations occurring on or near a school property.

STATEMENT TO

SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR

SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR

SENATE, No. 824

Assembly Floor Amendments (Proposed by Assemblywoman DOWNEY)

ADOPTED: JUNE 20, 2019

The Senate committee substitute for Senate Bill No. 824 SCS reduces the length of driver's license forfeiture for convictions of drunk driving and refusing to submit to a breathalyzer and increases the period of required ignition interlock device (IID) installation for these offenses.

These Assembly amendments require the Chief Administrator of the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission to semiannually issue a summary report containing information on drunk driving offenders required to install an ignition interlock device. The information is to include: the total number of offenders ordered to install an ignition interlock categorized by the offender's number of convictions and place of residence; whether the offender qualifies for a reduced fee for monthly rental of an ignition interlock device categorized by family income exceeding 100 percent or 149 percent of the federal poverty level; the percentage of these offenders compared to the total number of offenders; and the number of these offenders residing in each county; the average length of time an offender maintains installation of the device categorized by the offender's number of convictions; and the percent of offenders who remove the ignition interlock device because they are unable to afford continued installation.

The Assembly amendments also provide that the bill's provisions are to expire four years after the effective date.

These Assembly amendments make the Senate committee substitute for Senate Bill No. 824 (SCS) identical to the Assembly Committee Substitute for Assembly Bill No. 2089 (ACS), as reported by the Assembly Appropriations Committee on June 18, 2019.

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE

[First Reprint]

SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR

SENATE, No. 824

STATE OF NEW JERSEY 218th LEGISLATURE

DATED: JULY 17, 2019

SUMMARY

Synopsis: Revises certain drunk driving penalties; expands use of ignition

interlock devices.

Type of Impact: Annual State expenditure increases; annual State revenue decreases

Agencies Affected: New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission

Office of Legislative Services Estimate

Fiscal Impact	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
State Expenditure Increase		Indeterminate	
State Revenue Decrease		Indeterminate	

- The Office of Legislative Services (OLS) finds that the expanded use of ignition interlock device (IID) installations for drunk driving offenses will result in increased annual State expenditures. The Motor Vehicle Commission (MVC) will incur additional expenses to monitor and verify IID installations and for the processing of certain breathalyzer results. The MVC may also incur limited costs to produce informational materials reflecting the new penalties and to produce a semiannual summary report on IID installations.
- The State will realize a reduction in revenue from the removal of enhanced penalty provisions for violations occurring on or near school property, which carry larger monetary fines.

BILL DESCRIPTION

This bill revises the penalty provisions for various drunk driving offenses. In particular, it reduces the length of driver's license forfeiture for convictions of drunk driving and refusing to submit to a breathalyzer and increases the period of required IID installation for these offenses.



For drunk driving offenses and for refusing to submit to a breathalyzer test that involve a person's blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or higher, the court would order a license forfeiture until the person installs an IID on the vehicle, which would then remain on the vehicle for varying periods of time depending upon the BAC level and how many times the offense has occurred.

After the installation of the IID, the person's driver's license would be restored upon showing proof of such installation, and the MVC would imprint a notation concerning driving with the device on the person's license.

For offenses occurring on or near a school property or crossing, the bill would eliminate any enhanced penalties currently available under the law and instead treat such an offense the same as all other comparable offenses.

A person required to install an IID would be required to provide the vendor of the device at the time of installation the BAC, or information on the refusal to submit to a breath test, on which the sentence was based. Every vendor of an IID would be required to adopt real time data reporting of the BAC of a person required to install a device and the predetermined fail level of each device.

In order to remove an IID after the required installation period, the IID vendor is to submit a certification to the Chief Administrator of the MVC that during the final 30 days of the installation period there was not more than one failure to take or pass a test with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or higher unless a re-test conducted within five minutes of the initial test indicates a BAC of less than 0.08 percent; and the offender complied with all required maintenance, repair, calibration, monitoring, and inspection requirements related to the device. If these requirements are not satisfied, the vendor is required to forward the violation information to the chief administrator and the court. The court has the discretion to either extend the period of IID installation for up to 90 days or issue the certification to the chief administrator.

The bill requires the MVC commissioner to semiannually produce a report on IID installations. The bill is to expire on the first day of the 5^{th} year following the effective date.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

EXECUTIVE BRANCH

None received.

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE SERVICES

The OLS finds that the expanded use of IID installations for drunk driving and refusing to submit to a breathalyzer offenses will result in indeterminate annual State expenditure increases and an indeterminate annual decrease in State revenues. There will likely be an additional burden on customer service staff at the MVC to serve those requiring restricted licenses and to monitor and verify the installation and processing of IID installations. It is expected that the impact of that workload will likely not entail the hiring of additional employees for direct customer service at MVC locations. However, it may be necessary for the MVC to hire additional professional staff to administer the expanded IID installation program, including the verification of test results from the devices prior to their removal and reviewing documentation from device vendors. Additionally, there may be recurring costs for program materials related to the new enhanced use of IID installations under the bill, including pamphlets or brochures explaining the new penalties based on intoxication level and number of offenses. The MVC will

FE to [1R] SCS for S824 SCS

3

also incur costs for staff time in order to produce the semiannual report on IID installations required under the bill. The amount of time required will depend upon how much of the information in the report can be automatically produced by IID vendors and how much tabulation and analysis will be required internally by staff.

The State is also likely to realize reduced revenue based on the removal of certain enhanced penalties that are currently available for drunk driving offenses and failure to submit to a breathalyzer test that occur on or near school property. Some of these enhanced penalties include larger monetary fines, which would no longer be imposed.

Section: Authorities, Utilities, Transportation and Communications

Analyst: Patrick Brennan

Principal Fiscal Analyst

Approved: Frank W. Haines III

Legislative Budget and Finance Officer

This legislative fiscal estimate has been produced by the Office of Legislative Services due to the failure of the Executive Branch to respond to our request for a fiscal note.

This fiscal estimate has been prepared pursuant to P.L.1980, c.67 (C.52:13B-6 et seq.).

ASSEMBLY, No. 2089

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

218th LEGISLATURE

PRE-FILED FOR INTRODUCTION IN THE 2018 SESSION

Sponsored by:

Assemblyman JOSEPH A. LAGANA District 38 (Bergen and Passaic) Assemblywoman JOANN DOWNEY District 11 (Monmouth) Assemblyman DANIEL R. BENSON District 14 (Mercer and Middlesex)

Co-Sponsored by:

Assemblywomen Chaparro, N.Munoz, Mosquera, Assemblymen Zwicker, DePhillips, Holley and Assemblywoman Reynolds-Jackson

SYNOPSIS

Revises penalties for drunk driving and ignition interlock device violations.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

Introduced Pending Technical Review by Legislative Counsel.



(Sponsorship Updated As Of: 9/28/2018)

AN ACT concerning drunk driving and ignition interlock devices 2 and amending R.S.39:4-50, P.L.1981, c.512, and P.L.1999, c.417.

3 4 5

1

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

6 7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

- 1. R.S.39:4-50 is amended to read as follows:
- (a) Except as provided in subsection (g) of this section, a person who operates a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, narcotic, hallucinogenic or habitproducing drug, or operates a motor vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08% or more by weight of alcohol in the defendant's blood or permits another person who is under the influence of intoxicating liquor, narcotic, hallucinogenic or habitproducing drug to operate a motor vehicle owned by him or in his custody or control or permits another to operate a motor vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08% or more by weight of alcohol in the defendant's blood shall be subject:
 - (1) For the first offense:
- (i) if the person's blood alcohol concentration is 0.08% or higher but less than 0.10%, or the person operates a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, or the person permits another person who is under the influence of intoxicating liquor to operate a motor vehicle owned by him or in his custody or control or permits another person with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08% or higher but less than 0.10% to operate a motor vehicle, to a fine of not less than \$250 nor more than \$400 and a period of detainment of not less than 12 hours nor more than 48 hours spent during two consecutive days of not less than six hours each day and served as prescribed by the program requirements of the Intoxicated Driver Resource Centers established under subsection (f) of this section and, in the discretion of the court, a term of imprisonment of not more than 30 days and shall forthwith forfeit his right to operate a motor vehicle over the highways of this State for a period of [three months] 30 days;
- (ii) if the person's blood alcohol concentration is 0.10% or higher, or the person operates a motor vehicle while under the influence of narcotic, hallucinogenic or habit-producing drug, or the person permits another person who is under the influence of narcotic, hallucinogenic or habit-producing drug to operate a motor vehicle owned by him or in his custody or control, or permits another person with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.10% or more to operate a motor vehicle, to a fine of not less than \$300 nor more than \$500 and a period of detainment of not less than 12 hours nor

EXPLANATION - Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

- more than 48 hours spent during two consecutive days of not less than six hours each day and served as prescribed by the program requirements of the Intoxicated Driver Resource Centers established under subsection (f) of this section and, in the discretion of the court, a term of imprisonment of not more than 30 days and shall forthwith forfeit his right to operate a motor vehicle over the highways of this State for a period of Inot less than seven months nor more than one year **1** 45 days if the person's blood alcohol concentration was 0.10 percent or higher, but less than 0.15 percent and a period of 90 days if the person's blood alcohol concentration was 0.15 percent or higher;
 - (iii) For a first offense, a person also shall be subject to the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.).

- (2) For a second violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of not less than \$500 nor more than \$1,000, and shall be ordered by the court to perform community service for a period of 30 days, which shall be of such form and on such terms as the court shall deem appropriate under the circumstances, and shall be sentenced to imprisonment for a term of not less than 48 consecutive hours, which shall not be suspended or served on probation, nor more than 90 days, and shall forfeit his right to operate a motor vehicle over the highways of this State for a period of two years upon conviction, and, after the expiration of said period, he may make application to the Chief Administrator of the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission for a license to operate a motor vehicle, which application may be granted at the discretion of the chief administrator, consistent with subsection (b) of this section. For a second violation, a person also shall be required to install an ignition interlock device under the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.).
- (3) For a third or subsequent violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of \$1,000, and shall be sentenced to imprisonment for a term of not less than 180 days in a county jail or workhouse, except that the court may lower such term for each day, not exceeding 90 days, served participating in a drug or alcohol inpatient rehabilitation program approved by the Intoxicated Driver Resource Center and shall thereafter forfeit his right to operate a motor vehicle over the highways of this State for 10 years. For a third or subsequent violation, a person also shall be required to install an ignition interlock device under the provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.).

As used in this section, the phrase "narcotic, hallucinogenic or habit-producing drug" includes an inhalant or other substance containing a chemical capable of releasing any toxic vapors or fumes for the purpose of inducing a condition of intoxication, such as any glue, cement or any other substance containing one or more of the following chemical compounds: acetone and acetate, amyl nitrite or amyl nitrate or their isomers, benzene, butyl alcohol, butyl

nitrite, butyl nitrate or their isomers, ethyl acetate, ethyl alcohol, 1 2 ethyl nitrite or ethyl nitrate, ethylene dichloride, isobutyl alcohol or 3 isopropyl alcohol, methyl alcohol, methyl ethyl ketone, nitrous 4 oxide, n-propyl alcohol, pentachlorophenol, petroleum ether, propyl 5 nitrite or propyl nitrate or their isomers, toluene, toluol or xylene or 6 any other chemical substance capable of causing a condition of 7 intoxication, inebriation, excitement, stupefaction or the dulling of 8 the brain or nervous system as a result of the inhalation of the 9 fumes or vapors of such chemical substance.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

3536

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

Whenever an operator of a motor vehicle has been involved in an accident resulting in death, bodily injury or property damage, a police officer shall consider that fact along with all other facts and circumstances in determining whether there are reasonable grounds to believe that person was operating a motor vehicle in violation of this section.

A conviction of a violation of a law of a substantially similar nature in another jurisdiction, regardless of whether that jurisdiction is a signatory to the Interstate Driver License Compact pursuant to P.L.1966, c.73 (C.39:5D-1 et seq.), shall constitute a prior conviction under this subsection unless the defendant can demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that the conviction in the other jurisdiction was based exclusively upon a violation of a proscribed blood alcohol concentration of less than 0.08%.

If the driving privilege of any person is under revocation or suspension for a violation of any provision of this Title or Title 2C of the New Jersey Statutes at the time of any conviction for a violation of this section, the revocation or suspension period imposed shall commence as of the date of termination of the existing revocation or suspension period. In the case of any person who at the time of the imposition of sentence is less than 17 years of age, the forfeiture, suspension or revocation of the driving privilege imposed by the court under this section shall commence immediately, run through the offender's seventeenth birthday and continue from that date for the period set by the court pursuant to paragraphs (1) through (3) of this subsection. A court that imposes a term of imprisonment for a first or second offense under this section may sentence the person so convicted to the county jail, to the workhouse of the county wherein the offense was committed, to an inpatient rehabilitation program or to an Intoxicated Driver Resource Center or other facility approved by the chief of the Intoxicated Driving Program Unit in the <u>Division of Mental Health</u> and Addiction Services in the Department of [Health] Human Services. For a third or subsequent offense a person shall not serve a term of imprisonment at an Intoxicated Driver Resource Center as provided in subsection (f).

A person who has been convicted of a previous violation of this section need not be charged as a second or subsequent offender in the complaint made against him in order to render him liable to the

punishment imposed by this section on a second or subsequent 1 2 offender, but if the second offense occurs more than 10 years after 3 the first offense, the court shall treat the second conviction as a first 4 offense for sentencing purposes and if a third offense occurs more 5 than 10 years after the second offense, the court shall treat the third 6 conviction as a second offense for sentencing purposes.

7

8

21

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- (b) A person convicted under this section must satisfy the screening, evaluation, referral, program and fee requirements of the 9 Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services' Intoxicated 10 Driving Program Unit, and of the Intoxicated Driver Resource 11 Centers and a program of alcohol and drug education and highway 12 safety, as prescribed by the chief administrator. The sentencing 13 court shall inform the person convicted that failure to satisfy such 14 requirements shall result in a mandatory two-day term of 15 imprisonment in a county jail and a driver license revocation or 16 suspension and continuation of revocation or suspension until such 17 requirements are satisfied, unless stayed by court order in 18 accordance with the Rules Governing the Courts of the State of 19 New Jersey, or R.S.39:5-22. Upon sentencing, the court shall 20 forward to the Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services' Intoxicated Driving Program Unit a copy of a person's conviction 22 record. A fee of \$100 shall be payable to the Alcohol Education, 23 Rehabilitation and Enforcement Fund established pursuant to section 3 of P.L.1983, c.531 (C.26:2B-32) to support the 24 25 Intoxicated Driving Program Unit.
 - (c) Upon conviction of a violation of this section, the court shall collect forthwith the New Jersey driver's license or licenses of the person so convicted and forward such license or licenses to the chief administrator. The court shall inform the person convicted that if he is convicted of personally operating a motor vehicle during the period of license suspension imposed pursuant to subsection (a) of this section, he shall, upon conviction, be subject to the penalties established in R.S.39:3-40. The person convicted shall be informed orally and in writing. A person shall be required to acknowledge receipt of that written notice in writing. Failure to receive a written notice or failure to acknowledge in writing the receipt of a written notice shall not be a defense to a subsequent charge of a violation of R.S.39:3-40. In the event that a person convicted under this section is the holder of any out-of-State driver's license, the court shall not collect the license but shall notify forthwith the chief administrator, who shall, in turn, notify appropriate officials in the licensing jurisdiction. The court shall, however, revoke the nonresident's driving privilege to operate a motor vehicle in this State, in accordance with this section. Upon conviction of a violation of this section, the court shall notify the person convicted, orally and in writing, of the penalties for a second, third or subsequent violation of this section. A person shall be required to acknowledge receipt of that written notice in writing.

Failure to receive a written notice or failure to acknowledge in writing the receipt of a written notice shall not be a defense to a subsequent charge of a violation of this section.

1 2

- (d) The chief administrator shall promulgate rules and regulations pursuant to the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.) in order to establish a program of alcohol education and highway safety, as prescribed by this act.
- (e) Any person accused of a violation of this section who is liable to punishment imposed by this section as a second or subsequent offender shall be entitled to the same rights of discovery as allowed defendants pursuant to the Rules Governing the Courts of the State of New Jersey.
- (f) The counties, in cooperation with the Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services and the commission, but subject to the approval of the Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services, shall designate and establish on a county or regional basis Intoxicated Driver Resource Centers. These centers shall have the capability of serving as community treatment referral centers and as court monitors of a person's compliance with the ordered treatment, service alternative or community service. All centers established pursuant to this subsection shall be administered by a counselor certified by the Alcohol and Drug Counselor Certification Board of New Jersey or other professional with a minimum of five years' experience in the treatment of alcoholism. All centers shall be required to develop individualized treatment plans for all persons attending the centers; provided that the duration of any ordered treatment or referral shall not exceed one year. It shall be the center's responsibility to establish networks with the community alcohol and drug education, treatment and rehabilitation resources and to receive monthly reports from the referral agencies regarding a person's participation and compliance with the program. Nothing in this subsection shall bar these centers from developing their own education and treatment programs; provided that they are approved by the Division of Mental Health and Addiction Services.

Upon a person's failure to report to the initial screening or any subsequent ordered referral, the Intoxicated Driver Resource Center shall promptly notify the sentencing court of the person's failure to comply.

Required detention periods at the Intoxicated Driver Resource Centers shall be determined according to the individual treatment classification assigned by the Intoxicated Driving Program Unit. Upon attendance at an Intoxicated Driver Resource Center, a person shall be required to pay a per diem fee of \$75 for the first offender program or a per diem fee of \$100 for the second offender program, as appropriate. Any increases in the per diem fees after the first full year shall be determined pursuant to rules and regulations adopted by the Commissioner of [Health] Human Services in consultation with the Governor's Council on Alcoholism and Drug Abuse

pursuant to the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.).

The centers shall conduct a program of alcohol and drug education and highway safety, as prescribed by the chief administrator.

The Commissioner of **[**Health**]** <u>Human Services</u> shall adopt rules and regulations pursuant to the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.), in order to effectuate the purposes of this subsection.

(g) When a violation of this section occurs while:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

- (1) on any school property used for school purposes which is owned by or leased to any elementary or secondary school or school board, or within 1,000 feet of such school property;
- (2) driving through a school crossing as defined in R.S.39:1-1 if the municipality, by ordinance or resolution, has designated the school crossing as such; or
- (3) driving through a school crossing as defined in R.S.39:1-1 knowing that juveniles are present if the municipality has not designated the school crossing as such by ordinance or resolution, the convicted person shall: for a first offense, be fined not less than \$500 or more than \$800, be imprisoned for not more than 60 days and have his license to operate a motor vehicle suspended for a period of not less than one year or more than two years; for a second offense, be fined not less than \$1,000 or more than \$2,000, perform community service for a period of 60 days, be imprisoned for not less than 96 consecutive hours, which shall not be suspended or served on probation, nor more than 180 days, except that the court may lower such term for each day, not exceeding 90 days, served performing community service in such form and on such terms as the court shall deem appropriate under the circumstances and have his license to operate a motor vehicle suspended for a period of four years; and, for a third offense, be fined \$2,000, imprisoned for 180 days in a county jail or workhouse, except that the court may lower such term for each day, not exceeding 90 days, served participating in a drug or alcohol inpatient rehabilitation program approved by the Intoxicated Driver Resource Center, and have his license to operate a motor vehicle suspended for a period of 20 years; the period of license suspension shall commence upon the completion of any prison sentence imposed upon that person.

A map or true copy of a map depicting the location and boundaries of the area on or within 1,000 feet of any property used for school purposes which is owned by or leased to any elementary or secondary school or school board produced pursuant to section 1 of P.L.1987, c.101 (C.2C:35-7) may be used in a prosecution under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

It shall not be relevant to the imposition of sentence pursuant to paragraph (1) or (2) of this subsection that the defendant was unaware that the prohibited conduct took place while on or within

1,000 feet of any school property or while driving through a school crossing. Nor shall it be relevant to the imposition of sentence that no juveniles were present on the school property or crossing zone at the time of the offense or that the school was not in session.

- (h) A court also may order a person convicted pursuant to subsection (a) of this section, to participate in a supervised visitation program as either a condition of probation or a form of community service, giving preference to those who were under the age of 21 at the time of the offense. Prior to ordering a person to participate in such a program, the court may consult with any person who may provide useful information on the defendant's physical, emotional and mental suitability for the visit to ensure that it will not cause any injury to the defendant. The court also may order that the defendant participate in a counseling session under the supervision of the Intoxicated Driving Program Unit prior to participating in the supervised visitation program shall be at one or more of the following facilities which have agreed to participate in the program under the supervision of the facility's personnel and the probation department:
- (1) a trauma center, critical care center or acute care hospital having basic emergency services, which receives victims of motor vehicle accidents for the purpose of observing appropriate victims of drunk drivers and victims who are, themselves, drunk drivers;
- (2) a facility which cares for advanced alcoholics or drug abusers, to observe persons in the advanced stages of alcoholism or drug abuse; or
- (3) if approved by a county medical examiner, the office of the county medical examiner or a public morgue to observe appropriate victims of vehicle accidents involving drunk drivers.

As used in this section, "appropriate victim" means a victim whose condition is determined by the facility's supervisory personnel and the probation officer to be appropriate for demonstrating the results of accidents involving drunk drivers without being unnecessarily gruesome or traumatic to the defendant.

If at any time before or during a visitation the facility's supervisory personnel and the probation officer determine that the visitation may be or is traumatic or otherwise inappropriate for that defendant, the visitation shall be terminated without prejudice to the defendant. The program may include a personal conference after the visitation, which may include the sentencing judge or the judge who coordinates the program for the court, the defendant, defendant's counsel, and, if available, the defendant's parents to discuss the visitation and its effect on the defendant's future conduct. If a personal conference is not practicable because of the defendant's absence from the jurisdiction, conflicting time schedules, or any other reason, the court shall require the defendant to submit a written report concerning the visitation experience and

its impact on the defendant. The county, a court, any facility visited 1 2 pursuant to the program, any agents, employees, or independent 3 contractors of the court, county, or facility visited pursuant to the 4 program, and any person supervising a defendant during the 5 visitation, are not liable for any civil damages resulting from injury 6 to the defendant, or for civil damages associated with the visitation 7 which are caused by the defendant, except for willful or grossly 8 negligent acts intended to, or reasonably expected to result in, that 9 injury or damage.

The Supreme Court may adopt court rules or directives to effectuate the purposes of this subsection.

(i) In addition to any other fine, fee, or other charge imposed pursuant to law, the court shall assess a person convicted of a violation of the provisions of this section a surcharge of \$125, of which amount \$50 shall be payable to the municipality in which the conviction was obtained, \$50 shall be payable to the Treasurer of the State of New Jersey for deposit into the General Fund, and \$25 which shall be payable as follows: in a matter where the summons was issued by a municipality's law enforcement agency, to that municipality to be used for the cost of equipping police vehicles with mobile video recording systems pursuant to the provisions of section 1 of P.L.2014, c.54 (C.40A:14-118.1); in a matter where the summons was issued by a county's law enforcement agency, to that county; and in a matter where the summons was issued by a State law enforcement agency, to the General Fund.

26 (cf: P.L.2014, c.54, s.2)

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

3637

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2. Section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a) is amended to read as follows:
- 2. a. Except as provided in subsection b. of this section, the municipal court shall revoke the right to operate a motor vehicle of any operator who, after being arrested for a violation of R.S.39:4-50 or section 1 of P.L.1992, c.189 (C.39:4-50.14), shall refuse to submit to a test provided for in section 2 of P.L.1966, c.142 (C.39:4-50.2) when requested to do so, for Inot less than seven months or more than one year **]** 90 days unless the refusal was in connection with a second offense under this section, in which case the revocation period shall be for two years or unless the refusal was in connection with a third or subsequent offense under this section in which case the revocation shall be for ten years. A conviction or administrative determination of a violation of a law of a substantially similar nature in another jurisdiction, regardless of whether that jurisdiction is a signatory to the Interstate Driver License Compact pursuant to P.L.1966, c.73 (C.39:5D-1 et seq.), shall constitute a prior conviction under this section.

The municipal court shall determine by a preponderance of the evidence whether the arresting officer had probable cause to believe that the person had been driving or was in actual physical control of

a motor vehicle on the public highways or quasi-public areas of this 1 2 State while the person was under the influence of intoxicating 3 liquor or a narcotic, hallucinogenic, or habit-producing drug or 4 marijuana; whether the person was placed under arrest, if 5 appropriate, and whether he refused to submit to the test upon request of the officer; and if these elements of the violation are not 6 7 established, no conviction shall issue. In addition to any other 8 requirements provided by law, a person whose operator's license is 9 revoked for refusing to submit to a test shall be referred to an 10 Intoxicated Driver Resource Center established by subsection (f) of 11 R.S.39:4-50 and shall satisfy the same requirements of the center 12 for refusal to submit to a test as provided for in section 2 of 13 P.L.1966, c.142 (C.39:4-50.2) in connection with a first, second, 14 third or subsequent offense under this section that must be satisfied 15 by a person convicted of a commensurate violation of this section, 16 or be subject to the same penalties as such a person for failure to do 17 so. For a first offense, the revocation may be concurrent with or 18 consecutive to any revocation imposed for a conviction under the 19 provisions of R.S.39:4-50 arising out of the same incident. For a 20 second or subsequent offense, the revocation shall be consecutive to any revocation imposed for a conviction under the provisions of 21 22 R.S.39:4-50. In addition to issuing a revocation, except as provided 23 in subsection b. of this section, the municipal court shall fine a 24 person convicted under this section, a fine of not less than \$300 or 25 more than \$500 for a first offense; a fine of not less than \$500 or 26 more than \$1,000 for a second offense; and a fine of \$1,000 for a 27 third or subsequent offense. The person also shall be required to 28 install an ignition interlock device pursuant to the provisions of 29 P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.). 30

b. For a first offense, the fine imposed upon the convicted person shall be not less than \$600 or more than \$1,000 and the period of license suspension shall be not less than one year or more than two years; for a second offense, a fine of not less than \$1,000 or more than \$2,000 and a license suspension for a period of four years; and for a third or subsequent offense, a fine of \$2,000 and a license suspension for a period of 20 years when a violation of this section occurs while:

3132

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- (1) on any school property used for school purposes which is owned by or leased to any elementary or secondary school or school board, or within 1,000 feet of such school property;
- (2) driving through a school crossing as defined in R.S.39:1-1 if the municipality, by ordinance or resolution, has designated the school crossing as such; or
- (3) driving through a school crossing as defined in R.S.39:1-1 knowing that juveniles are present if the municipality has not designated the school crossing as such by ordinance or resolution.
- A map or true copy of a map depicting the location and boundaries of the area on or within 1,000 feet of any property used

for school purposes which is owned by or leased to any elementary or secondary school or school board produced pursuant to section 1 of P.L.1987, c.101 (C.2C:35-7) may be used in a prosecution under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

It shall not be relevant to the imposition of sentence pursuant to paragraph (1) or (2) of this subsection that the defendant was unaware that the prohibited conduct took place while on or within 1,000 feet of any school property or while driving through a school crossing. Nor shall it be relevant to the imposition of sentence that no juveniles were present on the school property or crossing zone at the time of the offense or that the school was not in session.

(cf: P.L.2009, c.201, s.5)

- 3. Section 2 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.17) is amended to read as follows:
- 2. a. (1) [Except as provided in paragraph (2) of this subsection, in In sentencing a first offender under R.S.39:4-50, the court [may] shall order, in addition to any other penalty imposed by that section, the installation of an ignition interlock device in the motor vehicle principally operated by the offender during and following the expiration of the period of license suspension imposed under that section. In addition to installation during the period of license suspension, the device shall remain installed not less than three months or more than six months if the offender's blood alcohol concentration is 0.08 percent or higher but less than 0.10 percent, and not less than six months or more than one year if the offender's blood alcohol concentration is 0.10 percent or higher, but less than 0.15 percent. In sentencing a first offender under section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a), the court shall order, in addition to any other penalty imposed by that section, the installation of an ignition interlock device in the motor vehicle principally operated by the offender during and following the expiration of the period of license suspension imposed under that section. The device shall remain installed not less than six months or more than one year, commencing immediately upon the return of the offender's driver's license after the required period of suspension has been served.
 - (2) If the first offender's blood alcohol concentration is 0.15% or higher, the court shall order, in addition to any other penalty imposed under R.S.39:4-50, the installation of an ignition interlock device in the motor vehicle principally operated by the offender during and following the expiration of the period of license suspension imposed under that section. In addition to installation during the period of license suspension, the device shall remain installed for not less than **[**six months] one year or more than **[**one year] 18 months, commencing immediately upon the return of the

offender's driver's license after the required period of suspension has been served.

- 3 b. In sentencing a second or subsequent offender under 4 R.S.39:4-50 or section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a), the 5 court shall order, in addition to any other penalty imposed by that 6 section, the installation of an ignition interlock device in the motor vehicle principally operated by the offender during and following 7 8 the expiration of the period of license suspension imposed under 9 R.S.39:4-50 or section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a). In 10 addition to installation during the period of license suspension, the 11 device shall remain installed for not less than one year or more than 12 three years, commencing immediately upon the return of the 13 offender's driver's license after the required period of suspension 14 has been served.
 - c. The court shall require that, for the duration of its order, an offender shall <u>not</u> drive **[**no**]** <u>any</u> vehicle other than <u>the</u> one in which an interlock device has been installed pursuant to the order.
 - d. As used in this act, "ignition interlock device" or "device" means a blood alcohol equivalence measuring device which will prevent a motor vehicle from starting if the operator's blood alcohol content exceeds a predetermined level when the operator blows into the device.
 - e. The provisions of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.16 et al.) and any amendments and supplements thereto shall be applicable only to violations of R.S.39:4-50 and section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a).

27 (cf: P.L.2009, c.201, s.2)

2829

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4. Section 3 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.18) is amended to read as follows;
- 30 read as follows;31 3. a. The court shall notify the [Director] Chief
- 32 <u>Administrator</u> of the [Division of] <u>New Jersey</u> Motor [Vehicles]
- 33 <u>Vehicle Commission</u> when a person has been ordered to install an
- 34 interlock device in a vehicle **[**owned, leased or regularly operated
- by the person pursuant to section 2 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-
- 36 <u>50.17</u>). The **[**division**]** commission shall require that the device be
- 37 installed before reinstatement of the person's driver's license that
- has been suspended pursuant to R.S.39:4-50.
- b. The **[**division**]** commission shall imprint a notation on the driver's license stating that the person shall not operate a motor vehicle unless it is equipped with an interlock device and shall enter this requirement in the person's driving record. The expiration date of the interlock device requirement shall not be imprinted on the license.
- c. Notwithstanding the provisions of section 2 of P.L.1999,
 c.41 (C.39:4-50.17), an ignition interlock device shall not be
 removed on the date of completion of the person's interlock

- sentence unless the person provides to the New Jersey Motor
 Vehicle Commission certification from the manufacturer that,
- 3 within the final one-third of that sentence:
- 4 (1) there were no attempts to start the motor vehicle with a
 5 blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or higher unless a retest conducted within five minutes of the initial test indicates a
 6 blood alcohol concentration of less than 0.08 percent;
 - (2) there were no failures to take or pass any test with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or higher unless a re-test conducted within five minutes of the initial test indicates a blood alcohol concentration of less than 0.08 percent; and
- 12 (3) the person complied with all maintenance, repair, 13 calibration, monitoring, or inspection requirements related to the 14 interlock device.
 - d. For the purposes of subsection c. of this section, the data from the readings of the interlock device shall be made available to the sentenced person upon request.
 - e, Nothing in subsection c. of this section shall be construed to alter or change the current alcohol setpoint of an ignition interlock device as established in N.J.A.C.13:19-6.5.
 - (cf: P.L.1999, c.417, s.3)

212223

26

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

5. Section 4 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.19) is amended to read as follows:

an interlock device as ordered by the court in a motor vehicle

- read as follows:

 4. a. [A] The driver's license of a person who fails to install
- 27 **L**owned, leased or regularly operated by him shall have his driver's
- 28 license pursuant to section 2 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.17)
- 29 <u>shall be</u> suspended for [one year] 18 months, in addition to any
- 30 other suspension or revocation imposed under R.S.39:4-50, unless
- 31 the court determines a valid reason exists for the failure to comply.
- 32 A person in whose vehicle an interlock device is installed pursuant
- 33 to a court order who drives that vehicle after it has been started by
- any means other than [his own] the person blowing into the device
- or who drives a vehicle that is not equipped with [such] a device
- shall have [his] the person's driver's license suspended for [one]
- year <u>18 months</u>, in addition to any other penalty applicable by law.
 - b. A person is a disorderly person who:
 - (1) blows into an interlock device or otherwise starts a motor vehicle equipped with [such a] the device for the purpose of providing an operable motor vehicle to a person who has been ordered by the court to install the device in the vehicle;
 - (2) tampers or in any way circumvents the operation of an interlock device; or
- 45 (3) knowingly rents, leases or lends a motor vehicle not 46 equipped with an interlock device to a person who has been ordered 47 by the court to install an interlock device in a vehicle **[**he owns,

leases or regularly operates pursuant to section 2 of P.L.1999, c.417 (C.39:4-50.17).

c. The provisions of subsection b. of this section shall not apply if a motor vehicle required to be equipped with an ignition interlock device is started by a person for the purpose of safety or mechanical repair of the device or the vehicle, provided the person subject to the court order does not operate the vehicle.

(cf: P.L.2009, c.201, s.3)

6. This act shall take effect on the first day of the fourth month after enactment and shall apply to any offense occurring on or after that date, and additionally the Chief Administrator of the Motor Vehicle Commission may take any anticipatory administrative action in advance of that date as shall be necessary to implement the provisions of this act.

STATEMENT

This bill decreases the length of driver's license suspensions for the first offense of drunk driving and refusing to submit to a breathalyzer test and increases ignition interlock device requirements for the offenses of drunk driving and refusing to submit to a breathalyzer test.

Under current law, the period of a driver's license suspension for first time drunk driving offenders is based on the offender's blood alcohol concentration (BAC). If the offender's BAC is 0.08 percent or higher but less than 0.10 percent, the driver's license is suspended for three months. If the offender's BAC is 0.10 percent or higher, the driver's license is suspended for seven months to one year. Currently, the driver's license suspension for refusing to submit to a breathalyzer test is seven months to one year.

The bill reduces the driver's license suspension for first time offenders with a BAC of between 0.08 percent and 0.10 from "three months" to 30 days. The bill reduces the driver's license suspension for first time offenders with a BAC greater than 0.10 percent from "seven months to one year" to 45 days if the first time offender's BAC is between 0.10 percent and 0.15 percent and 90 days if the first time offender's BAC is 0.15 percent or higher.

Under current law, the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID) is discretionary for first time drunk driving offenders whose BAC is under 0.15 percent but, if required by the court, the IID is to be installed in the motor vehicle principally operated by the offender for six months to one year following the license suspension. First time offenders whose BAC is 0.15 percent or higher are required to install an IID in the motor vehicle they principally operate during the period of suspension, in addition to six months to one year following the suspension. Installation of an

1 IID also is mandatory for a first offense of refusing to submit to a 2 breathalyzer test; it is required during the period of license 3 suspension and six months to one year after the suspension.

4

5

6 7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

The bill makes mandatory the installation of an IID for first time offenders and is required during the license suspension, as well as following the suspension. For first time offenders whose BAC is 0.08 or higher and less than 0.10 percent, the required period of installation is three to six months after the period of license suspension; for a BAC of 0.10 percent or higher but less than 0.15 percent, the installation period is six months to one year after the license suspension; and for a BAC of 0.15 percent or higher the installation period is one year to 18 months after the license suspension.

The bill further specifies that a driver may not remove an IID on the date of completing the required period of installation unless the driver provides to the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission certification from the manufacturer that, within the final one-third of that period, certain conditions were met. First, the manufacturer's certification must state that there were no attempts to start the motor vehicle with a BAC of 0.08 percent or higher unless a re-test conducted within five minutes of the initial test indicates a BAC of less than 0.08 percent. The manufacturer's certification must further state that there were no failures to take or pass a test with a BAC of 0.08 percent or higher unless a re-test conducted within five minutes of the initial test indicates a BAC of less than 0.08 percent. Finally, the manufacturer's certification must state that the driver complied with all maintenance, repair, calibration, monitoring, or inspection requirements related to the IID. The data from the readings of the IID are to be made available to the sentenced person upon request.

Current law provides for a one year driver's license suspension for failing to install a required IID. The bill increases the suspension to 18 months.

ASSEMBLY JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR ASSEMBLY, No. 2089

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: NOVEMBER 26, 2018

The Assembly Judiciary Committee reports favorably Assembly Committee Substitute for Assembly Bill No. 2089.

This substitute bill revises the penalty provisions for various drunk driving offenses, particularly making changes concerning the use of, and applicable time periods covering, driver's license forfeitures and installations of ignition interlock devices on motor vehicles owned or operated by these drivers.

Drunk Driving

Concerning the offense of driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs (R.S.39:4-50), the bill revises the relevant penalty provisions as follows:

For a first offense, if that offense involved a person's blood alcohol concentration of 0.08% or higher but less than 0.10%, or otherwise operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, the court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the person, whichever the person most often operates, which would then remain on the vehicle for a period of three months, unless the court was clearly convinced, after consideration on the record of a series of aggravating and mitigating factors as set forth in the bill, to instead order a license forfeiture of three months (a six-month forfeiture would apply instead of device installation if the person did not own, lease, or operate any motor vehicle).

The aggravating and mitigating factors for consideration by the court to order a license forfeiture, instead of device installation would include, but not be limited to: the nature and circumstances of the person's conduct, including whether such conduct posed a high risk of danger to the public; the person's driving record; whether the character and attitude of the person indicate that the person would be likely to commit another violation; and the need for personal or general deterrence.

If the court did order the installation of the ignition interlock device, the person's driver's license would only be restored upon showing proof of such installation, and the commission would imprint a notation concerning driving with the device on the person's driver's license.

Additionally, for a person with an ignition interlock device installed on a motor vehicle, the three-month installation period would be subject to possible extension for an additional period of 30 days, commencing upon the expiration of the initial period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 30 days of the initial installation period; if the attempt to operate the vehicle occurred during any extended period, an additional 30-day extension would commence upon the expiration of such extended period. This extension would occur without need of further court order, following notification of the event to the affected person by the Chief Administrator of the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission. A person would have the right to appeal any extended period of ignition interlock installation administratively imposed for attempting to operate a motor vehicle with an impermissible blood alcohol concentration.

If the first offense involved a person's blood alcohol concentration of 0.10% or higher but less than 0.15%, the court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the person, whichever the person most often operates, which would then remain on the vehicle for not less than seven months or more than one year, unless the court was clearly convinced, after consideration of the series of aggravating and mitigating factors as described above, to instead order a license forfeiture of not less than seven months or more than one year (a forfeiture of not less than one year or more than two years would apply instead of device installation if the person did not own, lease, or operate a motor vehicle). As above, if the person was ordered to install an ignition interlock device, the person could only reinstate the person's driver's license through the Motor Vehicle Commission upon showing proof of such installation, and could, when given notice by the chief administrator, have the installation period extended for one or more additional periods of 45 days, commencing upon the expiration of the current installation period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 45 days of the installation period.

If the first offense involved a person's blood alcohol concentration of 0.15% or higher, the court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the person, whichever the person most often operates, and maintain installation of the device during a period of license forfeiture of not less than seven months or more than one year and after the period of license forfeiture for an additional period of not less than seven months or more than one year, unless there was no such vehicle, in which case the person would

receive a period of forfeiture of not less than two years or more than four years.

With respect to the license forfeiture of a person with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.15% or higher, the person would have the opportunity, beginning 90 days after the start of the forfeiture, to petition the court to restore the person's driving privileges for the duration of the initially ordered period of forfeiture, subject to the person maintaining the installation of the ignition interlock device in the person's motor vehicle both for the remainder of the initially ordered forfeiture period and afterward for the additional seven-month to one-year period. For a person whose driving privileges were forfeited for a two to four year period because the person did not own, lease, or operate a motor vehicle, such person may petition the court, upon proof of owning, leasing, or intending to operating a motor vehicle, to restore the person's driving privileges for the duration of the forfeiture period after at least two years of the period have passed, subject to the person maintaining the installation of an ignition interlock device in that vehicle. As above, a person ordered to install an ignition interlock device could only restore a driver's license through the Motor Vehicle Commission upon showing proof of such installation, and could, when given notice by the chief administrator, have the installation period extended for one or more additional periods of 90 days, commencing upon the expiration of the current installation period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 90 days of the installation period.

If the offense involved a "drugged" driver (i.e., operating a motor vehicle under the influence of a narcotic, hallucinogenic, or habit-producing drug), the court would order a license forfeiture of not less than seven months or more than one year, with no option to instead operate a motor vehicle with an ignition interlock device installed.

For any such first offense of drunk or "drugged" driving occurring on or near a school property or crossing, the bill would eliminate any enhanced penalties currently available under the law and instead treat such an offense the same as all other first offenses.

For a second offense, the bill increases, for all drunk and "drugged" drivers, the period of license forfeiture from the current law's two years to instead a period of not less than two years or more than four years. The court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in each motor vehicle owned, leased, or operated by the person to be maintained during the two to four year period of forfeiture, and to remain installed afterward for a period of not less than one year or more than three years, unless there was no such vehicle, in which case the person would receive a period of forfeiture of not less than four years or more than eight years.

With respect to a second offender's license forfeiture, a person who does not own, lease, or operate any motor vehicle may petition the court, upon proof of owning, leasing, or intending to operate a motor vehicle, to restore the person's driving privileges for the duration of the forfeiture period after at least four years of the period have passed, subject to the person maintaining the installation of an ignition interlock device in that vehicle.

As above for any first offender, a person who is a second offender ordered to install an ignition interlock device could only reinstate a driver's license through the Motor Vehicle Commission upon showing proof of such installation, and could, when given notice by the chief administrator, have the installation period extended for one or more additional periods, commencing upon the expiration of the current installation period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 30, 45, or 90 days of the installation period, depending upon the blood alcohol concentration associated with the violation in the same manner as described above with respect to a first offense.

For a second offense occurring on or near a school property or crossing, the bill would eliminate any enhanced penalties currently available under the law and instead treat such an offense the same as all other second offenses.

For a third or subsequent offense, the bill increases, for all drunk and "drugged" drivers, the period of license forfeiture from the current law's 10 years to instead a period of not less than 10 years or more than 20 years. The court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in each motor vehicle owned, leased, or operated by the person to be maintained during the 10 to 20 year period of forfeiture, and to remain installed afterwards for a period of not less than one year or more than three years, unless there was no such vehicle, in which case the person would receive a period of forfeiture of not less than 20 years or more than 40 years.

With respect to a third or subsequent offender's license forfeiture, a person who does not own, lease, or operate any motor vehicle may petition the court, upon proof of owning, leasing, or intending to operate a motor vehicle, to restore the person's driving privileges for the duration of the forfeiture period after at least 20 years of the period have passed, subject to the person maintaining the installation of an ignition interlock device in that vehicle.

As above for both first and second offenders, a person who is a third or subsequent offender ordered to install an ignition interlock device could only reinstate a driver's license through the Motor Vehicle Commission upon showing proof of such installation, and could, when given notice by the chief administrator, have the installation period extended for one or more additional periods, commencing upon the expiration of the current installation period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 30, 45, or 90 days of the installation period, depending upon the blood alcohol concentration associated

with the violation in the same manner as described above with respect to a first offense.

A third or subsequent offender would also be required to perform community service for a period of not less than 60 days, which would be in the form and on the terms as the court deemed appropriate. This would be, at a minimum, a doubling of the 30-day community service requirement under the current law for a second offender.

For a third or subsequent offense occurring on or near a school property or crossing, the bill would eliminate any enhanced penalties currently available under the law and instead treat such an offense the same as all other third or subsequent offenses.

Refusing a Breath Test

Concerning the offense of refusing to submit to a breath test (section 2 of P.L.1981, c.512 (C.39:4-50.4a)), the bill revises the relevant penalty provisions as follows:

For a first offense, the court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the person, whichever the person most often operates, which would then remain on the vehicle for not less than seven months or more than one year, unless the court was clearly convinced, after consideration on the record of a series of aggravating and mitigating factors as set forth in the bill, to instead order a license forfeiture of not less than seven months or more than one year (a forfeiture of not less than one year or more than two years would apply instead of device installation if the person did not own, lease, or operate a motor vehicle).

As above with respect to a drunk driving offense for a blood alcohol concentration of 0.10% or higher but less than 0.15%, if the person was ordered to install an ignition interlock device, the person could only reinstate the person's driver's license through the Motor Vehicle Commission upon showing proof of such installation, and could have the installation period extended for one or more additional periods of 45 days, commencing upon the expiration of the current installation period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 45 days of the installation period.

For any first offense of refusing a breath test occurring on or near a school property or crossing, the bill would eliminate any enhanced penalties currently available under the law and instead treat such an offense the same as all other first offenses.

For a second offense, the bill increases the period of license forfeiture from the current two years to instead a period of not less than two years or more than four years. The court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in each motor vehicle owned, leased, or operated by the person to be maintained during the two to four year forfeiture period and remain installed afterward for a period of not less than one year or more than

three years, unless there was no such vehicle, in which case the person would receive a period of forfeiture of not less than four years or more than eight years.

A person who does not own, lease, or operate a motor vehicle may petition the court, upon proof of owning, leasing, or intending to operate a motor vehicle, to restore the person's driving privileges for the duration of the forfeiture period after at least four years of the period have passed, subject to the person maintaining the installation of an ignition interlock device in that vehicle.

As above with respect to a first offense of refusing a breath test, a person who is a second offender ordered to install an ignition interlock device could only reinstate a driver's license through the Motor Vehicle Commission upon showing proof of such installation, and could have the installation period extended for one or more additional periods of 45 days, commencing upon the expiration of the current installation period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 45 days of the installation period.

For a second offense of refusing a breath test occurring on or near a school property or crossing, the bill would eliminate any enhanced penalties currently available under the law and instead treat such an offense the same as all other second offenses.

For a third or subsequent offense, the bill increases the period of license forfeiture from the current 10 years to instead a period of not less than 10 years or more than 20 years. The court would order a license forfeiture until the person installed an ignition interlock device in each motor vehicle owned, leased, or operated by the person to be maintained during the 10 to 20 year period of forfeiture, and to remain installed afterwards for a period of not less than one year or more than three years, unless there was no such vehicle, in which case the person would receive a period of forfeiture of not less than 20 years or more than 40 years.

A third or subsequent offender who does not own, lease, or operate a motor vehicle may petition the court, upon proof of owning, leasing, or intending to operate a motor vehicle, to restore the person's driving privileges for the duration of the forfeiture period after at least 20 years of the period have passed, subject to the person maintaining the installation of an ignition interlock device in that vehicle.

As above with respect to a first or second offense of refusing a breath test, a person who is a third or subsequent offender ordered to install an ignition interlock device could only reinstate a driver's license through the Motor Vehicle Commission upon showing proof of such installation, and could have the installation period extended for one or more additional periods of 45 days, commencing upon the expiration of the current installation period, for attempting to operate the vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.05% or higher during the final 45 days of the installation period.

For a third or subsequent offense of refusing a breath test occurring on or near a school property or crossing, the bill would eliminate any enhanced penalties currently available under the law and instead treat such an offense the same as all other third or subsequent offenses.

Ignition Interlock Device Installation; License Reinstatement

A person required to install an ignition interlock device would be required to provide the vendor of the device at the time of installation the blood alcohol concentration, or information on the refusal to submit to a breath test, on which the sentence was based. Every vendor of an ignition interlock device would be required to adopt real time data reporting of the blood alcohol concentration of a person required to install a device and the predetermined fail level of each device.

With respect to all cases for which a person has been ordered to install one or more ignition interlock devices, the court would notify the Chief Administrator of the Motor Vehicle Commission. The commission would thereafter require that the one or more devices be installed before the reinstatement of the person's driver's license. The commission would imprint a notation on the restored driver's license stating that the person could not operate a motor vehicle unless it is equipped with an ignition interlock device, and would enter this requirement in the person's driving record.

ASSEMBLY APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR ASSEMBLY, No. 2089

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JUNE 18, 2019

The Assembly Appropriations Committee reports favorably an Assembly Committee Substitute for Assembly Bill No. 2089 (ACS).

This Assembly committee substitute for Assembly Bill No. 2089 (ACS) reduces the length of driver's license forfeiture for convictions of drunk driving and refusing to submit to a breathalyzer and increases the period of required ignition interlock device (IID) installation for these offenses. The committee substitute also requires the license of a drunk driver who attests to not owning, leasing, or operating a motor vehicle to be forfeited during the required period of IID installation. The committee substitute further imposes certain IID compliance requirements to be met before an IID may be removed after the required period of installation. Finally, the committee substitute removes enhanced penalties for drunk driving and refusal convictions occurring in a school zone.

Drunk Driving

For a first offense of drunk driving, the offender's driver's license is to be forfeited until an IID is installed in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the offender, whichever the person most often operates. If the offender's blood alcohol concentration (BAC) was 0.08% or higher, but less than 0.10%, or the offender was convicted of operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor without a BAC reading, the current three-month license forfeiture is replaced with mandatory IID installation for three months; if the offender's BAC was 0.10% or higher, but less than 0.15%, the current license forfeiture of seven months to one year is replaced with the requirement that an IID is to be installed for seven months to one year; if the offender's BAC was 0.15% or more, the current license forfeiture of seven months to one year is replaced by four to six months license forfeiture and an IID is to be installed during the period of license forfeiture, as well as for not less than nine months or more than 15 months after the period of license forfeiture.

For second drunk driving offenses, the current driver's license forfeiture is two years and for third and subsequent offenses, the forfeiture is for 10 years; the IID requirement is for one to three years.

Under the substitute, license forfeiture is reduced to one to two years for second time offenders and to eight years for third and subsequent offenders; the requirement that IID be installed in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the offender, whichever the person most often operates, is increased to two to four years.

The license forfeiture period for first-time offenders of driving under the influence of drugs is not changed by the substitute. Further, installation of an IID is not required for first offenses of drugged driving.

The provision establishing enhanced penalties for a conviction of driving while intoxicated in a school zone is removed.

Refusing a Breath Test

For a first offense, the committee substitute requires the offender's license to be forfeited until the person installs an IID in one motor vehicle owned, leased, or principally operated by the person, whichever the person most often operates, rather than requiring the current seven months to one year. The IID is to remain installed during the license forfeiture and for the following nine to 15 months.

For a second offense, the bill decreases the period of license forfeiture from the current two years to one to two years. The offender's license is to be forfeited until an IID is installed and is to remain installed for two to four years.

For a third or subsequent offense, the committee substitute decreases the license forfeiture from the current 10 years to eight years. An IID would be required during the license forfeiture and remain installed for two to four years.

The provision establishing enhanced penalties for a conviction of refusing to submit to a breathalyzer occurring in a school zone is removed.

Ignition Interlock Device Installation

The committee substitute requires drunk driving offenders to provide the court with information identifying the motor vehicle on which an ignition interlock is to be installed, as well as any other information deemed relevant by the court, such as the offender's complete name, address, date of birth, eye color, and gender. An offender who does not own, lease, or operate a motor vehicle is required to attest to this to the court. An offender who falsely attests to the court may be prosecuted for perjury. If an offender subsequently purchases, leases, or gains access to a motor vehicle to operate, the offender is required to immediately notify the court and install an ignition interlock device in that vehicle.

The driver's license of an offender who attests to not owning, leasing, or operating a motor vehicle is to be forfeited for the required ignition interlock installation period.

In order to remove an IID after the required installation period, the IID vendor is to submit a certification to the Chief Administrator of the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission that during the final 30 days of the installation period there was not more than one failure to take or pass a test with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or higher unless a re-test conducted within five minutes of the initial test indicates a blood alcohol concentration of less than 0.08 percent; and the offender complied with all required maintenance, repair, calibration, monitoring, and inspection requirements related to the device. If these requirements are not satisfied, the vendor is required to forward the violation information to the chief administrator and the court. The court has the discretion to either extend the period of IID installation for up to 90 days or issue the certification to the chief administrator.

The committee substitute requires the Chief Administrator of the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission to semiannually issue a summary report containing information on drunk driving offenders required to install an ignition interlock device. The information is to include: the total number of offenders ordered to install an ignition interlock categorized by the offender's number of convictions and place of residence; whether the offender qualifies for a reduced fee for monthly rental of an ignition interlock device categorized by family income exceeding 100 percent or 149 percent of the federal poverty level; the percentage these offenders constitute of the total number of offenders; and the number of these offenders that reside in each county; the average length of time an offender maintains installation of the device categorized by the offender's number of convictions; and the percent of offenders who remove the ignition interlock device because they are unable to afford continued installation.

The committee substitute is effective three months after enactment and expires four years following the effective date.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The Office of Legislative Services finds that the bill will likely result in a small indeterminate increase in Judiciary Branch costs related to additional court time required to process these cases. The Motor Vehicle Commission will incur staffing expenses to monitor and verify the installation of interlock devices. The MVC may also incur limited costs to produce new informational materials reflecting the new structure of the penalties. Finally, the State may realize an indeterminate reduction in revenue due to the bill's removal of enhanced penalties for violations occurring on or near a school property.

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR

ASSEMBLY, No. 2089

STATE OF NEW JERSEY 218th LEGISLATURE

DATED: JUNE 25, 2019

SUMMARY

Synopsis: Revises certain drunk driving penalties; expands use of ignition

interlock devices.

Type of Impact: Annual State expenditure increases; annual State revenue decreases

Agencies Affected: New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission

Office of Legislative Services Estimate

Fiscal Impact	Year 1	<u>Year 2</u>	Year 3
State Expenditure Increase		Indeterminate	
State Revenue Decrease	Indeterminate		

- The Office of Legislative Services (OLS) finds that the expanded use of ignition interlock device (IID) installations for drunk driving offenses will result in increased annual State expenditures. The Motor Vehicle Commission (MVC) will incur additional expenses to monitor and verify IID installations and for the processing of certain breathalyzer results. The MVC may also incur limited costs to produce informational materials reflecting the new penalties.
- The State will realize a reduction in revenue from the removal of enhanced penalty provisions for violations occurring on or near school property, which carry larger monetary fines.

BILL DESCRIPTION

This bill revises the penalty provisions for various drunk driving offenses. In particular, it reduces the length of driver's license forfeiture for convictions of drunk driving and refusing to submit to a breathalyzer and increases the period of required IID installation for these offenses.



For drunk driving offenses and for refusing to submit to a breathalyzer test that involve a person's blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or higher, the court would order a license forfeiture until the person installs an IID on the vehicle, which would then remain on the vehicle for varying periods of time depending upon the BAC level and how many times the offense has occurred.

After the installation of the IID, the person's driver's license would be restored upon showing proof of such installation, and the MVC would imprint a notation concerning driving with the device on the person's license.

For offenses occurring on or near a school property or crossing, the bill would eliminate any enhanced penalties currently available under the law and instead treat such an offense the same as all other comparable offenses.

A person required to install an IID would be required to provide the vendor of the device at the time of installation the BAC, or information on the refusal to submit to a breath test, on which the sentence was based. Every vendor of an IID would be required to adopt real time data reporting of the BAC of a person required to install a device and the predetermined fail level of each device.

In order to remove an IID after the required installation period, the IID vendor is to submit a certification to the Chief Administrator of the MVC that during the final 30 days of the installation period there was not more than one failure to take or pass a test with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or higher unless a re-test conducted within five minutes of the initial test indicates a BAC of less than 0.08 percent; and the offender complied with all required maintenance, repair, calibration, monitoring, and inspection requirements related to the device. If these requirements are not satisfied, the vendor is required to forward the violation information to the chief administrator and the court. The court has the discretion to either extend the period of IID installation for up to 90 days or issue the certification to the chief administrator.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

EXECUTIVE BRANCH

None received.

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE SERVICES

The OLS finds that the expanded use of IID installations for drunk driving and refusing to submit to a breathalyzer offenses will result in indeterminate annual State expenditure increases and an indeterminate annual decrease in State revenues. There will likely be an additional burden on customer service staff at the MVC to serve those requiring restricted licenses and to monitor and verify the installation and processing of IID installations. It is expected that the impact of that workload will likely not entail the hiring of additional employees for direct customer service at MVC locations. However, it may be necessary for the MVC to hire additional professional staff to administer the expanded IID installation program, including the verification of test results from the devices prior to their removal and reviewing documentation from device vendors. Additionally, there may be recurring costs for program materials related to the new enhanced use of IID installations under the bill, including pamphlets or brochures explaining the new penalties based on intoxication level and number of offenses.

FE to ACS for A2089 ACS

3

The State is also likely to realize reduced revenue based on the removal of certain enhanced penalties that are currently available for drunk driving offenses and failure to submit to a breathalyzer test that occur on or near school property. Some of these enhanced penalties include larger monetary fines, which would no longer be imposed.

Section: Authorities, Utilities, Transportation and Communications

Analyst: Patrick Brennan

Principal Fiscal Analyst

Approved: Frank W. Haines III

Legislative Budget and Finance Officer

This legislative fiscal estimate has been produced by the Office of Legislative Services due to the failure of the Executive Branch to respond to our request for a fiscal note.

This fiscal estimate has been prepared pursuant to P.L.1980, c.67 (C.52:13B-6 et seq.).

Governor Murphy Signs Legislation Expanding Use of Ignition Interlock Devices

08/23/2019

TRENTON - Governor Phil Murphy today enacted a new law expanding the use of ignition interlock device for those convicted of drunk driving offenses and of refusing breath tests. The legislation (S824) also reduces the length of license suspension and forfeitures for these offenses.

"Expanding the use of ignition interlock devices is just common sense," **said Governor Phil Murphy.** "We must deter drunk driving without negatively impacting individuals' ability to take care of themselves or their families. License suspensions are an imperfect tool for accomplishing both aims, as they do not stop drunk drivers from getting behind the wheel and they can prevent ex-offenders from supporting their livelihoods. In contrast, ignition interlock devices prevent drunk driving while allowing ex-offenders to support themselves and their families."

"Ignition interlock systems have saved hundreds of lives and significantly decreased crashes due to impaired driving," said New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission Chair and Chief Administrator Sue Fulton. "Safety is at the center of everything we do here at NJMVC, so we support installing these systems as a strong, effective alternative to driver suspensions."

This measure requires that first time offenders install ignition interlock devices (IID), at a cost to the offender. IIDs and suspensions from then on are based upon the severity of the offense.

The legislation further requires the Chief Administrator of the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission to issue a summary report containing information on drunk driving offenders that are required to install an IID, on a semi-annual basis.

Primary sponsors of the legislation include Senators Nicholas Scutari and Joseph Lagana, and Assemblymembers Joann Downey and Daniel Benson.

"Drunk driving is a serious issue in New Jersey," **said Senator Nicholas Scutari**. "Having been a prosecutor for 16 years, my experience is that the use of ignition interlocks is the best way to safe guard our roads while also allowing minor offenders to continue their employment."

"The numbers show that requiring the installation of an ignition interlock device is the most effective way to prevent repeat offenses and ultimately reduce deaths caused by drunk driving," **said Senator Joseph Lagana.** "You simply cannot drive drunk with one in your vehicle because the engine will not even start if you are intoxicated. This law will make our roads safer to travel for all of our residents.

"There is strong evidence that interlock devices are effective in reducing re-arrest rates while they are installed in offenders' vehicles. A more widespread and sustained use of interlocks among people arrested for DUI could have a substantial impact on reducing alcohol-related crashes," **said Assemblywoman Joann Downey.** "Traditionally, the penalty for intoxicated driving has been a suspension of the driver's license, but in the absence of a driver's license, the individual may not be able to get to work, or, if their job requires driving, perform their duties. This can lead to the loss of employment, which can in turn lead to emotional consequences such as depression, thereby encouraging more of the substance abuse that led to the penalty in the first place."

"This law is an important step in improving safety by updating the definition of impaired driving for the realities of today's opioid crisis and the potential for expansion of marijuana access, whether medicinal or commercial," **said Assemblyman Dan Benson.** "Also, by using alternatives to suspensions, such as interlock devices, we can reduce opportunities for drunk driving by offenders who may be tempted to drive while on suspension."

"This law represents the most significant DWI reform in New Jersey in nearly a decade," **said MADD National President Helen Witty, whose 16-year-old daughter, Helen Marie, was killed by a drunk driver while rollerblading on a bike path after school.** "We are so grateful to Governor Murphy, Senator Scutari,

Assemblywoman Downey and all of the lawmakers, volunteers, staff and traffic safety partners who have worked for

years to add New Jersey to a growing list of states that recognize all-offender laws like this one save lives."

"I want to thank Governor Murphy for signing this law that will save lives. I was nearly killed by a drunk driver during my senior year at The College of New Jersey, and I know the anguish this violent, preventable crime inflicts on survivors and family members," said Steven Benvenisti, Esq., Partner at Davis, Saperstein & Salomon, a former MADD National Board Member, longtime MADD New Jersey volunteer, who has worked for years to pass an all-offender interlock law in New Jersey. "In 2018, ignition interlocks kept 13,500 drunk drivers off our roads. Now this lifesaving technology will be used to its fullest potential."