46: 14-7

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST

IJSA46:14-7		(Recording of foreign instruments Seal of notary)			
LAUS OF 1979		Ch	IAPTER	92	
Bill No. <u>A366</u>	- Stage Scheff State of State State State State				
Sponsor(s) <u>Doyle</u>	and Newman	an a			
Date Introduced	<u>e-filed</u>				
Committee: Assembly	Judiciary,	Law, P	ublic Saf	ety & Def	ense
Senate	Judiciary				
Amended during passag	je	¥CN5X		i' o	
Date of Passage: Ass	sembly <u>April</u>	17, 19	7′8		
Ser	nate <u>April 5</u>	5, 1979			
Date of approval	<u>May 16, 1979</u>				
Following statements	are attached if	f availai	ele:		
Sponsor statement		Yes	XXX	(Below)	
Committee Statement:	Assembly	xxex	Co		and the second
	Senate	×××	0 ¹		and the second second
Fiscal Note		×xx	Bo		
Veto Dessage		Nrz	·'0		
lessage on signing		XXX	110		
Following wore printe	ed:				
Reports		XxX	No		7
Hearings		X & X	ilo		

Sponsor's statement:

Sponsor's statement: Several states, specifically New York and California, have done away with the use of an impressed seal by their notaries. Our law still requires notaries taking acknowledg-ments outside the State to use a seal. This bill would permit the recording of instruments notarized outside the state without a seal, if the notary's own state does not require such seal.

9/1/73

. . .

CHAPTER 92 LAWS OF N. J. 19. 79 APPROVED 5-16-79

ASSEMBLY, No. 366

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

PRE-FILED FOR INTRODUCTION IN THE 1978 SESSION

By Assemblymen DOYLE and NEWMAN

AN ACT relating to acknowledgments and proofs of deeds and other instruments, and amending R. S. 46:14-7.

1 BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State 2 of New Jersey:

1 1. R. S. 46:14-7 is amended to read as follows:

 $\mathbf{2}$ 46:14-7. If the party who shall have executed or who shall execute any deed or instrument of the description or nature set forth 3 4 in section 46:16-1 of this Title, or the witnesses thereto, shall have 5 happened or shall happen to be in some other state of the United 6 States or territory thereof, or in the District of Columbia, whether 7 resident in this State, or in such state, territory or district, or else-8 where, an acknowledgment or proof of such as is prescribed by 9 section 46:14-6 of this Title, made before and certified by any one 10 of the officers herein named, shall be as good and effectual as if the same had been made in this State before an officer authorized to 11 take acknowledgments or proofs within the State and had been 12certified by him, as provided in section 46:16-6. 13

'n

14 The officers authorized to take acknowledgments and proofs 15 under authority of this section are:

a. The Chief Justice or any associate justice of the Supreme
Court of the United States, or a master of the Superior Court of
New Jersey or attorney-at-law of New Jersey, at any place without the State but within the territorial limits of the United States.
b. At any place without this State but within the territorial
limits of the United States and within the territorial limits of the
jurisdiction of such officer or of his court, by

(1) A judge of any of the United States courts other than theSupreme Court;

(2) The Chancellor of any state of the United States or terri-tory thereof;

(3) Any judge or justice of the Supreme or Superior Courts of
any state of the United States or territory thereof, or the District
of Columbia;

30 (4) Any foreign commissioner of deeds for New Jersey, when
31 his certificate of acknowledgment or proof is duly certified under
32 his official seal;

33 (5) The mayor or other chief magistrate of any city, borough
34 or corporation, when his certificate of acknowledgment or proof
35 is duly certified under the seal of the city, borough or corporation
36 of which he was or is the mayor or chief magistrate;

(6) A judge of a court of record of any state of the United
States or territory thereof, or of the District of Columbia when
his certificate of acknowledgment or proof is duly certified that he
was or is such judge under the great seal of such state, territory
or district, or under the seal of a court of record of the state, county,
city or district in which the acknowledgment or proof was or is
made and in and for which he was or is such judge; or

(7) Any notary public of any such state, territory or district, 44 45then residing or being anywhere therein, and a recital in his certificate of acknowledgment or proof that he is such notary with his 46 official designation annexed to his signature and attested under 47his official seal, if such seal is required within the jurisdiction 4849wherein he holds the office of notary public, shall be sufficient proof that the person before or by whom such acknowledgment or proof 50 was taken is such notary. 51

52(8) Any officer of any such state, territory or district, then residing and being anywhere in such state, territory or district, au-53thorized at the time of such acknowledgment or proof by the laws 54of such state, territory or district to take acknowledgments and 5556proofs, when his certificate of acknowledgment or proof is accompanied by a certificate under the great seal of such state, territory 57or district, or under the seal of some court of record in or county 58clerk of the state, county, city or district in which the acknowledg-59ment or proof was or shall be made, and that such officer was, at 60 the time of the taking of such acknowledgments or proofs, autho-61rized by the laws of such state, territory or district to take ac-62knowledgments and proofs. 63

1 2. This act shall take effect immediately.

STATEMENT

Several states, specifically New York and California, have done away with the use of an impressed seal by their notaries. Our law still requires notaries taking acknowledgments outside the State to use a seal. This bill would permit the recording of instruments notarized outside the state without a seal, if the notary's own state does not require such seal. FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE MAY 16, 1979

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION KATHRYN FORSYTH

Governor Brendan Byrne today signed the following bills into law:

<u>S-309</u>, sponsored by Senator John Ewing (R-Somerset), which denies the owner of income-producing property the right to appeal an assessment if he fails to produce certain information to the assessor.

Under prior law, the owner of income-producing property is required to provide the assessor upon request with a "true account of his name and real property and the income therefrom." However, should the owner refuse to provide the information, the assessor is free to assess the property based on any information available to him.

Under the bill, the owner would be denied an appeal of the assessment when he either failed or refused to: 1) respond to a written request for such information with 45 days of the request; or 2) testify on oath when required or if he rendered a false or fradulent account.

<u>A-366</u>, sponsored by Assemblyman John Paul Doyle and Daniel Newman (both D-Ocean which permits instruments to be notarized outside New Jersey without a seal, if the out-of-state notary's own state does not require such a seal.

is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.