## 2058 03 T4

## A-2058-9774

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY CAMDEN COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIV INDICTMENT NO. 2469-10-95

STATE OF NEW JERSEY )

v.

DENNIS L. COPLING,

Defendant, )

8

3

6

10

11

••

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

Trial Transcript

Place: Hall of Justice

Camden, New Jersey

Date: January 30, 1997

FILED

2004

In Flynne

REPORTED BY:

BEFORE:

TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY:

APPEARANCES:

WILLIAM B. DEAL, C.S.R. Room 580, Hall of Justice 100 South Fifth Street Camden, New Jersey 08103

Attorney for the Defendant

HON. LINDA G. ROSENZWEIG, J.S.C.

DEBORAH C. COLLINS, ESQ.

JOEL H. ARONOW, ESQ. Assistant County Prosecutor

ROBERT LEINER, ESQ.

1		I - N - D - E - X					
2							
3	3						
4	.	WITNESS	Direct	Cross	Redirect	Re-X	Services of the services of th
5	1						St. Color St.
6	5	Leonard Finneman, Sr.					
7	7						
	В	By Mr. Aronow	3				
•	9	By Mr, Leiner		11			
10	0	COLLOQUY (Court & Counsel)	Pg. 16				
1	١						
1	- 1	EXHIBITS	Id.	Evd.			
	3						
1	4						
	5						
	6						-
	7						1
	8						
	19						-
	21						
	22						-
	23		,				
	24						
	25					• •	
							1
	1000000					TO A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE	

(The jury enters the courtroom at 9:24, a.m.) 2 THE COURT: Good morning, Ladies and Gentlemen. The 3 State may call its next witness. MR. ARONOW: The State calls Detective Leonard Finneman. 5 THE COURT: Morning, Detective. Come forward to your 6 left and be sworn. 7 LEONARD FINNEMAN, sworn. 8 THE COURT: You may proceed. 9 MR. ARONOW: Thank you, your Honor. 10 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ARONOW: 12 Would you state your name for the record, please? 13 Detective Leonard Finneman, Sr. 14 Who do you work for? Camden City Police Department. 15 And in what capacity? 16 Detective, Homicide, personal crimes. 17 And how long have you been a police officer in Camden 18 City? 19 Five-and-a-half to six years. 20 And how long have you been a detective in Camden City? 21 A About four years. 22 And, Detective Finneman, on January 27, 1995, did you 23 participate in the arrest of an individual by the name of Dennis 24 Copling?

A Yes, I did.

Q And with whom did you participate in that arrest?

A Was Detective Jimmy Wilson from my department, Investigator Sergeant Forte from the prosecutor's office, Investigator

Norcross from the office, as well as Monroe Township police offi

6 cers.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q And was the individual named Dennis Copling taken into custody?

A Yes, he was.

Q And was he brought anywhere subsequent to his arrest?

A Yes, he was brought to the Camden P.D.

Q .And once in Camden P.D. where was he taken?

13 A To an interview room.

Q And is that in the Detective Bureau?.

A Yes, it is.

Q And, Detective Finneman, did you participate in any way with the questioning of the individual known as Dennis Copling now Dennis Turner at the time?

A Yes, I did.

Q And could you explain to the jury what your participation in his questioning was?

A I was assisting, and Sergeant Forte had came out of the room after him, and Jimmy Wilson was talking to Mr. Turner, and Sergeant Forte asked would I go in and speak with him, help him to speak to Mr. Turner in reference to his case.

Q Did you, prior to becoming involved in the questioning,

have any involvement in the investigation regarding a homicide at 2126 Westminster Avenue on January 18, 1995?

- A No, I didn't.
- Q Did you have any specific knowledge of the facts and circumstances surrounding that homicide?
- A No, I didn't. Just general knowledge that it had occurred. Because I had one earlier that day and I seen the detectives in the office and when this one occurred.
- Q And there's general conversation among detectives about cases they may be working on?
- A Yes.
- Q Now you indicated that you spoke to Sergeant Forte.

  Was there a time when you went into the interview room with

  Sergeant Forte and the defendant Dennis Copling?
- A Yes, there was.
- Q And approximately how long a period of time were you in with Sergeant Forte and Dennis Copling?
- A The first time was approximately maybe ten minutes. We spoke to Mr. Copling and then we came out and had a conference and basically weren't getting -- Sergeant Forte explained to me that it wasn't getting anywhere, that he was I guess telling him the same story. He knew the particulars. And then I went in and spoke to him and he went back in and spoke to Mr. Copling about maybe 30 minutes.
  - Q Okay, why did you go in by yourself?
- A I went in to see if I could relate to Mr. Cooling, you know

9

2

3

5

6

7

10

12

14

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

man-to-man, African-American to African-American.

MR. LEINER: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: What is the objection?

MR. LEINER: The objection is the reference to the African-American. There's no evidence in this case that there should be any race injected into this conversation whatsoever.

THE COURT: Well, that was the reason that was given and it's for the jury to evaluate that reason. The objection is overruled.

BY MR. ARONOW:

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

1:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Detective Finneman, was there a reason why you would do that from a police procedure standpoint?

A A lot of time in interviewing people sometimes might be a female, you -- if you're not getting anywhere with her you might have a female detective come in and speak to the young lady.

Might be a Hispanic witness or suspect, you might have a Hispanic detective come in and speak to the person.

So, it was just one way of seeing if I could break the ice to talk to him, get the truth.

Q And did you threaten Dennis Copling in any way with respect to getting any information out of him?

A No, I didn't.

Q How would you define his attitude and demeanor during the interview?

A He was a personable young man. That's why I even took the time to go in and speak to him myself. Seemed like a cleancut

guy and everything, just gone wrong.

Q What did you say to Dennis Copling with respect to the

A At that particular date and time, which '95 ended up being a record year of homicides, at that particular time on the 27th there had been 11 homicides, you know, to date, and four of them, four out of those 11 homicides had been double-homicides, which this one was, and I just explained to him that, you know, double-homicide is a little more severe than a single-homicide.

And what else did you discuss with him?

A You know, basically I had seen his girlfriend down at the house and all, and basically I knew he got himself into something, I guess had to do with his brother or something, and you know, he needs to tell the truth.

Q And did there come a time when he spoke to you and Sergeant Forte subsequent to you speaking to him alone?

A Yes.

Q And did he indicate to you anything with respect to where he had been on January 18, 1995?

A Yes.

Q And where did he indicate he had been subsequent to when Joe Forte and you came back into the interview room?

A Basically, he was saying he was going around town, different places stopping. Wasn't -- Couldn't tell us who he was seen in particular, but that he stopped at different places. And he ended up at the bar Giacomo's having a beer or something.

Q Subsequent to that -- Was that conversation before or after you spoke with personally?

3

A That was before.

5

Q Okay, and after you spoke with him personally did he indicate to you anything different than what he had indicated previously?

7

Yes, he did.

8

Q What did he say to you after you sat down and had a talk with him?

10

After I sat down and had a talk with him he said basically

11

that he was ready to tell the truth. And I immediately called Sergeant Forte in, as I didn't know all the particulars of the

13

truth. So, Sergeant Forte did know about the case, called him

14

in, and basically he reported that himself, Halik, and a guy nemed Faheem (phon.) had met up about 8:40 at 20th & High Streets.

15

Q In the City of Camden?

16

A Yes, and they were driving in Faheem's four-coor grey car and they proceeded out to the area of Westminster looking for

18

X.C. that had had an altercation with his brother Gary Copling

20

over a dog. They had beat has brother up, Gary Copling, over a dog. They proceeded out in that area.

21

Somehow they got information of what apartment he was in.

22

Him and Malik and Mr. Copling exited the vehicle. Malik told

24

Dennis to go back to the car and get Faheem after an argument started with K.C. As argument did start in the apartment with

K.C. and Malik.

3

5

7

8

10

12

14 15

17

19

22

23 24 25 Mr. Copling went back to the car and got Faheem, which they went back to the apartment. After entering the apartment Faheem got into a brief struggle, a gun was pulled -- Faheem pulled a gut out, a brief struggle ensued, a few shots was fired. Mr. Copling reported that he fled the apartment. And as he was fleeing the apartment he looked back, saw K.C. on the ground and Faheem firing some shots at him. They both jumped in their car, Faheem and Mr. Copling, and they left the area.

Q Did Dennis Copling indicate what kind of clothing he was wearing on that particular day?

A Yes, he did.

Q And what kind of clothing did he indicate he was wearing?

A He reported he had on black jeans or black pants, a black hooded jacket, and a ski mask.

Q And did he indicate how Faheem was dressed?

A Faheem had a cream colored sweater, bluejeans, and Timber-land boots.

Q And you were -- Let me ask you this. Is the person who was questioned on January 27, 1995, the person who was arrested on that day, is he present in the courtroom today?

A Yes, he is.

Q And could you describe the clothing he's wearing and where he is?

A He's seated right there behind the microphone on the left, He's wearing -- looks like a dark green suit, white Rebocks, tan

MR. ARONOW: Let the record reflect he's identified

colored shirt and multi-colored tie.

2

2

3

5

7

8

i O

11 12 13

14

16

18

20 21

22 23

24 25 THE COURT: The record will reflect that.

BY MR. ARONOW:

Q Had the interview process been completed with respect to your interview with Sergeant Forte of Dennis Copling on January 27, 1995?

No, it wasn't.

the defendant.

Q Did something occur which interrupted your interview?

And was that the family of the defendant Dennis

A Yes, it did.

Copling interceding and did the interview stop at that time?

A Yes, they did. Detective Torres knocked on the door and

reported Mr. Copling's family was here.

Q Without reference to what Detective Torres may have said, did the interview process involving Dennis Copling end at that point?

A Yes, it did.

Q And based upon your experience was that premature?

A Yes, it was.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}$  . What had been intended to be done had the interview process not been stopped?

A Usually, we take a taped statement from the suspect or witness.

Q Were you or Sergeant Forte finished with discussing

the facts and circumstances surrounding the statement that he was now giving you prior to taking the taped statement?

A No, we weren't.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ARONOW: I have nothing further of this witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Leiner, you may cross-examine.

MR. LEINER: Thank you.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. LEITNER:

Q Detective Finneman --

A (Interposing) Yes, sir?

Q (Continuing) you were present when Mr. Copling was arrested in Monroe Township, were you not?

A Yes, sir.

Q And was he handcuffed when he was arrested?

A Best of my recollection, sir, it was in the back.

Q In the back. And he was transported an a patrol car?

A I didn't ride with him, I'm not sure about that.

Q Did you see him when he arrived at the station?

A No, sir. I probably was already up in the Detective

Bureau because I didn't follow or drive with him in the car.

Q You were not present in the beginning of the interview with Sergeant Forte, were you?

A No, sir, I wasn't.

Q And at some point the detective left the room?

A Yes, sir.

 $\ensuremath{Q}$  And at some point Sergeant Forte asked you to come into the room?

A Yes, sir.

Q Was Mr. Copling -- Describe that room for us, please?

3 A The particular one he was in, about five-by-six, or six-

by-six. He had the one next to the cell; didn't have any win-

5 dows in it.

4

6

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q There is no windows on any of the doors?

7 A Yes, there's a little window on the door.

Q Little window on the door?

A Yes.

Q Chairs in the room?

A Yes, sir.

Q Is there a table in the room?

13 A Yes, sir.

Q How many chairs are in the room?

A Should have been three chairs.

Q Was Mr. Copling handcuffed when he was in that room?

A To my recollection, no, sir.

Q He was not handcuffed?

A To my recollection, what I can recollect, it was over two

years, I don't think so.

Q Do you know whether or not he was handcuffed?

A No, I can't really say.

Q Was there any refreshments available in the room?

A No, we don't keep a pitcher of water. But, if anybody

asks for a drink of water or anything, we oblige them.

Q While you were present in the room with Sergeant Forte

5

8

9

11

13

14

16

18

20

22

24

and Mr. Copling did you ever offer or did Sergeant Forte ever offer Mr. Copling anything to drink?

- A I know I didn't. I can't recall whether Sergeant Forte did.
  - Q Ever offer him the opportunity to go the bathroom?
- A He never asked, so I never asked him did he have to go to the bathroom.
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}$  . Sergeant Forte ever offer him the opportunity to go to the bathroom?
- A I'm not sure, sir.
- Q Did either you or Sergeant Forte ever offer him the opportunity to get something to eat?
- A We had only been there -- I had only been in there for approximately an hour maybe.
- Q During the interview process you state in your Direct examination it was necessary for you and Sergeant Forte to leave the room at some point?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And you had to converse with each other, is that correct?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And the basic gist of that conversation was that Forte wasn't getting what he wanted out of Dennis Copling at that point, wasn't getting what he wanted?
- A The facts.
- Q And et that point Sergeant Forte was aware of certain things that he had discovered in regard to his investigation, is

that true?

A I can't speak for him, but, yes, I guess that's correct.

Q Then you were left in the room with Dennis Copling yourself, is that correct?

A Yes, I went back into the room with Mr. Copling.

Q It was your job to get Dennis Copling to coope

A No, not really. It wasn't my case. My job was just to go in there to see if I could assist Sergeant Forte in trying to get to the truth.

Q And it was your opinion -- or, hot your opinion,

Sergeant Forte's opinion at that time that Mr. Copling was not
giving the truth?

A Correct.

Q So, in other words he was not cooperating?

A Cooperating? He wasn't telling the truth.

Q And you knew no facts, is that correct?

A No, that's correct.

Q So, you wouldn't know if your conversation with Dennis
Copling -- whether or not he was telling you the truth or anything else, would you?

A That's correct.

Q And isn't it also true, Detective -- in fact, Detective you conducted a lot of interviews yourself, hadn't you?

A Yes, I have, sir.

Q You've bega a detective for quite awhile when someone in a particular interview, is you're interviewing a suspect, is

3

7

10

11

13

15

17

18

20

22

. Van

5

4

Copling?

8

7

10

11 12 13

14

15 16

18

20

23

24

telling you something that you believe is contrary to the truth you start to give them little pieces of the puzzle to let them know that you know certain information, don't you?

Q And did Sergeant Forte do that that evening with Mr.

A He might have did (sic.) that with Investigator Wilson.
He didn't do it with me.

Q When you went into the room with Dennis Copling and Sergeant Forte for that last half-hour or so --

(Interposing) Yes.

Q (Continuing) and you had that conversation -- or,
Sergeant Forte had that conversation you just related to the
jury in regard to what Dennis Copling said, who was doing the
questioning at that point?

Sergeant Forte.

Q Were you saying anything?

A Yes, I basically was there -- Like I said, I didn't know the particulars of the case. I basically was trying to bring out the details, descriptions, things of that nature.

Q And isn't it true that during that portion of the conversation that Detective Forte was relaying certain information to Dennis Copling and asking him whether or not that was true?

A No, I can't say he was because Mr. Copling was telling us his story.

Q He was telling his story?

A Yes.

Q How long did the interview take? How long was the total time of the interview that evening?

A First time or second time?

Q Altogether.

A Altogether? Forty-five minutes.

Q That you were involved in the interview?

A Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Do you know how long he had been in the interview room prior to you getting there?

A Prior to me getting there, maybe an hour, hour-and-a-half.

MR. LEINER: I have no further questions.

MR. ARONOW: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Thank you, Detective Finneman. You may step down, you're excused.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

THE COURT: Any other witnesses on behalf of the State

MR. ARONOW: Judge, can we approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

(The following discussion was held at sidebar.)

MR. ARONOW: Judge, the issue at this particular time, based upon your Honor's ruling, the State would rest depending

on what you rule.

THE COURT: I did have an opportunity to listen to the

911 Tape which we marked for identification as State's Exhibit

54 and I believe that it contains within it hearsay. In other

words, she tells -- I don't know, I don't have the transcript in fron of me -- she tells the 911 Operator that he has a gun.

Based upon her testimony, I've already found that she had no personal knowledge of that. And therefore, I believe that to allow the 911 Tape to be played to the jury it would allow the introduction of impermissible hearsay. And I don't think it comes within the hearsay exception or the statement about his gun doesn't come within the exception.

MR. ARONOW: What I would argue, Judge, is that if it only had been -- I would agree. However, Latisha Fare (phon.) testified and the basis of her belief and the fact that she told Barbara Buchanan (phon.) what she believed and that provided the basis for her to --

THE COURT: (Interposing) It's still hearsay. She has no personal knowledge. All she knows is what somebody else told her.

MR. ARONOW: The present sense impression is -THE COURT: (Interposing) She's not a proper -- She's
not observing him with the gun.

MR. ARONOW: Okay.

THE COURT: So, it's not -- I don't think it's prejudcial interms of her tone or demeanor. She's only relating that she believes he has a gun. He may be out there. Her tone is not hysterical or inflammatory. I'm not excluding it for that reason, but solely because it contains impermissible hearsay.

Anything else?

2

5

7

9

11

13

15

17

19

21

22

24

MR. LEINER: No at this point.

MR. ARONOW: There are some issues with respect to some of the evidence. That's not something that would be in fron of the jury. Other than that I would just be resting.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any witnesses for today?

MR. LEINER: Your Honor, the only potential witness I would have would be my client. I need sometime on that issue.

In addition, I would renew my request for some additional time in regard to the issue of surrounding prior occasions.

THE COURT: We'll send the jury out for a short break. Are you prepared at this time to make the decision with him. after some discussion, as to whether or not he's going to take the stand?

MR. LEINER: I may be. And the only reason I say that is because of the additional information provided with regard to a prior occasion.

We'll have to deal with that. I haven't had a complete conversation with him dealing with that.

THE COURT: All right. Rather than sending the jury home at this point, just  $\sin\theta$  them on a short break.

MR. LZINER: Okay.

THE COURT: Would it be safe to also tell them we expect the sase will conclude by the end of Tuesday?

MR. ARONOW: I would think so.

THE COURT: The only thing would be if your client took the stand and you put on somebody to refute the testimony

about the gun.

MR. LEINER: I don't know that I'm going to be able to find anybody to refute anything at this point. Just that I think under the circumstances I have an obligation to do certain things in regard to that. And certainly not looking to delay.

In fact, rather have it fresh in their minds. On the other hand, I think I have an obligation to do investigation.

He may tell me "Forget it, let's just go ahead".

THE COURT: Why don't I send the jury out?

(The proceedings continued in open court.)

THE COURT: Ladies and Gentlemen, we're going to take a recess now. And when you return it may be that there will not be any other witnesses for today. I'll know that in a little bit during the break. And then it's our expectation that the trial will conclude Tuesday, so that you won't have to come back Wednesday and Thursday.

All right, enjoy your break. We'll be back with you in a little while.

MR. ARONOW: Judge, obviously unless deliberations -THE COURT: (Interposing) Unless deliberations go
into the next day.

One other thing. I'm sorry. Are there any other witnesses on behalf of the State or does the State rest?

MR. ARONOW: No, the State rests.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(The jury leaves the courtroom at 9:37, a.m.)

COLLOQUY

THE COURT: Okay, just as a followup to the discussion we were having at sidebar, on Tuesday I think it was, Mr. Leiner I indicated to you that I would give the defense some additional time to investigate further Latisha Buchanan's claim that on an occasion two weeks prior to January 18, 1995 she observed the

MR. LEINER: Yes, your Honor.

defendant with a gun.

THE COURT: Can you tell me where you are in your investigating that claim in deciding whether to put on any evidence about that? Have you completed that inquiry?

MR. LEINER: I have not at this time, your Honor. I have called the investigator assigned to me by the Office of the Public Defender in regard to this case and I'm hoping to get him whatever proper information he would need today.

If necessary, I would like the opportunity, if I could, for a short break so I can talk to my client in the back for awhile in regard to this. And going to need some information possibly from him to also supply that investigator if, indeed, we'll be proceeding along those lines.

THE COURT: All right, if you're not ready today the Court would grant you until Tuesday. I just want you to know that I'm not inclined to let it go beyond Tuesday. Tuesday would be a one-week extension, essentially.

MR. LEINER: I understand that. Unfortunately, I probably would have only been asking for a day. However, since today is Thursday, it fell on that time where we don't try cases

9

2

3

4

5

7

10

12

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23 24

Fridays or Mondays.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. LEINER: I would not even be asking for that length of time normally. However, I would certainly have requested possibly the rest of today.

If I can have sometime in the back with my client, 15 minutes, I will probably be in a better position to indicate to the Court how we're ready to proceed.

THE COURT: Okay, fine. And the only thing I would be inclined to do today would be to have what I would call a preliminary or tentative charge conference whenever there is a gap.

Such as there will be in this case where we're not using very much of the day with the jury. What I like to do is have a charge conference.

The State has rested. I realize the deferse may or may not have a case that it's going to put on before the jury Tuesday.

And then that way if you don't present any evidence, then the charge conference that we would be having now would become the final charge conference.

In the event there is a change, we can, of course, reconsider that which we discussed today. That way we're using our time more efficiently. We'll do that.

MR. ARONOW: Before we do that, Judge, so that I don't forget, so that's it's fresh in my mind, last night we realized that the reason why there probably were two S-23s is there is no S-30. And since some things that were marked for identification

are not being moved into eveidence, I don't think we even need to mention the fact that there is no S-30 to the jury, Just not something to go back to them. That's just for your Honor's and Counsel's clarification purposes. There is no S-30.

THE COURT: I agree.

MR. ARONOW: Further, I have not been able to locate in my files S-40 A & B which were the evidence vouchers from the Camden Police testified to by Investigator Aaron. And I have not had a chance to find out whether he has it.

And what I discussed with defense counsel today is that I would withdraw that exhibit simply because it's already been -- everything that he testified to has already been admitted into evidence. Really isn't a chain of custody problem.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ARONOW: For that reason I would withdraw that  $S\!=\!40$  A & B.

THE COURT: Very good. Those will be considered withdrawn and just go back to I.D. status.

Okay, any other housekeeping issues on either side?

MR. LEINER: Not at this time.

THE COURT: Very good. We stand in recess then.

MR. LEINER: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(There was a pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Mr. Leiner, is the defendant in a position at this time to be able to tell the Court conclusively whether

24

5

7

R

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

## COLLOQUY

you're going to be asking for more time?

MR. LEINER: Yes, your Honolpha. We are going to be asking for that time until Tuesday morning.

THE COURT: Okay. And has a decision been made as to whether the defendant will take the stand or not?

MR. LEINER: That will -- The complete decision depending upon what, if anything, we're able to ascertain in regard to the investigation, that we do.

THE COURT: Mr. Aronow, any comments of objections?

MR. ARONOW: No, your Honor. I already made -- your

Honor already made your ruling in this matter earlier this week.

THE COURT: I was just going to at this time then just bring the jury in and excuse them.

MR. LEINER: Your Honor, there is one other issue I wanted to bring up. I believe during yesterday's testimony in regard to Patricia Copling the prosecutor alluded to the flight. There has been no evidence of flight.

THE COURT: I'm aware of that and I think we can talk about that during the charge conference.

MR. LEINER: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: If I wind up agreeing with your position then it would be something I would discuss with the jury during the overall charge. I wouldn't single that issue out.

I agree, it needs to be discussed. I don't think we need to do that before the jury comes in.

MR. LEINER: Very good.

21

2

4

5

6

10

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

23

5

6

8

9

10

12

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23 24 25

THE COURT: The Court then will defer until Tuesday morning any other proceedings. And more specifically, will question the defendant on Tuesday morning as to his intention to take the stand or not. And then I'll review with him his option of having the Court instruct the jury about that or not instruct the jury about, as he wishes.

MR. LEINER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very good. We'll bring the jury back in.

MR. LEINER: Thank you, your Honor.

(The jury enters the courtroom at 10:22, a.m.)

THE COURT: Ladies and Gentlemen, there are no other witnesses for today. So, at this point I will be excusing you and we'll see you Tuesday morning. We'll be ready bright and early at 9:00 o'clock.

Just a reminder not to read any newspaper accounts. I told you I'd remind you of that every  $\hat{\alpha}ay$ .

Enjoy the next few days and we'll see you Tuesday morning. Thank you, very much.

(The jury leaves the courtroom at 10:23, a.m.)

THE COURT: Very good.

MR. LEINER: Thank you.

(The proceedings were concluded for the day.)

## $\underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{F} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{E}$

I, William B. Deal, C.S.R., License No. XIO 1169, an Official Court Reporter in and for the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify the foregoing to be prepared in full compliance with the current Transcript Format for Judicial-Proceedings and is a true and accurate transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above matter, to the above of my knowledge and ability.

WILLIAM B. DEAL, C.S.R. Official Court Reporter

Date: 10/3/198