58:10C-27.1 et al. LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST

Compiled by the NJ State Law Library

CHAPTER: LAWS OF: 2013 283 NJSA: 58:10C-27.1 et al. (Authorizes extension of time for completion of remedial investigation of certain contaminated sites prior to DEP undertaking direct oversight) **BILL NO:** A4543 (Substituted for S3075) **SPONSOR(S)** Spencer and others **DATE INTRODUCED:** December 12, 2013 COMMITTEE: ASSEMBLY: Agriculture and Natural Resources SENATE: AMENDED DURING PASSAGE: No DATE OF PASSAGE: **ASSEMBLY:** January 13, 2014 SENATE: January 13, 2014 DATE OF APPROVAL: January 17, 2014 **FOLLOWING ARE ATTACHED IF AVAILABLE:** FINAL TEXT OF BILL (Assembly Substitute enacted) Yes A4543 **SPONSOR'S STATEMENT**: (Begins on page 5 of introduced bill) Yes **COMMITTEE STATEMENT:** ASSEMBLY: Yes SENATE: No (Audio archived recordings of the committee meetings, corresponding to the date of the committee statement, may **possibly** be found at www.njleg.state.nj.us) FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT: No **LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE:** No S3075 **SPONSOR'S STATEMENT:** (Begins on page 5 of introduced bill) Yes **COMMITTEE STATEMENT:** ASSEMBLY: No SENATE: Yes Budget Environment

(continued)

No

No

FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT:

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE:

	VETO MESSAGE:	No
	GOVERNOR'S PRESS RELEASE ON SIGNING:	No
FOLLO	DLLOWING WERE PRINTED: To check for circulating copies, contact New Jersey State Government Publications at the State Library (609) 278-2640 ext.103 or mailto:refdesk@njstatelib.or	
	REPORTS:	No
	HEARINGS:	No
	NEWSPAPER ARTICLES:	No
LAW/RWH		

P.L.2013, CHAPTER 283, *approved January 17*, *2014*Assembly Substitute for Assembly, No. 4543

AN ACT concerning oversight of contaminated sites, and amending 2 and supplementing P.L.2009, c.60.

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. (New section) a. Except as provided in subsection b. or c. of this section, for any site subject to the provisions of paragraph (3) of subsection a. of section 27 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-27), upon application by a person responsible for conducting the remediation, an extension of time until May 7, 2016 shall be provided to allow for the completion of the remedial investigation prior to the department taking direct oversight provided that the applicant continues to comply with the conditions imposed pursuant to this subsection. The applicant shall certify, in a document submitted electronically by the licensed site remediation professional retained by the applicant, that the following conditions have been met:
- (1) a licensed site remediation professional has been retained to conduct a remediation of the site;
- (2) any remediation requirements included in mandatory remediation timeframes adopted pursuant to section 28 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-28), for the site have been met at the time of the certification;
- (3) technically complete submissions have been made in compliance with all rules and regulations for site remediation, as applicable, for the (a) initial receptor evaluation, (b) immediate environmental concern source control report, (c) light non-aqueous phase liquid interim remedial measure report, (d) preliminary assessment report, and (e) site investigation report;
- (4) a remediation funding source has been established, if required of the applicant by section 25 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3);
- 34 (5) if a remediation funding source is not required to be 35 established by the applicant pursuant to law, then a remediation 36 trust fund for the estimated cost of the remedial investigation has 37 been established pursuant to the standards established in section 25 38 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3);

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

1 (6) any oversight costs imposed by the department, known at the 2 time of the application, and not in dispute on the date of enactment 3 of P.L., c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), 4 have been paid to the department; and

5

6 7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

(7) the annual fees imposed by the department for the remediation and remediation funding source surcharges imposed pursuant to section 33 of P.L.1993, c.39 (C.58:10B-11) have been paid to the department, as applicable.

An application pursuant to this subsection shall be submitted to the department by March 7, 2014 or 30 days after the date of enactment of P.L. , c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), whichever is later.

b. For any site subject to the provisions of paragraph (3) of subsection a. of section 27 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-27), if the failure to complete the remedial investigation of the contaminated site is due to a delay in the provision of State financial assistance for the remediation from the Hazardous Discharge Site Remediation Fund, upon application by a person responsible for conducting the remediation, an extension of time shall be provided to allow for the completion of the remedial investigation prior to the department taking direct oversight, except as provided in subsection c. of this section. The applicant shall submit to the department a certification that the person responsible for conducting the remediation filed a technically and administratively complete application for funding prior to March 7, 2014 or 30 days after the date of enactment of (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), whichever is later, qualifies for funding, and remains eligible for funding. Every six months after the submission of the application for the extension of time pursuant to this subsection, the applicant shall submit to the department a certification with an update on the status of the funding application.

The extension of time for the completion of a remedial investigation of a contaminated site prior to the department taking direct oversight of the remediation pursuant to this subsection shall be no more than two years after receipt of funding, or no more than two years after the applicant is no longer eligible for funding.

An application for an extension of time pursuant to this subsection shall be submitted to the department by March 7, 2014 or 30 days after the date of enactment of P.L. , c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), whichever is later.

c. An application submitted pursuant to subsection a. or b. of this section shall be deemed approved upon receipt by the department. The department may undertake direct oversight of a remediation if, at any time during the extension of time: (1) the conditions imposed pursuant to subsection a. or b. of this section, as the case may be, are no longer met; or (2) the person responsible for conducting the remediation fails to meet a mandatory remediation

- timeframe after submission of the certification submitted pursuant The department shall so notify the person to this section. responsible for conducting the remediation, in writing, that the extension of time for completion of the remedial investigation is revoked because of the applicant's failure to continue to comply with the conditions required, or the applicant's failure to submit one or more of the certifications required pursuant to subsection a. or b. of this section, or that the information included in a certification is incomplete, incorrect, false, or otherwise deficient.
 - d. The department shall provide notice on its internet website of any extensions provided pursuant to this section. In the notice, the department shall provide the name and location of the site for which the extension is provided and the length of the extension of time.

- 2. Section 27 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-27) is amended to read as follows:
- 27. a. [The] Except as provided in section 1 of P.L., c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), the department shall undertake direct oversight of a remediation of a contaminated site under the following conditions:
- (1) the person responsible for conducting the remediation has a history of noncompliance with the laws concerning remediation, or any rule or regulation adopted pursuant thereto, that includes the issuance of at least two enforcement actions after the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.) during any five-year period concerning a remediation;
- (2) the person responsible for conducting the remediation at a contaminated site has failed to meet a mandatory remediation timeframe or an expedited site specific timeframe adopted by the department pursuant to section 28 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-28), including any extension thereof granted by the department, or a schedule established pursuant to an administrative order or court order; or
- (3) unless a longer period has been ordered by a court, the person responsible for conducting the remediation has, prior to the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.), failed to complete the remedial investigation of the entire contaminated site 10 years after the discovery of a discharge at the site and has failed to complete the remedial investigation of the entire contaminated site within five years after the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.).
- As used in this subsection, "enforcement action" means an administrative order, a notice of civil administrative penalty, or a court order.
- b. The department may undertake direct oversight of a remediation of a contaminated site under the following conditions:

1 (1) the contamination at the site includes chromate chemical production waste;

- (2) the department determines that more than one environmentally sensitive natural resource has been injured by contamination from the site;
- (3) the site has contributed to sediments contaminated by polychlorinated biphenyl, mercury, arsenic, or dioxin in a surface water body; or
- (4) the site is ranked by the department in the category requiring the highest priority pursuant to the ranking system developed pursuant to section 2 of P.L.1982, c.202 (C.58:10-23.16).
- c. For any site subject to direct oversight by the department pursuant to this section:
- (1) the department shall review each document submitted by a licensed site remediation professional and shall approve or deny the submission;
- (2) a feasibility study shall be performed and submitted to the department for approval;
 - (3) the department shall select the remedial action for the site;
- (4) the person responsible for conducting the remediation shall establish a remediation trust fund pursuant to section 25 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3) in the amount of the estimated cost of the remediation;
- (5) all disbursements of funds from the remediation trust fund shall require prior approval by the department;
- (6) all submissions prepared by the licensed site remediation professional concerning the remediation required by the department shall be provided simultaneously to the department and the person responsible for conducting the remediation; and
- (7) the person responsible for conducting the remediation shall implement a public participation plan approved by the department to solicit public comment from the members of the surrounding community concerning the remediation of the site.
- d. The department shall issue guidelines establishing specific criteria for the conditions under which a site may be subject to direct oversight pursuant to subsection b. of this section.
- e. (1) Any oversight procedure, remedy, or other obligation in P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.) shall not affect a remediation conducted pursuant to and in compliance with a settlement of litigation to which the department is a party if the settlement (a) occurred prior to the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.), or (b) is a settlement of litigation pending on the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.).
- 44 (2) For any litigation pending or settled on the date of enactment 45 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.), concerning a remediation 46 performed pursuant to the "Resource Conservation and Recovery 47 Act," 42 U.S.C. s.6921 et seq., nothing in P.L.2009, c.60

1 (C.58:10C-1 et al.) shall affect an oversight procedure, remedy, or 2 other obligation imposed by a federal administrative order or 3 federal court order.

(cf: P.L.2009, c.60, s.27)

5 6

4

3. This act shall take effect immediately.

7 8 9

STATEMENT

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

This floor substitute would allow an extension of time for the completion of a remedial investigation to a person responsible for conducting a remediation of a contaminated site under certain circumstances. Current law requires the Department of Environmental Protection to assume direct oversight of a cleanup if the person responsible for conducting a remediation has, prior to the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et seq.) (i.e., May 7, 2009), failed to complete the remedial investigation of the site within 10 years after the date of discovery of a discharge at the site and has failed to complete the remedial investigation of the site within five years after May 7, 2009. This bill would authorize additional time for the completion of the remedial investigation of a site prior to the department undertaking oversight of the remediation under certain circumstances.

First, an additional two years for the completion of the remedial investigation of a site prior to the department undertaking oversight of the remediation, until May 7, 2016, would be authorized if the person responsible for conducting the remediation certifies, in a document submitted electronically by the licensed site remediation professional retained by the applicant, that certain conditions have been met regarding the remediation. The applicant must certify that: (1) a licensed site remediation professional has been retained to conduct a remediation of the site; (2) any remediation requirements included in mandatory remediation timeframes adopted pursuant to section 28 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-28), for the site have been met at the time of the certification; (3) technically complete submissions have been made in compliance with all rules and regulations for site remediation, as applicable, for the initial receptor evaluation, immediate environmental concern source control report, light non-aqueous phase liquid interim remedial measure report, preliminary assessment report, and site investigation report; (4) a remediation funding source has been established, if required of the applicant by section 25 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3); (5) if a remediation funding source is not required to be established by the applicant pursuant to law, then a remediation trust fund for the estimated cost of the remedial investigation has been established pursuant to the standards

established in section 25 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3); (6) any oversight costs imposed by the department, known at the time of the application, and not in dispute on the date of enactment of the bill into law, have been paid to the department; and (7) the annual fees imposed by the department for the remediation and remediation funding source surcharges imposed pursuant to section 33 of P.L.1993, c.39 (C.58:10B-11) have been paid to the department, as applicable.

The floor substitute also allows additional time for the completion of the remedial investigation of a site prior to the department undertaking oversight of the remediation, due to a delay in the provision of State financial assistance for the remediation from the Hazardous Discharge Site Remediation Fund. The floor substitute would allow an extension of two years after receipt of funding, or no more than two years after the applicant is no longer eligible for funding.

The floor substitute requires an application for an extension to be submitted to the department by March 7, 2014 or 30 days after the date of enactment of the bill into law, whichever is later.

The floor substitute would provide that, at any time during the extension, the department may undertake direct oversight of a remediation if the conditions imposed under the bill are no longer met, or the person responsible for conducting the remediation fails to meet a mandatory remediation timeframe.

Finally, the floor substitute would require the department to provide notice on its website of any extension, and include the name and location of the site for which the extension is granted, and the length of the extension of time.

Authorizes extension of time for completion of remedial investigation of certain contaminated sites prior to DEP undertaking direct oversight.

ASSEMBLY, No. 4543

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

215th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED DECEMBER 12, 2013

Sponsored by:
Assemblywoman L. GRACE SPENCER
District 29 (Essex)
Assemblywoman HOLLY SCHEPISI
District 39 (Bergen and Passaic)

Co-Sponsored by: Assemblywoman Handlin

SYNOPSIS

Authorizes DEP to extend time for remedial investigation of certain contaminated sites prior to undertaking direct oversight.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



(Sponsorship Updated As Of: 12/13/2013)

AN ACT concerning oversight of contaminated sites, and amending and supplementing P.L.2009, c.60.

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. (New section) a. For any site subject to the provisions of paragraph (3) of subsection a. of section 27 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-27), upon application by a person responsible for conducting the remediation, the department may grant an extension of time pursuant to the provisions of subsection b. of this section to allow for the completion of the remedial investigation prior to the department taking direct oversight. The applicant shall submit:
- (1) a certification that a licensed site remediation professional was retained to conduct a remediation of the site prior to November 7, 2012;
- (2) a completed receptor evaluation for the site with a demonstration satisfactory to the department that any immediate environmental concerns identified at the site were addressed in accordance with the technical regulations for site remediation adopted by the department;
- (3) a summary of all remedial investigation activities conducted at the site;
- (4) a description of additional work necessary for the completion of the remedial investigation;
- (5) an explanation of the reason for the inability to complete the remedial investigation within the statutory timeframes; and
 - (6) a schedule for the completion of the remedial investigation.
- An application pursuant to this subsection shall be submitted no later than March 7, 2014.
- b. (1) Upon submission of a technically and administratively complete application pursuant to subsection a. of this section, the department may grant an extension of time of up to two years for the completion of the remedial investigation upon a finding that there is good cause for the extension.
- (2) The department shall notify the applicant, in writing, no later than 45 days after submission of a technically and administratively complete application, of its decision concerning the application and, if an extension of time for completion of the remedial investigation is authorized, the length of the extension.
- (3) The department shall provide notice in the New Jersey Register of any extension granted pursuant to this section. In the notice, the department shall provide the name and location of the site for which the extension is granted, the rationale for the extension, and the length of the extension.

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

(4) If the person responsible for conducting the remediation of the contaminated site fails to complete the remedial investigation within the additional time provided pursuant to this section, the department shall undertake direct oversight of the remediation as provided in subsection c. of section 27 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-27).

- 2. Section 27 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-27) is amended to read as follows:
- 27. a. [The] Except as provided in section 1 of P.L., c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), the department shall undertake direct oversight of a remediation of a contaminated site under the following conditions:
- (1) the person responsible for conducting the remediation has a history of noncompliance with the laws concerning remediation, or any rule or regulation adopted pursuant thereto, that includes the issuance of at least two enforcement actions after the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.) during any five-year period concerning a remediation;
- (2) the person responsible for conducting the remediation at a contaminated site has failed to meet a mandatory remediation timeframe or an expedited site specific timeframe adopted by the department pursuant to section 28 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-28), including any extension thereof granted by the department, or a schedule established pursuant to an administrative order or court order; or
- (3) unless a longer period has been ordered by a court, the person responsible for conducting the remediation has, prior to the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.), failed to complete the remedial investigation of the entire contaminated site 10 years after the discovery of a discharge at the site and has failed to complete the remedial investigation of the entire contaminated site within five years after the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.).
- As used in this subsection, "enforcement action" means an administrative order, a notice of civil administrative penalty, or a court order.
- b. The department may undertake direct oversight of a remediation of a contaminated site under the following conditions:
- (1) the contamination at the site includes chromate chemical production waste;
- (2) the department determines that more than one environmentally sensitive natural resource has been injured by contamination from the site;
- 45 (3) the site has contributed to sediments contaminated by 46 polychlorinated biphenyl, mercury, arsenic, or dioxin in a surface 47 water body; or

(4) the site is ranked by the department in the category requiring the highest priority pursuant to the ranking system developed pursuant to section 2 of P.L.1982, c.202 (C.58:10-23.16).

- c. For any site subject to direct oversight by the department pursuant to this section:
- (1) the department shall review each document submitted by a licensed site remediation professional and shall approve or deny the submission;
- (2) a feasibility study shall be performed and submitted to the department for approval;
 - (3) the department shall select the remedial action for the site;
 - (4) the person responsible for conducting the remediation shall establish a remediation trust fund pursuant to section 25 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3) in the amount of the estimated cost of the remediation;
- (5) all disbursements of funds from the remediation trust fund shall require prior approval by the department;
- (6) all submissions prepared by the licensed site remediation professional concerning the remediation required by the department shall be provided simultaneously to the department and the person responsible for conducting the remediation; and
- (7) the person responsible for conducting the remediation shall implement a public participation plan approved by the department to solicit public comment from the members of the surrounding community concerning the remediation of the site.
- d. The department shall issue guidelines establishing specific criteria for the conditions under which a site may be subject to direct oversight pursuant to subsection b. of this section.
- e. (1) Any oversight procedure, remedy, or other obligation in P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.) shall not affect a remediation conducted pursuant to and in compliance with a settlement of litigation to which the department is a party if the settlement (a) occurred prior to the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.), or (b) is a settlement of litigation pending on the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.).
- (2) For any litigation pending or settled on the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.), concerning a remediation performed pursuant to the "Resource Conservation and Recovery Act," 42 U.S.C. s.6921 et seq., nothing in P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.) shall affect an oversight procedure, remedy, or other obligation imposed by a federal administrative order or federal court order.

43 (cf: P.L.2009, c.60, s.27)

3. This act shall take effect immediately.

A4543 SPENCER, SCHEPISI

STATEMENT

1 2

8

25

3 This bill would allow the Department of Environmental Protection to grant an extension of time for the completion of a 4 5 remedial investigation to a person responsible for conducting a remediation of a contaminated site under certain circumstances. 6 7 Current law requires the department to assume direct oversight of a cleanup if the person responsible for conducting a remediation has, 9 prior to the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et 10 seq.), (i.e., May 7, 2009), failed to complete the remedial 11 investigation of the site 10 years after the date of discovery of a 12 discharge at the site and has failed to complete the remedial 13 investigation of the site within five years after May 7, 2009. This 14 bill would authorize the department, for good cause, to allow up to 15 an additional two years for the completion of the remedial 16 investigation of a site prior to the department undertaking oversight 17 of the remediation. The bill requires an application for an extension to be submitted no later than March 7, 2014 and provides the 18 19 department 45 days to notify the applicant of its decision on 20 whether to allow the extension of time for completion of the 21 remedial investigation and the amount of additional time allowed. 22 Under the bill, the department is required to provide notice in the 23 New Jersey Register of any extension granted, and include in the 24 published notice, the name and location of the site for which the extension is granted, the rationale for the extension, and the length 26 of the extension.

ASSEMBLY AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 4543

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: DECEMBER 16, 2013

The Assembly Agriculture and Natural Resources Committee reports favorably Assembly Bill No. 4543.

This bill would allow the Department of Environmental Protection to grant an extension of time for the completion of a remedial investigation to a person responsible for conducting a remediation of a contaminated site under certain circumstances. Current law requires the department to assume direct oversight of a cleanup if the person responsible for conducting a remediation has, prior to the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et seq.) (i.e., May 7, 2009), failed to complete the remedial investigation of the site 10 years after the date of discovery of a discharge at the site and has failed to complete the remedial investigation of the site within five years after May 7, 2009. This bill would authorize the department, for good cause, to allow up to an additional two years for the completion of the remedial investigation of a site prior to the department undertaking oversight of the remediation. The bill requires an application for an extension to be submitted no later than March 7, 2014 and provides the department 45 days to notify the applicant of its decision on whether to allow the extension of time for completion of the remedial investigation and the amount of additional time allowed. Under the bill, the department is required to provide notice in the New Jersey Register of any extension granted, and include in the published notice, the name and location of the site for which the extension is granted, the rationale for the extension, and the length of the extension.

ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE FOR ASSEMBLY, No. 4543

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

215th LEGISLATURE

ADOPTED JANUARY 6, 2014

Sponsored by:

Assemblywoman L. GRACE SPENCER District 29 (Essex) Assemblywoman HOLLY SCHEPISI District 39 (Bergen and Passaic)

Co-Sponsored by:

Assemblywoman Handlin, Assemblyman Space, Assemblywoman McHose, Senators B.Smith, Bateman, Whelan, Beck and Oroho

SYNOPSIS

Authorizes extension of time for completion of remedial investigation of certain contaminated sites prior to DEP undertaking direct oversight.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

Substitute as adopted by the General Assembly



(Sponsorship Updated As Of: 1/14/2014)

AN ACT concerning oversight of contaminated sites, and amending and supplementing P.L.2009, c.60.

2 3 4

1

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

5 6

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

- 7 1. (New section) a. Except as provided in subsection b. or c. 8 of this section, for any site subject to the provisions of paragraph 9 (3) of subsection a. of section 27 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-27), 10 upon application by a person responsible for conducting the remediation, an extension of time until May 7, 2016 shall be 11 12 provided to allow for the completion of the remedial investigation 13 prior to the department taking direct oversight provided that the 14 applicant continues to comply with the conditions imposed pursuant 15 to this subsection. The applicant shall certify, in a document 16 submitted electronically by the licensed site remediation 17 professional retained by the applicant, that the following conditions 18 have been met:
 - (1) a licensed site remediation professional has been retained to conduct a remediation of the site;
 - (2) any remediation requirements included in mandatory remediation timeframes adopted pursuant to section 28 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-28), for the site have been met at the time of the certification;
 - (3) technically complete submissions have been made in compliance with all rules and regulations for site remediation, as applicable, for the (a) initial receptor evaluation, (b) immediate environmental concern source control report, (c) light non-aqueous phase liquid interim remedial measure report, (d) preliminary assessment report, and (e) site investigation report;
 - (4) a remediation funding source has been established, if required of the applicant by section 25 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3);
 - (5) if a remediation funding source is not required to be established by the applicant pursuant to law, then a remediation trust fund for the estimated cost of the remedial investigation has been established pursuant to the standards established in section 25 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3);
- 39 (6) any oversight costs imposed by the department, known at the 40 time of the application, and not in dispute on the date of enactment 41 of P.L., c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), 42 have been paid to the department; and
- 43 (7) the annual fees imposed by the department for the 44 remediation and remediation funding source surcharges imposed

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

pursuant to section 33 of P.L.1993, c.39 (C.58:10B-11) have been paid to the department, as applicable.

An application pursuant to this subsection shall be submitted to the department by March 7, 2014 or 30 days after the date of enactment of P.L. , c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), whichever is later.

b. For any site subject to the provisions of paragraph (3) of subsection a. of section 27 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-27), if the failure to complete the remedial investigation of the contaminated site is due to a delay in the provision of State financial assistance for the remediation from the Hazardous Discharge Site Remediation Fund, upon application by a person responsible for conducting the remediation, an extension of time shall be provided to allow for the completion of the remedial investigation prior to the department taking direct oversight, except as provided in subsection c. of this section. The applicant shall submit to the department a certification that the person responsible for conducting the remediation filed a technically and administratively complete application for funding prior to March 7, 2014 or 30 days after the date of enactment of , c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), whichever is later, qualifies for funding, and remains eligible for funding. Every six months after the submission of the application for the extension of time pursuant to this subsection, the applicant shall submit to the department a certification with an update on the status of the funding application.

The extension of time for the completion of a remedial investigation of a contaminated site prior to the department taking direct oversight of the remediation pursuant to this subsection shall be no more than two years after receipt of funding, or no more than two years after the applicant is no longer eligible for funding.

An application for an extension of time pursuant to this subsection shall be submitted to the department by March 7, 2014 or 30 days after the date of enactment of P.L. , c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), whichever is later.

c. An application submitted pursuant to subsection a. or b. of this section shall be deemed approved upon receipt by the department. The department may undertake direct oversight of a remediation if, at any time during the extension of time: (1) the conditions imposed pursuant to subsection a. or b. of this section, as the case may be, are no longer met; or (2) the person responsible for conducting the remediation fails to meet a mandatory remediation timeframe after submission of the certification submitted pursuant to this section. The department shall so notify the person responsible for conducting the remediation, in writing, that the extension of time for completion of the remedial investigation is revoked because of the applicant's failure to continue to comply with the conditions required, or the applicant's failure to submit one

or more of the certifications required pursuant to subsection a. or b. of this section, or that the information included in a certification is incomplete, incorrect, false, or otherwise deficient.

d. The department shall provide notice on its internet website of any extensions provided pursuant to this section. In the notice, the department shall provide the name and location of the site for which the extension is provided and the length of the extension of time.

- 2. Section 27 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-27) is amended to read as follows:
- 27. a. [The] Except as provided in section 1 of P.L., c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), the department shall undertake direct oversight of a remediation of a contaminated site under the following conditions:
- (1) the person responsible for conducting the remediation has a history of noncompliance with the laws concerning remediation, or any rule or regulation adopted pursuant thereto, that includes the issuance of at least two enforcement actions after the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.) during any five-year period concerning a remediation;
- (2) the person responsible for conducting the remediation at a contaminated site has failed to meet a mandatory remediation timeframe or an expedited site specific timeframe adopted by the department pursuant to section 28 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-28), including any extension thereof granted by the department, or a schedule established pursuant to an administrative order or court order; or
- (3) unless a longer period has been ordered by a court, the person responsible for conducting the remediation has, prior to the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.), failed to complete the remedial investigation of the entire contaminated site 10 years after the discovery of a discharge at the site and has failed to complete the remedial investigation of the entire contaminated site within five years after the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.).
- As used in this subsection, "enforcement action" means an administrative order, a notice of civil administrative penalty, or a court order.
 - b. The department may undertake direct oversight of a remediation of a contaminated site under the following conditions:
- (1) the contamination at the site includes chromate chemical production waste;
- 44 (2) the department determines that more than one 45 environmentally sensitive natural resource has been injured by 46 contamination from the site;

1 (3) the site has contributed to sediments contaminated by 2 polychlorinated biphenyl, mercury, arsenic, or dioxin in a surface 3 water body; or

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

3132

33

34

35

36

37

- (4) the site is ranked by the department in the category requiring the highest priority pursuant to the ranking system developed pursuant to section 2 of P.L.1982, c.202 (C.58:10-23.16).
- c. For any site subject to direct oversight by the department pursuant to this section:
- (1) the department shall review each document submitted by a licensed site remediation professional and shall approve or deny the submission;
- (2) a feasibility study shall be performed and submitted to the department for approval;
 - (3) the department shall select the remedial action for the site;
 - (4) the person responsible for conducting the remediation shall establish a remediation trust fund pursuant to section 25 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3) in the amount of the estimated cost of the remediation;
 - (5) all disbursements of funds from the remediation trust fund shall require prior approval by the department;
 - (6) all submissions prepared by the licensed site remediation professional concerning the remediation required by the department shall be provided simultaneously to the department and the person responsible for conducting the remediation; and
 - (7) the person responsible for conducting the remediation shall implement a public participation plan approved by the department to solicit public comment from the members of the surrounding community concerning the remediation of the site.
 - d. The department shall issue guidelines establishing specific criteria for the conditions under which a site may be subject to direct oversight pursuant to subsection b. of this section.
 - e. (1) Any oversight procedure, remedy, or other obligation in P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.) shall not affect a remediation conducted pursuant to and in compliance with a settlement of litigation to which the department is a party if the settlement (a) occurred prior to the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.), or (b) is a settlement of litigation pending on the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.).
- 39 (2) For any litigation pending or settled on the date of enactment 40 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.), concerning a remediation 41 performed pursuant to the "Resource Conservation and Recovery 42 Act," 42 U.S.C. s.6921 et seq., nothing in P.L.2009, c.60 43 (C.58:10C-1 et al.) shall affect an oversight procedure, remedy, or 44 other obligation imposed by a federal administrative order or 45 federal court order.
- 46 (cf: P.L.2009, c.60, s.27)

3. This act shall take effect immediately.

234

1

STATEMENT

5 6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

This floor substitute would allow an extension of time for the completion of a remedial investigation to a person responsible for conducting a remediation of a contaminated site under certain circumstances. Current law requires the Department of Environmental Protection to assume direct oversight of a cleanup if the person responsible for conducting a remediation has, prior to the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et seq.) (i.e., May 7, 2009), failed to complete the remedial investigation of the site within 10 years after the date of discovery of a discharge at the site and has failed to complete the remedial investigation of the site within five years after May 7, 2009. This bill would authorize additional time for the completion of the remedial investigation of a site prior to the department undertaking oversight of the remediation under certain circumstances.

First, an additional two years for the completion of the remedial investigation of a site prior to the department undertaking oversight of the remediation, until May 7, 2016, would be authorized if the person responsible for conducting the remediation certifies, in a document submitted electronically by the licensed site remediation professional retained by the applicant, that certain conditions have been met regarding the remediation. The applicant must certify that: (1) a licensed site remediation professional has been retained to conduct a remediation of the site; (2) any remediation requirements included in mandatory remediation timeframes adopted pursuant to section 28 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-28), for the site have been met at the time of the certification; (3) technically complete submissions have been made in compliance with all rules and regulations for site remediation, as applicable, for the initial receptor evaluation, immediate environmental concern source control report, light non-aqueous phase liquid interim remedial measure report, preliminary assessment report, and site investigation report; (4) a remediation funding source has been established, if required of the applicant by section 25 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3); (5) if a remediation funding source is not required to be established by the applicant pursuant to law, then a remediation trust fund for the estimated cost of the remedial investigation has been established pursuant to the standards established in section 25 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3); (6) any oversight costs imposed by the department, known at the time of the application, and not in dispute on the date of enactment of the bill into law, have been paid to the department; and (7) the annual fees imposed by the department for the remediation and remediation

funding source surcharges imposed pursuant to section 33 of P.L.1993, c.39 (C.58:10B-11) have been paid to the department, as applicable.

The floor substitute also allows additional time for the completion of the remedial investigation of a site prior to the department undertaking oversight of the remediation, due to a delay in the provision of State financial assistance for the remediation from the Hazardous Discharge Site Remediation Fund. The floor substitute would allow an extension of two years after receipt of funding, or no more than two years after the applicant is no longer eligible for funding.

The floor substitute requires an application for an extension to be submitted to the department by March 7, 2014 or 30 days after the date of enactment of the bill into law, whichever is later.

The floor substitute would provide that, at any time during the extension, the department may undertake direct oversight of a remediation if the conditions imposed under the bill are no longer met, or the person responsible for conducting the remediation fails to meet a mandatory remediation timeframe.

Finally, the floor substitute would require the department to provide notice on its website of any extension, and include the name and location of the site for which the extension is granted, and the length of the extension of time.

SENATE, No. 3075

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

215th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED NOVEMBER 18, 2013

Sponsored by:

Senator BOB SMITH

District 17 (Middlesex and Somerset)

Senator CHRISTOPHER "KIP" BATEMAN

District 16 (Hunterdon, Mercer, Middlesex and Somerset)

SYNOPSIS

Authorizes DEP to extend time for remedial investigation of certain contaminated sites prior to undertaking direct oversight.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



AN ACT concerning oversight of contaminated sites, and amending 2 and supplementing P.L.2009, c.60.

3 4

1

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

- 1. (New section) a. For any site subject to the provisions of paragraph (3) of subsection a. of section 27 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-27), upon application by a person responsible for conducting the remediation, the department may grant an extension of time pursuant to the provisions of subsection b. of this section to allow for the completion of the remedial investigation prior to the department taking direct oversight. The applicant shall submit:
- (1) a certification that a licensed site remediation professional was retained to conduct a remediation of the site prior to November
- (2) a completed receptor evaluation for the site with a demonstration satisfactory to the department that any immediate environmental concerns identified at the site were addressed in accordance with the technical regulations for site remediation adopted by the department;
- (3) a summary of all remedial investigation activities conducted at the site;
- (4) a description of additional work necessary for the completion of the remedial investigation;
- (5) an explanation of the reason for the inability to complete the remedial investigation within the statutory timeframes; and
 - (6) a schedule for the completion of the remedial investigation.
- An application pursuant to this subsection shall be submitted no later than March 7, 2014.
- (1) Upon submission of a technically and administratively complete application pursuant to subsection a. of this section, the department may grant an extension of time of up to two years for the completion of the remedial investigation upon a finding that there is good cause for the extension.
- (2) The department shall notify the applicant, in writing, no later than 45 days after submission of a technically and administratively complete application, of its decision concerning the application and, if an extension of time for completion of the remedial investigation is authorized, the length of the extension.
- (3) The department shall provide notice in the New Jersey Register of any extension granted pursuant to this section. In the notice, the department shall provide the name and location of the site for which the extension is granted, the rationale for the extension, and the length of the extension.

EXPLANATION - Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

(4) If the person responsible for conducting the remediation of the contaminated site fails to complete the remedial investigation within the additional time provided pursuant to this section, the department shall undertake direct oversight of the remediation as provided in subsection c. of section 27 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-27).

- 2. Section 27 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-27) is amended to read as follows:
- 27. a. [The] Except as provided in section 1 of P.L., c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), the department shall undertake direct oversight of a remediation of a contaminated site under the following conditions:
- (1) the person responsible for conducting the remediation has a history of noncompliance with the laws concerning remediation, or any rule or regulation adopted pursuant thereto, that includes the issuance of at least two enforcement actions after the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.) during any five-year period concerning a remediation;
- (2) the person responsible for conducting the remediation at a contaminated site has failed to meet a mandatory remediation timeframe or an expedited site specific timeframe adopted by the department pursuant to section 28 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-28), including any extension thereof granted by the department, or a schedule established pursuant to an administrative order or court order; or
- (3) unless a longer period has been ordered by a court, the person responsible for conducting the remediation has, prior to the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.), failed to complete the remedial investigation of the entire contaminated site 10 years after the discovery of a discharge at the site and has failed to complete the remedial investigation of the entire contaminated site within five years after the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.).
- As used in this subsection, "enforcement action" means an administrative order, a notice of civil administrative penalty, or a court order.
- b. The department may undertake direct oversight of a remediation of a contaminated site under the following conditions:
- (1) the contamination at the site includes chromate chemical production waste;
- (2) the department determines that more than one environmentally sensitive natural resource has been injured by contamination from the site;
- 45 (3) the site has contributed to sediments contaminated by 46 polychlorinated biphenyl, mercury, arsenic, or dioxin in a surface 47 water body; or

(4) the site is ranked by the department in the category requiring the highest priority pursuant to the ranking system developed pursuant to section 2 of P.L.1982, c.202 (C.58:10-23.16).

- c. For any site subject to direct oversight by the department pursuant to this section:
- (1) the department shall review each document submitted by a licensed site remediation professional and shall approve or deny the submission;
- (2) a feasibility study shall be performed and submitted to the department for approval;
 - (3) the department shall select the remedial action for the site;
- (4) the person responsible for conducting the remediation shall establish a remediation trust fund pursuant to section 25 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3) in the amount of the estimated cost of the remediation;
- (5) all disbursements of funds from the remediation trust fund shall require prior approval by the department;
- (6) all submissions prepared by the licensed site remediation professional concerning the remediation required by the department shall be provided simultaneously to the department and the person responsible for conducting the remediation; and
- (7) the person responsible for conducting the remediation shall implement a public participation plan approved by the department to solicit public comment from the members of the surrounding community concerning the remediation of the site.
- d. The department shall issue guidelines establishing specific criteria for the conditions under which a site may be subject to direct oversight pursuant to subsection b. of this section.
- e. (1) Any oversight procedure, remedy, or other obligation in P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.) shall not affect a remediation conducted pursuant to and in compliance with a settlement of litigation to which the department is a party if the settlement (a) occurred prior to the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.), or (b) is a settlement of litigation pending on the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.).
- (2) For any litigation pending or settled on the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.), concerning a remediation performed pursuant to the "Resource Conservation and Recovery Act," 42 U.S.C. s.6921 et seq., nothing in P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et al.) shall affect an oversight procedure, remedy, or other obligation imposed by a federal administrative order or federal court order.
- 43 (cf: P.L.2009, c.60, s.27)

3. This act shall take effect immediately.

S3075 B.SMITH, BATEMAN

.

STATEMENT

1 2 3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

This bill would allow the Department of Environmental Protection to grant an extension of time for the completion of a remedial investigation to a person responsible for conducting a remediation of a contaminated site under certain circumstances. Current law requires the department to assume direct oversight of a cleanup if the person responsible for conducting a remediation has, prior to the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et seq.), (i.e., May 7, 2009), failed to complete the remedial investigation of the site 10 years after the date of discovery of a discharge at the site and has failed to complete the remedial investigation of the site within five years after May 7, 2009. This bill would authorize the department, for good cause, to allow up to an additional two years for the completion of the remedial investigation of a site prior to the department undertaking oversight of the remediation. The bill requires an application for an extension to be submitted no later than March 7, 2014 and provides the department 45 days to notify the applicant of its decision on whether to allow the extension of time for completion of the remedial investigation and the amount of additional time allowed. Under the bill, the department is required to provide notice in the New Jersey Register of any extension granted, and include in the published notice, the name and location of the site for which the extension is granted, the rationale for the extension, and the length of the extension.

SENATE ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR SENATE, No. 3075

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: DECEMBER 19, 2013

The Senate Environment and Energy Committee favorably reports a committee substitute for Senate Bill No. 3075.

This committee substitute would allow an extension of time for the completion of a remedial investigation to a person responsible for conducting a remediation of a contaminated site under certain circumstances. Current law requires the Department of Environmental Protection to assume direct oversight of a cleanup if the person responsible for conducting a remediation has, prior to the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et seq.) (i.e., May 7, 2009), failed to complete the remedial investigation of the site within 10 years after the date of discovery of a discharge at the site and has failed to complete the remedial investigation of the site within five years after May 7, 2009. This bill would authorize additional time for the completion of the remedial investigation of a site prior to the department undertaking oversight of the remediation under certain circumstances.

First, an additional two years for the completion of the remedial investigation of a site prior to the department undertaking oversight of the remediation, until May 7, 2016, would be authorized if the person responsible for conducting the remediation certifies, in a document submitted electronically by the licensed site remediation professional retained by the applicant, that certain conditions have been met regarding the remediation. The applicant must certify that: (1) a licensed site remediation professional has been retained to conduct a remediation of the site; (2) any remediation requirements included in mandatory remediation timeframes adopted pursuant to section 28 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-28), for the site have been met at the time of the certification; (3) technically complete submissions have been made in compliance with all rules and regulations for site remediation, as applicable, for the initial receptor evaluation, environmental concern source control report, light non-aqueous phase liquid interim remedial measure report, preliminary assessment report, and site investigation report; (4) a remediation funding source has been established, if required of the applicant by section 25 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3); (5) if a remediation funding source is

not required to be established by the applicant pursuant to law, then a remediation trust fund for the estimated cost of the remedial investigation has been established pursuant to the standards established in section 25 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3); (6) any oversight costs imposed by the department, known at the time of the application, and not in dispute on the date of enactment of the bill into law, have been paid to the department; and (7) the annual fees imposed by the department for the remediation and remediation funding source surcharges imposed pursuant to section 33 of P.L.1993, c.39 (C.58:10B-11) have been paid to the department, as applicable.

The committee substitute also allows additional time for the completion of the remedial investigation of a site prior to the department undertaking oversight of the remediation, due to a delay in the provision of State financial assistance for the remediation from the Hazardous Discharge Site Remediation Fund. The committee substitute requires the person responsible for conducting the remediation to submit a certification that a technically and administratively complete application for funding has been filed prior to March 7, 2014, or 30 days after the date of enactment of the bill into law, the applicant qualifies for funding, and the applicant remains eligible for funding. The committee substitute would allow an extension of two years after receipt of funding, or no more than two years after the applicant is no longer eligible for funding.

The committee substitute requires an application for an extension to be submitted to the department by March 7, 2014 or 30 days after the date of enactment of the bill into law, whichever is later. Under the committee substitute, an extension of time is deemed approved upon receipt of the application by the department.

The committee substitute would provide that, at any time during the extension, the department may undertake direct oversight of a remediation if the conditions imposed under the bill are no longer met, or the person responsible for conducting the remediation fails to meet a mandatory remediation timeframe. The department must notify the person responsible for conducting the remediation, in writing, that the extension of time for conducting the remediation is revoked because the applicant failed to comply with the required conditions, the applicant failed to submit one or more of the certifications required, or the information included in a certification is incomplete, incorrect, false, or otherwise deficient.

The committee substitute would also require the department to provide notice on its website of any extension, and include the name and location of the site for which the extension is granted, and the length of the extension of time.

SENATE BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR SENATE, No. 3075

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JANUARY 6, 2014

The Senate Budget and Appropriations Committee reports favorably Senate Bill No. 3075 (SCS).

This bill would allow an extension of time for the completion of a remedial investigation to a person responsible for conducting a remediation of a contaminated site under certain circumstances. Current law requires the Department of Environmental Protection to assume direct oversight of a cleanup if the person responsible for conducting a remediation has, prior to the date of enactment of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-1 et seq.) (i.e., May 7, 2009), failed to complete the remedial investigation of the site within 10 years after the date of discovery of a discharge at the site and has failed to complete the remedial investigation of the site within five years after May 7, 2009. This bill would authorize additional time for the completion of the remedial investigation of a site prior to the department undertaking oversight of the remediation under certain circumstances.

First, an additional two years for the completion of the remedial investigation of a site prior to the department undertaking oversight of the remediation, until May 7, 2016, would be authorized if the person responsible for conducting the remediation certifies, in a document submitted electronically by the licensed site remediation professional retained by the applicant, that certain conditions have been met regarding the remediation. The applicant must certify that: (1) a licensed site remediation professional has been retained to conduct a remediation of the site; (2) any remediation requirements included in mandatory remediation timeframes adopted pursuant to section 28 of P.L.2009, c.60 (C.58:10C-28), for the site have been met at the time of the certification; (3) technically complete submissions have been made in compliance with all rules and regulations for site remediation, as applicable, for the initial receptor evaluation, environmental concern source control report, light non-aqueous phase liquid interim remedial measure report, preliminary assessment report, and site investigation report; (4) a remediation funding source has been established, if required of the applicant by section 25 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3); (5) if a remediation funding source is

not required to be established by the applicant pursuant to law, then a remediation trust fund for the estimated cost of the remedial investigation has been established pursuant to the standards established in section 25 of P.L.1993, c.139 (C.58:10B-3); (6) any oversight costs imposed by the department, known at the time of the application, and not in dispute on the date of enactment of the bill into law, have been paid to the department; and (7) the annual fees imposed by the department for the remediation and remediation funding source surcharges imposed pursuant to section 33 of P.L.1993, c.39 (C.58:10B-11) have been paid to the department, as applicable.

The substitute also allows additional time for the completion of the remedial investigation of a site prior to the department undertaking oversight of the remediation, due to a delay in the provision of State financial assistance for the remediation from the Hazardous Discharge Site Remediation Fund. The substitute requires the person responsible for conducting the remediation to submit a certification that a technically and administratively complete application for funding has been filed prior to March 7, 2014, or 30 days after the date of enactment of the bill into law, the applicant qualifies for funding, and the applicant remains eligible for funding. The substitute would allow an extension of two years after receipt of funding, or no more than two years after the applicant is no longer eligible for funding.

The substitute requires an application for an extension to be submitted to the department by March 7, 2014 or 30 days after the date of enactment of the bill into law, whichever is later. Under the substitute, an extension of time is deemed approved upon receipt of the application by the department.

The substitute would provide that, at any time during the extension, the department may undertake direct oversight of a remediation if the conditions imposed under the bill are no longer met, or the person responsible for conducting the remediation fails to meet a mandatory remediation timeframe. The department must notify the person responsible for conducting the remediation, in writing, that the extension of time for conducting the remediation is revoked because the applicant failed to comply with the required conditions, the applicant failed to submit one or more of the certifications required, or the information included in a certification is incomplete, incorrect, false, or otherwise deficient.

The substitute would also require the department to provide notice on its website of any extension, and include the name and location of the site for which the extension is granted, and the length of the extension of time.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The substitute bill is not certified as requiring a fiscal note.