52:14B-9.1

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST

Compiled by the NJ State Law Library

LAWS OF: 2013 CHAPTER: 236

NJSA: 52:14B-9.1 (Modifies process for contested case hearings by OAL with regard to telephone and video

conferences, delegation of final decision authority, oral decisions, checklist decisions,

electronic filings, and settlements)

BILL NO: A1521 (Substituted for S2555)

SPONSOR(S) Burzichelli and others

DATE INTRODUCED: January 10, 2012

COMMITTEE: ASSEMBLY: Regulatory Oversight and Gaming

SENATE: State Government, Wagering, Tourism & Historic Preservation

AMENDED DURING PASSAGE: Yes

DATE OF PASSAGE: ASSEMBLY: January 13, 2014

SENATE: January 13, 2014

DATE OF APPROVAL: January 17, 2014

FOLLOWING ARE ATTACHED IF AVAILABLE:

FINAL TEXT OF BILL (Third reprint enacted)

Yes

A1521

SPONSOR'S STATEMENT: (Begins on page 10 of introduced bill)

Yes

COMMITTEE STATEMENT: ASSEMBLY: Yes

SENATE: Yes

(Audio archived recordings of the committee meetings, corresponding to the date of the committee statement, *may possibly* be found at www.njleg.state.nj.us)

FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT: Yes 10-18-12

1-9-14

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL NOTE: No

S2555

SPONSOR'S STATEMENT: (Begins on page 9 of introduced bill)

Yes

COMMITTEE STATEMENT: ASSEMBLY: No

SENATE: Yes

FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT: Yes

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE: No

(continued)

	GOVERNOR'S PRESS RELEASE ON SIGNING:	No
FOLLO	OWING WERE PRINTED: To check for circulating copies, contact New Jersey State Government Publications at the State Library (609) 278-2640 ext.103 or mailto:refdesk@njstateli	ib.org
	REPORTS:	No
	HEARINGS:	No
	NEWSPAPER ARTICLES:	No
	OTHER:	Yes

No

Findings and recommendations / New Jersey Red Tape Review Group By New Jersey. Red Tape Review Group, [Trenton, N.J.: New Jersey Office of the Governor, 2010] call number: 974.90 L415 2010 http://hdl.handle.net/10929/15824

VETO MESSAGE:

LAW/RWH

P.L.2013, CHAPTER 236, approved January 17, 2014 Assembly, No. 1521 (Third Reprint)

AN ACT concerning contested case hearings by the Office of Administrative Law and amending P.L.1978, c.67, and amending and supplementing P.L.1968, c.410.

4 5

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

6 7 8

9

10

11

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

3132

- 1. Section 9 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-9) is amended to read as follows:
- 9. (a) In a contested case, all parties shall be afforded an opportunity for hearing after reasonable notice.
- 12 (b) The notice shall include in addition to such other 13 information as may be deemed appropriate:
 - (1) A statement of the time, place, and nature of the hearing;
 - (2) A statement of the legal authority and jurisdiction under which the hearing is to be held;
 - (3) A reference to the particular sections of the statutes and rules involved;
 - (4) A short and plain statement of the matters asserted. If the agency or other party is unable to state the matters in detail at the time the notice is served, the initial notice may be limited to a statement of the issues involved. Thereafter upon application a more definite and detailed statement shall be furnished.
 - (c) Opportunity shall be afforded all parties to respond, appear and present evidence and argument on all issues involved.
 - <u>Pre-hearing conferences may be conducted, as prescribed by the director.</u>
 - Witnesses may be permitted to testify, and motions may be considered, by means of a telephone or video conference call, as prescribed by the director and when the judge finds there is good cause for permitting the witness to testify by telephone or video conference.
- 33 (d) Unless precluded by law, informal disposition may be made 34 of any contested case by stipulation, agreed settlement, or consent 35 order.
- (e) Oral proceedings or any part thereof shall be transcribed onrequest of any party at the expense of such party.

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

Matter underlined thus is new matter.

Matter enclosed in superscript numerals has been adopted as follows:

¹Assembly floor amendments adopted October 18, 2012.

²Senate SSG committee amendments adopted December 12, 2013.

³Senate floor amendments adopted January 9, 2014.

- (f) Findings of fact shall be based exclusively on the evidence 2 and on matters officially noticed.
 - (g) Unless otherwise provided by any law, agencies may place on any party the responsibility of requesting a hearing if the agency notifies him in writing of his right to a hearing and of his responsibility to request the hearing.

(cf: P.L.1968, c.410, s.9)

7 8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

1

3

4

5

- 2. Section 10 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-10) is amended to read as follows:
 - 10. In $\frac{a^2}{a}$ contested $\frac{a^2}{a}$ cases $\frac{a^2}{a}$:
- (a) $^{2}(1)^{2}$ The parties shall not be bound by rules of evidence whether statutory, common law, or adopted formally by the Rules of Court. All relevant evidence is admissible, except as otherwise provided herein. The administrative law judge may 2,2 in his discretion ², ² exclude any evidence if he finds that its probative value is substantially outweighed by the risk that its admission will either ²[(i)]² necessitate undue consumption of time or ²[(ii)]² create substantial danger of undue prejudice or confusion. The administrative law judge shall give effect to the rules of privilege recognized by law. Any party in a contested case may present his case or defense by oral and documentary evidence, submit rebuttal evidence and conduct such cross-examination as may be required, in the discretion of the administrative law judge, for a full and true disclosure of the facts.
- ²(2) Where the case involves a permitting or licensing decision of the Department of Environmental Protection, the department shall be required to produce and certify a permitting record within 30 days after the filing of the contested case. This deadline may be extended by an administrative law judge upon the unanimous agreement of the parties. The production and certification of the department's permitting record, in accordance with this paragraph, shall not limit the ability of the parties to further supplement the record.2
- (b) Notice may be taken of judicially noticeable facts. addition, notice may be taken of generally recognized technical or scientific facts within the specialized knowledge of the agency or administrative law judge. Parties shall be notified either before or during the hearing, or by reference in preliminary reports or otherwise, of the material noticed, including any staff memoranda or data, and they shall be afforded an opportunity to contest the material so noticed. The experience, technical competence, and specialized knowledge of the agency or administrative law judge may be utilized in the evaluation of the evidence, provided this is disclosed of record.
- (c) All hearings of a State agency required to be conducted as a contested case under this act or any other law shall be conducted by

1 an administrative law judge assigned by the Director and Chief 2 Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Law, 3 except as provided by this amendatory and supplementary act. A 4 recommended report and decision which contains recommended 5 findings of fact and conclusions of law and which shall be based 6 upon sufficient, competent, and credible evidence shall be filed, not 7 later than 45 days after the hearing is concluded, with the agency in 8 such form that it may be adopted as the decision in the case and 9 delivered or mailed, to the parties of record with an indication of 10 the date of receipt by the agency head; and an opportunity shall be 11 afforded each party of record to file exceptions, objections, and 12 replies thereto, and to present argument to the head of the agency or 13 a majority thereof, either orally or in writing, as the agency may 14

Unless the head of the agency or a party requests that the recommended report and decision be filed in writing, the recommended report and decision of the administrative law judge may be filed orally in such appropriate cases as prescribed by the director and if a transcript has been requested pursuant to subsection (e) of section 9 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-9).

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

An administrative law judge may file a recommended report and decision in the form of a checklist in such appropriate cases and formats as prescribed by the director after consultation with each State agency.

The head of the agency, upon a review of the record submitted by the administrative law judge, shall adopt, reject or modify the recommended report and decision no later than 45 days after receipt of such recommendations. In reviewing the decision of an administrative law judge, the agency head may reject or modify findings of fact, conclusions of law or interpretations of agency policy in the decision, but shall state clearly the reasons for doing so. The agency head may not reject or modify any findings of fact as to issues of credibility of lay witness testimony unless it is first determined from a review of the record that the findings are arbitrary, capricious or unreasonable or are not supported by sufficient, competent, and credible evidence in the record. rejecting or modifying any findings of fact, the agency head shall state with particularity the reasons for rejecting the findings and shall make new or modified findings supported by sufficient, competent, and credible evidence in the record. Unless the head of the agency modifies or rejects the report within such period, the decision of the administrative law judge shall be deemed adopted as the final decision of the head of the agency. The recommended report and decision shall be a part of the record in the case. For good cause shown, upon certification by the director and the agency head, the time limits established herein may be subject to ¹a single ¹ extension ¹ of not more than 45 days. Any additional extension of

time shall be subject to, and contingent upon, the unanimous agreement of the parties¹.

(d) A final decision or order adverse to a party in a contested case shall be in writing or stated in the record. A final decision shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law, separately stated and shall be based only upon the evidence of record at the hearing, as such evidence may be established by rules of evidence and procedure promulgated by the director.

Findings of fact, if set forth in statutory language, shall be accompanied by a concise and explicit statement of the underlying facts supporting the findings. The final decision may incorporate by reference any or all of the recommendations of the administrative law judge. Parties shall be notified either personally or by mail of any decision or order. Upon request a copy of the decision or order shall be delivered or mailed forthwith by registered or certified mail to each party and to his attorney of record.

- (e) Except where otherwise provided by law, the administrative adjudication of the agency shall be effective on the date of delivery or on the date of mailing, of the final decision to the parties of record whichever shall occur first, or shall be effective on any date after the date of delivery or mailing, as the agency may provide by general rule or by order in the case. The date of delivery or mailing shall be stamped on the face of the decision.
- (f) The head of an agency may order that, in certain appropriate cases, the recommended report and decision of the administrative law judge shall be deemed adopted, immediately on filing thereof with the agency, as the final decision of the ²head of the ² agency ²[head]². The appropriate cases shall be described in a written order issued by the 2head of the 2 agency 2 [head] 2, filed with the director, and made available to the public as a government record. The order shall not include ²[(1)]² any contested case for which the ²head of the ² agency ²[head] ² is specifically required by State or federal law to review the recommended report and decision and adopt the final decision ²[, or (2) any contested cases specified in subsection (g) of this section 1². The head of the agency may revise or revoke an order, issued pursuant to this subsection, whenever it is deemed appropriate. The order shall apply to all appropriate contested cases commenced with the agency after the order's issuance and until the order is rescinded or modified. In such appropriate contested cases, the head of the agency shall not have the opportunity to reject or modify the administrative law judge's recommended report and decision pursuant to subsection (c) of this section and the final decision by the administrative law judge shall comply with the requirements of and shall be given the same effect as a final decision of the head of the agency pursuant to subsection (d) of this section.

- (g) ²[With regard to contested cases commenced with an agency 1 on or after the effective date of P.L., c. (pending before the 2 3 Legislature as this bill) that are described in this subsection, the 4 report and decision of the administrative law judge shall be the final 5 decision upon the filing thereof with the agency, notwithstanding 6 any other provision of State law to the contrary. In such contested 7 cases, the head of the agency shall not have the opportunity to reject 8 or modify the administrative law judge's report and decision 9 pursuant to subsection (c) of this section and the final decision by 10 the administrative law judge shall comply with the requirements of 11 and shall be given the same effect as a final decision of the head of 12 the agency pursuant to subsection (d) of this section. Any reference in statutes, orders, documents, or other records to a final decision 13 14 by the head of an agency shall mean the decision rendered by the 15 administrative law judge as provided in this subsection. This 16 subsection shall apply to any contested case from:
 - (1) the Department of Community Affairs;
- 18 (2) the Department of Education;

28

38

- 19 (3) the Department of Environmental Protection;
- 20 (4) the Department of Children and Families involving 21 placement on a child abuse registry;
- 22 (5) the Department of Health ¹ [and Senior Services] ¹ involving 23 placement on the nurse aid registry, and penalty matters;
- 24 (6) the Division of Family Development in the Department of Human Services;
- (7) the Division of Civil Rights in the Department of Law and
 Public Safety;
 - (8) the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission;
- 29 (9) the Civil Service Commission; and
- 30 (10) the Department of Law and Public Safety under P.L.1988,
- 31 c.123 (C.56:12-29 et seq.).] Whenever the parties in a contested
- 32 case stipulate to the factual record, and agree that there are no
- 33 genuine issues of material fact to be adjudicated, the head of the
- 34 agency may, in his discretion, render a final agency decision on the
- 35 matter without obtaining the prior input of, or a recommended
- 36 report and decision from, an administrative law judge.²
- 37 (cf: P.L.2001, c.5, s.4)

39 3. Section 5 of P.L.1978, c.67 (C.52:14F-5) is amended to read 40 as follows:

- 5. The Director and Chief Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Law shall:
- a. Administer and cause the work of the office to be performed in such manner and pursuant to such program as may be required or appropriate;
- b. Organize and reorganize the office, and establish suchbureaus as may be required or appropriate;

- c. Except as otherwise provided in subsections l. and t., below, appoint, pursuant to the provisions of Title 11A of the New Jersey Statutes, such clerical assistants and other personnel as may be required for the conduct of the office;
 - d. Assign and reassign personnel to employment within the office:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

37

- e. Develop uniform standards, rules of evidence, and procedures, including but not limited to standards for determining whether a summary or plenary hearing should be held to regulate the conduct of contested cases and the rendering of administrative adjudications;
- f. Promulgate and enforce such rules for the prompt implementation and coordinated administration of the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.) as may be required or appropriate;
- Administer and supervise the procedures relating to the 16 17 conduct of contested cases and the making of administrative 18 adjudications, as defined by section 2 of P.L.1968, c.410 19 (C.52:14B-2), ³and ³ develop and implement an electronic filing system for the conduct of contested cases in such a manner and 20 21 within such a time period as deemed practicable within available resources ³[, and at the discretion of the director and the chief 22 23 administrative law judge, charge a filing fee for the development 24 and implementation of the electronic filing system in appropriate cases]3; 25
 - h. Advise agencies concerning their obligations under the Administrative Procedure Act, subject to the provisions of subsections b. and e. of section 4 of P.L.1944, c.20 (C.52:17A-4);
 - **[**I.**]** <u>i.</u> Assist agencies in the preparation, consideration, publication and interpretation of administrative rules required or appropriate pursuant to the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.);
- j. Employ the services of the several agencies and of the employees thereof in such manner and to such extent as may be agreed upon by the director and the chief executive officer of such agency;
 - k. Have access to information concerning the several agencies to assure that they properly promulgate all rules required by law;
- 1. Assign permanent administrative law judges at supervisory and other levels who are qualified in the field of administrative law or in subject matter relating to the hearing functions of a State agency.
- Administrative law judges shall receive such salaries as provided by section 4 of P.L.1978, c.67 (C.52:14F-4), as amended by P.L.1999, c.380, shall not engage in the practice of law and shall devote full time to their judicial duties.

Administrative law judges appointed after the effective date of this amendatory act shall have been attorneys-at-law of this State for a minimum of five years. An administrative law judge appointed prior to the effective date of this amendatory act shall not be required to be an attorney or, if an attorney, shall not be required to have been an attorney-at-law for five years in order to be reappointed;

1 2

- m. Appoint additional administrative law judges, qualified in the field of administrative law or in a subject matter relating to the hearing functions of a State agency, on a temporary or case basis as may be necessary during emergency or unusual situations for the proper performance of the duties of the office, pursuant to a reasonable fee schedule established in advance by the director. Administrative law judges appointed pursuant to this procedure shall have the same qualifications for appointment as permanent administrative law judges;
- n. Assign administrative law judges to conduct contested cases as required by sections 9 and 10 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-9 and 52:14B-10). Proceedings shall be scheduled for suitable locations, either at the offices of the Office of Administrative Law or elsewhere in the State, taking into consideration the convenience of the witnesses and parties, as well as the nature of the cases and proceedings;
- o. Assign an administrative law judge or other personnel, if so requested by the head of an agency and if the director deems appropriate, to any agency to conduct or assist in administrative duties and proceedings other than those related to contested cases or administrative adjudications, including but not limited to rule-making and investigative hearings;
- p. Assign an administrative law judge not engaged in the conduct of contested cases to perform other duties vested in or required of the office;
 - q. Secure, compile and maintain all reports of administrative law judges issued pursuant to this act, and such reference materials and supporting information as may be appropriate;
 - r. Develop and maintain a program for the continuing training and education of administrative law judges and agencies in regard to their responsibilities under this act;
- s. Develop and implement a program of judicial evaluation to aid himself in the performance of his duties, and to assist in the making of reappointments under section 4 of P.L.1978, c.67 (C.52:14F-4). This program of evaluation shall focus on three areas of judicial performance: competence, productivity, and demeanor. It shall include consideration of: industry and promptness in adhering to schedules, making rulings and rendering decisions; tolerance, courtesy, patience, attentiveness, and self-control in dealing with litigants, witnesses and counsel, and in presiding over

contested cases; legal skills and knowledge of the law and new legal 1 2 developments; analytical talents and writing abilities; settlement 3 skills; quantity, nature and quality of caseload disposition; 4 impartiality and conscientiousness. The director shall develop 5 standards and procedures for this program, which shall include 6 taking comments from selected litigants and lawyers who have 7 appeared before a judge. The methods used by the judge but not the 8 result arrived at by the judge in any case may be used in evaluating 9 a judge. Before implementing any action based on the findings of 10 the evaluation program, the director shall discuss the findings and 11 the proposed action with the affected judge. The evaluation by the 12 director and supporting data shall be submitted to the Governor at 13 least 90 days before the expiration of any term. These documents 14 shall remain confidential and shall be exempted from the 15 requirements of P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.);

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

- t. Promulgate and enforce rules for reasonable sanctions, including assessments of costs and attorneys' fees which may be imposed on a party, and attorney or other representative of a party who, without just excuse, fails to comply with any procedural order or with any standard or rule applying to a contested case and including the imposition of a fine not to exceed \$1,000.00 for misconduct which obstructs or tends to obstruct the conduct of contested cases;
- Have power in connection with contested case hearings (1) to administer oaths to any and all persons, (2) to compel by subpoena the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, records, accounts, papers, and documents of any person or persons, (3) to entertain objections to subpoenas, and (4) to rule upon objections to subpoenas except, that any orders of administrative law judges regarding these objections may be reviewed by the agency head before the completion of the contested case in accordance with procedural rules, adopted by the Director and Chief Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Law. Misconduct by any party, attorney or representative of a party or witness which obstructs or tends to obstruct the conduct of a contested case or the failure of any witness, when duly subpoenaed to attend, give testimony or produce any record, or the failure to pay any sanction assessed pursuant to subsection t. of this section, shall be punishable by the Superior Court in the same manner as such failure is punishable by such court in a case pending therein; [and]
 - v. Assign any judge recalled pursuant to section 4 of P.L.1978, c.67 (C.52:14F-4) and fix the per diem allowance;
- w. Assign an administrative law judge or other personnel to conduct arbitration, mediation, and other forms of alternative dispute resolution with regard to any contested case or any proceeding other than that related to a contested case or administrative adjudication; and

A1521 [3R]

x. Schedule hearings in an expeditious and efficient manner taking into account the significance of the issues, the needs of the parties, available resources, costs to the parties, and other relevant factors. The director may, on a temporary basis when required by exigent circumstances, schedule hearings notwithstanding deadlines otherwise set forth in statute.

(cf: P.L.2005, c.6, s.2)

4. (New section) Each State agency shall develop and implement a process for the consideration and settlement of a contested case. The process shall be set forth in writing and filed with the Director of the Office of Administrative Law. The director shall assist each State agency in the development of the process to ensure uniformity to the extent practicable. The head of an agency is hereby authorized to compromise and settle, at the discretion of the agency head, any penalty pursuant to such a settlement process as may appear appropriate and equitable under all of the circumstances, unless the compromise is specifically prohibited by State or federal law.

5. This act shall take effect on the 60th day following enactment.

Modifies process for contested case hearings by OAL with regard to telephone and video conferences, delegation of final decision authority, oral decisions, checklist decisions, electronic filings, and settlements.

ASSEMBLY, No. 1521

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

215th LEGISLATURE

PRE-FILED FOR INTRODUCTION IN THE 2012 SESSION

Sponsored by:

Assemblyman JOHN J. BURZICHELLI
District 3 (Cumberland, Gloucester and Salem)
Assemblyman SCOTT T. RUMANA
District 40 (Bergen, Essex, Morris and Passaic)
Assemblywoman ANNETTE QUIJANO
District 20 (Union)
Assemblyman RALPH R. CAPUTO
District 28 (Essex)
Assemblyman RUBEN J. RAMOS, JR.
District 33 (Hudson)

Co-Sponsored by:

Assemblymen Chiusano and Coughlin

SYNOPSIS

Modifies process for contested case hearings by OAL with regard to telephone and video conferences, delegation of final decision authority, oral decisions, checklist decisions, electronic filings, and settlements.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

Introduced Pending Technical Review by Legislative Counsel



(Sponsorship Updated As Of: 3/16/2012)

1 **AN ACT** concerning contested case hearings by the Office of Administrative Law and amending P.L.1978, c.67, and amending and supplementing P.L.1968, c.410.

4 5

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

6 7 8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

36

37

- 1. Section 9 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-9) is amended to read as follows:
- 9. (a) In a contested case, all parties shall be afforded an opportunity for hearing after reasonable notice.
 - (b) The notice shall include in addition to such other information as may be deemed appropriate:
 - (1) A statement of the time, place, and nature of the hearing;
 - (2) A statement of the legal authority and jurisdiction under which the hearing is to be held;
 - (3) A reference to the particular sections of the statutes and rules involved;
 - (4) A short and plain statement of the matters asserted. If the agency or other party is unable to state the matters in detail at the time the notice is served, the initial notice may be limited to a statement of the issues involved. Thereafter upon application a more definite and detailed statement shall be furnished.
 - (c) Opportunity shall be afforded all parties to respond, appear and present evidence and argument on all issues involved.
 - <u>Pre-hearing conferences may be conducted, as prescribed by the director.</u>
 - Witnesses may be permitted to testify, and motions may be considered, by means of a telephone or video conference call, as prescribed by the director and when the judge finds there is good cause for permitting the witness to testify by telephone or video conference.
- 33 (d) Unless precluded by law, informal disposition may be made 34 of any contested case by stipulation, agreed settlement, or consent 35 order.
 - (e) Oral proceedings or any part thereof shall be transcribed on request of any party at the expense of such party.
- 38 (f) Findings of fact shall be based exclusively on the evidence 39 and on matters officially noticed.
- 40 (g) Unless otherwise provided by any law, agencies may place 41 on any party the responsibility of requesting a hearing if the agency 42 notifies him in writing of his right to a hearing and of his 43 responsibility to request the hearing.
- 44 (cf: P.L.1968, c.410, s.9)

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

- 1 2. Section 10 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-10) is amended to 2 read as follows:
 - 10. In contested cases:

- (a) The parties shall not be bound by rules of evidence whether statutory, common law, or adopted formally by the Rules of Court. All relevant evidence is admissible, except as otherwise provided herein. The administrative law judge may in his discretion exclude any evidence if he finds that its probative value is substantially outweighed by the risk that its admission will either (i) necessitate undue consumption of time or (ii) create substantial danger of undue prejudice or confusion. The administrative law judge shall give effect to the rules of privilege recognized by law. Any party in a contested case may present his case or defense by oral and documentary evidence, submit rebuttal evidence and conduct such cross-examination as may be required, in the discretion of the administrative law judge, for a full and true disclosure of the facts.
- (b) Notice may be taken of judicially noticeable facts. In addition, notice may be taken of generally recognized technical or scientific facts within the specialized knowledge of the agency or administrative law judge. Parties shall be notified either before or during the hearing, or by reference in preliminary reports or otherwise, of the material noticed, including any staff memoranda or data, and they shall be afforded an opportunity to contest the material so noticed. The experience, technical competence, and specialized knowledge of the agency or administrative law judge may be utilized in the evaluation of the evidence, provided this is disclosed of record.
- (c) All hearings of a State agency required to be conducted as a contested case under this act or any other law shall be conducted by an administrative law judge assigned by the Director and Chief Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Law, except as provided by this amendatory and supplementary act. A recommended report and decision which contains recommended findings of fact and conclusions of law and which shall be based upon sufficient, competent, and credible evidence shall be filed, not later than 45 days after the hearing is concluded, with the agency in such form that it may be adopted as the decision in the case and delivered or mailed, to the parties of record with an indication of the date of receipt by the agency head; and an opportunity shall be afforded each party of record to file exceptions, objections, and replies thereto, and to present argument to the head of the agency or a majority thereof, either orally or in writing, as the agency may direct

Unless the head of the agency or a party requests that the recommended report and decision be filed in writing, the recommended report and decision of the administrative law judge may be filed orally in such appropriate cases as prescribed by the

director and if a transcript has been requested pursuant to subsection (e) of section 9 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-9).

An administrative law judge may file a recommended report and decision in the form of a checklist in such appropriate cases and formats as prescribed by the director after consultation with each State agency.

The head of the agency, upon a review of the record submitted by the administrative law judge, shall adopt, reject or modify the recommended report and decision no later than 45 days after receipt of such recommendations. In reviewing the decision of an administrative law judge, the agency head may reject or modify findings of fact, conclusions of law or interpretations of agency policy in the decision, but shall state clearly the reasons for doing so. The agency head may not reject or modify any findings of fact as to issues of credibility of lay witness testimony unless it is first determined from a review of the record that the findings are arbitrary, capricious or unreasonable or are not supported by sufficient, competent, and credible evidence in the record. rejecting or modifying any findings of fact, the agency head shall state with particularity the reasons for rejecting the findings and shall make new or modified findings supported by sufficient, competent, and credible evidence in the record. Unless the head of the agency modifies or rejects the report within such period, the decision of the administrative law judge shall be deemed adopted as the final decision of the head of the agency. The recommended report and decision shall be a part of the record in the case. For good cause shown, upon certification by the director and the agency head, the time limits established herein may be subject to extension.

(d) A final decision or order adverse to a party in a contested case shall be in writing or stated in the record. A final decision shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law, separately stated and shall be based only upon the evidence of record at the hearing, as such evidence may be established by rules of evidence and procedure promulgated by the director.

Findings of fact, if set forth in statutory language, shall be accompanied by a concise and explicit statement of the underlying facts supporting the findings. The final decision may incorporate by reference any or all of the recommendations of the administrative law judge. Parties shall be notified either personally or by mail of any decision or order. Upon request a copy of the decision or order shall be delivered or mailed forthwith by registered or certified mail to each party and to his attorney of record.

(e) Except where otherwise provided by law, the administrative adjudication of the agency shall be effective on the date of delivery or on the date of mailing, of the final decision to the parties of record whichever shall occur first, or shall be effective on any date

after the date of delivery or mailing, as the agency may provide by general rule or by order in the case. The date of delivery or mailing shall be stamped on the face of the decision.

1 2

- 4 (f) The head of an agency may order that, in certain appropriate 5 cases, the recommended report and decision of the administrative 6 law judge shall be deemed adopted, immediately on filing thereof 7 with the agency, as the final decision of the agency head. The 8 appropriate cases shall be described in a written order issued by the 9 agency head, filed with the director, and made available to the 10 public as a government record. The order shall not include (1) any 11 contested case for which the agency head is specifically required by 12 State or federal law to review the recommended report and decision 13 and adopt the final decision, or (2) any contested cases specified in 14 subsection (g) of this section. The head of the agency may revise or 15 revoke an order, issued pursuant to this subsection, whenever it is 16 deemed appropriate. The order shall apply to all appropriate 17 contested cases commenced with the agency after the order's 18 issuance and until the order is rescinded or modified. In such 19 appropriate contested cases, the head of the agency shall not have 20 the opportunity to reject or modify the administrative law judge's 21 recommended report and decision pursuant to subsection (c) of this 22 section and the final decision by the administrative law judge shall 23 comply with the requirements of and shall be given the same effect 24 as a final decision of the head of the agency pursuant to subsection 25 (d) of this section.
- 26 (g) With regard to contested cases commenced with an agency 27 on or after the effective date of P.L. , c. (pending before the 28 Legislature as this bill) that are described in this subsection, the 29 report and decision of the administrative law judge shall be the final 30 decision upon the filing thereof with the agency, notwithstanding 31 any other provision of State law to the contrary. In such contested 32 cases, the head of the agency shall not have the opportunity to reject 33 or modify the administrative law judge's report and decision 34 pursuant to subsection (c) of this section and the final decision by 35 the administrative law judge shall comply with the requirements of 36 and shall be given the same effect as a final decision of the head of 37 the agency pursuant to subsection (d) of this section. Any reference 38 in statutes, orders, documents, or other records to a final decision 39 by the head of an agency shall mean the decision rendered by the 40 administrative law judge as provided in this subsection. This 41 subsection shall apply to any contested case from:
- 42 (1) the Department of Community Affairs;
- 43 (2) the Department of Education;
- 44 (3) the Department of Environmental Protection;
- 45 (4) the Department of Children and Families involving 46 placement on a child abuse registry;

- 1 (5) the Department of Health and Senior Services involving 2 placement on the nurse aid registry, and penalty matters;
- (6) the Division of Family Development in the Department of
 Human Services;
- 5 (7) the Division of Civil Rights in the Department of Law and Public Safety;
- 7 (8) the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission;
- 8 (9) the Civil Service Commission; and
- 9 (10) the Department of Law and Public Safety under P.L.1988, 10 c.123 (C.56:12-29 et seq.).
- 11 (cf: P.L.2001, c.5, s.4)

22

23

24

- 3. Section 5 of P.L.1978, c.67 (C.52:14F-5) is amended to read as follows:
- 5. The Director and Chief Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Law shall:
- a. Administer and cause the work of the office to be performed in such manner and pursuant to such program as may be required or appropriate;
- b. Organize and reorganize the office, and establish such bureaus as may be required or appropriate;
 - c. Except as otherwise provided in subsections l. and t., below, appoint, pursuant to the provisions of Title 11A of the New Jersey Statutes, such clerical assistants and other personnel as may be required for the conduct of the office;
- d. Assign and reassign personnel to employment within the office;
- e. Develop uniform standards, rules of evidence, and procedures, including but not limited to standards for determining whether a summary or plenary hearing should be held to regulate the conduct of contested cases and the rendering of administrative adjudications;
- f. Promulgate and enforce such rules for the prompt implementation and coordinated administration of the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.) as may be required or appropriate;
- g. Administer and supervise the procedures relating to the conduct of contested cases and the making of administrative adjudications, as defined by section 2 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-2), develop and implement an electronic filing system for the conduct of contested cases in such a manner and within such
- 41 <u>for the conduct of contested cases in such a manner and within such</u>
- 42 <u>a time period as deemed practicable within available resources, and</u>
- 43 at the discretion of the director and the chief administrative law
- 44 judge, charge a filing fee for the development and implementation
- 45 of the electronic filing system in appropriate cases;

h. Advise agencies concerning their obligations under the Administrative Procedure Act, subject to the provisions of subsections b. and e. of section 4 of P.L.1944, c.20 (C.52:17A-4);

- [I.] <u>i.</u> Assist agencies in the preparation, consideration, publication and interpretation of administrative rules required or appropriate pursuant to the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.);
 - j. Employ the services of the several agencies and of the employees thereof in such manner and to such extent as may be agreed upon by the director and the chief executive officer of such agency;
 - k. Have access to information concerning the several agencies to assure that they properly promulgate all rules required by law;
- 1. Assign permanent administrative law judges at supervisory and other levels who are qualified in the field of administrative law or in subject matter relating to the hearing functions of a State agency.

Administrative law judges shall receive such salaries as provided by section 4 of P.L.1978, c.67 (C.52:14F-4), as amended by P.L.1999, c.380, shall not engage in the practice of law and shall devote full time to their judicial duties.

Administrative law judges appointed after the effective date of this amendatory act shall have been attorneys-at-law of this State for a minimum of five years. An administrative law judge appointed prior to the effective date of this amendatory act shall not be required to be an attorney or, if an attorney, shall not be required to have been an attorney-at-law for five years in order to be reappointed;

- m. Appoint additional administrative law judges, qualified in the field of administrative law or in a subject matter relating to the hearing functions of a State agency, on a temporary or case basis as may be necessary during emergency or unusual situations for the proper performance of the duties of the office, pursuant to a reasonable fee schedule established in advance by the director. Administrative law judges appointed pursuant to this procedure shall have the same qualifications for appointment as permanent administrative law judges;
- n. Assign administrative law judges to conduct contested cases as required by sections 9 and 10 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-9 and 52:14B-10). Proceedings shall be scheduled for suitable locations, either at the offices of the Office of Administrative Law or elsewhere in the State, taking into consideration the convenience of the witnesses and parties, as well as the nature of the cases and proceedings;
- o. Assign an administrative law judge or other personnel, if so requested by the head of an agency and if the director deems appropriate, to any agency to conduct or assist in administrative

duties and proceedings other than those related to contested cases or administrative adjudications, including but not limited to rulemaking and investigative hearings;

4

5 6

7

8

9

1011

12

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

- p. Assign an administrative law judge not engaged in the conduct of contested cases to perform other duties vested in or required of the office;
- q. Secure, compile and maintain all reports of administrative law judges issued pursuant to this act, and such reference materials and supporting information as may be appropriate;
- r. Develop and maintain a program for the continuing training and education of administrative law judges and agencies in regard to their responsibilities under this act;
- 13 Develop and implement a program of judicial evaluation to 14 aid himself in the performance of his duties, and to assist in the 15 making of reappointments under section 4 of P.L.1978, c.67 16 (C.52:14F-4). This program of evaluation shall focus on three areas 17 of judicial performance: competence, productivity, and demeanor. 18 It shall include consideration of: industry and promptness in 19 adhering to schedules, making rulings and rendering decisions; 20 tolerance, courtesy, patience, attentiveness, and self-control in 21 dealing with litigants, witnesses and counsel, and in presiding over 22 contested cases; legal skills and knowledge of the law and new legal 23 developments; analytical talents and writing abilities; settlement 24 skills; quantity, nature and quality of caseload disposition; 25 impartiality and conscientiousness. The director shall develop 26 standards and procedures for this program, which shall include 27 taking comments from selected litigants and lawyers who have 28 appeared before a judge. The methods used by the judge but not the 29 result arrived at by the judge in any case may be used in evaluating 30 a judge. Before implementing any action based on the findings of 31 the evaluation program, the director shall discuss the findings and 32 the proposed action with the affected judge. The evaluation by the 33 director and supporting data shall be submitted to the Governor at 34 least 90 days before the expiration of any term. These documents 35 shall remain confidential and shall be exempted from the 36 requirements of P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.);
 - t. Promulgate and enforce rules for reasonable sanctions, including assessments of costs and attorneys' fees which may be imposed on a party, and attorney or other representative of a party who, without just excuse, fails to comply with any procedural order or with any standard or rule applying to a contested case and including the imposition of a fine not to exceed \$1,000.00 for misconduct which obstructs or tends to obstruct the conduct of contested cases;
 - u. Have power in connection with contested case hearings (1) to administer oaths to any and all persons, (2) to compel by subpoena the attendance of witnesses and the production of books,

A1521 BURZICHELLI, RUMANA

g

- records, accounts, papers, and documents of any person or persons, (3) to entertain objections to subpoenas, and (4) to rule upon objections to subpoenas except, that any orders of administrative law judges regarding these objections may be reviewed by the agency head before the completion of the contested case in accordance with procedural rules, adopted by the Director and Chief Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Law. Misconduct by any party, attorney or representative of a party or witness which obstructs or tends to obstruct the conduct of a
- 10 contested case or the failure of any witness, when duly subpoenaed 11 to attend, give testimony or produce any record, or the failure to pay 12 any sanction assessed pursuant to subsection t. of this section, shall 13 be punishable by the Superior Court in the same manner as such
 - v. Assign any judge recalled pursuant to section 4 of P.L.1978, c.67 (C.52:14F-4) and fix the per diem allowance:

failure is punishable by such court in a case pending therein; [and]

- w. Assign an administrative law judge or other personnel to conduct arbitration, mediation, and other forms of alternative dispute resolution with regard to any contested case or any proceeding other than that related to a contested case or administrative adjudication; and
- x. Schedule hearings in an expeditious and efficient manner taking into account the significance of the issues, the needs of the parties, available resources, costs to the parties, and other relevant factors. The director may, on a temporary basis when required by exigent circumstances, schedule hearings notwithstanding deadlines otherwise set forth in statute.

28 (cf: P.L.2005, c.6, s.2)

4. (New section) Each State agency shall develop and implement a process for the consideration and settlement of a contested case. The process shall be set forth in writing and filed with the Director of the Office of Administrative Law. The director shall assist each State agency in the development of the process to ensure uniformity to the extent practicable. The head of an agency is hereby authorized to compromise and settle, at the discretion of the agency head, any penalty pursuant to such a settlement process as may appear appropriate and equitable under all of the circumstances, unless the compromise is specifically prohibited by State or federal law.

5. This act shall take effect on the 60th day following enactment.

STATEMENT

This bill makes various changes to the process used for the contested case hearings handled by the Office of Administrative Law (OAL), some of which were included in the report of the Red Tape Review Group entitled "Findings & Recommendations," issued April 19, 2010.

The bill provides that, as prescribed by the Director and Chief Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Law (OAL), an administrative law judge (ALJ) may: (1) use pre-hearing conferences, and consider motions and hear witness testimony by means of telephone or video conference calls; (2) issue oral decisions in certain appropriate contested cases if one of the parties orders a transcript of the proceedings and the State agency does not request a written decision; and (3) issue decisions in the form of a checklist in certain appropriate contested cases, after consultation with each State agency.

The bill authorizes the heads of State agencies to issue an order that, in certain appropriate cases, provides for the recommended report and decision of the ALJ to be deemed adopted, immediately upon filing with the agency, as the final decision of the agency head. The appropriate cases are to be described in a written order issued by the agency head, filed with the Director and Chief ALJ, and made available to the public as a government record. The head of the agency may revise or revoke an order whenever it is deemed appropriate. The order would apply to all appropriate contested cases filed after the order's issuance and until the order is rescinded or modified.

In addition, the bill provides that the decision of an ALJ will be the final decision in all contested cases from:

- (1) the Department of Community Affairs;
- (2) the Department of Education;
- (3) the Department of Environmental Protection;
- (4) the Department of Children and Families involving placement on a child abuse registry;
 - (5) the Department of Health and Senior Services involving placement on the nurse aid registry, and penalty matters;
- 38 (6) the Division of Family Development in the Department of 39 Human Services;
 - (7) the Division of Civil Rights in the Department of Law and Public Safety;
- 42 (8) the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission;
- 43 (9) the Civil Service Commission; and
- 44 (10) the Department of Law and Public Safety pursuant to 45 P.L.1988, c.123 (C.56:12-29 et seq.), commonly referred to as the
- 46 "lemon law."

A1521 BURZICHELLI, RUMANA

11

1 The bill also expands the responsibilities of the Director and 2 Chief ALJ by requiring: (1) the development and implementation 3 of an electronic filing system for the conduct of contested cases, in a manner and within a time frame deemed practicable within 4 5 available resources; (2) assignment of an ALJ or other personnel to 6 conduct arbitration, mediation, and other forms of alternative dispute resolution with regard to any contested case or any 7 8 proceeding other than that related to a contested case or 9 administrative adjudication; and (3) the scheduling of hearings in an 10 expeditious and efficient manner taking into account the significance of the issues, the needs of the parties, available 11 12 resources, costs to the parties, and other relevant factors, and providing that the Director and Chief ALJ may, on a temporary 13 14 basis when required by exigent circumstances, schedule hearings 15 notwithstanding deadlines otherwise set forth in law.

Lastly, section 4 of the bill directs State agencies to develop and implement a process for the settlement of contested cases. Further, the bill would authorize State agencies to compromise and settle, at their discretion, any penalty as may appear appropriate and equitable under all of the circumstances, unless the compromise is specifically prohibited by State or federal law.

16

17

18

19

20

ASSEMBLY REGULATORY OVERSIGHT AND GAMING COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 1521

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JUNE 7, 2012

The Assembly Regulatory Oversight and Gaming Committee reports favorably Assembly Bill No. 1521.

This bill makes various changes to the process used for the contested case hearings handled by the Office of Administrative Law (OAL), some of which were included in the report of the Red Tape Review Group entitled "Findings & Recommendations," issued April 19, 2010.

The bill provides that, as prescribed by the Director and Chief Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Law (OAL), an administrative law judge (ALJ) may: (1) use pre-hearing conferences, and consider motions and hear witness testimony by means of telephone or video conference calls; (2) issue oral decisions in certain appropriate contested cases if one of the parties orders a transcript of the proceedings and the State agency does not request a written decision; and (3) issue decisions in the form of a checklist in certain appropriate contested cases, after consultation with each State agency.

The bill authorizes the heads of State agencies to issue an order that, in certain appropriate cases, provides for the recommended report and decision of the ALJ to be deemed adopted, immediately upon filing with the agency, as the final decision of the agency head. The appropriate cases are to be described in a written order issued by the agency head, filed with the Director and Chief ALJ, and made available to the public as a government record. The head of the agency may revise or revoke an order whenever it is deemed appropriate. The order would apply to all appropriate contested cases filed after the order's issuance and until the order is rescinded or modified.

In addition, the bill provides that the decision of an ALJ will be the final decision in all contested cases from:

- (1) the Department of Community Affairs;
- (2) the Department of Education;
- (3) the Department of Environmental Protection;
- (4) the Department of Children and Families involving placement on a child abuse registry;

- (5) the Department of Health and Senior Services involving placement on the nurse aid registry, and penalty matters;
- (6) the Division of Family Development in the Department of Human Services;
- (7) the Division of Civil Rights in the Department of Law and Public Safety;
 - (8) the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission;
 - (9) the Civil Service Commission; and
- (10) the Department of Law and Public Safety pursuant to P.L.1988, c.123 (C.56:12-29 et seq.), commonly referred to as the "lemon law."

The bill also expands the responsibilities of the Director and Chief ALJ by requiring: (1) the development and implementation of an electronic filing system for the conduct of contested cases, in a manner and within a time frame deemed practicable within available resources; (2) assignment of an ALJ or other personnel to conduct arbitration, mediation, and other forms of alternative dispute resolution with regard to any contested case or any proceeding other than that related to a contested case or administrative adjudication; and (3) the scheduling of hearings in an expeditious and efficient manner taking into account the significance of the issues, the needs of the parties, available resources, costs to the parties, and other relevant factors, and providing that the Director and Chief ALJ may, on a temporary basis when required by exigent circumstances, schedule hearings notwithstanding deadlines otherwise set forth in law.

Lastly, section 4 of the bill directs State agencies to develop and implement a process for the settlement of contested cases. Further, the bill would authorize State agencies to compromise and settle, at their discretion, any penalty as may appear appropriate and equitable under all of the circumstances, unless the compromise is specifically prohibited by State or federal law.

This bill was pre-filed for introduction in the 2012-2013 session pending technical review. As reported, the bill includes the changes required by technical review, which has been performed.

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 1521

with Assembly Floor Amendments (Proposed by Assemblyman BURZICHELLI)

ADOPTED: OCTOBER 18, 2012

This floor amendment would amend the provision of law that authorizes an agency head's adoption, rejection, or modification of an administrative law judge's recommendations and decisions. While the law currently provides that an administrative law judge's recommendations and decisions will be deemed to be the final decision of an agency if the agency head does not modify or reject the recommendations or decisions within 45 days after receipt thereof, the law also provides for unlimited extensions of this time period for good cause, and upon certification by the Director of the Office of Administrative Law and the agency head. The floor amendment would eliminate the provision authorizing the unlimited extension of this 45-day time period, and provide, instead, for a single extension of no more than 45 days for good cause shown, and upon certification by the director and agency head. Any further extension would be subject to, and contingent upon, the unanimous agreement of the parties.

The floor amendment would also make a technical correction to reflect the appropriate name of the Department of Health.

SENATE STATE GOVERNMENT, WAGERING, TOURISM & HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

[First Reprint] **ASSEMBLY, No. 1521**

with committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: DECEMBER 9, 2013

The Senate State Government, Wagering, Tourism and Historic Preservation Committee reports favorably and with committee amendments Assembly Bill No. 1521(1R).

As amended by the committee, this bill makes various changes to the process used for contested case hearings handled by the Office of Administrative Law (OAL). Some of these changes were identified as suggestions in the report of the Red Tape Review Group entitled "Findings & Recommendations," which was issued on April 19, 2010.

As amended, the bill provides that, as prescribed by the Director and Chief Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Law (OAL), an administrative law judge (ALJ) may: (1) engage in pre-hearing conferences, and consider motions and hear witness testimony by means of telephone or video conference calls; (2) issue oral decisions in certain appropriate contested cases if one of the parties orders a transcript of the proceedings and the State agency does not request a written decision; and (3) issue decisions in the form of a checklist in certain appropriate contested cases, after consultation with each State agency.

In terms of the evidence that must be proffered at a contested case hearing involving a permitting or licensing decision of the Department of Environmental Protection, the bill, as amended, requires the department to produce and certify a permitting record within 30 days after the contested case is filed, or within such extended time period as may be authorized by an ALJ upon the agreement of the parties. This provision would not limit the ability of the parties to supplement the record as necessary.

In terms of the final decision issued in a contested case, the bill, as amended, authorizes the head of each State agency to issue an order that provides, in certain appropriate cases, for the recommended report and decision of the ALJ to be deemed adopted, immediately upon filing with the agency, as the final decision of the agency head. The appropriate cases are to be described in a written order issued by the

agency head, filed with the Director and Chief ALJ, and made available to the public as a government record. The order would apply to all appropriate contested cases filed after the order's issuance and until the order is rescinded or modified. The head of the agency would be authorized, moreover, to revise or revoke such an order whenever it is deemed appropriate.

In addition, the bill, as amended, provides that if the parties in a contested case stipulate to the factual record, and agree that there are no genuine issues of material fact to be adjudicated, the head of the agency may, in his discretion, render a final agency decision on the matter without obtaining the prior input of, or a recommended report and decision from, an ALJ.

The bill, as amended, also expands the responsibilities of the Director and Chief ALJ by requiring: (1) the development and implementation of an electronic filing system for the conduct of contested cases, in a manner and within a time frame deemed practicable within available resources; (2) assignment of an ALJ or other personnel to conduct arbitration, mediation, and other forms of alternative dispute resolution with regard to any contested case or any proceeding other than that related to a contested case or administrative adjudication; and (3) the scheduling of hearings in an expeditious and efficient manner, and, on a temporary basis, and when required by exigent circumstances, the scheduling of hearings notwithstanding the deadlines otherwise set forth in law.

Finally, the bill, as amended, directs State agencies to develop and implement a process for the settlement of contested cases, and it authorizes State agencies to compromise and settle any penalty, at their discretion, in a manner that is deemed thereby to be appropriate and equitable under all of the circumstances, unless the compromise is specifically prohibited by State or federal law.

Assembly Bill No. 1521 (1R) is identical to Senate Bill No. 2555.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS:

The committee amended the bill to:

- 1) eliminate a provision that would have prohibited certain specified agencies from rejecting or modifying the decision of an ALJ;
- 2) insert a provision requiring the Department of Environmental Protection to produce and certify a permitting record, in appropriate cases, within a defined time frame; and
- 3) insert a provision authorizing the head of an agency to render a final agency decision without input from an ALJ if facts are not contested.

STATEMENT TO

[Second Reprint] **ASSEMBLY, No. 1521**

with Senate Floor Amendments (Proposed by Senator VAN DREW)

ADOPTED: JANUARY 9, 2014

These Floor Amendments remove language that would have allowed the director and the chief administrative law judge, at their discretion, to charge a filing fee for the development and implementation of the electronic filing system in appropriate cases.

SENATE, No. 2555

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

215th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED FEBRUARY 7, 2013

Sponsored by: Senator JEFF VAN DREW District 1 (Atlantic, Cape May and Cumberland)

SYNOPSIS

Modifies process for contested case hearings by OAL with regard to telephone and video conferences, delegation of final decision authority, oral decisions, checklist decisions, electronic filings, and settlements.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



AN ACT concerning contested case hearings by the Office of Administrative Law and amending P.L.1978, c.67, and amending and supplementing P.L.1968, c.410.

4 5

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

6 7 8

9

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

3536

37

38

39

- 1. Section 9 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-9) is amended to read as follows:
- 9. (a) In a contested case, all parties shall be afforded an opportunity for hearing after reasonable notice.
- 12 (b) The notice shall include in addition to such other 13 information as may be deemed appropriate:
 - (1) A statement of the time, place, and nature of the hearing;
 - (2) A statement of the legal authority and jurisdiction under which the hearing is to be held;
 - (3) A reference to the particular sections of the statutes and rules involved;
 - (4) A short and plain statement of the matters asserted. If the agency or other party is unable to state the matters in detail at the time the notice is served, the initial notice may be limited to a statement of the issues involved. Thereafter upon application a more definite and detailed statement shall be furnished.
 - (c) Opportunity shall be afforded all parties to respond, appear and present evidence and argument on all issues involved.
 - <u>Pre-hearing conferences may be conducted, as prescribed by the director.</u>
 - Witnesses may be permitted to testify, and motions may be considered, by means of a telephone or video conference call, as prescribed by the director and when the judge finds there is good cause for permitting the witness to testify by telephone or video conference.
 - (d) Unless precluded by law, informal disposition may be made of any contested case by stipulation, agreed settlement, or consent order
 - (e) Oral proceedings or any part thereof shall be transcribed on request of any party at the expense of such party.
 - (f) Findings of fact shall be based exclusively on the evidence and on matters officially noticed.
- (g) Unless otherwise provided by any law, agencies may place on any party the responsibility of requesting a hearing if the agency notifies him in writing of his right to a hearing and of his responsibility to request the hearing.
- 44 (cf: P.L.1968, c.410, s.9)

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

- 2. Section 10 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-10) is amended to read as follows:
 - 10. In contested cases:

- (a) The parties shall not be bound by rules of evidence whether statutory, common law, or adopted formally by the Rules of Court. All relevant evidence is admissible, except as otherwise provided herein. The administrative law judge may in his discretion exclude any evidence if he finds that its probative value is substantially outweighed by the risk that its admission will either (i) necessitate undue consumption of time or (ii) create substantial danger of undue prejudice or confusion. The administrative law judge shall give effect to the rules of privilege recognized by law. Any party in a contested case may present his case or defense by oral and documentary evidence, submit rebuttal evidence and conduct such cross-examination as may be required, in the discretion of the administrative law judge, for a full and true disclosure of the facts.
- (b) Notice may be taken of judicially noticeable facts. In addition, notice may be taken of generally recognized technical or scientific facts within the specialized knowledge of the agency or administrative law judge. Parties shall be notified either before or during the hearing, or by reference in preliminary reports or otherwise, of the material noticed, including any staff memoranda or data, and they shall be afforded an opportunity to contest the material so noticed. The experience, technical competence, and specialized knowledge of the agency or administrative law judge may be utilized in the evaluation of the evidence, provided this is disclosed of record.
- (c) All hearings of a State agency required to be conducted as a contested case under this act or any other law shall be conducted by an administrative law judge assigned by the Director and Chief Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Law, except as provided by this amendatory and supplementary act. A recommended report and decision which contains recommended findings of fact and conclusions of law and which shall be based upon sufficient, competent, and credible evidence shall be filed, not later than 45 days after the hearing is concluded, with the agency in such form that it may be adopted as the decision in the case and delivered or mailed, to the parties of record with an indication of the date of receipt by the agency head; and an opportunity shall be afforded each party of record to file exceptions, objections, and replies thereto, and to present argument to the head of the agency or a majority thereof, either orally or in writing, as the agency may direct.

Unless the head of the agency or a party requests that the recommended report and decision be filed in writing, the recommended report and decision of the administrative law judge may be filed orally in such appropriate cases as prescribed by the

director and if a transcript has been requested pursuant to subsection (e) of section 9 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-9).

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2930

31

32

3334

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

An administrative law judge may file a recommended report and decision in the form of a checklist in such appropriate cases and formats as prescribed by the director after consultation with each State agency.

The head of the agency, upon a review of the record submitted by the administrative law judge, shall adopt, reject or modify the recommended report and decision no later than 45 days after receipt of such recommendations. In reviewing the decision of an administrative law judge, the agency head may reject or modify findings of fact, conclusions of law or interpretations of agency policy in the decision, but shall state clearly the reasons for doing so. The agency head may not reject or modify any findings of fact as to issues of credibility of lay witness testimony unless it is first determined from a review of the record that the findings are arbitrary, capricious or unreasonable or are not supported by sufficient, competent, and credible evidence in the record. rejecting or modifying any findings of fact, the agency head shall state with particularity the reasons for rejecting the findings and shall make new or modified findings supported by sufficient, competent, and credible evidence in the record. Unless the head of the agency modifies or rejects the report within such period, the decision of the administrative law judge shall be deemed adopted as the final decision of the head of the agency. The recommended report and decision shall be a part of the record in the case. For good cause shown, upon certification by the director and the agency head, the time limits established herein may be subject to a single extension of not more than 45 days. Any additional extension of time shall be subject to, and contingent upon, the unanimous agreement of the parties .

(d) A final decision or order adverse to a party in a contested case shall be in writing or stated in the record. A final decision shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law, separately stated and shall be based only upon the evidence of record at the hearing, as such evidence may be established by rules of evidence and procedure promulgated by the director.

Findings of fact, if set forth in statutory language, shall be accompanied by a concise and explicit statement of the underlying facts supporting the findings. The final decision may incorporate by reference any or all of the recommendations of the administrative law judge. Parties shall be notified either personally or by mail of any decision or order. Upon request a copy of the decision or order shall be delivered or mailed forthwith by registered or certified mail to each party and to his attorney of record.

(e) Except where otherwise provided by law, the administrative adjudication of the agency shall be effective on the date of delivery

or on the date of mailing, of the final decision to the parties of record whichever shall occur first, or shall be effective on any date after the date of delivery or mailing, as the agency may provide by general rule or by order in the case. The date of delivery or mailing shall be stamped on the face of the decision.

1

2

3

4

5

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

6 (f) The head of an agency may order that, in certain appropriate 7 cases, the recommended report and decision of the administrative 8 law judge shall be deemed adopted, immediately on filing thereof 9 with the agency, as the final decision of the agency head. The 10 appropriate cases shall be described in a written order issued by the 11 agency head, filed with the director, and made available to the 12 public as a government record. The order shall not include (1) any 13 contested case for which the agency head is specifically required by 14 State or federal law to review the recommended report and decision 15 and adopt the final decision, or (2) any contested cases specified in subsection (g) of this section. The head of the agency may revise or 16 17 revoke an order, issued pursuant to this subsection, whenever it is 18 deemed appropriate. The order shall apply to all appropriate 19 contested cases commenced with the agency after the order's 20 issuance and until the order is rescinded or modified. In such 21 appropriate contested cases, the head of the agency shall not have 22 the opportunity to reject or modify the administrative law judge's 23 recommended report and decision pursuant to subsection (c) of this 24 section and the final decision by the administrative law judge shall 25 comply with the requirements of and shall be given the same effect 26 as a final decision of the head of the agency pursuant to subsection 27 (d) of this section.

- (g) With regard to contested cases commenced with an agency on or after the effective date of P.L. , c. (pending before the Legislature as this bill) that are described in this subsection, the report and decision of the administrative law judge shall be the final decision upon the filing thereof with the agency, notwithstanding any other provision of State law to the contrary. In such contested cases, the head of the agency shall not have the opportunity to reject or modify the administrative law judge's report and decision pursuant to subsection (c) of this section and the final decision by the administrative law judge shall comply with the requirements of and shall be given the same effect as a final decision of the head of the agency pursuant to subsection (d) of this section. Any reference in statutes, orders, documents, or other records to a final decision by the head of an agency shall mean the decision rendered by the administrative law judge as provided in this subsection. This subsection shall apply to any contested case from:
- (1) the Department of Community Affairs;
- 45 (2) the Department of Education;
- 46 (3) the Department of Environmental Protection;
- 47 (4) the Department of Children and Families involving 48 placement on a child abuse registry;

- 1 (5) the Department of Health involving placement on the nurse 2 aid registry, and penalty matters;
- 3 (6) the Division of Family Development in the Department of 4 **Human Services**;
- 5 (7) the Division of Civil Rights in the Department of Law and 6 Public Safety;
 - (8) the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission;
- 8 (9) the Civil Service Commission; and
- 9 (10) the Department of Law and Public Safety under P.L.1988,
- 10 c.123 (C.56:12-29 et seq.).
- 11 (cf: P.L.2001, c.5, s.4)

- 13 3. Section 5 of P.L.1978, c.67 (C.52:14F-5) is amended to read 14 as follows:
- 15 5. The Director and Chief Administrative Law Judge of the 16 Office of Administrative Law shall:
- Administer and cause the work of the office to be performed 17 18 in such manner and pursuant to such program as may be required or appropriate; 19
- 20 b. Organize and reorganize the office, and establish such 21 bureaus as may be required or appropriate;
- 22 c. Except as otherwise provided in subsections l. and t., below, 23 appoint, pursuant to the provisions of Title 11A of the New Jersey 24 Statutes, such clerical assistants and other personnel as may be 25 required for the conduct of the office;
- 26 d. Assign and reassign personnel to employment within the 27 office:
- 28 e. Develop uniform standards, rules of evidence, and 29 procedures, including but not limited to standards for determining 30 whether a summary or plenary hearing should be held to regulate 31 the conduct of contested cases and the rendering of administrative
- 32 adjudications;
- 33 f. Promulgate and enforce such rules for the prompt coordinated administration 34 implementation and of 35 "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et
- 36 seq.) as may be required or appropriate;
- 37 g. Administer and supervise the procedures relating to the 38 conduct of contested cases and the making of administrative
- 39
- adjudications, as defined by section 2 of P.L.1968, c.410 40 (C.52:14B-2), develop and implement an electronic filing system
- for the conduct of contested cases in such a manner and within such 41
- 42 a time period as deemed practicable within available resources, and
- 43 at the discretion of the director and the chief administrative law
- 44 judge, charge a filing fee for the development and implementation
- 45 of the electronic filing system in appropriate cases;
- 46 Advise agencies concerning their obligations under the
- 47 Administrative Procedure Act, subject to the provisions of
- subsections b. and e. of section 4 of P.L.1944, c.20 (C.52:17A-4); 48

II.] <u>i.</u> Assist agencies in the preparation, consideration, publication and interpretation of administrative rules required or appropriate pursuant to the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.);

- j. Employ the services of the several agencies and of the employees thereof in such manner and to such extent as may be agreed upon by the director and the chief executive officer of such agency;
- k. Have access to information concerning the several agencies to assure that they properly promulgate all rules required by law;
- 1. Assign permanent administrative law judges at supervisory and other levels who are qualified in the field of administrative law or in subject matter relating to the hearing functions of a State agency.

Administrative law judges shall receive such salaries as provided by section 4 of P.L.1978, c.67 (C.52:14F-4), as amended by P.L.1999, c.380, shall not engage in the practice of law and shall devote full time to their judicial duties.

Administrative law judges appointed after the effective date of this amendatory act shall have been attorneys-at-law of this State for a minimum of five years. An administrative law judge appointed prior to the effective date of this amendatory act shall not be required to be an attorney or, if an attorney, shall not be required to have been an attorney-at-law for five years in order to be reappointed;

- m. Appoint additional administrative law judges, qualified in the field of administrative law or in a subject matter relating to the hearing functions of a State agency, on a temporary or case basis as may be necessary during emergency or unusual situations for the proper performance of the duties of the office, pursuant to a reasonable fee schedule established in advance by the director. Administrative law judges appointed pursuant to this procedure shall have the same qualifications for appointment as permanent administrative law judges;
- n. Assign administrative law judges to conduct contested cases as required by sections 9 and 10 of P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-9 and 52:14B-10). Proceedings shall be scheduled for suitable locations, either at the offices of the Office of Administrative Law or elsewhere in the State, taking into consideration the convenience of the witnesses and parties, as well as the nature of the cases and proceedings;
- o. Assign an administrative law judge or other personnel, if so requested by the head of an agency and if the director deems appropriate, to any agency to conduct or assist in administrative duties and proceedings other than those related to contested cases or administrative adjudications, including but not limited to rule-making and investigative hearings;

p. Assign an administrative law judge not engaged in the conduct of contested cases to perform other duties vested in or required of the office;

- q. Secure, compile and maintain all reports of administrative law judges issued pursuant to this act, and such reference materials and supporting information as may be appropriate;
- r. Develop and maintain a program for the continuing training and education of administrative law judges and agencies in regard to their responsibilities under this act;
- Develop and implement a program of judicial evaluation to aid himself in the performance of his duties, and to assist in the making of reappointments under section 4 of P.L.1978, c.67 (C.52:14F-4). This program of evaluation shall focus on three areas of judicial performance: competence, productivity, and demeanor. It shall include consideration of: industry and promptness in adhering to schedules, making rulings and rendering decisions; tolerance, courtesy, patience, attentiveness, and self-control in dealing with litigants, witnesses and counsel, and in presiding over contested cases; legal skills and knowledge of the law and new legal developments; analytical talents and writing abilities; settlement skills; quantity, nature and quality of caseload disposition; impartiality and conscientiousness. The director shall develop standards and procedures for this program, which shall include taking comments from selected litigants and lawyers who have appeared before a judge. The methods used by the judge but not the result arrived at by the judge in any case may be used in evaluating a judge. Before implementing any action based on the findings of the evaluation program, the director shall discuss the findings and the proposed action with the affected judge. The evaluation by the director and supporting data shall be submitted to the Governor at least 90 days before the expiration of any term. These documents shall remain confidential and shall be exempted from the requirements of P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.);
 - t. Promulgate and enforce rules for reasonable sanctions, including assessments of costs and attorneys' fees which may be imposed on a party, and attorney or other representative of a party who, without just excuse, fails to comply with any procedural order or with any standard or rule applying to a contested case and including the imposition of a fine not to exceed \$1,000.00 for misconduct which obstructs or tends to obstruct the conduct of contested cases;
 - u. Have power in connection with contested case hearings (1) to administer oaths to any and all persons, (2) to compel by subpoena the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, records, accounts, papers, and documents of any person or persons, (3) to entertain objections to subpoenas, and (4) to rule upon objections to subpoenas except, that any orders of administrative law judges regarding these objections may be reviewed by the

S2555 VAN DREW

- agency head before the completion of the contested case in accordance with procedural rules, adopted by the Director and Chief Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Law. Misconduct by any party, attorney or representative of a party or witness which obstructs or tends to obstruct the conduct of a contested case or the failure of any witness, when duly subpoenaed to attend, give testimony or produce any record, or the failure to pay any sanction assessed pursuant to subsection t. of this section, shall be punishable by the Superior Court in the same manner as such
 - v. Assign any judge recalled pursuant to section 4 of P.L.1978, c.67 (C.52:14F-4) and fix the per diem allowance;

failure is punishable by such court in a case pending therein; [and]

- w. Assign an administrative law judge or other personnel to conduct arbitration, mediation, and other forms of alternative dispute resolution with regard to any contested case or any proceeding other than that related to a contested case or administrative adjudication; and
- x. Schedule hearings in an expeditious and efficient manner taking into account the significance of the issues, the needs of the parties, available resources, costs to the parties, and other relevant factors. The director may, on a temporary basis when required by exigent circumstances, schedule hearings notwithstanding deadlines otherwise set forth in statute.

24 (cf: P.L.2005, c.6, s.2)

4. (New section) Each State agency shall develop and implement a process for the consideration and settlement of a contested case. The process shall be set forth in writing and filed with the Director of the Office of Administrative Law. The director shall assist each State agency in the development of the process to ensure uniformity to the extent practicable. The head of an agency is hereby authorized to compromise and settle, at the discretion of the agency head, any penalty pursuant to such a settlement process as may appear appropriate and equitable under all of the circumstances, unless the compromise is specifically prohibited by State or federal law.

5. This act shall take effect on the 60th day following enactment.

STATEMENT

This bill makes various changes to the process used for the contested case hearings handled by the Office of Administrative Law (OAL), some of which were included in the report of the Red Tape Review Group entitled "Findings & Recommendations," issued April 19, 2010.

1 The bill provides that, as prescribed by the Director and Chief 2 Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Law 3 (OAL), an administrative law judge (ALJ) may: (1) use pre-hearing conferences, and consider motions and hear witness testimony by 4 5 means of telephone or video conference calls; (2) issue oral 6 decisions in certain appropriate contested cases if one of the parties 7 orders a transcript of the proceedings and the State agency does not 8 request a written decision; and (3) issue decisions in the form of a 9 checklist in certain appropriate contested cases, after consultation 10 with each State agency.

The bill authorizes the heads of State agencies to issue an order that, in certain appropriate cases, provides for the recommended report and decision of the ALJ to be deemed adopted, immediately upon filing with the agency, as the final decision of the agency head. The appropriate cases are to be described in a written order issued by the agency head, filed with the Director and Chief ALJ, and made available to the public as a government record. The head of the agency may revise or revoke an order whenever it is deemed appropriate. The order would apply to all appropriate contested cases filed after the order's issuance and until the order is rescinded or modified.

In addition, the bill provides that the decision of an ALJ will be the final decision in all contested cases from:

- (1) the Department of Community Affairs;
- (2) the Department of Education;

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

29

30

33

34

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

- (3) the Department of Environmental Protection;
- 27 (4) the Department of Children and Families involving 28 placement on a child abuse registry;
 - (5) the Department of Health and Senior Services involving placement on the nurse aid registry, and penalty matters;
- 31 (6) the Division of Family Development in the Department of 32 Human Services;
 - (7) the Division of Civil Rights in the Department of Law and Public Safety;
- 35 (8) the New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission;
- 36 (9) the Civil Service Commission; and
- 37 (10) the Department of Law and Public Safety pursuant to 38 P.L.1988, c.123 (C.56:12-29 et seq.), commonly referred to as the 39 "lemon law."

The bill also expands the responsibilities of the Director and Chief ALJ by requiring: (1) the development and implementation of an electronic filing system for the conduct of contested cases, in a manner and within a time frame deemed practicable within available resources; (2) assignment of an ALJ or other personnel to conduct arbitration, mediation, and other forms of alternative dispute resolution with regard to any contested case or any proceeding other than that related to a contested case or administrative adjudication; and (3) the scheduling of hearings in an

S2555 VAN DREW

expeditious and efficient manner taking into account the significance of the issues, the needs of the parties, available resources, costs to the parties, and other relevant factors, and providing that the Director and Chief ALJ may, on a temporary basis when required by exigent circumstances, schedule hearings notwithstanding deadlines otherwise set forth in law.

 Lastly, section 4 of the bill directs State agencies to develop and implement a process for the settlement of contested cases. Further, the bill would authorize State agencies to compromise and settle, at their discretion, any penalty as may appear appropriate and equitable under all of the circumstances, unless the compromise is specifically prohibited by State or federal law.

SENATE STATE GOVERNMENT, WAGERING, TOURISM & HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

SENATE, No. 2555

with committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: DECEMBER 9, 2013

The Senate State Government, Wagering, Tourism & Historic Preservation Committee reports favorably and with committee amendments Senate Bill No. 2555.

As amended by the committee, this bill makes various changes to the process used for contested case hearings handled by the Office of Administrative Law (OAL). Some of these changes were identified as suggestions in the report of the Red Tape Review Group entitled "Findings & Recommendations," which was issued on April 19, 2010.

As amended, the bill provides that, as prescribed by the Director and Chief Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Law (OAL), an administrative law judge (ALJ) may: (1) engage in pre-hearing conferences, and consider motions and hear witness testimony by means of telephone or video conference calls; (2) issue oral decisions in certain appropriate contested cases if one of the parties orders a transcript of the proceedings and the State agency does not request a written decision; and (3) issue decisions in the form of a checklist in certain appropriate contested cases, after consultation with each State agency.

In terms of the evidence that must be proffered at a contested case hearing involving a permitting or licensing decision of the Department of Environmental Protection, the bill, as amended, requires the department to produce and certify a permitting record within 30 days after the contested case is filed, or within such extended time period as may be authorized by an ALJ upon the agreement of the parties. This provision would not limit the ability of the parties to supplement the record as necessary.

In terms of the final decision issued in a contested case, the bill, as amended, authorizes the head of each State agency to issue an order that provides, in certain appropriate cases, for the recommended report and decision of the ALJ to be deemed adopted, immediately upon filing with the agency, as the final decision of the agency head. The appropriate cases are to be described in a written order issued by the agency head, filed with the Director and Chief ALJ, and made

available to the public as a government record. The order would apply to all appropriate contested cases filed after the order's issuance and until the order is rescinded or modified. The head of the agency would be authorized, moreover, to revise or revoke such an order whenever it is deemed appropriate.

In addition, the bill, as amended, provides that if the parties in a contested case stipulate to the factual record, and agree that there are no genuine issues of material fact to be adjudicated, the head of the agency may, in his discretion, render a final agency decision on the matter without obtaining the prior input of, or a recommended report and decision from, an ALJ.

The bill, as amended, also expands the responsibilities of the Director and Chief ALJ by requiring: (1) the development and implementation of an electronic filing system for the conduct of contested cases, in a manner and within a time frame deemed practicable within available resources; (2) assignment of an ALJ or other personnel to conduct arbitration, mediation, and other forms of alternative dispute resolution with regard to any contested case or any proceeding other than that related to a contested case or administrative adjudication; and (3) the scheduling of hearings in an expeditious and efficient manner, and, on a temporary basis, and when required by exigent circumstances, the scheduling of hearings notwithstanding the deadlines otherwise set forth in law.

Finally, the bill, as amended, directs State agencies to develop and implement a process for the settlement of contested cases, and it authorizes State agencies to compromise and settle any penalty, at their discretion, in a manner that is deemed thereby to be appropriate and equitable under all of the circumstances, unless the compromise is specifically prohibited by State or federal law.

Senate Bill No. 2555 is identical to Assembly Bill No 1521 (1R).

COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS:

The committee amended the bill to:

- 1) eliminate a provision that would have prohibited certain specified agencies from rejecting or modifying the decision of an ALJ;
- 2) insert a provision requiring the Department of Environmental Protection to produce and certify a permitting record, in appropriate cases, within a defined time frame; and
- 3) insert a provision authorizing the head of an agency to render a final agency decision without input from an ALJ if facts are not contested.

STATEMENT TO

[First Reprint] **SENATE, No. 2555**

with Senate Floor Amendments (Proposed by Senator VAN DREW)

ADOPTED: JANUARY 9, 2014

These Floor Amendments remove language that would have allowed the director and the chief administrative law judge, at their discretion, to charge a filing fee for the development and implementation of the electronic filing system in appropriate cases.