54:10A-15.4

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST

NJSA 54:10A-15.4	(Business methods payments	s Corporation Tax—allow alternative for calculating amount of installment s)
LAWS 1981	CHAPTER	- 1 -
Bill NoA3521		
Sponsor(s) Burstein		
Date Introduced June 15, 1981		
Committee: Assembly Revenue, Finance & Appropriations		
Senate		
Amended during passage	\$ 3	No
Date of Passage: Assembly June 29,	1981	pa-
Senate Nov. 16,	1981	
Date of approval Dec. 17,	1981	
Following statements are attached if available:		
Sponsor statement	Yes	No
Committee Statement: Assembly	Yes	No
Senate	Yesx	No
Fiscal Note	Yesk	No
Veto Message	Yesx	No
Message on signing	Yes	Mex.
Following were printed:		
Reports	Year	No
Hearings For background on similar propo	Yesx osed legislati	No ion in 1978-89 Legislative session see:
974.90 New Jersey. Assembly. Committee on Maxation. T235 Subcommittee on Business Max Structure. Public hearing, held 8-16-79, 8-21-79 & 9-12-79. Morristown, Atlantic City and Trenton, 1979.		

. 1

ASSEMBLY, No. 3521

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

INTRODUCED JUNE 15, 1981

By Assemblyman BURSTEIN

Referred to Committee on Revenue, Finance and Appropriations

An Act concerning corporation business taxes and amending P. L. , c. (now pending before the Legislature as Assembly Bill No. 3472).

- Be it enacted by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:
- 1 1. Section 5 of P. L. , c. (C.) (now
- 2 pending before the Legislature as Assembly Bill No. 3472) is
- 3 amended to read as follows:
- 4 5. a. In case of any underpayment of an installment payment
- 5 by a taxpayer, there shall be added to the tax for the fiscal or
- 6 calendar accounting year an amount determined by applying the
- 7 rate established in this section to the amount of the underpayment
- 8 for the period of the underpayment.
- 9 b. For purposes of subsection a., the amount of underpayment
- 10 shall be the excess of:
- 11 (1) The amount of the installment payment which would be re-
- 12 quired to be paid if all installment payments were equal to 90%
- 13 of the tax shown on the return for the fiscal or calendar accounting
- 14 year, or if no return was filed, 90% of the tax for that year, over
- 15 (2) The amount, if any, of the installment payment paid on or 16 before the last date prescribed for payment.
- 17 c. For purposes of subsection a., the period of the underpayment
- 18 shall run from the date the installment payment was required to be
- 19 paid to whichever of the following dates is the earlier:
- 20 (1) The fifteenth day of the fourth month after the close of the
- 21 fiscal or calendar accounting year.
- 22 (2) With respect to any portion of the underpayment, the date
- 23 on which that portion is paid.
- 24 For purposes of this subsection, a payment of any installment
- 25 payment shall be considered a payment of any previous underpay-
- 26 ment only to the extent that payment exceeds the amount of the
- 27 installment payment determined under subsection b. (1) for that
- 28 installment payment.

29 d. Notwithstanding the provisions of the preceding subsections,

2

- 30 the addition to the tax with respect to any underpayment of any
- 31 installment payment shall not be imposed if the total amount of all
- 32 installment payments made on or before the last date prescribed
- 33 for the payment of that installment equals or exceeds the amount
- 34 which would have been required to be paid on or before that date
- 35 if the total amount of all installment payments were the lesser
- 36 of (1) or (2) as follows:
- 37 (1) An amount equal to the tax computed at the rates applica-
- 38 ble to the current fiscal or calendar accounting year but otherwise
- 39 on the basis of the facts shown on the return of the taxpayer for,
- 40 and the law applicable to, the preceding fiscal or calendar account-
- 41 ing year; or
- 42 (2) An amount equal to 90% of the tax for the current fiscal or
- 43 calendar accounting year computed by placing on an annualized
- 44 basis the taxable entire net income and entire net worth:
- 45 (a) For the first 3 months of the current fiscal or calendar accounting year, in the case of the installment payment re-
- 47 quired to be paid in the fourth month,
- (b) For the first 3 months or for the first 5 months of the
- 49 current fiscal or calendar accounting year, in the case of the
- installment payment required to be paid in the sixth month,
- 51 (c) For the first 6 months or for the first 8 months of the current fiscal or calendar accounting year, in the case of the
- installment payment required to be paid in the ninth month,
- 54 (d) For the first 9 months or for the first 11 months of the
- 55 current fiscal or calendar accounting year, in the case of the
- installment payment required to be paid in the twelfth month,
- 57 and
- 58 (e) For the last 3 months of the preceding taxable year, in
- 59 the case of the installment payment required to be paid in the
- first month of the current fiscal or calendar accounting year.
- 61 e. Any taxpayer who shall fail to pay, or shall underpay by
- 62 more than 10% of the amount due, any installment payment re-
- 63 quired pursuant to this act, shall pay, in addition to the tax, interest
- on the amount of underpayment at a per annum rate of 5% above
- 65 the average predominant prime rate, as determined by the Board
- of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, quoted by commer-
- o7 cial banks to large businesses as of the first business day of the
- 68 calendar quarter within which the payment was due.
 - 1 2. This act shall take effect immediately, shall be applicable
 - 2 with respect to taxpayers whose accounting periods end on or
 - 3 after December 31, 1980, and shall be retroactive to the date of
 - 4 enactment of P. L. , c. (C.) (now pending
 - 5 before the Legislature as Assembly Bill No. 3472).

STATEMENT

This bill would amend section 5 of Assembly Bill No. 3472 (now pending) to permit corporations an alternative basis for calculating the amount of an installment payment which must be made in order to avoid underpayment, and the interest charges associated therewith. The alternative provided in this bill would be of particular use to small businesses which may not retain full-time accountants.

STATEMENT

This bill would amend section 5 of Assembly Bill No. 3472 (now pending) to permit corporations an alternative basis for calculating the amount of an installment payment which must be made in order to avoid underpayment, and the interest charges associated therewith. The alternative provided in this bill would be of particular use to small businesses which may not retain full-time accountants.

A3521 (1981)

FROM THE OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

DECEMBER 17, 1981

DAVID DE MAIO

Governor Brendan Byrne today signed the following bills into-

A-1314, sponsored by Assemblyman Christopher Jackman (D-Hudson), restoring the requirement that persons directly involved in a check or money order cashing business be fingerprinted. The requirement was lifted in February of 1980 during the repeal of the surety bond licensing requirement.

The law takes effect immediately and is retroactive to February 29, 1980.

A-1621, sponsored by Assemblyman W. Cary Edwards (R-Bergen), permitting member benefits under the Police and Firemen's Retirement System (PFRS) to be transferred to the alternative benefit program of the State's university and college system in the event of a personnel transfer to the college system.

Under current law only members of the Teacher's Pension and Annuity Fund and the Public Employee Retirement System (PERS) are eligible to make such a transfer. This bill remedies the inequity.

A-3521, sponsored by Assemblyman Albert Burstein (D-Bergen), amending a recently enacted bill which changed the method of paying corporate business taxes from a prepayment plan to an estimated plan and provided for penalties for underpayment of estimated taxes.

The new bill amends the law to allow corporations to pay installments for a current year based on the previous year's payments rather than the present "net income/net worth" estimated income approach.

The bill would help corporations, especially small firms who rarely employ full-time accountants, avoid the underpayment penalty because of mistakes in the estimation of their tax liabilities.

A-3671, sponsored by Assemblyman Albert Burstein (D-Bergen), validating recent bond proceedings for the Morris School District. Officials there made two minor errors in approving a school bond proceeding which were in technical violation of State statutes.

This bill validates the bond proceeding action.