A-2058-0574 A-2058-9774 1 SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY CRIMINAL DIVISION 2 CAMDEN COUNTY IND, 2469-10-95 3 4 STATE OF NEW JERSEY, : 5 Trial vs. : 6 DENNIS L. COPLING, : 7 Defendant. : 8 STOCK FORM FMRRN Place: Hall of Justice 9 100 South Fifth Street Camden, New Jersey 10 February 5, 1997 Date: 11 12 BEFORE. 13 HONORABLE LINDA G. ROSENSZWEIG. J.S.C. And A Jury 14 CORBY GROUP 1 800 255 5040 15 TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY: 16 HAROLD KATZ, ESQ. APPELLATE DIVIS 17 APPEARANCES: 18 ISION JOEL H. ARONOW, ESQ. Ŧ For the State of New Jersey 19 ROBERT H. LEINER, ESQ. 20 For the Defendant 21 22 WALTER F. FLYNN, C.S.R. Official Court Reporter 23 Suite 580 Hall of Justice 24 Camden, New Jersey 25 nT

	1		INDEX			
	3	THE JURY: Verdict		15		
	4					
	5	EXHIBITS:		Evid.		Anna ann an
	6	C-1 Jury Question		3		
	7	C-2 Jury Question		4		
~~~~~~	8					
ORM FA	9 10					
STOCK FORM FMHRIN	11					
	12					Name -
	13					
2	14					1003
P05 504	15				1	121
P 1-800	16					
THE CORBY GROUP 1 800 2-5 5040	17					
E COAB	18					1
ž	19					
	20					
	21					
•	22					
	23					
	24					
	25					
					1	
-	-		The second s			
Lest and						
				$\mathcal{I}$		
		-	E E			
1.0			ALL DE LES	No. of Contract of Contract	1.1.200	

(Roll call. All jurors present.)
(Jury deliberating commencing at 9:00 A.M.)
THE COURT: Why don't we mark this as C-1.
(Jury Question marked Exhibit C-1 in Evidence.)
THE COURT: Counsel, do you need another minute?
MR. LEINER: No, your Honor.

3

THE COURT: The jury has a question, which I have marked Court's Exhibit 1.

The question reads as follows: "Could you please give us a definition between aggravated and reckless manslaughter again?"

We talked about this briefly in Chambers. What I propose to do would be to read the instruction to the jury in full as to aggravated manslaughter and reckless manslaughter, and then at the conclusion of that to briefly highlight for them the principal differences between the two offenses.

The principal differences I would highlight is that aggravated manslaughter, unlike reckless manslaughter, requires a showing beyond a reasonable doubt that when the defendant acted, he acted with manifest indifference to the value of human life.

I would also explain to them aggravated manslaughter requires a probability that death will result, whereas reckless manslaughter requires only a possibility death will

FOCK FORM FMRRN

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ORBY GROUP 1 800-255 5040

Ŧ

result.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Any objection to that proposed answer to the jury's question?

Trial

4

MR. ARONOW: No.

MR. LEINER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Why don't we bring the jury in then. (Jury returned to courtroom at 9:53 A.M.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, good morning.

I understand you have a question, which has been marked as Court's Exhibit 1, and that question reads as follows: "Your Honor, could you please give us the definition between aggravated and reckless manslaughter again?"

I have just been handed another note as you came in, which reads: "Your Honor, could you please give us the testimony of Queensbury, Young and Nate?", which I will mark C-2.

(Jury Question marked Exhibit C-2 in Evidence.) THE COURT: With respect to your second request for a readback of the testimony of those witnesses, that is going to take us a little bit longer, because the Court Reporter, Mr. Flynn, was not the Court Reporter who was here on all these days. You probably remember there were different Court Reporters. They may be in other courtrooms in the middle of a trial. Hopefully they are not, because that would cause a little bit of a problem. We will dea! with

STOCK FORM FMIRM

5040

1-800 255

CORBY GROUP

Ï

that, but it will take us a little while. We will try and do it as quickly as we can.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FORM FMARN

LOCK

1 800-255-5040

GROUP

ORBY

ž

Trial

5

Putting that aside, as far as your first question, what I am going to do is reinstruct you on aggravated and reckless manslaughter, as you requested. In other words, I will give you that instruction again in full, and then after I finish doing that, I will highlight for you the two principal differences between aggravated and reckless. That will help you focus on the question that you asked.

Starting with aggravated manslaughter, a person is guilty of aggravated manslaughter if he recklessly causes the death of another person under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to human life.

Let me focus you again. Aggravated and reckless manslaughter both require a state of mind of recklessness. Both of them have that in common, unlike murder, which requires, as I told you, the higher state of mind which is knowing or purposeful.

Both aggravated and reckless manslaughter require the State to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant acted recklessly.

Going back to aggravated manslaughter, a person is guilty of aggravated manslaughter if he recklessly causes the death of another person under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to human life.

6

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of aggravated manslaughter, the State is required to prove each of the following elements beyond a reaconable doubt:

First, that the defendant caused the death in the case of Count Two of Mark Winston, and Count Three of Kirby Bunch;

Secondly, that the defendant did so recklessly; Third, that the defendant did so under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to human life.

One element the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt in connection with aggravated manslaughter is that the defendant acted recklessly.

A person who causes another's death does so recklessly when he is aware of and consciously disregards a substantial and unjustifiable risk that death will result from his conduct. The risk must be of such a nature and degree that, considering the nature and purpose of the defendant's conduct and the circumstances known to the defendant, his disregard of that risk is a gross deviation from the standard of conduct that a reasonable person would follow in the same situation.

In other words, you must find that the defendant was aware of and consciously disregarded the risk of causing death. If you find that the defendant was aware of and disregarded the risk of causing death, you must determine

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HE CORBY GROUP 1-800 255 5040

whether the risk that he disregarded was substantial and unjustifiable.

In doing so, you must consider the nature and purpose of the defendant's conduct, and the circumstances known to the defendant, and you must determine whether, in light of those factors, his disregard of that risk was a gross deviation from the conduct a reasonable person would have observed in the defendant's situation.

Another element the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the defendant acted under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to human life.

The phrase under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to human life, does not focus on the defendant's state of mind. Instead, it focuses on the circumstances under which you find he acted.

If, in light of all the evidence, you find that the defendant's conduct resulted in a probability as opposed to a mere possibility of death, then you may find that he acted under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to human life.

On the other hand, if you find that his conduct resulted in only a possibility of death, then you must acquit him of aggravated manslaughter, and consider the offense of reckless manslaughter, that I will explain to you shortly. The final element the State must prove beyond a

STOCK FORM FMRRA

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CORBY GROUP 1-800 215 5040

¥

Trial

reasonable doubt in connection with aggravated manslaughter is that the defendant caused the death of the person in question, whether it be Mark Winston or Kirby Bunch.

2

3

4

5

6

8

g

10

1:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FORU: FMRRN

TOCK

5040

800 255

GROUP

CORBY

Ŧ

Trial

8

When we say caused the death, we mean by that that the person in question would not have died, but for the defendant's conduct.

Another way to analyze the causal relationship between the defendant's conduct and the actual death is to say that the death must have been within the risk of which the defendant was aware. If not, it must involve the same kind of injury or harm as the probable result of the defendant's conduct, and also the death must not be too remote, too accidental in its occurrence, or too dependent on another's volitional or voluntary act to have a just bearing on the defendant's liability or on the gravity of the defendant's offense.

In other words, the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the death of the person in question was not so unexpected or unusual shat it would be unjust to find the defendant guilty of aggravated manslaughter.

If after a consideration of all the evidence you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant recklessly caused the death of either under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to human life, then your verdict should be guilty of aggravated manslaughter. If after a consideration of all the evidence you are not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant recklessly caused the death in question under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to human life, then you must find the defendant not guilty of aggravated manslaughter, and go on to consider whether the defendant should be found guilty of reckless manslaughter. Remember again you should consider the evidence separately for Count Two involving the death of Mark Winston, consider that separate from Count Three, which is the evi-

That completes my instruction as to aggravated manslaughter. Next I will instruct you as to reckless man-slaughter.

dence concerning the death of Kirby Bunch.

A person is guilty of reckless manslaughter if he recklessly causes the death of another person.

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of reckless manslaughter, the State is required to prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant caused the death of Mark Winston or Kirby Bunch;

Secondly, the defendant did so recklessly.

As I explained in connection with both aggravated manslaughter and seckless manslaughter, there is a requirement that the State prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the

TOCK FORM FMRRN

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

a

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ŧ

Trial

defendant acted recklessly.

You will remember that a person who causes another's •death does so recklessly when he is aware of and consciously disregards a substantial and unjustifiable risk that death will result from his conduct.

Trial

10

The risk must be of such a nature and degree that considering the nature and purpose of defendant's conduct, and the circumstances known to the defendant, his disregard of that risk is a gross deviation from the standard of conduct that a reasonable person would follow in the same situation.

In other words, you must find that the defendant was aware of and consciously disregarded the risk of causing death. If you find the defendant was aware of and disregarded the risk of causing death, you must determine whether that risk that he disregarded was substantial and unjustifiable.

In doing so, you must consider the nature and purpose of the defendant's conduct, and the circumstances known to him, and you must determine whether, in light of those factors, his disregard of that risk was a gross deviation from the conduct that a reasonable person would have observed in the defendant's situation.

That part is the same element. That part is identical in reckless manslaughter and in aggravated man-slaughter.

The second requirement that the State must prove

TOCK FORM FMRRN

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

GROUP 1-800 255 5040

CORBY

Ħ

beyond a reasonable doubt is that the defendant caused the death of Mark Winston or Kirby Bunch. In other words, you must find that the person in question would not have died but for the defendant's conduct.

That also is a requirement of both aggravated manslaughter and reckless manslaughter.

If, after consideration of all the evidence, you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant recklessly caused the death of Kirby Bunch or Mark Winston, then your verdict should be guilty of reckless manslaughter.

If, however, after consideration of all the evidence you are not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant recklessly caused the death in question, then you must find the defendant not guilty of reckless manslaughter.

If I were to analyze for you the differences between reckless manslaughter and aggravated manslaughter, aggravated manslaughter contains all of the requirements of reckless manslaughter, but it includes two additional ones.

aggravated manslaughter requires a probability that death would result from the conduct of the defendant, whereas reckless manslaughter requires only a possibility. It's a difference between a probablity that death will occur with aggravated manslaughter versus only a possibility that it would result with reckless. That is one of the two differences.

The first difference that it includes is that

STOCK FORM FMRRN

3

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CORBY GROUP 1-800 255 5040

¥

Trial

The other difference is that aggravated manslaughter has a requirement within it that reckless manslaughter does not have, and that is the requirement that the defendant recklessly caused the death under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to the value of human life.

That requirement is part of aggravated manslaughter, but it is not a part of reckless.

Both of them, of course, require that the defendant recklessly caused the death, but only aggravated manslaughter has the additional requirement that when the defendant acted, he acted under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to the value of human life.

> Counsel, any objection to that instruction as given? MR. LEINER: No, your Honor. MR. ARONOW: No.

THE COURT: I hope that answers that question. If you need further instructions from me on that issue of law or any other issue of law, simply send me a note as you have just done.

We will move as quickly as we can to get the readback on those witnesses' testimony. It shouldn't take that long to find it in the Court Reporter's notes. The problem is locating the other Court Reporter and asking them to come down. We will do it as quickly as we can.

Thank you.

NHRAF MROS XOC

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ŧ

Trial

(Jury excused from courtroom at 10:05 A.M.)

THE COURT: We will assemble as soon as the Court Reporter is here.

MR. LEINER: Thank you.

## (Recess.)

(Jury returned to courtroom at 11:30 A.M.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we have for you the readbacks of the testimony that you requested. We are going to be going in sequential order, starting with the testimony of Nathan Simmons, because he was the first of the three. Then we may take a short break between the two to give the Court Reporter a little bit of a break between the readings. We will see how we go with it at this time. We are going to do the readback of the testimony of Nathan Simmons.

(Testimony read by Court Reporter.)
THE COURT: We will resume in ten minutes.
 (Jury excused from courtroom.)
THE COURT: We will resume at seventeen after.
 (Recess.)
THE COURT: Would you ask the jury to come in,
please.

(Jury returned to courtroom.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we will now proceed with the readback of the testimony of Ben Young.

STOCK FORM FMRRN

CORBY GROUP 1-809 255-5040

ž

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

STOCK FORM FMRRM

CORBY GROUP 1-800 255 5040

¥

(Testimony read by Court Reporter.) THE COURT: At this point I will ask the Court Clerk to find out if the jury's lunch is here.

Uns anybody ordered a hot lunch or all cold sandwiches?

I see one for hot lunch.

Before we start with the next witness, why don't we wait and see if your lunch is here. At this point we will probably stop and take a break for lunch.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, your lunch isn't here. It is not clear if they will be here in another two minutes or fifteen minutes.

What is your preference?

A JUROR: Go on.

A JUROR: Go ahead.

THE COURT: That is all right even for those who ordered the hot lunch. We will proceed with the testimony of Timothy Queensbury.

> (Testimony read by Court Reporter.) THE COURT: Thank you.

Ladies and gentlemen, your lunch is here. Just so you know, I will be outside of the courthouse during the lunch hour for about forty-five minutes. If you have a question in the next five minutes, I won't be able to respond to it until I come back.

Trial

	Tr	ia	1

Enjoy your lunch, and I look forward to receiving your verdict.

(Jury excused from courtroom to deliberate at 12:55 P.M.) (Recess.)

## AFTERNOON SESSION

(Jury returned to courtroom at 2:10 P.M.)

THE COURT: Madam Forelady, I understand the jury has reached a verdict, is that correct?

THE FOREPERSON: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Madam Clerk, please take the roll, make sure everybody is present.

(Roll call. All jurors present.)

THE COURT: Mr. Copling, if you would please rise and face the jury.

THE COURT CLERK: Madam Forelady, please rise and respond to my questions.

Has the jury reached a verdict? THE FOREPERSON: Yes, we have. THE COURT CLERK: Are your answers unanimous? THE FOREPERSON: Yes, they are. THE COURT CLERK: In the case of State of New Jersey

versus Dennis L. Copling, under Indictment 2469-10-95, what is your verdict as to Count One, conspiracy, which reads: The defendant, Dennis L. Copling, on or about the 18th day of

STOCK FORM FMRRN

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CORBY GROUP 1-800 255 5040

¥

January, 1995, in the City of Camden, with the purpose of committing the crime of murder agreed with another person that they, together, or one or more of them, would engage in conduct which constituted murder?

Trial

16

THE FOREPERSON: Guilty.

THE COURT CLERK: What is your verdict as to Count Two, murder, which reads: The defendant, Dennis L. Copling, on or about the 18th day of January, 1995, in the City of Camden, purposely or knowingly caused the death or serious bodily injury resulting in the death of Mark Winston?

THE FOREPERSON: Not guilty.

THE COURT CLERK: What is your verdict as to the lesser included charge of aggravated manslaughter, which reads: The defendant, Dennis L. Copling, on or about the 18th day of January, 1995, in the City of Camden under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to the value of human life recklessly caused the death of Mark Winston? THE FOREPERSON: Guilty.

THE COURT CLERK: What is your verdict as to Count Three, murder, which reads: The defendant, Dennis L. Copling, on or about the 18th day of January, 1995, in the City of Camden, purposely or knowingly caused the death or serious bodily injury resulting in the death of Kirby Bunch? THE FOREPERSON: Guilty.

THE COURT CLERK: What is your verdict as to Count

040

255

800

GROUP

ORBY

¥

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

tions.	and the second
	Trial 17
,	Four, possession of a weapon for unlawful purpose, which
2	reads: The defendant, Dennis L. Copling, on or about the
3	18th day of January, 1995, in the City of Camden, knowingly
4 5	possessed a firearm with the purpose of using it unlawfully
6	against another person?
7	THE FOREPERSON: Guilty.
8	THE COURT CLERK: What is your verdict as to Count
9	Five, unlawful possession of a handgun, which reads: The
0	defendant, Dennis L. Copling, on or about the 18th day of
,	January, 1995, in the City of Camden, knowingly and unlawfull
	possessed and carried a handgun without first having obtained
	a permit to carry a handgun, as is required by law?
	THE FOREPERSON: Guilty. THE COURT: We will take the verdict sheet from
	you.
	THE COURT CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.
	MR. LEINER: Your Honor, I ask the jury be polled.
	THE COURT: Unless there is objection, we will poll
	the jury in the aggregate.
	MR. LEINER: Yes.
	THE COURT: Let me proceed this way. Ladies and
	gentlemen, your forelady has reported verdicts as follows:
	On Count One, conspizacy, guilty; On Count Two, murder, not
	guilty, but on the same count aggravated manslaughter, guilty
	On Count Three with respect to the murder of Kirby Bunch,

STOCK FORM FMRRN

THE CORBY GROUP 1.800 255 5040

<u></u>		
Manager Property and		
	Trial 18	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<pre>your forelady has reported a verdict of guilty. On Count Four, possession of a weapon for unlawful purpose, the ver- dict of guilty has been reported. On Count Five, unlawful possession of a handgun, again a verdict of guilty has been reported. When the clerk calls your seat number, if you agree with those verdicts as reported, please say: I agree. If yo disagree, please say: I disagree. Thank you. (Jury polled. All jurors answering in affirmative.) THE COURT: Any objection to the polling procedure that was just used? MR. LEINER: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Counsel is there anything further be- fore I discharge the jury? MR. LEINER: No, your Honor. (Jury excused from courtroom.) THE COURT: Counsel, you both tried an excellent case, and it was a pleasure having both of you appear before this Court. Mr. Aronow, I will be glad to hear you with respect to bail. MR. ARONOW: I ask for the bail to be revoked. THE COURT: Do you wish to be heard, Mr. Leiner? MR. LEINER: No, your Honor. THE COURT: No, your Honor. THE COURT: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you wish to be heard, Mr. Leiner? MR. LEINER: No, your Honor. THE COURT: In keeping with the verdict of the jury</pre>	×
		Serence of

	Trial 19
1	hail is much a second second
2	bail is revoked. Sentencing will be on Friday, the 28th of March, 9:00 o'clock in the morning in this courtroom.
3	That concludes these proceedings.
4	(The proceedings were concluded.)
5	
6	
8	CERTIFICATION
9 10 11 12 13	I. Walter F. Flynn, C.S.R., License Number 349, an Official Court Reporter in and for the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify the foregoing to be prepared in full com- liance with the current Transcript Format for Judicial Pro- ceedings and is a true and accurate transcript of my steno- graphic notes taken in the above matter to the best of my knowledge and ability.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WALTER F. FLYNN, C.S.R. Official Court Reporter Camden County Courthouse Camden, New Jersey
21	Date:
22	
23	
24	
	×
25	