34:1B-208 et. al.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST

Compiled by the NJ State Law Library

LAWS OF: 2011 **CHAPTER:** 89

NJSA: 34:1B-208 et. al. (Makes various changes to urban transit hub tax credit program concerning mixed use

projects)

BILL NO: S2972 (Substituted for A4161)

SPONSOR(S) Lesniak and others

DATE INTRODUCED: June 20, 2011

COMMITTEE: ASSEMBLY: ---

SENATE: Economic Growth

AMENDED DURING PASSAGE: Yes

DATE OF PASSAGE: ASSEMBLY: June 29, 2011

SENATE: June 29, 2011

DATE OF APPROVAL: July 26, 2011

FOLLOWING ARE ATTACHED IF AVAILABLE:

FINAL TEXT OF BILL (Second reprint enacted)

S2972

SPONSOR'S STATEMENT: (Begins on page 9 of introduced bill) Yes

COMMITTEE STATEMENT: ASSEMBLY: No

SENATE: Yes

(Audio archived recordings of the committee meetings, corresponding to the date of the committee statement, *may possibly* be found at www.njleg.state.nj.us)

FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT: Yes

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE: Yes

A4161

SPONSOR'S STATEMENT: (Begins on page 4 of introduced bill)

Yes

COMMITTEE STATEMENT: ASSEMBLY: Yes

SENATE: No

FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT: No

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE: Yes

(continued)

VETO MESSAGE:	No
GOVERNOR'S PRESS RELEASE ON SIGNING:	Yes

FOLLOWING WERE PRINTED:

To check for circulating copies, contact New Jersey State Government Publications at the State Library (609) 278-2640 ext.103 or mailto:refdesk@njstatelib.org

REPORTS: No

HEARINGS: No

NEWSPAPER ARTICLES: Yes

LAW/KR

[&]quot;Gov. Chrisite signs bipartisan legislation to boost job creation through expanded tax incentives," NewJerseyNewsroom.com, 7-27-11

[&]quot;Christie expands tax credit for transit hubs," The Star ledger, 7-27-11

[&]quot;Law to spur projects," Home News Tribune, 7-27-11

[&]quot;New law aims to spur urban redevelopment," Asbury Park Press, 7-27-11

[&]quot;New law designed to help spur urban development in New Jersey," Daily Record, 7-27-11

[&]quot;Law designed to aid development," Courier News, 7-27-11

[&]quot;New tax credits likely to spur Camden projects," Courier-Post, 7-27-11

P.L.2011, CHAPTER 89, approved July 26, 2011 Senate, No. 2972 (Second Reprint)

AN ACT concerning ²economic development and redevelopment under² the urban transit hub tax credit ²[program]² and ²the economic redevelopment and growth grant programs, ² amending P.L.2007, c.346 ¹, P.L.2008, c.46, ¹ and P.L.2009, c.90.

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

22.

- 1. Section 2 of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-208) is amended to read as follows:
- 2. As used in this act:

"Affiliate" means an entity that directly or indirectly controls, is under common control with, or is controlled by the business. Control exists in all cases in which the entity is a member of a controlled group of corporations as defined pursuant to section 1563 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C.s.1563) or the entity is an organization in a group of organizations under common control as defined pursuant to subsection (b) or (c) of section 414 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C.s.414). A taxpayer may establish by clear and convincing evidence, as determined by the Director of the Division of Taxation in the Department of the Treasury, that control exists in situations involving lesser percentages of ownership than required by those statutes. An affiliate of a business may contribute to meeting either the qualified investment or full-time employee requirements of a business that applies for a credit under section 3 of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-200)

"Authority" means the New Jersey Economic Development Authority established by section 4 of P.L.1974, c.80 (C.34:1B-4).

"Business" means a corporation that is subject to the tax imposed pursuant to section 5 of P.L.1945, c.162 (C.54:10A-5), a corporation that is subject to the tax imposed pursuant to sections 2 and 3 of P.L.1945, c.132 (C.54:18A-2 and 54:18A-3), section 1 of P.L.1950, c.231 (C.17:32-15) or N.J.S.17B:23-5, or is a partnership, an S corporation, or a limited liability corporation. A business shall include an affiliate of the business if that business applies for a credit based upon any capital investment made by or full-time employees of an affiliate.

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

Matter underlined thus is new matter.

Matter enclosed in superscript numerals has been adopted as follows:

¹Senate SEG committee amendments adopted June 23, 2011.

²Senate floor amendments adopted June 27, 2011.

"Capital investment" in a qualified business facility means expenses incurred after, but before the end of the eighth year after, the effective date of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.) for: a. the site preparation and construction, repair, renovation, improvement, equipping, or furnishing of a building, structure, facility or improvement to real property; and b. obtaining and installing furnishings and machinery, apparatus or equipment for the operation of a business in a building, structure, facility or improvement to real property.

"Eligible municipality" means a municipality: (1) which qualifies for State aid pursuant to P.L.1978, c.14 (C.52:27D-178 et seq.) or which was continued to be a qualified municipality thereunder pursuant to P.L.2007, c.111; and (2) in which 30 percent or more of the value of real property was exempt from local property taxation during tax year 2006. The percentage of exempt property shall be calculated by dividing the total exempt value by the sum of the net valuation which is taxable and that which is tax exempt.

"Full-time employee" means a person employed by the business for consideration for at least 35 hours a week, or who renders any other standard of service generally accepted by custom or practice as full-time employment, or a person who is employed by a professional employer organization pursuant to an employee leasing agreement between the business and the professional employer organization, in accordance with P.L.2001, c.260 (C.34:8-67 et seq.) for at least 35 hours a week, or who renders any other standard of service generally accepted by custom or practice as full-time employment, and whose wages are subject to withholding as provided in the "New Jersey Gross Income Tax Act," N.J.S.54A:1-1 et seq. or an employee who is a resident of another State but whose income is not subject to the "New Jersey Gross Income Tax Act," N.J.S.54A:1-1 et seq. or who is a partner of a business who works for the partnership for at least 35 hours a week, or who renders any other standard of service generally accepted by custom or practice as full-time employment, and whose distributive share of income, gain, loss, or deduction, or whose guaranteed payments, or any combination thereof, is subject to the payment of estimated taxes, as provided in the "New Jersey Gross Income Tax Act," N.J.S.54A:1-1 "Full-time employee" shall not include any person who works as an independent contractor or on a consulting basis for the business.

1"Mixed use project" means a project comprising both a qualified business facility and a qualified residential project.

"Partnership" means an entity classified as a partnership for federal income tax purposes.

"Professional employer organization" means an employee leasing company registered with the Department of Labor and Workforce Development pursuant to P.L.2001, c.260 (C.34:8-67 et seq.).

"Qualified business facility" means any building, complex of 1 2 buildings or structural components of buildings, and all machinery 3 and equipment located within a designated urban transit hub in an 4 eligible municipality, used in connection with the operation of a 5 business.

1"Qualified residential project" shall have the meaning ascribed to that term under section 34 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.34:1B-209.2).1

"Residential unit" means a residential dwelling unit such as a rental apartment, a condominium or cooperative unit, a hotel room, or a dormitory room.

"Urban transit hub" means:

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

- a. property located within a 1/2 mile radius surrounding the mid point of a New Jersey Transit Corporation, Port Authority Transit Corporation or Port Authority Trans-Hudson Corporation rail station platform area, including all light rail stations, and property located within a one mile radius of the mid point of the platform area of such a rail station if the property is in a qualified municipality under the "Municipal Rehabilitation and Economic Recovery Act," P.L.2002, c.43 (C.52:27BBB-1 et seq.);
- b. property located within a 1/2 mile radius surrounding the mid point of one of up to two underground light rail stations' platform areas that are most proximate to an interstate rail station;
- c. property adjacent to, or connected by rail spur to, a freight rail line if the business utilizes that freight line at any rail spur located adjacent to or within a one mile radius surrounding the entrance to the property for loading and unloading freight cars on
- which property shall have been specifically delineated by the authority pursuant to subsection e. of section 3 of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-209).
- A property which is partially included within the radius shall only be considered part of the urban transit hub if over 50 percent of its land area falls within the radius.
- "Rail station" shall not include any rail station located at an 34 35 international airport.
- 36 (cf: P.L.2009, c.90, s.31)
- 38 2. Section 3 of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-209) is amended to 39 read as follows:
- 40 3. a. (1) A business, upon application to and approval from the 41 authority, shall be allowed a credit of 100 percent of its capital investment, made after the effective date of P.L.2007, c.346 42 43 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.) but prior to its submission of documentation 44 pursuant to subsection c. of this section, in a qualified business 45 facility within an eligible municipality, pursuant to the restrictions 46 and requirements of this section. To be eligible for any tax credits 47 authorized under this section, a business shall demonstrate to the authority, at the time of application, that the State's financial 48

1 support of the proposed capital investment in a qualified business 2 facility will yield a net positive benefit to both the State and the 3 eligible municipality. ²[1 In determining whether a proposed capital 4 investment will yield a net positive benefit, the authority shall not 5 consider the transfer of an existing job from one location in the 6 State to another location as the creation of a new job, unless the 7 business proposes to transfer existing jobs to a municipality as part 8 of a consolidation of business operations from two or more other 9 municipalities; provided, however, that the foregoing provisions of 10 this sentence shall not apply to any proposed capital investment 11 included in an application submitted to the authority, pursuant to this paragraph, on or before June 22, 2011.¹]² The value of all 12 13 credits approved by the authority pursuant to P.L.2007, c.346 14 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.) shall not exceed \$1,500,000,000.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- (2) A business, other than a tenant eligible pursuant to paragraph (3) of this subsection, shall make or acquire capital investments totaling not less than \$50,000,000 in a qualified business facility, at which the business shall employ not fewer than 250 full-time employees to be eligible for a credit under this section. A business that acquires a qualified business facility shall also be deemed to have acquired the capital investment made or acquired by the seller.
- (3) A business that is a tenant in a qualified business facility, the owner of which has made or acquired capital investments in the facility totaling not less than \$50,000,000, shall occupy a leased area of the qualified business facility that represents at least \$17,500,000 of the capital investment in the facility at which the tenant business and up to two other tenants in the qualified business facility shall employ not fewer than 250 full-time employees in the aggregate to be eligible for a credit under this section. The amount of capital investment in a facility that a leased area represents shall be equal to that percentage of the owner's total capital investment in the facility that the percentage of net leasable area leased by the tenant is of the total net leasable area of the qualified business facility. Capital investments made by a tenant shall be deemed to be included in the calculation of the capital investment made or acquired by the owner, but only to the extent necessary to meet the owner's minimum capital investment of \$50,000,000. investments made by a tenant and not allocated to meet the owner's minimum capital investment threshold of \$50,000,000 shall be added to the amount of capital investment represented by the tenant's leased area in the qualified business facility.
- (4) A business shall not be allowed tax credits under this section if the business participates in a business employment incentive grant relating to the same capital and employees that qualify the business for this credit, or if the business receives assistance pursuant to P.L.1996, c.25 (C.34:1B-112 et seq.). A business that is allowed a tax credit under this section shall not be eligible for

- 1 incentives authorized pursuant to P.L.2002, c.43 (C.52:27BBB-1 et
- 2 al.). A business shall not qualify for a tax credit under this section,
- 3 based upon capital investment and employment of full-time
- 4 employees, if that capital investment or employment was the basis
- 5 for which a grant was provided to the business pursuant to the
- 6 "InvestNJ Business Grant Program Act," P.L.2008, c.112 (C.34:1B-
- 7 237 et seq.).

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- (5) Full-time employment for an accounting or privilege period shall be determined as the average of the monthly full-time employment for the period.
- (6) The capital investment of the owner of a qualified business facility is that percentage of the capital investment made or acquired by the owner of the building that the percentage of net leasable area of the qualified business facility not leased to tenants is of the total net leasable area of the qualified business facility.
- ¹(7) A business shall be allowed a tax credit of 100 percent of its capital investment, made after the effective date of P.L. , c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill) but prior to its submission of documentation pursuant to subsection c. of this section, in a qualified business facility that is part of a mixed use project, provided that (a) the qualified business facility represents at least \$17,500,000 of the total capital investment in the mixed use project, (b) the business employs not fewer than 250 full-time employees in the qualified business facility, and (c) the total capital investment in the mixed use project of which the qualified business facility is a part is not less than \$50,000,000. The allowance of credits under this paragraph shall be subject to the restrictions and requirements, to the extent that those are not inconsistent with the provisions of this paragraph, set forth in paragraphs (1) through (6) of this subsection, including but not limited to the requirement that the business shall demonstrate to the authority, at the time of application, that the State's financial support of the proposed capital investment in a qualified business facility will yield a net positive benefit to both the State and the eligible municipality.¹
- ²(8) In determining whether a proposed capital investment will yield a net positive benefit, the authority shall not consider the transfer of an existing job from one location in the State to another location in the State as the creation of a new job, unless (a) the business proposes to transfer existing jobs to a municipality in the State as part of a consolidation of business operations from two or more other locations that are not in the same municipality whether in-State or out-of-State, or (b) the business's chief executive officer, or equivalent officer, submits a certification to the authority indicating that the existing jobs are at risk of leaving the State and that the business's chief executive officer, or equivalent officer, has reviewed the information submitted to the authority and that the representations contained therein are accurate, and the business intends to employ not fewer than 500 full-time employees in the

qualified business facility. In the event that this certification by the business's chief executive officer, or equivalent officer, is found to be willfully false, the authority may revoke any award of tax credits in their entirety, which revocation shall be in addition to any other criminal or civil penalties that the business and the officer may be subject to. When considering an application involving intra-State job transfers, the authority shall require the company to submit the following information as part of its application: a full economic analysis of all locations under consideration by the company; all lease agreements, ownership documents, or substantially similar documentation for the business's current in-State locations; and all lease agreements, ownership documents, or substantially similar documentation for the potential out-of-State location alternatives, to the extent they exist. Based on this information, and any other information deemed relevant by the authority, the authority shall independently verify and confirm, by way of making a factual finding by separate vote of the authority's board, the business's assertion that the jobs are actually at risk of leaving the State, before a business may be awarded any tax credits under this section.2

b. A business shall apply for the credit within five years after the effective date of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.), and ¹[a business] shall submit its documentation for approval of its credit amount within eight years after the effective date of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.).

c. (1) The amount of credit allowed shall, except as otherwise provided, be equal to the capital investment made by the business, or the capital investment represented by the business' leased area, or area owned by the business as a condominium, and shall be taken over a 10-year period, at the rate of one-tenth of the total amount of the business' credit for each tax accounting or privilege period of the business, beginning with the tax period in which the business is first approved by the authority as having met the investment capital and employment qualifications, subject to any reduction or disqualification as provided by subsection d. of this section as determined by annual review by the authority. In conducting its annual review, the authority may require a business to submit any information determined by the authority to be necessary and relevant to its review.

The credit amount for any tax period ending after the date eight years after the effective date of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.) during which the documentation of a business' credit amount remains unapproved shall be forfeited, although credit amounts for the remainder of the years of the 10-year credit period shall remain available to it.

The credit amount that may be taken for a tax period of the business that exceeds the final liabilities of the business for the tax period may be carried forward for use by the business in the next 20

successive tax periods, and shall expire thereafter, provided that the
value of all credits '[applied] approved by the authority' against
tax liabilities pursuant to P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.) in
any fiscal year shall not exceed \$150,000,000.

The amount of credit allowed for a tax period to a business that is a tenant in a qualified business facility shall not exceed the business' total lease payments for occupancy of the qualified business facility for the tax period.

- (2) A business that is a partnership shall not be allowed a credit under this section directly, but the amount of credit of an owner of a business shall be determined by allocating to each owner of the partnership that proportion of the credit of the business that is equal to the owner of the partnership's share, whether or not distributed, of the total distributive income or gain of the partnership for its tax period ending within or with the owner's tax period, or that proportion that is allocated by an agreement, if any, among the owners of the partnership that has been provided to the Director of the Division of Taxation in the Department of the Treasury by such time and accompanied by such additional information as the director may require.
- (3) The amount of credit allowed may be applied against the tax liability otherwise due pursuant to section 5 of P.L.1945, c.162 (C.54:10A-5), pursuant to sections 2 and 3 of P.L.1945, c.132 (C.54:18A-2 and 54:18A-3), pursuant to section 1 of P.L.1950, c.231 (C.17:32-15), or pursuant to N.J.S.17B:23-5.
- (1) If, in any tax period, fewer than 200 full-time employees of the business at the qualified business facility are employed in new full-time positions, the amount of the credit otherwise determined pursuant to final calculation of the award of tax credits pursuant to subsection c. of this section shall be reduced by 20 percent for that tax period and each subsequent tax period until the first period for which documentation demonstrating the restoration of the 200 full-time employees employed in new full-time positions at the qualified business facility has been reviewed and approved by the authority, for which tax period and each subsequent tax period the full amount of the credit shall be allowed; provided, however, that for businesses applying before January 1, 2010, there shall be no reduction if a business relocates to an urban transit hub from another location or other locations in the same municipality. For the purposes of this paragraph, a "new full-time position" means a position created by the business at the qualified business facility that did not previously exist in this State.
- (2) If, in any tax period, the business reduces the total number of full-time employees in its Statewide workforce by more than 20 percent from the number of full-time employees in its Statewide workforce in the last tax accounting or privilege period prior to the credit amount approval under this section, then the business shall forfeit its credit amount for that tax period and each subsequent tax

1

2

3

4

5

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

period, until the first tax period for which documentation demonstrating the restoration of the business' Statewide workforce to the threshold levels required by this paragraph has been reviewed and approved by the authority, for which tax period and each subsequent tax period the full amount of the credit shall be allowed.

- (3) If, in any tax period, $\frac{2}{(a)}$ the number of full-time employees 6 7 employed by the business at the qualified business facility located 8 in an urban transit hub within an eligible municipality drops below 250², or (b) the number of full-time employees, who are not the 9 subject of intra-State job transfers, pursuant to paragraph (8) of 10 11 subsection a. of this section, employed by the business at any other 12 business facility in the State, whether or not located in an urban 13 transit hub within an eligible municipality, drops by more than 20 14 percent from the number of full-time employees in its workforce in 15 the last tax accounting or privilege period prior to the credit amount approval under this section,² then the business shall forfeit its credit 16 amount for that tax period and each subsequent tax period, until the 17 18 first tax period for which documentation demonstrating the 19 restoration of the number of full-time employees employed by the business at the qualified business facility to 250 ²or an increase 20 above the 20 percent reduction² has been reviewed and approved by 21 22 the authority, for which tax period and each subsequent tax period 23 the full amount of the credit shall be allowed.
 - (4) (i) If the qualified business facility is sold in whole or in part during the 10-year eligibility period the new owner shall not acquire the capital investment of the seller and the seller shall forfeit all credits for the tax period in which the sale occurs and all subsequent tax periods, provided however that any credits of tenants shall remain unaffected.
 - (ii) If a tenant subleases its tenancy in whole or in part during the 10-year eligibility period the new tenant shall not acquire the credit of the sublessor, and the sublessor tenant shall forfeit all credits for the tax period of its sublease and all subsequent tax periods.
 - e. (1) The Executive Director of the New Jersey Economic Development Authority, in consultation with the Director of the Division of Taxation in the Department of the Treasury, shall adopt rules in accordance with the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.) as are necessary to implement this act, including but not limited to: examples of and the determination of capital investment; the enumeration of eligible municipalities; specific delineation of urban transit hubs; the determination of the limits, if any, on the expense or type of furnishings that may constitute capital improvements; the promulgation of procedures and forms necessary to apply for a credit ¹, including the enumeration of the certification procedures and allocation of tax credits for different phases of a qualified

business facility or mixed use project¹; and provisions for credit applicants to be charged an initial application fee, and ongoing service fees, to cover the administrative costs related to the credit.

(2) Through regulation, the Economic Development Authority shall establish standards based on the green building manual prepared by the Commissioner of Community Affairs pursuant to section 1 of P.L.2007, c.132 (C.52:27D-130.6), regarding the use of renewable energy, energy-efficient technology, and non-renewable resources in order to reduce environmental degradation and encourage long-term cost reduction.

(cf: P.L.2009, c.90, s.32)

¹3. Section 34 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.34:1B-209.2) is amended to read as follows:

34. As used in sections 34 and 35 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.34:1B-209.2 and C.34:1B-209.3), the terms "affiliate," "authority," municipality," "partnership," "capital investment," "eligible "residential unit," and "urban transit hub" shall have the same meanings as ascribed thereto in the "Urban Transit Hub Tax Credit Act," P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.), as amended by P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489a et al.), [except] provided that all references therein to "business" and "qualified business facility" shall be deemed to refer respectively to "developer" and "qualified residential project," as such terms are defined in this section. Provided however, for purposes of a "mixed use project" as that term is defined and used pursuant to subparagraph b. of paragraph 4 of subsection a. of section 35 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.34:1B-209.3), "qualified business facility" means that term as defined pursuant to section 2 of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-208). In addition, as used in sections 34 and 35 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.34:1B-209.2 and C.34:1B-209.3):

"Developer" shall have the same meaning as "business," as such term is defined in the "Urban Transit Hub Tax Credit Act," P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.), as amended by P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489a et al.).

"Qualified residential project" means any building, complex of buildings or structural components of buildings [, including a mixed use project,] consisting predominantly of residential units, located in an urban transit hub within an eligible municipality. (cf: P.L.2009, c.90, s.34)

¹[3.] <u>4.</u> ¹ Section 35 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.34:1B-209.3) is amended to read as follows:

35. a. (1) A developer, upon application to and approval from the authority, shall be allowed a credit of up to [20] 35 percent of its capital investment, made after the effective date of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489a et al.) but prior to its submission of

1 documentation pursuant to subsection c. of this section, in a 2 qualified residential project, pursuant to the restrictions and 3 requirements of this section. To be eligible for any tax credits 4 authorized under this section, a developer shall demonstrate to the 5 authority, through a project pro forma analysis at the time of 6 application, that the qualified residential project is likely to be 7 realized with the provision of tax credits at the level requested but 8 is not likely to be accomplished by private enterprise without the 9 tax credits. The value of all credits approved by the authority 10 pursuant to P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489a et al.) for qualified 11 residential projects may be up to \$150,000,000, except as may be 12 increased by the authority as set forth below; provided, however, 13 that the combined value of all credits approved by the authority 14 pursuant to both P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.) and 15 P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489a et al.) shall not exceed 16 \$1,500,000,000. The authority shall monitor application and 17 allocation activity under P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.), and 18 if sufficient credits are available after taking into account allocation 19 under P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.) to those qualified 20 business facilities for which applications have been filed or for 21 which applications are reasonably anticipated, and if the executive 22 director judges certain qualified residential projects to be 23 meritorious, the aforementioned \$150,000,000 cap may, in the 24 discretion of the executive director, be exceeded for allocation to 25 qualified residential projects in such amounts as the executive 26 director deems reasonable, justified, and appropriate. In allocating 27 all credits to qualified residential projects under this section, the 28 executive director shall take into account, together with other 29 factors deemed relevant by the executive director: input from the 30 municipality in which the project is to be located, whether the 31 project furthers specific State or municipal planning and 32 development objectives, or both, and whether the project furthers a 33 public purpose, such as catalyzing urban development or 34 maximizing the value of vacant, dilapidated, 35 government-owned, or underutilized property, or both.

(2) A developer shall make or acquire capital investments totaling not less than \$50,000,000 in a qualified residential project to be eligible for a credit under this section. A developer that acquires a qualified residential project shall also be deemed to have acquired the capital investment made or acquired by the seller.

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

- (3) The capital investment requirement may be met by the developer or by one or more of its affiliates.
- ¹(4) A developer of a mixed use project shall be allowed a credit pursuant to subparagraphs (a) or (b) of this paragraph, but not both.
- (a) A developer shall be allowed a credit in accordance with this section for a qualified residential project that includes a mixed use project.

1 (b) A developer shall be allowed a credit of up to 35 percent of 2 its capital investment, made after the effective date of P.L. 3 c. (pending before the Legislature as this bill) but prior to its 4 submission of documentation pursuant to subsection c. of this 5 section, in a qualified residential project that is part of a mixed use 6 project, provided that: (a) the capital investment in the qualified 7 residential project represents at least \$17,500,000 of the total capital 8 investment in the mixed use project; and (b) the total capital 9 investment in the mixed use project of which the qualified 10 residential project is a part is not less than \$50,000,000. The allowance of credits under this paragraph shall be subject to the 11 12 restrictions and requirements, to the extent that those are not inconsistent with the provisions of this paragraph, set forth in 13 paragraphs (1) through (3) of this subsection, including but not 14 15 limited to the requirement prescribed in paragraph (1) of this 16 subsection that the developer shall demonstrate to the authority, 17 through a project pro forma analysis at the time of application, that 18 the qualified residential project is likely to be realized with the 19 provision of tax credits at the level requested but is not likely to be 20 accomplished by private enterprise without the tax credits.

As used in this subparagraph:

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

45

"Mixed use project" means a project comprising both a qualified residential project and a qualified business facility.

- b. A developer shall apply for the credit within five years after the effective date of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489a et al.), and a developer shall submit its documentation for approval of its credit amount within eight years after the effective date of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489a et al.).
- 29 The credit shall be administered in accordance with the 30 provisions of subsections c. and e. of section 3 of P.L.2007, c.346 31 (C.34:1B-209), as amended by section 32 of P.L.2009, c.90, and 32 section 33 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.34:1B-209.1), except that (1) all 33 references therein to "business" and "qualified business facility" shall be deemed to refer respectively to "developer" and "qualified 34 residential project," as such terms are defined in section 34 of 35 36 P.L.2009, c.90 (C.34:1B-209.2) and (2) all references therein to 37 credits claimed by tenants and to reductions or disqualifications in 38 credits as determined by annual review of the authority shall be 39 disregarded. ¹Provided however, for purposes of a "mixed use 40 project" as that term is used and defined pursuant to subparagraph 41 b. of paragraph 4 of subsection a. of this section, "qualified business 42 facility" means that term as defined pursuant to section 2 of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-208). 43
- 44 (cf: P.L.2009, c.90, s.35)

46 ¹5. Section 18 of P.L.2008, c.46 (C.52:27D-329.9) is amended 47 to read as follows:

1 18. a. Notwithstanding any rules of the council to the contrary, 2 for developments consisting of newly-constructed residential units 3 located, or to be located, within the jurisdiction of any regional 4 planning entity required to adopt a master plan or comprehensive 5 management plan pursuant to statutory law, including the New 6 Jersey Meadowlands Commission pursuant to subsection (i) of 7 section 6 of P.L.1968, c.404 (C.13:17-6), the Pinelands Commission 8 pursuant to section 7 of the "Pinelands Protection Act," P.L.1979, 9 c.111 (C.13:18A-8), the Fort Monmouth Economic Revitalization 10 Planning Authority pursuant to section 5 of P.L.2006, c.16 11 (C.52:27I-5), or its successor, and the Highlands Water Protection 12 and Planning Council pursuant to section 11 of P.L.2004, c.120 (C.13:20-11), but excluding joint planning boards formed pursuant 13 14 to section 64 of P.L.1975, c.291 (C.40:55D-77), there shall be 15 required to be reserved for occupancy by low or moderate income 16 households at least 20 percent of the residential units constructed, to 17 the extent this is economically feasible.

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

- b. ²[A] Subject to the provisions of subsection d. of this section, a² developer of a project consisting of newly-constructed residential units being financed in whole or in part with State funds, including, but not limited to, transit villages designated by the Department of Transportation ²[,] and ² units constructed on Stateowned property, [and urban transit hubs as defined pursuant to section 2 of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-208), shall be required to reserve at least 20 percent of the residential units constructed for occupancy by low or moderate income households, as those terms are defined in section 4 of P.L.1985, c.222 (C.52:27D-304), with affordability controls as required under the rules of the council, unless the municipality in which the property is located has received substantive certification from the council and such a reservation is not required under the approved affordable housing plan, or the municipality has been given a judgment of repose or a judgment of compliance by the court, and such a reservation is not required under the approved affordable housing plan.
- c. (1) The Legislature recognizes that regional planning entities are appropriately positioned to take a broader role in the planning and provision of affordable housing based on regional planning considerations. In recognition of the value of sound regional planning, including the desire to foster economic growth, create a variety and choice of housing near public transportation, protect critical environmental resources, including farmland and open space preservation, and maximize the use of existing infrastructure, there is created a new program to foster regional planning entities.
- (2) The regional planning entities identified in subsection a. of this section shall identify and coordinate regional affordable housing opportunities in cooperation with municipalities in areas with convenient access to infrastructure, employment opportunities,

1 and public transportation. Coordination of affordable housing 2 opportunities may include methods to regionally provide housing in 3 line with regional concerns, such as transit needs or opportunities, 4 environmental concerns, or such other factors as the council may 5 permit; provided, however, that such provision by such a regional 6 entity may not result in more than a 50 percent change in the fair 7 share obligation of any municipality; provided that this limitation 8 shall not apply to affordable housing units directly attributable to 9 development by the New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority 10 within the New Jersey Meadowlands District.

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

- (3) In addition to the entities identified in subsection a. of this section, the Casino Reinvestment Development Authority, in conjunction with the Atlantic County Planning Board, shall identify and coordinate regional affordable housing opportunities directly attributable to Atlantic City casino development, which may be provided anywhere within Atlantic County, subject to the restrictions of paragraph (4) of this subsection.
- (4) The coordination of affordable housing opportunities by regional entities as identified in this section shall not include activities which would provide housing units to be located in those municipalities that are eligible to receive aid under the "Special Municipal Aid Act," P.L.1987, c.75 (C.52:27D-118.24 et seq.), or are coextensive with a school district which qualified for designation as a "special needs district" pursuant to the "Quality Education Act of 1990," P.L.1990, c.52 (C.18A:7D-1 et al.), or at any time in the last 10 years has been qualified to receive assistance under P.L.1978, c.14 (C.52:27D-178 et seq.) and that fall within the jurisdiction of any of the regional entities specified in subsection a. of this section.
- 30 d. Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection b. of this section, or any other law or regulation to the contrary, for ²[the]² 31 purposes of mixed use projects or qualified residential projects 32 ²[where] in which² a business receives ²[an urban transit hub] a² 33 tax credit pursuant to P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.) ²or a 34 35 tax credit pursuant to section 35 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.34:1B-209.3), or both², an "eligible municipality," as defined in section 2 of 36 P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-208), shall have the option of deciding 37 38 the percentage of newly-constructed residential units within the 39 project, up to 20 percent of the total, required to be reserved for 40 occupancy by low or moderate income households ²[within an 41 "urban transit hub" established pursuant to P.L.2007, c.346]. For a 42 mixed use project or a qualified residential project that has received preliminary or final site plan approval prior to the effective date of 43 44 P.L., c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill), the 45 percentage shall be deemed to be the percentage, if any, of units 46 required to be reserved for low or moderate income households in

accordance with the terms and conditions of such approval². 1 1 2 (cf: P.L.2008, c.46, s.18)

3 4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

- ²6. Section 3 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489c) is amended to read as follows:
- 6 3. As used in sections 3 through 18 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489c et al.):

"Applicant" means a developer proposing to enter into a redevelopment incentive grant agreement.

"Ancillary infrastructure project" means public structures or improvements that are located in the public right-of-way outside the project area of a redevelopment project, provided a developer or municipal redeveloper has demonstrated that the redevelopment not be economically viable without project would improvements.

"Authority" means the New Jersey Economic Development Authority established under section 4 of P.L.1974, c.80 (C.34:1B-4).

"Developer" means any person who enters or proposes to enter into a redevelopment incentive grant agreement pursuant to the provisions of section 9 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489i). developer also may be a municipal government or a redevelopment agency as defined in section 3 of P.L.1992, c.79 (C.40A:12A-3).

"Director" means the Director of the Division of Taxation in the Department of the Treasury.

"Eligible revenue" means the property tax increment and any other incremental revenues set forth in section 11 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489k).

"Incentive grant" means reimbursement of all or a portion of the project financing gap of a redevelopment project through the State or a local Economic Redevelopment and Growth Grant program pursuant to section 4 or section 5 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489d or C.52:27D-489e).

"Infrastructure improvements in the public right-of-way" mean public structures or improvements located in the public right of way that are located within a project area or that constitute an ancillary infrastructure project.

"Municipal redeveloper" means a municipal government or a redevelopment agency acting on behalf of a municipal government as defined in section 3 of P.L.1992, c.79 (C.40A:12A-3) that is an applicant for a redevelopment incentive grant agreement.

"Project area" means land or lands under common ownership or control including through a redevelopment agreement with a municipality or as otherwise established by a municipality.

"Project financing gap" means the part of the total redevelopment project cost, including return on investment, that remains to be financed after all other sources of capital have been accounted for, including, but not limited to, developer contributed

capital, which shall not be less than 20 percent of the total project cost, and investor or financial entity capital or loans for which the developer, after making all good faith efforts to raise additional capital, certifies that additional capital cannot be raised from other sources.

"Project revenue" means all rents, fees, sales, and payments generated by a project, less taxes or other government payments.

"Property tax increment" means the amount obtained by:

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

- (1) multiplying the general tax rate levied each year by the taxable value of all the property assessed within a project area in the same year, excluding any special assessments; and
- (2) multiplying that product by a fraction having a numerator equal to the taxable value of all the property assessed within the project area, minus the property tax increment base, and having a denominator equal to the taxable value of all property assessed within the project area.

For the purpose of this definition, "property tax increment base" means the aggregate taxable value of all property assessed which is located within the redevelopment project area as of October 1st of the year preceding the year in which the redevelopment incentive grant agreement is authorized.

"Qualifying economic redevelopment and growth grant incentive area" means Planning Area 1 (Metropolitan), Planning Area 2 (Suburban), or a center as designated by the State Planning Commission; an area zoned for development pursuant to a master plan adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission pursuant to subsection (i) of section 6 of P.L.1968, c.404 (C.13:17-6) or subject to a redevelopment plan adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission pursuant to section 20 of P.L.1968, c.404 (C.13:17-21); any land owned by the New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority, established pursuant to P.L.1971, c.137 (C.5:10-1 et seq.), within the boundaries of the Hackensack Meadowlands District as delineated in section 4 of P.L.1968, c.404 (C.13:17-4); a pinelands regional growth area, a pinelands town management area, a pinelands village, or a military and federal installation area established pursuant to the pinelands comprehensive management plan adopted pursuant to P.L.1979, c.111 (C.13:18A-1 et seq.); a transit village, as determined by the Commissioner of Transportation; and federally owned land approved for closure under a federal Base Realignment Closing Commission action.

"Redevelopment incentive grant agreement" means an agreement between, (1) the State and the New Jersey Economic Development Authority and a developer, or (2) a municipality and a developer, or a municipal ordinance authorizing a project to be undertaken by a municipal redeveloper, under which, in exchange for the proceeds of an incentive grant, the developer agrees to perform any work or undertaking necessary for a redevelopment project, including the

clearance, development or redevelopment, construction, or rehabilitation of any structure or improvement of commercial, industrial, residential, or public structures or improvements within a qualifying economic redevelopment and growth grant incentive area or a transit village.

"Redevelopment project" means a specific work or improvement, including lands, buildings, improvements, real and personal property or any interest therein, including lands under water, riparian rights, space rights and air rights, acquired, owned, developed or redeveloped, constructed, reconstructed, rehabilitated or improved, undertaken by a developer within a project area and any ancillary infrastructure project associated therewith.

"Redevelopment utility" means a self-liquidating fund created by a municipality pursuant to section 12 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-4891) to account for revenues collected and incentive grants paid pursuant to section 11 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489k), or other revenues dedicated to a redevelopment project.

"Revenue increment base" means the amounts of all eligible revenues from sources within the redevelopment project area in the calendar year preceding the year in which the redevelopment incentive grant agreement is executed, as certified by the State Treasurer for State revenues, and the chief financial officer of the municipality for municipal revenues.

"Transit village" means a community with a bus, train, light rail, or ferry station that has developed a plan to achieve its economic development and revitalization goals and has been designated by the New Jersey Department of Transportation as a transit village.² (cf: P.L.2010, c.10, s.4)

²7. (New section) The provisions of P.L., c. (C. (pending before the Legislature as this bill) shall be severable, and if any of its provisions shall be held to be unconstitutional, the decision of the court shall not affect the validity of the remaining provisions of P.L. , c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill).²

35

 $^{1}[4.]^{2}[\underline{6.}^{1}] \underline{8.}^{2}$ This act shall take effect immediately.

38 39

42

43

44

36

37

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31 32

33 34

40 41

Makes various changes to urban transit hub tax credit program concerning mixed use projects, in-State job relocation, tax credit and investment criteria, and affordable housing; makes certain NJ

45 Meadowlands area properties eligible for incentive grants.

SENATE, No. 2972

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

214th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED JUNE 20, 2011

Sponsored by: Senator RAYMOND J. LESNIAK District 20 (Union) Senator DONALD NORCROSS District 5 (Camden and Gloucester)

SYNOPSIS

Allows businesses under "Urban Transit Hub Tax Credit Program" to carry forward tax credits; increases proportion of cost of capital invested in certain projects that developers could receive as tax credits.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



AN ACT concerning the urban transit hub tax credit program and 2 amending P.L.2007, c.346 and P.L.2009, c.90.

3 4

1

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

- 1. Section 2 of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-208) is amended to read as follows:
 - 2. As used in this act:

"Affiliate" means an entity that directly or indirectly controls, is under common control with, or is controlled by the business. Control exists in all cases in which the entity is a member of a controlled group of corporations as defined pursuant to section 1563 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C.s.1563) or the entity is an organization in a group of organizations under common control as defined pursuant to subsection (b) or (c) of section 414 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C.s.414). A taxpayer may establish by clear and convincing evidence, as determined by the Director of the Division of Taxation in the Department of the Treasury, that control exists in situations involving lesser percentages of ownership than required by those statutes. affiliate of a business may contribute to meeting either the qualified investment or full-time employee requirements of a business that applies for a credit under section 3 of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-209).

"Authority" means the New Jersey Economic Development Authority established by section 4 of P.L.1974, c.80 (C.34:1B-4).

"Business" means a corporation that is subject to the tax imposed pursuant to section 5 of P.L.1945, c.162 (C.54:10A-5), a corporation that is subject to the tax imposed pursuant to sections 2 and 3 of P.L.1945, c.132 (C.54:18A-2 and 54:18A-3), section 1 of P.L.1950, c.231 (C.17:32-15) or N.J.S.17B:23-5, or is a partnership, an S corporation, or a limited liability corporation. A business shall include an affiliate of the business if that business applies for a credit based upon any capital investment made by or full-time employees of an affiliate.

"Capital investment" in a qualified business facility means expenses incurred after, but before the end of the eighth year after, the effective date of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.) for: a. preparation and construction, repair, renovation, improvement, equipping, or furnishing of a building, structure, facility or improvement to real property; and b. obtaining and installing furnishings and machinery, apparatus or equipment for the operation of a business in a building, structure, facility or improvement to real property.

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

"Eligible municipality" means a municipality: (1) which qualifies for State aid pursuant to P.L.1978, c.14 (C.52:27D-178 et seq.) or which was continued to be a qualified municipality thereunder pursuant to P.L.2007, c.111; and (2) in which 30 percent or more of the value of real property was exempt from local property taxation during tax year 2006. The percentage of exempt property shall be calculated by dividing the total exempt value by the sum of the net valuation which is taxable and that which is tax exempt.

"Full-time employee" means a person employed by the business for consideration for at least 35 hours a week, or who renders any other standard of service generally accepted by custom or practice as full-time employment, or a person who is employed by a professional employer organization pursuant to an employee leasing agreement between the business and the professional employer organization, in accordance with P.L.2001, c.260 (C.34:8-67 et seq.) for at least 35 hours a week, or who renders any other standard of service generally accepted by custom or practice as full-time employment, and whose wages are subject to withholding as provided in the "New Jersey Gross Income Tax Act," N.J.S.54A:1-1 et seq. or an employee who is a resident of another State but whose income is not subject to the "New Jersey Gross Income Tax Act," N.J.S.54A:1-1 et seq. or who is a partner of a business who works for the partnership for at least 35 hours a week, or who renders any other standard of service generally accepted by custom or practice as full-time employment, and whose distributive share of income, gain, loss, or deduction, or whose guaranteed payments, or any combination thereof, is subject to the payment of estimated taxes, as provided in the "New Jersey Gross Income Tax Act," N.J.S.54A:1-1 "Full-time employee" shall not include any person who works as an independent contractor or on a consulting basis for the business.

"Partnership" means an entity classified as a partnership for federal income tax purposes.

"Professional employer organization" means an employee leasing company registered with the Department of Labor and Workforce Development pursuant to P.L.2001, c.260 (C.34:8-67 et seq.).

"Qualified business facility" means any building, complex of buildings or structural components of buildings, and all machinery and equipment located within a designated urban transit hub in an eligible municipality, used in connection with the operation of a business.

"Residential unit" means a residential dwelling unit such as a rental apartment, a condominium or cooperative unit, a hotel room, or a dormitory room.

"Urban transit hub" means:

a. property located within a 1/2 mile radius surrounding the
 mid point of a New Jersey Transit Corporation, Port Authority
 Transit Corporation or Port Authority Trans-Hudson Corporation

rail station platform area, including all light rail stations, and property located within a one mile radius of the mid point of the platform area of such a rail station if the property is in a qualified municipality under the "Municipal Rehabilitation and Economic Recovery Act," P.L.2002, c.43 (C.52:27BBB-1 et seq.);

- b. property located within a 1/2 mile radius surrounding the mid point of one of up to two underground light rail stations' platform areas that are most proximate to an interstate rail station;
- c. property adjacent to, or connected by rail spur to, a freight rail line if the business utilizes that freight line at any rail spur located adjacent to or within a one mile radius surrounding the entrance to the property for loading and unloading freight cars on trains;

which property shall have been specifically delineated by the authority pursuant to subsection e. of section 3 of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-209).

A property which is partially included within the radius shall only be considered part of the <u>urban transit</u> hub if over 50 percent of its land area falls within the radius.

Rail station" shall not include any rail station located at an international airport.

(cf: P.L.2009, c.90, s.31)

- 2. Section 3 of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-209) is amended to read as follows:
- 3. a. (1) A business, upon application to and approval from the authority, shall be allowed a credit of 100 percent of its capital investment, made after the effective date of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.) but prior to its submission of documentation pursuant to subsection c. of this section, in a qualified business facility within an eligible municipality, pursuant to the restrictions and requirements of this section. To be eligible for any tax credits authorized under this section, a business shall demonstrate to the authority, at the time of application, that the State's financial support of the proposed capital investment in a qualified business facility will yield a net positive benefit to both the State and the eligible municipality. The value of all credits approved by the authority pursuant to P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.) shall not exceed \$1,500,000,000.
- (2) A business, other than a tenant eligible pursuant to paragraph (3) of this subsection, shall make or acquire capital investments totaling not less than \$50,000,000 in a qualified business facility, at which the business shall employ not fewer than 250 full-time employees to be eligible for a credit under this section. A business that acquires a qualified business facility shall also be deemed to have acquired the capital investment made or acquired by the seller.

- (3) A business that is a tenant in a qualified business facility, the owner of which has made or acquired capital investments in the facility totaling not less than \$50,000,000, shall occupy a leased area of the qualified business facility that represents at least \$17,500,000 of the capital investment in the facility at which the tenant business and up to two other tenants in the qualified business facility shall employ not fewer than 250 full-time employees in the aggregate to be eligible for a credit under this section. The amount of capital investment in a facility that a leased area represents shall be equal to that percentage of the owner's total capital investment in the facility that the percentage of net leasable area leased by the tenant is of the total net leasable area of the qualified business facility. Capital investments made by a tenant shall be deemed to be included in the calculation of the capital investment made or acquired by the owner, but only to the extent necessary to meet the owner's minimum capital investment of \$50,000,000. investments made by a tenant and not allocated to meet the owner's minimum capital investment threshold of \$50,000,000 shall be added to the amount of capital investment represented by the tenant's leased area in the qualified business facility.
 - (4) A business shall not be allowed tax credits under this section if the business participates in a business employment incentive grant relating to the same capital and employees that qualify the business for this credit, or if the business receives assistance pursuant to P.L.1996, c.25 (C.34:1B-112 et seq.). A business that is allowed a tax credit under this section shall not be eligible for incentives authorized pursuant to P.L.2002, c.43 (C.52:27BBB-1 et al.). A business shall not qualify for a tax credit under this section, based upon capital investment and employment of full-time employees, if that capital investment or employment was the basis for which a grant was provided to the business pursuant to the "InvestNJ Business Grant Program Act," P.L.2008, c.112 (C.34:1B-237 et seq.).

- (5) Full-time employment for an accounting or privilege period shall be determined as the average of the monthly full-time employment for the period.
- (6) The capital investment of the owner of a qualified business facility is that percentage of the capital investment made or acquired by the owner of the building that the percentage of net leasable area of the qualified business facility not leased to tenants is of the total net leasable area of the qualified business facility.
- b. A business shall apply for the credit within five years after the effective date of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.), and a business shall submit its documentation for approval of its credit amount within eight years after the effective date of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.).
- c. (1) The amount of credit allowed shall, except as otherwise provided, be equal to the capital investment made by the business,

or the capital investment represented by the business' leased area, or area owned by the business as a condominium, and shall be taken over a 10-year period, at the rate of one-tenth of the total amount of the business' credit for each tax accounting or privilege period of the business, beginning with the tax period in which the business is first approved by the authority as having met the investment capital and employment qualifications, subject to any reduction or disqualification as provided by subsection d. of this section as determined by annual review by the authority. In conducting its annual review, the authority may require a business to submit any information determined by the authority to be necessary and relevant to its review.

The credit amount for any tax period ending after the date eight years after the effective date of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.) during which the documentation of a business' credit amount remains unapproved shall be forfeited, although credit amounts for the remainder of the years of the 10-year credit period shall remain available to it.

The credit amount that may be taken for a tax period of the business that exceeds the final liabilities of the business for the tax period may be carried forward for use by the business in the next 20 successive tax periods, and shall expire thereafter, provided that the value of all credits applied against tax liabilities pursuant to P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.) in any fiscal year shall not exceed \$150,000,000.

The amount of credit allowed for a tax period to a business that is a tenant in a qualified business facility shall not exceed the business' total lease payments for occupancy of the qualified business facility for the tax period.

- (2) A business that is a partnership shall not be allowed a credit under this section directly, but the amount of credit of an owner of a business shall be determined by allocating to each owner of the partnership that proportion of the credit of the business that is equal to the owner of the partnership's share, whether or not distributed, of the total distributive income or gain of the partnership for its tax period ending within or with the owner's tax period, or that proportion that is allocated by an agreement, if any, among the owners of the partnership that has been provided to the Director of the Division of Taxation in the Department of the Treasury by such time and accompanied by such additional information as the director may require.
- (3) The amount of credit allowed may be applied against the tax liability otherwise due pursuant to section 5 of P.L.1945, c.162 (C.54:10A-5), pursuant to sections 2 and 3 of P.L.1945, c.132 (C.54:18A-2 and 54:18A-3), pursuant to section 1 of P.L.1950, c.231 (C.17:32-15), or pursuant to N.J.S.17B:23-5.
- d. (1) If, in any tax period, fewer than 200 full-time employees of the business at the qualified business facility are employed in

new full-time positions, the amount of the credit otherwise determined pursuant to final calculation of the award of tax credits pursuant to subsection c. of this section shall be reduced by 20 percent for that tax period and each subsequent tax period until the first period for which documentation demonstrating the restoration of the 200 full-time employees employed in new full-time positions at the qualified business facility has been reviewed and approved by the authority, for which tax period and each subsequent tax period the full amount of the credit shall be allowed; provided, however, that for businesses applying before January 1, 2010, there shall be no reduction if a business relocates to an urban transit hub from another location or other locations in the same municipality. For the purposes of this paragraph, a "new full-time position" means a position created by the business at the qualified business facility that did not previously exist in this State.

(2) If, in any tax period, the business reduces the total number of full-time employees in its Statewide workforce by more than 20 percent from the number of full-time employees in its Statewide workforce in the last tax accounting or privilege period prior to the credit amount approval under this section, then the business shall forfeit its credit amount for that tax period and each subsequent tax period, until the first tax period for which documentation demonstrating the restoration of the business' Statewide workforce to the threshold levels required by this paragraph has been reviewed and approved by the authority, for which tax period and each subsequent tax period the full amount of the credit shall be allowed.

- (3) If, in any tax period, the number of full-time employees employed by the business at the qualified business facility located in an urban transit hub within an eligible municipality drops below 250 then the business shall forfeit its credit amount for that tax period and each subsequent tax period, until the first tax period for which documentation demonstrating the restoration of the number of full-time employees employed by the business at the qualified business facility to 250 has been reviewed and approved by the authority, for which tax period and each subsequent tax period the full amount of the credit shall be allowed.
- (4) (i) If the qualified business facility is sold in whole or in part during the 10-year eligibility period the new owner shall not acquire the capital investment of the seller and the seller shall forfeit all credits for the tax period in which the sale occurs and all subsequent tax periods, provided however that any credits of tenants shall remain unaffected.
- (ii) If a tenant subleases its tenancy in whole or in part during the 10-year eligibility period the new tenant shall not acquire the credit of the sublessor, and the sublessor tenant shall forfeit all credits for the tax period of its sublease and all subsequent tax periods.

- 1 e. (1) The Executive Director of the New Jersey Economic 2 Development Authority, in consultation with the Director of the 3 Division of Taxation in the Department of the Treasury, shall adopt 4 rules in accordance with the "Administrative Procedure Act," 5 P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.) as are necessary to implement 6 this act, including but not limited to: examples of and the 7 determination of capital investment; the enumeration of eligible 8 municipalities; specific delineation of urban transit hubs; the 9 determination of the limits, if any, on the expense or type of 10 furnishings that may constitute capital improvements; the 11 promulgation of procedures and forms necessary to apply for a 12 credit; and provisions for credit applicants to be charged an initial application fee, and ongoing service fees, to cover the 13 14 administrative costs related to the credit.
 - (2) Through regulation, the Economic Development Authority shall establish standards based on the green building manual prepared by the Commissioner of Community Affairs pursuant to section 1 of P.L.2007, c.132 (C.52:27D-130.6), regarding the use of renewable energy, energy-efficient technology, and non-renewable resources in order to reduce environmental degradation and encourage long-term cost reduction.

22 (cf: P.L.2009, c.90, s.32)

2324

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 3. Section 35 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.34:1B-209.3) is amended to read as follows:
- 26 35. a. (1) A developer, upon application to and approval from 27 the authority, shall be allowed a credit of up to [20] 35 percent of 28 its capital investment, made after the effective date of P.L.2009, 29 c.90 (C.52:27D-489a et al.) but prior to its submission of documentation pursuant to subsection c. of this section, in a 30 31 qualified residential project, pursuant to the restrictions and 32 requirements of this section. To be eligible for any tax credits 33 authorized under this section, a developer shall demonstrate to the 34 authority, through a project pro forma analysis at the time of 35 application, that the qualified residential project is likely to be 36 realized with the provision of tax credits at the level requested but 37 is not likely to be accomplished by private enterprise without the 38 tax credits. The value of all credits approved by the authority 39 pursuant to P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489a et al.) for qualified 40 residential projects may be up to \$150,000,000, except as may be 41 increased by the authority as set forth below; provided, however, 42 that the combined value of all credits approved by the authority 43 pursuant to both P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.) and 44 P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489a et al.) shall not exceed 45 The authority shall monitor application and \$1,500,000,000. 46 allocation activity under P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.), and 47 if sufficient credits are available after taking into account allocation 48 under P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-207 et seq.) to those qualified

S2972 LESNIAK, NORCROSS

Q

business facilities for which applications have been filed or for which applications are reasonably anticipated, and if the executive director judges certain qualified residential projects to be meritorious, the aforementioned \$150,000,000 cap may, in the discretion of the executive director, be exceeded for allocation to qualified residential projects in such amounts as the executive director deems reasonable, justified, and appropriate. In allocating all credits to qualified residential projects under this section, the executive director shall take into account, together with other factors deemed relevant by the executive director: input from the municipality in which the project is to be located, whether the project furthers specific State or municipal planning and development objectives, or both, and whether the project furthers a public purpose, such as catalyzing urban development or maximizing the value of vacant, dilapidated, government-owned, or underutilized property, or both.

- (2) A developer shall make or acquire capital investments totaling not less than \$50,000,000 in a qualified residential project to be eligible for a credit under this section. A developer that acquires a qualified residential project shall also be deemed to have acquired the capital investment made or acquired by the seller.
- (3) The capital investment requirement may be met by the developer or by one or more of its affiliates.
- b. A developer shall apply for the credit within five years after the effective date of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489a et al.), and a developer shall submit its documentation for approval of its credit amount within eight years after the effective date of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489a et al.).
- c. The credit shall be administered in accordance with the provisions of subsections c. and e. of section 3 of P.L.2007, c.346 (C.34:1B-209), as amended by section 32 of P.L.2009, c.90, and section 33 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.34:1B-209.1), except that (1) all references therein to "business" and "qualified business facility" shall be deemed to refer respectively to "developer" and "qualified residential project," as such terms are defined in section 34 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.34:1B-209.2) and (2) all references therein to credits claimed by tenants and to reductions or disqualifications in credits as determined by annual review of the authority shall be disregarded.

(cf: P.L.2009, c.90, s.35)

4. This act shall take effect immediately.

STATEMENT

This bill makes changes to the "Urban Transit Hub Tax Credit Program" ("program") to allow businesses receiving tax credits

S2972 LESNIAK, NORCROSS

1	under the program to: 1) carry forward the credits into no more than			
2	20 subsequent tax accounting or privilege periods and limit the			
3	amount allowed in any fiscal year to \$150 million; and 2) increase			
4	from 20 to 35 percent the proportion of the cost of capital invested			
5	in a qualified residential project located within an urban transit hub			
6	that a developer could receive as a tax credit. The bill expands the			
7	definition of "urban transit hub" to include property at any rail spur			
8	located adjacent to or within a one mile radius surrounding the			
9	entrance to property used by the business for loading and unloading			
10	freight cars on trains.			

SENATE ECONOMIC GROWTH COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

SENATE, No. 2972

with committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JUNE 23, 2011

The Senate Economic Growth Committee reports favorably Senate Bill No. 2972 with committee amendments.

As amended by the committee, this bill expands the "Urban Transit Hub Tax Credit Act" ("UTHTCA") and the "New Jersey Economic Stimulus Act of 2009" ("Stimulus Act") to include certain mixed use projects as creditable investments and to change the manner in which the tax credits under the UTHTCA are treated by eligible businesses. Under the UTHTCA, a business may receive tax credits of up to 100 percent of its qualified capital investment in a business facility that (1) is located in an urban transit hub (i.e., an "urban aid" municipality, served by a commuter rail station, in which at least 30 percent of real property value is exempt from property taxes), and (2) employs at least 250 persons at the facility. Annually for ten years, the business may apply a credit equal to 10 percent of the amount of the investment against corporation business tax, insurance premiums tax or gross income tax liability. A tenant in these qualified business facilities may also be allowed credits, if the tenant occupies space in the facility that proportionally represents at least \$17,500,000 of the capital investment in the facility and employs at least 250 persons in the facility. For a business or a tenant to be eligible for the credit, the owner of the facility has to have made or acquired capital investments in the facility of not less than \$50 million.

Under a separate but similar urban transit hub tax credit program enacted as part of the Stimulus Act, a developer may receive tax credits of up to 20 percent of its capital investment in a qualified residential project located in an urban transit hub, subject to the same \$50 million project investment requirement applicable to a qualified business facility.

This amended bill extends eligibility to participants for these credits of up to 35 percent of its capital investment in a mixed use project comprising both a qualified business facility and a qualified residential project, neither of which by itself satisfies the total investment minimum of \$50 million, so long as (1) the investment in the component of the mixed use project for which the participant seeks credit amounts to at least \$17.5 million, and (2) the total amount

invested in the mixed use project as a whole is at least \$50 million. The amended bill also provides that, for purposes of UTHTCA, a mixed use project's business component must employ 250 full-time employees to qualify.

Additionally, the amended bill makes changes to the UTHTCA to allow businesses receiving tax credits under the UTHTCA to (1) carry forward the credits into no more than 20 subsequent tax accounting or privilege periods and limit the amount allowed in any fiscal year to \$150 million, and (2) increase from 20 to 35 percent the proportion of the cost of capital invested in a qualified residential project located within an urban transit hub that a developer could receive as a tax credit. The amended bill clarifies the definition of "urban transit hub" so that businesses may utilize any rail spur located adjacent to or within a one mile radius surrounding the entrance to property for loading and unloading freight cars on trains.

The amended bill prohibits the New Jersey Economic Development Authority ("EDA") from treating the relocation of a job within the State as a factor in making its determination of whether a capital investment would yield a net positive benefit to the State, unless the business proposes to transfer existing jobs as part of a consolidation of business operations from two or more locations and municipalities. The determination of a net positive benefit by the EDA shall not apply to any applications submitted to the EDA on or before June 22, 2011. Currently, the EDA considers a job that will be relocated within the State as a new job and therefore, creating a benefit.

Finally, for the purposes of mixed use projects or qualified residential projects where a business receives an urban transit hub tax credit, the amended bill allows eligible municipalities under the UTHTCA to determine the amount of the percentage, up to 20 percent of the total, of newly-constructed residential units set aside for occupancy by low or moderate income households within an urban transit hub.

The committee amended the bill to: (1) extend eligibility for credits under the UTHTCA to developers of mixed use projects; (2) prohibit the EDA from treating the relocation of a job from one municipality to another within the State as a factor in making its determination of whether a capital investment would yield a net positive benefit to the State, unless the relocation is part of a consolidation of business operations; and (3) allow eligible municipalities under the UTHTCA to determine the percentage of newly-constructed residential units to be set aside for occupancy by low or moderate income households.

STATEMENT TO

[First Reprint] **SENATE, No. 2972**

with Senate Floor Amendments (Proposed by Senator LESNIAK)

ADOPTED: JUNE 27, 2011

These amendments clarify provisions of the "Urban Transit Hub Tax Credit Act" ("UTHTCA") regarding the treatment of intra-State job transfers under the New Jersey Economic Development Authority's ("authority") net benefit analysis. It has always been the intent of the Legislature that the authority shall consider jobs that are already located in the State to the extent that those jobs are at risk of leaving the State, in addition to out-of-State jobs, in performing the net benefit analysis. The amendments also add new requirements for the consideration of intra-State job transfers that will apply on a prospective basis only. Specifically, the amendments provide that, in determining whether a proposed capital investment will yield a net positive benefit, the authority shall not consider the transfer of an existing job from one location in the State to another location in the State as the creation of a new job, unless (1) the business proposes to transfer existing jobs to a municipality in the State as part of a consolidation of business operations from two or more other locations that are not in the same municipality, or (2) the business's chief executive officer, or equivalent officer, submits a certification to the authority indicating that the existing jobs are at risk of leaving the State and that the business's chief executive officer, or equivalent officer, has reviewed the information submitted to the authority and that the representations contained therein are accurate, and the business intends to employ not fewer than 500 full-time employees in the qualified business facility.

The amendments further provide that, for the purposes of mixed use projects or qualified residential projects where a business receives an urban transit hub tax credit, eligible municipalities under the UTHTCA are allowed to determine the amount of the percentage, up to 20 percent of the total, of newly-constructed residential units set aside for occupancy by low or moderate income households. For a mixed use project or a qualified residential project that has received preliminary or final site plan approval prior to the effective date of the bill, the percentage shall be deemed to be the percentage, if any, of units required to be reserved for low or moderate income households in accordance with the terms and conditions of such approval.

Finally, the amendments expand the definition of "qualifying economic redevelopment and growth grant incentive area" under the "New Jersey Economic Stimulus Act of 2009" ("stimulus act") to

include (1) an area zoned for development pursuant to a master plan or subject to a redevelopment plan adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission, and (2) any land owned by the New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority within the boundaries of the Hackensack Meadowlands District. These changes would make projects within these areas eligible for incentive grants under the Economic Redevelopment and Growth Grant program established by the stimulus act.

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE

[Second Reprint]

SENATE, No. 2972 STATE OF NEW JERSEY 214th LEGISLATURE

DATED: JULY 6, 2011

SUMMARY

Synopsis: Makes various changes to urban hub tax credit program concerning

mixed use projects, in-State job relocation, tax credit and investment criteria, and affordable housing; makes certain NJ Meadowlands area

properties eligible for incentive grants.

Type of Impact: Indeterminate State and local tax revenue impact from additional

development offset by tax credits.

Agencies Affected: Department of Treasury, New Jersey Economic Development

Authority (EDA), New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority, and

New Jersey Meadowlands Commission.

Office of Legislative Services Estimate

Fiscal Impact	Year 1	<u>Year 2</u>	Year 3		
State Cost	Indeterminate – see comments below				
Local Cost	Ind	Indeterminate – see comments below			

Urban Transit Hub Tax Credit Act (UTHTCA) provisions:

• The Office of Legislative Services (OLS) cannot quantify the State or local revenue impact from extending the eligibility for the UTHTCA to additional mixed use projects and raising the tax credit amount for some mixed use and residential projects. At this point it is not clear how many new projects would qualify under these provisions, the amount of increased credits, or whether the \$1.5 billion cap under the UTHTCA would have been reached without the enactment of this legislation. The tax credit is strict in its criteria, being allowable only to projects of at least \$50 million in value with a prospective employment of at least 250 persons. Mixed use development projects of this size within close proximity to transit stations are infrequent in the State and as a result it is unlikely that many mixed use projects will be newly eligible under the bill than under current law.



• The fiscal impact of the UTHTCA changes depends largely on whether the tax credits are the factor that is responsible for these projects being constructed or not. To a lesser degree it also depends upon whether these projects would have taken place anyways but the tax credit was the motivating factor in site selection, placing the facility near transit rather than elsewhere in the State. If the bill is enabling projects that would not otherwise take place then the bill will generate revenue, and if the projects would take place without the tax credits then the bill will cost revenue.

Economic Redevelopment and Growth (ERG) Program provisions:

- Potential fiscal impact depends largely upon whether a redevelopment project receiving an
 incentive grant under the ERG Program would have ultimately been constructed without the
 incentive grant funds. If the project would be completed anyways, there will be a local and
 State cost; if not, then the bill will generate local and State revenue.
- The American Dream Meadowlands project is the highest profile project to be impacted by this legislation and the most likely to obtain an incentive grant under the three year window of the fiscal estimate. Based upon news reports the American Dream project would be eligible for up to \$300 million in incentives over 20 years or approximately \$15 million per year under the ERG program.

BILL DESCRIPTION

Senate Bill 2972 (2R) of 2011 expands the UTHTCA to include certain mixed use projects as creditable investments and expands the qualifying area for the ERG program.

Under the UTHTCA, a business may receive tax credits of up to 100 percent of its qualified capital investment in a business facility that is located in an urban transit hub, and employees at least 250 persons at the facility. Annually for ten years, the business may apply a credit equal to 10 percent of the amount of the investment against certain business-related State tax liability. Under a separate but similar UTHTCA program, a developer may receive tax credits of up to 20 percent of its capital investment in a qualified residential project located in an urban transit hub, subject to the same \$50 million project investment requirement applicable to a qualified business facility.

The bill extends eligibility for these credits to participants in a mixed use project comprising both a qualified business facility and a qualified residential project, neither of which by itself satisfies the total investment minimum of \$50 million, so long as the investment in the component of the mixed use project for which the participant seeks credit amounts to at least \$17.5 million, and the total amount invested in the project as a whole is at least \$50 million. A project's business component must also employ 250 full-time employees to qualify.

The bill changes the way credits under the UTHTCA are treated by eligible businesses by allowing companies receiving tax credits to carry forward allowable credits for up to 20 tax accounting periods and limits the value of the credit in any given year to \$150 million. The bill increases the size of the tax credit for residential UTHTCA projects from a maximum of 20 percent to 35 percent of total capital invested in a qualifying project as a tax credit. Newly eligible mixed use projects will also be eligible for 35 percent of capital invested in a qualifying project under the bill. Additionally, for UTHTCA projects with a residential component, mixed use or otherwise, eligible municipalities are eligible to determine the percentage of residential

units that are to be set aside for occupancy by low or moderate income households, up to 20 percent of the total units.

The bill also makes certain changes to the UTHTCA regarding the treatment of intra-State job transfers under the EDA net benefit analysis. In additional to determining if a proposed capital investment will yield a net positive benefit, the authority will not consider the transfer of an existing job from one location in the State to another location in the State as the creation of a new job, unless the business proposed to transfer existing jobs as part of a consolidation of business operations from two or more other locations that are not in the same municipality, or the business's chief executive officer, or equivalent officer, submits a certification to the authority indicating that the existing jobs are at risk of leaving the State and the business intends to employ not fewer than 500 full-time employees in the qualified business facility.

The bill also amends the "New Jersey Economic Stimulus Act of 2009" to expand the definition of "qualifying economic redevelopment and growth grant incentive area" under the act to include an area zoned for development pursuant to a master plan or subject to a redevelopment plan adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission and any land owned by the New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority within the boundaries of the Hackensack Meadowlands District, thereby making projects within these areas eligible for incentive grants under the Economic Redevelopment and Growth (ERG) Grant program established by the act.

The Economic Redevelopment and Growth Grant program is an incentive grant program that allows municipalities and/or the State to provide grant funding for redevelopment projects. The grant can be no more than 20 percent of the total cost of the redevelopment. The grant may provide up to 75 percent of the annual incremental State and/or local tax revenue for a period of up to 20 years. The State grant is to be awarded in the form of an agreement negotiated between the developer and the CEO of the New Jersey Economic Development Authority (EDA) in consultation with the State Treasurer. A local grant is to take the form of a contract negotiated between the developer and the governing body of a municipality once adopted by ordinance. The grant program also requires that the revenues to be realized from the redevelopment project will be in excess of the amount necessary to reimburse the developer for its project financing gap.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

EXECUTIVE BRANCH

None received.

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE SERVICES

<u>Urban Transit Hub Tax Credit Act:</u>

The OLS cannot quantify the State or local revenue impact from extending the eligibility for the UTHTCA to additional mixed use projects and raising the tax credit amount for some mixed use and residential projects. At this point it is not clear how many new projects would qualify under these provisions, the amount of increased credits, or whether the \$1.5 billion cap under the UTHTCA would have been reached without the enactment of this legislation.

The tax credit is strict in its criteria, being allowable only to projects of at least \$50 million in value with a prospective employment of at least 250 persons. Mixed use development projects of this size within close proximity to transit stations are infrequent in the State and as a result it is unlikely that many mixed use projects will be newly eligible under the bill than under current law. Additionally, the UTHTCA has a limit of \$1.5 billion on total grants awarded with no end date. As of December, 2010, eight projects for \$234.8 million had been approved under the UTHTCA. It is highly likely that at some point in the future the full \$1.5 billion would be awarded under the UTHTCA. This means that in the long run, the amendments to the UTHTCA will not result in any additional costs than those that would be incurred under the original UTHTCA, but it will most likely result in the \$1.5 billion being drawn down more quickly than it otherwise would have been.

Another impact of the increased eligibility and increased grants for residential projects is that it is now more likely that at least some portion of the grant money awarded to a project would not be necessary for the project to occur in the first place. For instance, if a residential project could only be completed with a tax credit equal to 10% of the project cost, the UTHTCA would be a causal factor in allowing this project to be created with or without the bill, however under the current UTHTCA the State would only be providing 10 percent of the financing in excess of what would be necessary for the project to take place. Under the legislation, the project will obtain 25 percent of the project cost in excess of what is necessary to allow the project to take place. Any future residential project that would have taken place under the UTHTCA without the legislation will now be provided with 15 percent more project funding in tax breaks than is necessary. On the other hand, any mixed use or residential project that will newly be funded as a result of the substitution will provide additional tax revenue from the project less the size of the tax credit and the original tax collections on the property before the development. There will also be economic benefits and job creation from the project itself.

The fiscal impact of the UTHTCA changes depend largely on whether the tax credits are the factor that is responsible for these projects being constructed or not. To a lesser degree it also depends upon whether these projects would have taken place anyways but the tax credit was the motivating factor in site selection, placing the facility near transit rather than elsewhere in the State. In the event that the project would take place at the same site regardless of the tax credit, then the State is giving up revenue that would otherwise be available. In the event that the project would take place but impacted the site selection, the State would be giving up the tax revenue, less any second order impacts of the site selection decision such as reduced demand for road infrastructure due to transit proximity, or increased property values from new employees reinvesting in the local housing stock, rather than moving to more distant locations. In the event that the project would not have taken place without the tax incentives, any tax revenue derived from the project would represent positive revenue for the State, less whatever tax revenue the site generated prior to the development project.

The changes to the way the EDA evaluates job creation are unlikely to have a fiscal impact, however they could result in some projects not being approved under the UTHTCA that otherwise would have been approved. The impact of the extension of the tax credit to 20 privilege periods from 10 for 10 percent per year is that if there were a company that would completely eliminate their tax liability over the original 10 years and have remaining tax credit that it would be unable to utilize; the increase to 20 years may allow a company to utilize tax credits that it would otherwise be unable to use. This would represent a cost to the State; however it is not in any way clear that this would have happened under the original UTHTCA.

Economic Redevelopment and Growth Grant Program:

The OLS finds that it is not possible to ascertain the full impact of the bill at this point in time. There are two major sources of uncertainty that limit the ability of the OLS to identify the future fiscal impact. The first is that this legislation provides a framework for the provisions of ERG grants but the EDA is empowered to determine the ultimate terms of the grant agreement.

This means that a future redevelopment project in the Meadowlands covered by this bill may receive a grant for 20 percent of the redevelopment cost, it could be for just 10 percent of the cost, or the EDA could decide not to provide any funding at all. Similarly the grant funding could take place over anywhere from 1 to 20 years and for anywhere from 1 to 75 percent of incremental tax revenue. Until an agreement is made between the developer and the EDA there is no way to identify the size of the grants. The second source of uncertainty is that there is no way to know for sure whether a redevelopment project would have taken place without the funding provided by the ERG grant. If a project covered by this bill would have eventually taken place regardless of the ERG grant, then the State would be giving up tax revenue that it would have otherwise received, resulting in a cost to the State. In the event that the redevelopment project could not have happened without the ERG grant funding, then the bill would be responsible for all incremental revenue from the redevelopment and the State would be realizing revenue equal to the amount of the incremental tax collections not provided to the developer.

At this point in time there appears to be one project that may become a recipient of ERG funds under the proposed bill. The American Dream Meadowlands project being completed by the Triple Five Group will be eligible for grant funding to subsidize its estimated \$1.5 billion redevelopment project in the Meadowlands. Under the terms of the ERG program this project would be eligible for a grant of up to \$300 million. This grant would take place over up to 20 years and provide approximately \$15 million per year in grant funding. If the American Dream Meadowlands project might have been completed without this funding then award of the grants would entail \$300 million in cost to the State with \$45 million being incurred in the first three years. If the project could not have been completed without the funding then there will be a revenue gain to the State in the amount of total incremental tax collections, less \$15 million per year. This project is likely to dwarf any other projects that might obtain ERG grant funding over the three year analysis period for the Fiscal Estimate. As a result, the Office of Legislative Services finds that the fiscal impact of this bill will fall between a cost of up to \$15 million per year and an indeterminate amount of revenue based upon future incremental tax collection from the American Dream Meadowlands project.

Section: Authorities, Utilities, Transportation and Communications

Analyst: Patrick Brennan

Assistant Fiscal Analyst

Approved: David J. Rosen

Legislative Budget and Finance Officer

This legislative fiscal estimate has been produced by the Office of Legislative Services due to the failure of the Executive Branch to respond to our request for a fiscal note.

This fiscal estimate has been prepared pursuant to P.L.1980, c.67 (C.52:13B-6 et seq.).

ASSEMBLY, No. 4161

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

214th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED JUNE 20, 2011

Sponsored by: Assemblyman ALBERT COUTINHO District 29 (Essex and Union)

SYNOPSIS

Makes certain NJ Meadowlands area properties eligible for incentive grants under Economic Redevelopment and Growth Grant program established by "New Jersey Economic Stimulus Act of 2009."

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



1	AN ACT	concerning	economic	redevelopment	and	amending
2	P.L.200	9, c.90				

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. Section 3 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489c) is amended to read as follows:
- 9 3. As used in sections 3 through 18 of P.L.2009, c.90 10 (C.52:27D-489c et al.):

"Applicant" means a developer proposing to enter into a redevelopment incentive grant agreement.

"Ancillary infrastructure project" means public structures or improvements that are located in the public right-of-way outside the project area of a redevelopment project, provided a developer or municipal redeveloper has demonstrated that the redevelopment project would not be economically viable without such improvements.

"Authority" means the New Jersey Economic Development Authority established under section 4 of P.L.1974, c.80 (C.34:1B-4).

"Developer" means any person who enters or proposes to enter into a redevelopment incentive grant agreement pursuant to the provisions of section 9 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489i). A developer also may be a municipal government or a redevelopment agency as defined in section 3 of P.L.1992, c.79 (C.40A:12A-3).

"Director" means the Director of the Division of Taxation in the Department of the Treasury.

"Eligible revenue" means the property tax increment and any other incremental revenues set forth in section 11 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489k).

"Incentive grant" means reimbursement of all or a portion of the project financing gap of a redevelopment project through the State or a local Economic Redevelopment and Growth Grant program pursuant to section 4 or section 5 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489d or C.52:27D-489e).

"Infrastructure improvements in the public right-of-way" mean public structures or improvements located in the public right of way that are located within a project area or that constitute an ancillary infrastructure project.

"Municipal redeveloper" means a municipal government or a redevelopment agency acting on behalf of a municipal government as defined in section 3 of P.L.1992, c.79 (C.40A:12A-3) that is an applicant for a redevelopment incentive grant agreement.

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

"Project area" means land or lands under common ownership or control including through a redevelopment agreement with a municipality or as otherwise established by a municipality.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"Project financing gap" means the part of the total redevelopment project cost, including return on investment, that remains to be financed after all other sources of capital have been accounted for, including, but not limited to, developer contributed capital, which shall not be less than 20 percent of the total project cost, and investor or financial entity capital or loans for which the developer, after making all good faith efforts to raise additional capital, certifies that additional capital cannot be raised from other sources.

"Project revenue" means all rents, fees, sales, and payments generated by a project, less taxes or other government payments.

"Property tax increment" means the amount obtained by:

- (1) multiplying the general tax rate levied each year by the taxable value of all the property assessed within a project area in the same year, excluding any special assessments; and
- (2) multiplying that product by a fraction having a numerator equal to the taxable value of all the property assessed within the project area, minus the property tax increment base, and having a denominator equal to the taxable value of all property assessed within the project area.

For the purpose of this definition, "property tax increment base" means the aggregate taxable value of all property assessed which is located within the redevelopment project area as of October 1st of the year preceding the year in which the redevelopment incentive grant agreement is authorized.

29 "Qualifying economic redevelopment and growth grant incentive 30 area" means Planning Area 1 (Metropolitan), Planning Area 2 31 (Suburban), or a center as designated by the State Planning 32 Commission; an area zoned for development pursuant to a master 33 plan adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission 34 pursuant to subsection (i) of section 6 of P.L.1968, c.404 (C.13:17-35 6) or subject to a redevelopment plan adopted by the New Jersey 36 Meadowlands Commission pursuant to section 20 of P.L.1968, 37 c.404 (C.13:17-21); any land owned by the New Jersey Sports and 38 Exposition Authority, established pursuant to P.L.1971, c.137 39 (C.5:10-1 et seq.), within the boundaries of the Hackensack 40 Meadowlands District as delineated in section 4 of P.L.1968, c.404 41 (C.13:17-4); a pinelands regional growth area, a pinelands town 42 management area, a pinelands village, or a military and federal 43 installation area established pursuant to the 44 comprehensive management plan adopted pursuant to P.L.1979, 45 c.111 (C.13:18A-1 et seq.); a transit village, as determined by the 46 Commissioner of Transportation; and federally owned land 47 approved for closure under a federal Base Realignment Closing 48 Commission action.

A4161 COUTINHO

"Redevelopment incentive grant agreement" means an agreement between, (1) the State and the New Jersey Economic Development Authority and a developer, or (2) a municipality and a developer, or a municipal ordinance authorizing a project to be undertaken by a municipal redeveloper, under which, in exchange for the proceeds of an incentive grant, the developer agrees to perform any work or undertaking necessary for a redevelopment project, including the clearance, development or redevelopment, construction, or rehabilitation of any structure or improvement of commercial, industrial, residential, or public structures or improvements within a qualifying economic redevelopment and growth grant incentive area or a transit village.

"Redevelopment project" means a specific work or improvement, including lands, buildings, improvements, real and personal property or any interest therein, including lands under water, riparian rights, space rights and air rights, acquired, owned, developed or redeveloped, constructed, reconstructed, rehabilitated or improved, undertaken by a developer within a project area and any ancillary infrastructure project associated therewith.

"Redevelopment utility" means a self-liquidating fund created by a municipality pursuant to section 12 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489l) to account for revenues collected and incentive grants paid pursuant to section 11 of P.L.2009, c.90 (C.52:27D-489k), or other revenues dedicated to a redevelopment project.

"Revenue increment base" means the amounts of all eligible revenues from sources within the redevelopment project area in the calendar year preceding the year in which the redevelopment incentive grant agreement is executed, as certified by the State Treasurer for State revenues, and the chief financial officer of the municipality for municipal revenues.

"Transit village" means a community with a bus, train, light rail, or ferry station that has developed a plan to achieve its economic development and revitalization goals and has been designated by the New Jersey Department of Transportation as a transit village.

(cf: P.L.2010, c.10, s.4)

2. This act shall take effect immediately.

STATEMENT

This bill amends the "New Jersey Economic Stimulus Act of 2009" ("act") to expand the definition of "qualifying economic redevelopment and growth grant incentive area" under the act to include an area zoned for development pursuant to a master plan or subject to a redevelopment plan adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission and any land owned by the New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority within the boundaries of the

A4161 COUTINHO

5

- Hackensack Meadowlands District, thereby making projects within
- 2 these areas eligible for incentive grants under the Economic
- 3 Redevelopment and Growth Grant program established by the act.

ASSEMBLY BUDGET COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR ASSEMBLY, No. 4161

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JUNE 27, 2011

The Assembly Budget Committee reports favorably an Assembly Committee Substitute for Assembly Bill No. 4161.

This substitute makes various changes to the urban transit hub tax credit program regarding mixed use projects, in-State job relocation in determining net positive benefits, tax credit and capital investment criteria, and affordable housing, and makes certain New Jersey Meadowlands area properties eligible for incentive grants under the economic redevelopment and growth grant program.

Urban Transit Hub Tax Credit Program:

The substitute expands the "Urban Transit Hub Tax Credit Act" ("UTHTCA") and the "New Jersey Economic Stimulus Act of 2009" ("Stimulus Act") to include certain mixed use projects as creditable investments.

Under the UTHTCA, a business may receive tax credits of up to 100 percent of its qualified capital investment in a business facility that is located in an urban transit hub, and employs at least 250 persons at the facility. Annually for ten years, the business may apply a credit equal to 10 percent of the amount of the investment against certain business-related State tax liability. A tenant in these qualified business facilities may also be allowed credits, if the tenant occupies space in the facility that proportionally represents at least \$17,500,000 of the capital investment in the facility and employs at least 250 persons in the facility. For a business or a tenant to be eligible for the credit, the owner of the facility must make or acquire capital investments in the facility of not less than \$50 million.

Under a separate but similar urban transit hub tax credit program established by the Stimulus Act, a developer may receive tax credits of up to 20 percent of its capital investment in a qualified residential project located in a urban transit hub, subject to the same \$50 million project investment requirement applicable to a qualified business facility.

This substitute extends eligibility for these credits to participants in a mixed use project comprising both a qualified business facility and a qualified residential project, neither of which by itself satisfies the total investment minimum of \$50 million, so long as the investment in the component of the mixed use project for which the participant seeks credit amounts to at least \$17.5 million, and the total amount invested in the mixed use project as a whole is at least \$50 million. The substitute provides that, for purposes of the UTHTCA, a mixed use project's business component must employ 250 full-time employees to qualify.

The substitute makes certain changes to the UTHTCA to modify the manner in which tax credits under the UTHTCA are treated by eligible businesses. The substitute allows businesses receiving tax credits under the UTHTCA to carry forward allowable credits into not more than 20 subsequent tax accounting or privilege periods, and limits the value of all credits approved in any fiscal year to \$150 million. The substitute clarifies the definition of "urban transit hub" under the UTHTCA so that businesses may utilize a rail spur located adjacent to or within a one mile radius surrounding the entrance to property for loading and unloading freight cars on trains.

The substitute makes certain changes to the urban transit hub tax credit program established by the Stimulus Act to modify the amount of credit a developer of a residential project is eligible to receive. The substitute increases from 20 to 35 percent the proportion of the cost of capital invested in a qualified residential project located within an urban transit hub that a developer is eligible to receive as a tax credit. That increased percentage (35 percent) for qualified residential project developers is identical to the percentage that a developer of a mixed use project will be eligible to receive as a tax credit under the substitute.

The substitute makes certain changes to the UTHTCA regarding the treatment of intra-State job transfers under the New Jersey Economic Development Authority's net benefit analysis. The substitute provides that, in determining if a proposed capital investment will yield a net positive benefit, the authority will not consider the transfer of an existing job from one location in the State to another location in the State as the creation of a new job, unless the business proposes to transfer existing jobs to a municipality in the State as part of a consolidation of business operations from two or more other locations that are not in the same municipality, or the business's chief executive officer, or equivalent officer, submits a certification to the authority indicating that the existing jobs are at risk of leaving the State and that the business's chief executive officer, or equivalent officer, has reviewed the information submitted to the authority and that the representations contained therein are accurate, and the business intends to employ not fewer than 500 full-time employees in the qualified business facility.

The substitute provides that if a certification submitted to the authority by the business's chief executive officer, or equivalent officer, for purposes of the authority's net benefit analysis is found to

be willfully false, the authority may revoke any award of tax credits in their entirety, which revocation will be in addition to any other criminal or civil penalties. The substitute specifies that when considering an application involving intra-State job transfers, the authority must require a company to submit certain enumerated information as part of its application, and based on that information, and any other information the authority deems relevant, the authority must independently verify and confirm, by way of making a factual finding by separate vote of the authority's board, the business's assertion that the jobs are actually at risk of leaving the State, before a business may be awarded any tax credits.

It has always been the intent of the Legislature that the authority will consider jobs that are already located in the State to the extent that those jobs are at risk of leaving the State, in addition to out-of State jobs, in performing the net benefit analysis. The substitute provides that the new requirements for the consideration of intra-State job transfers will apply prospectively.

The substitute makes certain changes to certain affordable housing requirements that affect projects in which a business receives an urban transit hub tax credit. The substitute provides that, for the purposes of mixed use projects or qualified residential projects in which a business receives an urban transit hub tax credit, eligible municipalities under the UTHTCA are allowed to determine the amount of the percentage, up to 20 percent of the total, of newly-constructed residential units set aside for occupancy by low or moderate income households. However, the substitute provides that for a mixed use project or a qualified residential project that has received preliminary or final site plan approval prior to the effective date of the substitute, the percentage will be deemed to be the percentage, if any, of units required to be reserved for low or moderate income households in accordance with such approval.

Economic Redevelopment and Growth Grant Program:

The substitute amends the Stimulus Act to expand the definition of "qualifying economic redevelopment and growth grant incentive area" provided under current law to include an area zoned for development pursuant to a master plan or subject to a redevelopment plan adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission and any land owned by the New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority within the boundaries of the Hackensack Meadowlands District.

The purpose of this change is to make certain redevelopment projects within those qualifying areas eligible for incentive grants under the economic redevelopment and growth grant program established by the Stimulus Act.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The Office of Legislative Services (OLS) lacks information necessary to determine the net fiscal impact on State and local finances that may result from the substitute's: (1) modifications to the urban transit hub tax credit program, and (2) expansion of the definition of "qualifying economic redevelopment and growth grant incentive area" under the Stimulus Act for purposes of the economic redevelopment and growth grant program.

With respect to (1) the modifications to the urban transit hub tax credit program, the OLS notes that if the changes provided by the substitute result in the pursuit of projects that absent the legislation would not have been pursued, then these projects will produce a direct State revenue loss and opportunity costs to the State from the granting of the additional subsidies that will be offset, in whole or in part, by an indirect revenue gain to the State and affected local governments from secondary economic and fiscal activity generated by these projects. If, on the other hand, the changes provided by the substitute result in the awarding of subsidies to projects that absent the legislation would have been pursued anyway, the subsidies to these projects will produce a direct State revenue loss and opportunity costs to the State from the granting of the additional subsidies without generating any offsetting indirect revenue gain to the State or affected local governments that could be attributed to the subsidies.

In terms of (2) the expansion of the definition of "qualifying economic redevelopment and growth grant incentive area" under the Stimulus Act for purposes of the economic redevelopment and growth grant program, the OLS notes that it is not possible to ascertain the impact of this change at this time. In part, the program provides a framework for the provisions of incentive grants but the New Jersey Economic Development Authority is empowered to determine the ultimate terms of any grant agreement. Until an agreement is made between a developer and the authority there is no way to identify the size of the grants that may be awarded. Moreover, the potential fiscal impact that may result from this substitute depends largely upon whether a redevelopment project receiving an incentive grant under the economic redevelopment and growth grant program would have ultimately been constructed without the incentive grant funds. If the project would have been constructed regardless of an incentive grant, there will be a local and State cost; if not, then the substitute will generate local and State revenue.

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR

ASSEMBLY, No. 4161 STATE OF NEW JERSEY 214th LEGISLATURE

DATED: JULY 6, 2011

SUMMARY

Synopsis: Makes various changes to urban hub tax credit program concerning

mixed use projects, in-State job relocation, tax credit and investment criteria, and affordable housing; makes certain NJ Meadowlands area

properties eligible for incentive grants.

Type of Impact: Indeterminate State and local tax revenue impact from additional

development offset by tax credits.

Agencies Affected: Department of Treasury, New Jersey Economic Development

Authority (EDA), New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority, and

New Jersey Meadowlands Commission.

Office of Legislative Services Estimate

Fiscal Impact	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
State Cost	Inde	eterminate – See comments	below
Local Cost	Inde	eterminate – See comments	below
Local Cost	mac	ternimate – See comments	DEIO W

Urban Transit Hub Tax Credit Act (UTHTCA) provisions:

• The Office of Legislative Services (OLS) cannot quantify the State or local revenue impact from extending the eligibility for the UTHTCA to additional mixed use projects and raising the tax credit amount for some mixed use and residential projects. At this point it is not clear how many new projects would qualify under these provisions, the amount of increased credits, or whether the \$1.5 billion cap under the UTHTCA would have been reached without the enactment of this legislation. The tax credit is strict in its criteria, being allowable only to projects of at least \$50 million in value with a prospective employment of at least 250 persons. Mixed use development projects of this size within close proximity to transit stations are infrequent in the State and as a result it is unlikely that many mixed use projects will be newly eligible under the bill than under current law.



• The fiscal impact of the UTHTCA changes depends largely on whether the tax credits are the factor that is responsible for these projects being constructed or not. To a lesser degree it also depends upon whether these projects would have taken place anyways but the tax credit was the motivating factor in site selection, placing the facility near transit rather than elsewhere in the State. If the substitute is enabling projects that would not otherwise take place then the substitute will generate revenue, and if the projects would take place without the tax credits then the substitute will cost revenue.

Economic Redevelopment and Growth (ERG) Program provisions:

- Potential fiscal impact depends largely upon whether a redevelopment project receiving an
 incentive grant under the ERG Program would have ultimately been constructed without the
 incentive grant funds. If the project would be completed anyways, there will be a local and
 State cost; if not, then the bill will generate local and State revenue.
- The American Dream Meadowlands project is the highest profile project to be impacted by this legislation and the most likely to obtain an incentive grant under the three year window of the fiscal estimate. Based upon news reports the American Dream project would be eligible for up to \$300 million in incentives over 20 years or approximately \$15 million per year under the ERG program.

BILL DESCRIPTION

The Assembly Committee Substitute for Assembly Bill No. 4161 of 2011 expands the UTHTCA to include certain mixed use projects as creditable investments and expands the qualifying area for the ERG program.

Under the UTHTCA, a business may receive tax credits of up to 100 percent of its qualified capital investment in a business facility that is located in an urban transit hub, and employees at least 250 persons at the facility. Annually for ten years, the business may apply a credit equal to 10 percent of the amount of the investment against certain business-related State tax liability. Under a separate but similar UTHTCA program, a developer may receive tax credits of up to 20 percent of its capital investment in a qualified residential project located in an urban transit hub, subject to the same \$50 million project investment requirement applicable to a qualified business facility.

The substitute extends eligibility for these credits to participants in a mixed use project comprising both a qualified business facility and a qualified residential project, neither of which by itself satisfies the total investment minimum of \$50 million, so long as the investment in the component of the mixed use project for which the participant seeks credit amounts to at least \$17.5 million, and the total amount invested in the project as a whole is at least \$50 million. A project's business component must also employ 250 full-time employees to qualify.

The substitute changes the way credits under the UTHTCA are treated by eligible businesses by allowing companies receiving tax credits to carry forward allowable credits for up to 20 tax accounting periods and limits the value of the credit in any given year to \$150 million. The substitute increases the size of the tax credit for residential UTHTCA projects from a maximum of 20 percent to 35 percent of total capital invested in a qualifying project as a tax credit. Newly eligible mixed use projects will also be eligible for 35 percent of capital invested in a qualifying project under the substitute. Additionally, for UTHTCA projects with a residential component,

mixed use or otherwise, eligible municipalities are eligible to determine the percentage of residential units that are to be set aside for occupancy by low or moderate income households, up to 20 percent of the total units.

The substitute also makes certain changes to the UTHTCA regarding the treatment of intra-State job transfers under the EDA net benefit analysis. In additional to determining if a proposed capital investment will yield a net positive benefit, the authority will not consider the transfer of an existing job from one location in the State to another location in the State as the creation of a new job, unless the business proposed to transfer existing jobs as part of a consolidation of business operations from two or more other locations that are not in the same municipality, or the business's chief executive officer, or equivalent officer, submits a certification to the authority indicating that the existing jobs are at risk of leaving the State and the business intends to employ not fewer than 500 full-time employees in the qualified business facility.

The substitute also amends the "New Jersey Economic Stimulus Act of 2009" to expand the definition of "qualifying economic redevelopment and growth grant incentive area" under the act to include an area zoned for development pursuant to a master plan or subject to a redevelopment plan adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission and any land owned by the New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority within the boundaries of the Hackensack Meadowlands District, thereby making projects within these areas eligible for incentive grants under the Economic Redevelopment and Growth (ERG) Grant program established by the act.

The Economic Redevelopment and Growth Grant program is an incentive grant program that allows municipalities and/or the State to provide grant funding for redevelopment projects. The grant can be no more than 20 percent of the total cost of the redevelopment. The grant may provide up to 75 percent of the annual incremental State and/or local tax revenue for a period of up to 20 years. The State grant is to be awarded in the form of an agreement negotiated between the developer and the CEO of the New Jersey Economic Development Authority (EDA) in consultation with the State Treasurer. A local grant is to take the form of a contract negotiated between the developer and the governing body of a municipality once adopted by ordinance. The grant program also requires that the revenues to be realized from the redevelopment project will be in excess of the amount necessary to reimburse the developer for its project financing gap.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

EXECUTIVE BRANCH

None received.

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE SERVICES

<u>Urban Transit Hub Tax Credit Act:</u>

The OLS cannot quantify the State or local revenue impact from extending the eligibility for the UTHTCA to additional mixed use projects and raising the tax credit amount for some mixed use and residential projects. At this point it is not clear how many new projects would qualify under these provisions, the amount of increased credits, or whether the \$1.5 billion cap under the UTHTCA would have been reached without the enactment of this legislation.

The tax credit is strict in its criteria, being allowable only to projects of at least \$50 million in value with a prospective employment of at least 250 persons. Mixed use development projects

of this size within close proximity to transit stations are infrequent in the State and as a result it is unlikely that many mixed use projects will be newly eligible under the bill than under current law. Additionally, the UTHTCA has a limit of \$1.5 billion on total grants awarded with no end date. As of December, 2010, eight projects for \$234.8 million had been approved under the UTHTCA. It is highly likely that at some point in the future the full \$1.5 billion would be awarded under the UTHTCA. This means that in the long run, the amendments to the UTHTCA will not result in any additional costs than those that would be incurred under the original UTHTCA, but it will most likely result in the \$1.5 billion being drawn down more quickly than it otherwise would have been.

Another impact of the increased eligibility and increased grants for residential projects is that it is now more likely that at least some portion of the grant money awarded to a project would not be necessary for the project to occur in the first place. For instance, if a residential project could only be completed with a tax credit equal to 10 percent of the project cost, the UTHTCA would be a causal factor in allowing this project to be created with or without the substitute, however before the substitute the State would only be providing 10 percent of the financing in excess of what would be necessary for the project to take place. Under the substitute, the project will obtain 25 percent of the project cost in excess of what is necessary to allow the project to take place. Any future residential project that would have taken place under the UTHTCA without the substitute will now be provided with 15 percent more project funding in tax breaks than is necessary under the substitute. On the other hand, any mixed use or residential project that will newly be funded as a result of the substitution will provide additional tax revenue from the project less the size of the tax credit and the original tax collections on the property before the development. There will also be economic benefits and job creation from the project itself.

The fiscal impact of the UTHTCA changes depend largely on whether the tax credits are the factor that is responsible for these projects being constructed or not. To a lesser degree it also depends upon whether these projects would have taken place anyways but the tax credit was the motivating factor in site selection, placing the facility near transit rather than elsewhere in the State. In the event that the project would take place at the same site regardless of the tax credit, then the State is giving up revenue that would otherwise be available. In the event that the project would take place but impacted the site selection, the State would be giving up the tax revenue, less any second order impacts of the site selection decision such as reduced demand for road infrastructure due to transit proximity, or increased property values from new employees reinvesting in the local housing stock, rather than moving to more distant locations. In the event that the project would not have taken place without the tax incentives, any tax revenue derived from the project would represent positive revenue for the State, less whatever tax revenue the site generated prior to the development project.

The changes to the way the EDA evaluates job creation are unlikely to have a fiscal impact, however they could result in some projects not being approved under the UTHTCA that otherwise would have been approved. The impact of the extension of the tax credit to 20 privilege periods from 10 for 10 percent per year is that if there were a company that would completely eliminate their tax liability over the original 10 years and have remaining tax credit that it would be unable to utilize; the increase to 20 years may allow a company to utilize tax credits that it would otherwise be unable to use. This would represent a cost to the State; however it is not in any way clear that this would have happened under the original UTHTCA.

Economic Redevelopment and Growth Grant Program:

The OLS finds that it is not possible to ascertain the full impact of the substitute at this point in time. There are two major sources of uncertainty that limit the ability of the OLS to identify

the future fiscal impact. The first is that this legislation provides a framework for the provisions of ERG grants but the EDA is empowered to determine the ultimate terms of the grant agreement. This means that a future redevelopment project in the Meadowlands covered by this substitute may receive a grant for 20 percent of the redevelopment cost, it could be for just 10 percent of the cost, or the EDA could decide not to provide any funding at all. Similarly the grant funding could take place over anywhere from 1 to 20 years and for anywhere from 1 to 75 percent of incremental tax revenue. Until an agreement is made between the developer and the EDA there is no way to identify the size of the grants. The second source of uncertainty is that there is no way to know for sure whether a redevelopment project would have taken place without the funding provided by the ERG grant. If a project covered by this substitute would have eventually taken place regardless of the ERG grant, then the State would be giving up tax revenue that it would have otherwise received, resulting in a cost to the State. In the event that the redevelopment project could not have happened without the ERG grant funding, then the substitute would be responsible for all incremental revenue from the redevelopment and the State would be realizing revenue equal to the amount of the incremental tax collections not provided to the developer.

At this point in time there appears to be one project that may become a recipient of ERG The American Dream Meadowlands project being funds under the proposed substitute. completed by the Triple Five Group will be eligible for grant funding to subsidize its estimated \$1.5 billion redevelopment project in the Meadowlands. Under the terms of the ERG program this project would be eligible for a grant of up to \$300 million. This grant would take place over up to 20 years and provide approximately \$15 million per year in grant funding. If the American Dream Meadowlands project might have been completed without this funding then award of the grants would entail \$300 million in cost to the State with \$45 million being incurred in the first three years. If the project could not have been completed without the funding then there will be a revenue gain to the State in the amount of total incremental tax collections, less \$15 million per year. This project is likely to dwarf any other projects that might obtain ERG grant funding over the three year analysis period for the Fiscal Estimate. As a result, the Office of Legislative Services finds that the fiscal impact of this bill will fall between a cost of up to \$15 million per year and an indeterminate amount of revenue based upon future incremental tax collection from the American Dream Meadowlands project.

Section: Authorities, Utilities, Transportation and Communications

Analyst: Patrick Brennan

Assistant Fiscal Analyst

Approved: David J. Rosen

Legislative Budget and Finance Officer

This legislative fiscal estimate has been produced by the Office of Legislative Services due to the failure of the Executive Branch to respond to our request for a fiscal note.

This fiscal estimate has been prepared pursuant to P.L.1980, c.67 (C.52:13B-6 et seq.).

Search All of NJ



Home	News	room M edia	Administration	NJ's Priorities	Contact Us
Press Rel	leases	Public Addresses	Executive Orders	Press Kit	Reports

Home > New sroom > Press Releases > 2011 > Governor Christie Takes Action to Spur Job Creation Through Expanded Economic Development Programs

Governor Christie Takes Action to Spur Job Creation Through **Expanded Economic Development Programs**

Tuesday, July 26, 2011

Tags: Jobs and the Economy

Trenton, NJ - Continuing to act on his commitment to create jobs for New Jersey families, Governor Chris Christie today signed Senate Bill 2972 to expand job-creating tax incentives and provide an immediate economic boost to the state. Governor Christie was joined by Mayor Cory Booker at the Broad Street train station in Newark, a designated Urban Transit Hub, in signing the legislation that makes changes to incentive programs utilized by the state of New Jersey to promote economic growth and job creation -- the Economic Redevelopment and Growth Grant (ERGG); the Urban Transit Hub Tax Credit Act; and a residential development program originally created under the New Jersey Economic Stimulus Act. Numerous large-scale development projects currently pending around the state are expected to be jump-started by the expansion of these programs.

"Creating good paying, lasting jobs for New Jersey families is a top priority of this Administration, which is why tax cuts and incentive programs that help businesses grow and expand were a core aspect of my budget, and will continue to serve as tools to foster economic growth. Today, we are providing needed changes to incentives that are critical to growing our economy, creating jobs, and providing more opportunities for New Jersey families," said Governor Christie. "Putting in place targeted incentives to encourage businesses to build, develop and expand in the state is a critical piece of our broader efforts to drive New Jersey's economic recovery. New Jerseyans put to work as a result of our efforts are the final and most important beneficiaries of everything we do, which is why we will continue working aggressively to build on the positive, early progress we've made in returning our state to prosperity and affordability."

S-2972 expands the ERGG program to make growth areas in the Meadowlands eligible for ERGG grants, adding the Meadowlands to the other areas of the state where growth is encouraged and eligibility for ERGG grants is already provided, including State Planning Areas, Pinelands growth areas, transit villages and closed federal military bases. This change will impact the American Dream at Meadowlands project that Governor Christie has worked to get back on track with a new plan under a new developer -- Triple Five, owners of the Mall of America.

In addition, the legislation also makes several changes to the Urban Hub program: increasing the credit for residential projects from 20% to 35% of eligible costs over 10 years; providing that affordable housing requirements for an Urban Hub project are to be determined in the sole discretion of the municipality; allowing mixed use projects to receive tax credits for both the residential component and the commercial components of a project; allowing the tax credits to be carried forward for up to 20 years; clarifying existing law that property located within an Urban Hub area, but adjacent to a rail spur for freight rail that is not within an Urban Hub area, is eligible; and providing new standards and procedures for the net benefit analysis for in-state job moves.

Over the course of the last year, the New Jersey Economic Development Authority (EDA) has been involved in discussions with developers advancing significant mixed use projects across the state. The goal of the Urban Transit Hub Tax Credit program is promoting vibrant communities where people can work, live and shop, and the changes signed into law today are a significant step forward for impactful revitalization projects. The Christie Administration expects renewed activity in moving them forward. Examples of these projects include the Teachers Village project in Newark, the Gateway project in New Brunswick and Haddon Avenue Transit Village in Camden, each representing game-changing projects with investments aimed at providing housing and retail opportunities with commercial components and each leading to significant job creation and private sector investment.

"This is a significant day for Newark and for New Jersey - a day when the state's urban centers receive a set of supercharged tools to create jobs and kick-start New Jersey's economy. The bill Governor Christie signed today is the product of a true bipartisan collaboration. I am grateful to the Governor and his Administration - as well as to Senator Ray Lesniak, Assemblyman Al Coutinho, and the Legislature - for joining forces with cities like ours and crafting this important package," said Mayor Cory A. Booker. "In Newark, these incentives will enable crucial development projects to break ground. With credit to our collective efforts to date as well as these new measures, over 25 development projects in Newark will be underway in 2011. That represents over \$700 million in total development, producing over 2,500 construction jobs and over 2,500 permanent jobs. Together, these projects will have a transformative impact here in New Jersey's largest city. Thanks to our partnership with Governor Christie and the Legislature, this is Newark's Groundbreaking Year - and we look forward to making much more progress together."

In addition, as part of the Fiscal Year 2012 Budget proposal, Governor Christie provided \$180 million in targeted tax cuts for small businesses in New Jersey to spur job growth and increase business investment and expansion. Among the tax reforms included were: a change in the corporate business tax formula from a three-factor formula to a single

Stay Connected with Social Media

Stav Connected with Email Alerts

LIKE THIS PAGE? SHARE IT WITH YOUR FRIENDS.

SHARE NE

Related Content



Economic Development July 26, 2011

View More Photos

sales factor formula; an option for taxpayers to carry forward losses from certain business-related categories of gross incomes for up to two years; a 25 percent reduction in the minimum tax for S-Corporations; a doubling of the research and development credits; and a phase out of the Transitional Energy Facility Assessment (TEFA) by January 2012. The Governor has consistently stressed the need for reform and fiscal discipline to break from the state's hostile climate towards business expansion and job growth.

Since Governor Christie took office, the Administration has been committed to bringing real, bipartisan solutions to the critical challenges faced by the state. These include signing into law a Fiscal Year 2012 Budget that provides \$180 million in job creating tax cuts for small businesses and that does not raise taxes on New Jersey families, closing an \$11 billion budget deficit without tax increases, passing Cap 2.0 to bring real property tax relief, and enacting historic, bipartisan pension and health benefits reforms. Yesterday, Governor Christie and Lt. Governor Guadagno reported that since 2010, new foreign direct investment in New Jersey is expected to support more than 410 new jobs, 1,500 construction jobs and contribute over \$1.4 billion of capital investment into the state.

Additionally, the Administration has continued to advance policies to further improve New Jersey's business climate by sunsetting the corporate business tax surcharge, signing new, robust business attraction legislation, and protecting businesses from an average \$400 per employee, or 52% increase in the unemployment insurance payroll tax. Those policies, coupled with recent activities like the Governor's 'Creating Jersey Jobs Summit,' and the Lt. Governor's '100 Businesses' initiative all demonstrate that New Jersey is well-positioned for business expansion, economic growth and job creation as our economy recovers.

Sponsors of S-2972 included Senators Raymond Lesniak and Donald Norcross, and Assemblymembers Alberto Coutinho, Lou Greenwald and Jason O'Donnell.

Press Contact: Michael Drewniak Kevin Roberts 609-777-2600



Contact Us | Privacy Notice | Legal Statement & Disclaimers | Accessibility Statement |

Statewide: NJ Home | Services A to Z | Departments/Agencies | FAQs Office of the Governor: Home | Newsroom | Media | Administration | NJ's Priorities | Contact Us

Copyright © State of New Jersey, 1996-2013 Office of the Governor PO Box 001 Trenton, NJ 08625 609-292-6000