

VETO MESSAGE: No

GOVERNOR'S PRESS RELEASE ON SIGNING: No

FOLLOWING WERE PRINTED:

To check for circulating copies, contact New Jersey State Government Publications at the State Library (609) 278-2640 ext.103 or <mailto:refdesk@njstatelib.org>

REPORTS: No

HEARINGS: No

NEWSPAPER ARTICLES: No

RH/CL

P.L.2017, CHAPTER 262, *approved January 8, 2018*

Assembly, No. 2082

1 **AN ACT** concerning the Internet publication of a State rule-making
2 database, and supplementing P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et
3 seq.).
4

5 **BE IT ENACTED** *by the Senate and General Assembly of the State*
6 *of New Jersey:*
7

8 1. a. The Office of Administrative Law shall establish and
9 maintain, at a publicly accessible location on its Internet website, a
10 searchable database that identifies the number, nature, and current
11 status of all pending or proposed rule-making actions in the State.
12 The database shall include written descriptions and listings of all
13 such pending or proposed State rule-making actions, and shall
14 additionally incorporate the use of charts, tables, graphs, and other
15 graphics or visual aids, as necessary or appropriate, to provide
16 members of the public with a full, complete, and easily
17 comprehensible overview of pending or proposed rule-making
18 actions in the State.

19 b. (1) The database established and maintained pursuant to
20 subsection a. of this section shall include, with respect to each
21 proposed rule-making action, a summary description that indicates:

- 22 (a) the title or subject matter of the rule-making action;
23 (b) the State agency responsible for the rule-making action;
24 (c) the identification number, if any, that is associated with the
25 rule-making action;
26 (d) the types or groups of persons who are the subject of, or who
27 will, or are likely to be, affected by, the rule-making action;
28 (e) the legal authority for the rule-making action;
29 (f) the date on which the rule-making action was initiated by the
30 State agency;
31 (g) the legal deadline, if any, that is associated with the rule-
32 making action;
33 (h) a concise abstract or synopsis describing the basis for, and
34 pertinent factors necessitating, the rule-making action; and
35 (i) a timetable showing the history of the rule-making action.

36 (2) The summary description required by this subsection shall
37 additionally include a brief statement that identifies the potential
38 impacts of the rule-making action on the State and its residents, and
39 the anticipated significance of those impacts. At a minimum, this
40 statement shall indicate:

- 41 (a) the type and potential significance of any expected socio-
42 economic impacts associated with the rule-making action, as

- 1 determined in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (2) of
2 subsection (a) of section 4 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-4);
- 3 (b) the number of jobs that will, or are likely to, be generated or
4 lost as a result of the rule-making action, as determined in
5 accordance with the provisions of paragraph (2) of subsection (a) of
6 section 4 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-4);
- 7 (c) the type and significance of any expected agricultural
8 industry impacts associated with the rule-making action, as
9 determined in accordance with the provisions of section 7 of
10 P.L.1998, c.48 (C.4:1C-10.3) and paragraph (2) of subsection (a) of
11 section 4 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-4);
- 12 (d) whether the State agency has prepared, or will prepare, a
13 regulatory flexibility analysis in connection with the rule-making
14 action, in accordance with the provisions of P.L.1986, c.169
15 (C.52:14B-16 et seq.) and paragraph (2) of subsection (a) of section
16 4 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-4); and
- 17 (e) if a regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared in
18 connection with the rule-making action, the estimated number of
19 small businesses that will, or are likely to, be affected by the rule-
20 making action.
- 21 c. Notwithstanding the provisions of the “Administrative
22 Procedure Act,” P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.) to the
23 contrary, the Office of Administrative Law may require each State
24 agency to provide the information to be included in the database
25 summary description under subsection b. of this section in
26 association with any notice of proposed rule-making that is
27 submitted by the agency prior to, on, or after, the effective date of
28 this act. The form and manner in which the information will be
29 provided shall be determined by the Office of Administrative Law.
- 30 d. The database established and maintained pursuant to
31 subsection a. of this section shall also include distinct listings or
32 graphics that identify the total number of pending rule-making
33 actions by: (1) State agency; (2) rule-making type and stage; and
34 (3) current length, in 30-day intervals, of the State agency review
35 associated therewith, as determined by looking to the date of each
36 rule-making action’s initiation by the State agency.
- 37 e. The Office of Administrative Law shall make regular and
38 timely updates to the database established pursuant to subsection a.
39 of this section to ensure that it reflects the most current information
40 pertaining to rule-making actions undertaken by each State agency.
41 The Office of Administrative Law shall indicate, on its Internet
42 website, the date on which the most recent database update was
43 performed pursuant to this subsection.
- 44
- 45 2. This act shall take effect immediately, but shall remain
46 inoperative until the first day of the 13th month following the date
47 of its enactment.

1

2

3

Requires OAL to maintain Internet database summarizing all

4

State rule-making actions.

ASSEMBLY, No. 2082

STATE OF NEW JERSEY 217th LEGISLATURE

PRE-FILED FOR INTRODUCTION IN THE 2016 SESSION

Sponsored by:

Assemblyman RAJ MUKHERJI

District 33 (Hudson)

Assemblywoman ELIANA PINTOR MARIN

District 29 (Essex)

Assemblyman JAY WEBBER

District 26 (Essex, Morris and Passaic)

Assemblyman VINCENT MAZZEO

District 2 (Atlantic)

Assemblyman HERB CONAWAY, JR.

District 7 (Burlington)

Co-Sponsored by:

**Assemblyman Benson, Assemblywoman Mosquera, Assemblymen Space
and C.A.Brown**

SYNOPSIS

Requires OAL to maintain Internet database summarizing all State rule-making actions.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

Introduced Pending Technical Review by Legislative Counsel.



1 AN ACT concerning the Internet publication of a State rule-making
2 database, and supplementing P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et
3 seq.).
4

5 **BE IT ENACTED** by the Senate and General Assembly of the State
6 of New Jersey:
7

8 1. a. The Office of Administrative Law shall establish and
9 maintain, at a publicly accessible location on its Internet website, a
10 searchable database that identifies the number, nature, and current
11 status of all pending or proposed rule-making actions in the State.
12 The database shall include written descriptions and listings of all
13 such pending or proposed State rule-making actions, and shall
14 additionally incorporate the use of charts, tables, graphs, and other
15 graphics or visual aids, as necessary or appropriate, to provide
16 members of the public with a full, complete, and easily
17 comprehensible overview of pending or proposed rule-making
18 actions in the State.

19 b. (1) The database established and maintained pursuant to
20 subsection a. of this section shall include, with respect to each
21 proposed rule-making action, a summary description that indicates:

- 22 (a) the title or subject matter of the rule-making action;
23 (b) the State agency responsible for the rule-making action;
24 (c) the identification number, if any, that is associated with the
25 rule-making action;
26 (d) the types or groups of persons who are the subject of, or who
27 will, or are likely to be, affected by, the rule-making action;
28 (e) the legal authority for the rule-making action;
29 (f) the date on which the rule-making action was initiated by the
30 State agency;
31 (g) the legal deadline, if any, that is associated with the rule-
32 making action;
33 (h) a concise abstract or synopsis describing the basis for, and
34 pertinent factors necessitating, the rule-making action; and
35 (i) a timetable showing the history of the rule-making action.

36 (2) The summary description required by this subsection shall
37 additionally include a brief statement that identifies the potential
38 impacts of the rule-making action on the State and its residents, and
39 the anticipated significance of those impacts. At a minimum, this
40 statement shall indicate:

- 41 (a) the type and potential significance of any expected socio-
42 economic impacts associated with the rule-making action, as
43 determined in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (2) of
44 subsection (a) of section 4 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-4);
45 (b) the number of jobs that will, or are likely to, be generated or
46 lost as a result of the rule-making action, as determined in
47 accordance with the provisions of paragraph (2) of subsection (a) of
48 section 4 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-4);

1 (c) the type and significance of any expected agricultural
2 industry impacts associated with the rule-making action, as
3 determined in accordance with the provisions of section 7 of
4 P.L.1998, c.48 (C.4:1C-10.3) and paragraph (2) of subsection (a) of
5 section 4 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-4);

6 (d) whether the State agency has prepared, or will prepare, a
7 regulatory flexibility analysis in connection with the rule-making
8 action, in accordance with the provisions of P.L.1986, c.169
9 (C.52:14B-16 et seq.) and paragraph (2) of subsection (a) of section
10 4 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-4); and

11 (e) if a regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared in
12 connection with the rule-making action, the estimated number of
13 small businesses that will, or are likely to, be affected by the rule-
14 making action.

15 c. Notwithstanding the provisions of the “Administrative
16 Procedure Act,” P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.) to the
17 contrary, the Office of Administrative Law may require each State
18 agency to provide the information to be included in the database
19 summary description under subsection b. of this section in
20 association with any notice of proposed rule-making that is
21 submitted by the agency prior to, on, or after, the effective date of
22 this act. The form and manner in which the information will be
23 provided shall be determined by the Office of Administrative Law.

24 d. The database established and maintained pursuant to
25 subsection a. of this section shall also include distinct listings or
26 graphics that identify the total number of pending rule-making
27 actions by: (1) State agency; (2) rule-making type and stage; and
28 (3) current length, in 30-day intervals, of the State agency review
29 associated therewith, as determined by looking to the date of each
30 rule-making action’s initiation by the State agency.

31 e. The Office of Administrative Law shall make regular and
32 timely updates to the database established pursuant to subsection a.
33 of this section to ensure that it reflects the most current information
34 pertaining to rule-making actions undertaken by each State agency.
35 The Office of Administrative Law shall indicate, on its Internet
36 website, the date on which the most recent database update was
37 performed pursuant to this subsection.

38
39 2. This act shall take effect immediately, but shall remain
40 inoperative until the first day of the 13th month following the date
41 of its enactment.

42
43
44 **STATEMENT**

45
46 This bill would require the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) to
47 establish and maintain, on its Internet website, a searchable database
48 that identifies the number, nature, and status of all pending or

1 proposed State rule-making actions. The database would be required
2 to include a listing and short description of every pending or proposed
3 State rule-making action, as well as any charts, graphics, or other
4 visual aids as are determined to be necessary and appropriate, in order
5 to provide members of the public with a full, complete, and easily
6 comprehensible overview of the pending or proposed rule-making
7 activity in the State. The OAL would further be required to make
8 regular and timely updates to the database, in order to ensure that it
9 reflects the most current information pertaining to rule-making actions
10 undertaken by each State agency.

11 The bill would require the database to include, with respect to each
12 proposed State rule-making action, a summary description that
13 indicates: (1) the title or subject matter of the rule-making action; (2)
14 the State agency responsible for the rule-making action; (3) the
15 identification number, if any, that is associated with the rule-making
16 action; (4) the types or groups of persons who are the subject of, or
17 who will, or are likely to be, affected by, the rule-making action; (5)
18 the legal authority for the rule-making action; (6) the date on which the
19 rule-making action was initiated by the State agency; (7) the legal
20 deadline, if any, that is associated with the rule-making action; (8) a
21 concise abstract or synopsis describing the basis for, and pertinent
22 factors necessitating, the rule-making action; and (9) a timetable
23 showing the history of the rule-making action.

24 The summary description of each rule-making action would also
25 be required to incorporate a brief statement that identifies the potential
26 impacts of the rule-making action on the State and its residents, and
27 the anticipated significance of those impacts. At a minimum, this
28 statement would be required to indicate: (1) the type and potential
29 significance of any expected socio-economic impacts associated with
30 the rule-making action; (2) the number of jobs that will, or are likely
31 to, be generated or lost as a result of the rule-making action; (3) the
32 type and significance of any expected agricultural industry impacts
33 associated with the rule-making action; (4) whether the State agency
34 has prepared, or will prepare, a regulatory flexibility analysis in
35 connection with the rule-making action; and (5) if a regulatory
36 flexibility analysis has been prepared, the estimated number of small
37 businesses that will, or are likely to, be affected by the rule-making
38 action.

39 Under the bill, the OAL may require each State agency to provide
40 the information to be included in the database summary description in
41 association with any notice of proposed rule-making that is submitted
42 by the agency prior to, on, or after, the effective date of the bill.

43 The bill would additionally require the database to include distinct
44 summary listings or graphics identifying the total number of pending
45 rule-making actions by: (1) State agency; (2) rule-making type and
46 stage; and (3) length, in 30-day intervals, of the State agency review
47 associated therewith.

A2082 MUKHERJI, PINTOR MARIN

5

1 The State rule-making database that is established on the Internet
2 pursuant to this bill would mirror a federal rule-making database that
3 is currently maintained on the Internet by the Office of Information
4 and Regulatory Affairs in the Office of Management and Budget in the
5 Executive Office of the President.

ASSEMBLY REGULATORY OVERSIGHT AND REFORM AND
FEDERAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 2082

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: APRIL 4, 2016

The Assembly Regulatory Oversight and Reform and Federal Relations Committee reports favorably Assembly, No. 2082.

This bill would require the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) to establish and maintain, on its Internet website, a searchable database that identifies the number, nature, and status of all pending or proposed State rule-making actions. The database would be required to include a listing and short description of every pending or proposed State rule-making action, as well as any charts, graphics, or other visual aids as are determined to be necessary and appropriate, in order to provide members of the public with a full, complete, and easily comprehensible overview of the pending or proposed rule-making activity in the State. The OAL would further be required to make regular and timely updates to the database, in order to ensure that it reflects the most current information pertaining to rule-making actions undertaken by each State agency.

The bill would require the database to include, with respect to each proposed State rule-making action, a summary description that indicates: (1) the title or subject matter of the rule-making action; (2) the State agency responsible for the rule-making action; (3) the identification number, if any, that is associated with the rule-making action; (4) the types or groups of persons who are the subject of, or who will, or are likely to be, affected by, the rule-making action; (5) the legal authority for the rule-making action; (6) the date on which the rule-making action was initiated by the State agency; (7) the legal deadline, if any, that is associated with the rule-making action; (8) a concise abstract or synopsis describing the basis for, and pertinent factors necessitating, the rule-making action; and (9) a timetable showing the history of the rule-making action.

The summary description of each rule-making action would also be required to incorporate a brief statement that identifies the potential impacts of the rule-making action on the State and its residents, and the anticipated significance of those impacts. At a minimum, this statement would be required to indicate: (1) the type and potential significance of any expected socio-economic impacts associated with the rule-making action; (2) the number of jobs that will, or are likely to, be generated or lost as a result of the rule-making action; (3) the

type and significance of any expected agricultural industry impacts associated with the rule-making action; (4) whether the State agency has prepared, or will prepare, a regulatory flexibility analysis in connection with the rule-making action; and (5) if a regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared, the estimated number of small businesses that will, or are likely to, be affected by the rule-making action.

Under the bill, the OAL may require each State agency to provide the information to be included in the database summary description in association with any notice of proposed rule-making that is submitted by the agency prior to, on, or after, the effective date of the bill.

The bill would additionally require the database to include distinct summary listings or graphics identifying the total number of pending rule-making actions by: (1) State agency; (2) rule-making type and stage; and (3) length, in 30-day intervals, of the State agency review associated therewith.

The State rule-making database that is established on the Internet pursuant to this bill would mirror a federal rule-making database that is currently maintained on the Internet by the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs in the Office of Management and Budget in the Executive Office of the President.

Assembly Bill No. 2082 is identical to Senate Bill No. 2023 of 2016-2017.

This bill was pre-filed for introduction in the 2016-2017 session pending technical review. As reported, the bill includes the changes required by technical review, which has been performed.

SENATE, No. 2023

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
217th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED APRIL 18, 2016

Sponsored by:

Senator JEFF VAN DREW

District 1 (Atlantic, Cape May and Cumberland)

Senator STEVEN V. OROHO

District 24 (Morris, Sussex and Warren)

SYNOPSIS

Requires OAL to maintain Internet database summarizing all State rule-making actions.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



1 AN ACT concerning the Internet publication of a State rule-making
2 database, and supplementing P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et
3 seq.).

4
5 **BE IT ENACTED** *by the Senate and General Assembly of the State*
6 *of New Jersey:*

7
8 1. a. The Office of Administrative Law shall establish and
9 maintain, at a publicly accessible location on its Internet website, a
10 searchable database that identifies the number, nature, and current
11 status of all pending or proposed rule-making actions in the State.
12 The database shall include written descriptions and listings of all
13 such pending or proposed State rule-making actions, and shall
14 additionally incorporate the use of charts, tables, graphs, and other
15 graphics or visual aids, as necessary or appropriate, to provide
16 members of the public with a full, complete, and easily
17 comprehensible overview of pending or proposed rule-making
18 actions in the State.

19 b. (1) The database established and maintained pursuant to
20 subsection a. of this section shall include, with respect to each
21 proposed rule-making action, a summary description that indicates:

- 22 (a) the title or subject matter of the rule-making action;
23 (b) the State agency responsible for the rule-making action;
24 (c) the identification number, if any, that is associated with the
25 rule-making action;
26 (d) the types or groups of persons who are the subject of, or who
27 will, or are likely to be, affected by, the rule-making action;
28 (e) the legal authority for the rule-making action;
29 (f) the date on which the rule-making action was initiated by the
30 State agency;
31 (g) the legal deadline, if any, that is associated with the rule-
32 making action;
33 (h) a concise abstract or synopsis describing the basis for, and
34 pertinent factors necessitating, the rule-making action; and
35 (i) a timetable showing the history of the rule-making action.

36 (2) The summary description required by this subsection shall
37 additionally include a brief statement that identifies the potential
38 impacts of the rule-making action on the State and its residents, and
39 the anticipated significance of those impacts. At a minimum, this
40 statement shall indicate:

- 41 (a) the type and potential significance of any expected socio-
42 economic impacts associated with the rule-making action, as
43 determined in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (2) of
44 subsection (a) of section 4 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-4);
45 (b) the number of jobs that will, or are likely to, be generated or
46 lost as a result of the rule-making action, as determined in
47 accordance with the provisions of paragraph (2) of subsection (a) of
48 section 4 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-4);

1 (c) the type and significance of any expected agricultural
2 industry impacts associated with the rule-making action, as
3 determined in accordance with the provisions of section 7 of
4 P.L.1998, c.48 (C.4:1C-10.3) and paragraph (2) of subsection (a) of
5 section 4 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-4);

6 (d) whether the State agency has prepared, or will prepare, a
7 regulatory flexibility analysis in connection with the rule-making
8 action, in accordance with the provisions of P.L.1986, c.169
9 (C.52:14B-16 et seq.) and paragraph (2) of subsection (a) of section
10 4 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-4); and

11 (e) if a regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared in
12 connection with the rule-making action, the estimated number of
13 small businesses that will, or are likely to, be affected by the rule-
14 making action.

15 c. Notwithstanding the provisions of the “Administrative
16 Procedure Act,” P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.) to the
17 contrary, the Office of Administrative Law may require each State
18 agency to provide the information to be included in the database
19 summary description under subsection b. of this section in
20 association with any notice of proposed rule-making that is
21 submitted by the agency prior to, on, or after, the effective date of
22 this act. The form and manner in which the information will be
23 provided shall be determined by the Office of Administrative Law.

24 d. The database established and maintained pursuant to
25 subsection a. of this section shall also include distinct listings or
26 graphics that identify the total number of pending rule-making
27 actions by: (1) State agency; (2) rule-making type and stage; and
28 (3) current length, in 30-day intervals, of the State agency review
29 associated therewith, as determined by looking to the date of each
30 rule-making action’s initiation by the State agency.

31 e. The Office of Administrative Law shall make regular and
32 timely updates to the database established pursuant to subsection a.
33 of this section to ensure that it reflects the most current information
34 pertaining to rule-making actions undertaken by each State agency.
35 The Office of Administrative Law shall indicate, on its Internet
36 website, the date on which the most recent database update was
37 performed pursuant to this subsection.

38
39 2. This act shall take effect immediately, but shall remain
40 inoperative until the first day of the 13th month following the date
41 of its enactment.

42
43

44 STATEMENT

45

46 This bill would require the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) to
47 establish and maintain, on its Internet website, a searchable database
48 that identifies the number, nature, and status of all pending or

1 proposed State rule-making actions. The database would be required
2 to include a listing and short description of every pending or proposed
3 State rule-making action, as well as any charts, graphics, or other
4 visual aids as are determined to be necessary and appropriate, in order
5 to provide members of the public with a full, complete, and easily
6 comprehensible overview of the pending or proposed rule-making
7 activity in the State. The OAL would further be required to make
8 regular and timely updates to the database, in order to ensure that it
9 reflects the most current information pertaining to rule-making actions
10 undertaken by each State agency.

11 The bill would require the database to include, with respect to each
12 proposed State rule-making action, a summary description that
13 indicates: (1) the title or subject matter of the rule-making action; (2)
14 the State agency responsible for the rule-making action; (3) the
15 identification number, if any, that is associated with the rule-making
16 action; (4) the types or groups of persons who are the subject of, or
17 who will, or are likely to be, affected by, the rule-making action; (5)
18 the legal authority for the rule-making action; (6) the date on which the
19 rule-making action was initiated by the State agency; (7) the legal
20 deadline, if any, that is associated with the rule-making action; (8) a
21 concise abstract or synopsis describing the basis for, and pertinent
22 factors necessitating, the rule-making action; and (9) a timetable
23 showing the history of the rule-making action.

24 The summary description of each rule-making action would also
25 be required to incorporate a brief statement that identifies the potential
26 impacts of the rule-making action on the State and its residents, and
27 the anticipated significance of those impacts. At a minimum, this
28 statement would be required to indicate: (1) the type and potential
29 significance of any expected socio-economic impacts associated with
30 the rule-making action; (2) the number of jobs that will, or are likely
31 to, be generated or lost as a result of the rule-making action; (3) the
32 type and significance of any expected agricultural industry impacts
33 associated with the rule-making action; (4) whether the State agency
34 has prepared, or will prepare, a regulatory flexibility analysis in
35 connection with the rule-making action; and (5) if a regulatory
36 flexibility analysis has been prepared, the estimated number of small
37 businesses that will, or are likely to, be affected by the rule-making
38 action.

39 Under the bill, the OAL may require each State agency to provide
40 the information to be included in the database summary description in
41 association with any notice of proposed rule-making that is submitted
42 by the agency prior to, on, or after, the effective date of the bill.

43 The bill would additionally require the database to include distinct
44 summary listings or graphics identifying the total number of pending
45 rule-making actions by: (1) State agency; (2) rule-making type and
46 stage; and (3) length, in 30-day intervals, of the State agency review
47 associated therewith.

S2023 VAN DREW, OROHO

5

1 The State rule-making database to be established on the Internet
2 pursuant to this bill would mirror a federal rule-making database that
3 is currently maintained on the Internet by the Office of Information
4 and Regulatory Affairs in the Office of Management and Budget in the
5 Executive Office of the President.

SENATE STATE GOVERNMENT, WAGERING, TOURISM &
HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

SENATE, No. 2023

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: SEPTEMBER 12, 2016

The Senate State Government, Wagering, Tourism and Historic Preservation Committee reports favorably Senate Bill No. 2023.

This bill would require the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) to establish and maintain, on its Internet website, a searchable database that identifies the number, nature, and status of all pending or proposed State rule-making actions. The database would be required to include a listing and short description of every pending or proposed State rule-making action, as well as any charts, graphics, or other visual aids as are determined to be necessary and appropriate, in order to provide members of the public with a full, complete, and easily comprehensible overview of the pending or proposed rule-making activity in the State. The OAL would further be required to make regular and timely updates to the database, in order to ensure that it reflects the most current information pertaining to rule-making actions undertaken by each State agency.

The bill would require the database to include, with respect to each proposed State rule-making action, a summary description that indicates: (1) the title or subject matter of the rule-making action; (2) the State agency responsible for the rule-making action; (3) the identification number, if any, that is associated with the rule-making action; (4) the types or groups of persons who are the subject of, or who will, or are likely to be, affected by, the rule-making action; (5) the legal authority for the rule-making action; (6) the date on which the rule-making action was initiated by the State agency; (7) the legal deadline, if any, that is associated with the rule-making action; (8) a concise abstract or synopsis describing the basis for, and pertinent factors necessitating, the rule-making action; and (9) a timetable showing the history of the rule-making action.

The summary description of each rule-making action would also be required to incorporate a brief statement that identifies the potential impacts of the rule-making action on the State and its residents, and the anticipated significance of those impacts. At a minimum, this statement would be required to indicate: (1) the type and potential significance of any expected socio-economic impacts associated with the rule-making action; (2) the number of jobs that will, or are likely to, be generated or lost as a result of the rule-making action; (3) the

type and significance of any expected agricultural industry impacts associated with the rule-making action; (4) whether the State agency has prepared, or will prepare, a regulatory flexibility analysis in connection with the rule-making action; and (5) if a regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared, the estimated number of small businesses that will, or are likely to, be affected by the rule-making action.

Under the bill, the OAL may require each State agency to provide the information to be included in the database summary description in association with any notice of proposed rule-making that is submitted by the agency prior to, on, or after, the effective date of the bill.

The bill would additionally require the database to include distinct summary listings or graphics identifying the total number of pending rule-making actions by: (1) State agency; (2) rule-making type and stage; and (3) length, in 30-day intervals, of the State agency review associated therewith.

The State rule-making database to be established on the Internet pursuant to this bill would mirror a federal rule-making database that is currently maintained on the Internet by the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs in the Office of Management and Budget in the Executive Office of the President.