59:10-1

7/25/88

## LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST			
<b>NJSA:</b> 59:10-1,	<b>NJSA:</b> 59:10-1, 59:10-2, 59:10-4		ployees state and emnification for certain ns)
LAWS OF: 1987		CHAPTER: 340	
Bill No: A2026			
Sponsor(s): Schuber and Kosco			
Date Introduced:	Date Introduced: February 20, 1986		
Committee:	Committee: Assembly: Judiciary		
<b>.</b>	Senate: Judiciary		
Amended during pa	ssage: Ves No	Amenamen 19-aoterio	te during passage denoted
Date of Passage:	Assembly:	June 26, 19	986
	Senate:	December	10, 1987
Date of Approval:	December 24, 1987		
Following statements are attached if available:			
Sponsor statement:		Yes	
Committee stateme	ent: Assembly	Yes	•
	Sena te	Yes	
Fiscal Note:		No	· •
Veto Message:		No	
Message on Signing:		No	
Following were printed:			N. Hes
Reports:		No	
Hearings:		No	

CHAPTER 340 LAWS OF H.J. 19 87 APPHOVED 12-24-87

# ASSEMBLY, No. 2026 STATE OF NEW JERSEY

## INTRODUCED FEBRUARY 29, 1986

By Assemblymen SCHUBER and KOSCO

As Act concerning indemnification of public employees for exemplary or punitive damages and amending N. J. S. 59:10-1, N. J. S. 59:10-2 and N. J. S. 59:10-4.

1 BEETEENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State 2 of New Jersey:

1. N. J. S. 59:10-1 is amended to read as follows:

1

Concession of

59:10-1. Indemnification. If pursuant to the provisions of P. L.
1972, c. 48 [Senate Bill No. 993 now pending before the Legisla4 ture] (C. 59:10A-1 ct scq.) the Attorney General provides for the
5 defense of an employee or former employee, the State shall provide
6 indemnification for the State employee.

Nothing in this section [authorizes] requires the State to pay 7 for punitive or exemplary damages or damages resulting from the 8 9 commission of a crime. The State may, however, indemnify a State employee for exemplary or punitive damages resulting from the 10 11 employee's civil violation of State or federal law if, in the opinion of the Attorney General, the acts committed by the State employee 12 upon which the damages are based did not constitute actual fraud, 13 14 actual malice, willful misconduct, or an intentional wrong.

1 2. N. J. S. 59:10-2 is amended to read as follows:

59:10-2. Refusal to defend-indemnification. If the Attorney 2 3 General refuses to provide for the defense of a State employee as required by the provisions of P. L. 1972, c. 48 [Senate Bill No. 993] 4 now pending before the Legislature (C, 59:10.1-1) of seq.), the 5 employee or former employee of the State shall be entitled to 6 indemnification from the State if he establishes that the act or 7 8 omission upon which the claim or judgment was based occurred -Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets Ethus3 in the above bill EXPLANATIONis not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

Matter printed in italies thus is new matter.

9 within the scope of his employment as an employee of the State
10 and the State fails to establish that he acted or failed to act because
11 of actual fraud, actual malice or willful misconduct.

12 If the State employee establishes that he was entitled to a defense 13 under the provisions of this chapter, the State shall pay or reim-14 burse him for any bona fide settlement agreements entered into 15 by the employee, and shall pay or reimburse him for any judgments 16 entered against the employee, and shall pay or reimburse him for 17 all costs of defending the action, including reasonable counsel fees 18 and expenses, together with costs of appeal, if any.

Nothing in this section [authorizes] requires the State to pay 19 -20for punitive or exemplary damages or damages resulting from the 21 commission of a crime. The State may indemnify a State employee for exemplary or punitive damages resulting from the employee's 22civil violation of State or federal law if, in the opinion of the 23 Attorney General, the acts committed by the State employee upon 24 25 which the damages are based did not constitute actual fraud, actual malice, willful misconduct, or an intentional wrong. 26

1 3. N. J. S. 59:10-4 is amended to read as follows:

2 59:10-4. Local public entities---authority to indemnify, Local 3 public entities are hereby empowered to indemnify local public 4 employees consistent with the provisions of this act. A local public entity may indemnify an employee of the local public entity 5 5x for exemplary or punitive damages resulting from the employee's civil violation of State or federal law if, in the opinion of 61 the governing body of the local public entity, the acts committed by 7 the employee upon which the damages are based did not constitute S 9 actual fraud, actual malice, willful misconduct or an intentional 10 wrong.

4. This act shall take effect immediately.

<u>:</u>L

### STATEMENT-

Under the Tort Claims Act, if a judgment is obtained against a public employee as the result of an action based upon the performance of his duties, both the State and local public entities are empowered to indemnify the public employee for the amount of actual damages recovered. This bill would also permit the State and local public entities to indemnify a public employee for exemplary or punitive damages if the acts committed by the employee upon which the damages are based did not constitute actual fraud, malice, willful misconduct or an intentional wrong. Presently, the State and local public entities are not authorized to pay for punitive or exemplary damages. TORT LIABILITY AND MALPRACTICE Provides for indemnification of State and local employees for damages in certain civil actions.

. •

,

9 within the scope of his employment as an employee of the State
10 and the State fails to establish that he acted or failed to act because
11 of actual fraud, actual malice or willful misconduct.

12 If the State employee establishes that he was entitled to a defense 13 under the provisions of this chapter, the State shall pay or reim-14 burse him for any bona fide settlement agreements entered into 15 by the employee, and shall pay or reimburse him for any judgments 16 entered against the employee, and shall pay or reimburse him for 17 all costs of defending the action, including reasonable counsel fees 18 and expenses, together with costs of appeal, if any.

Nothing in this section [authorizes] requires the State to pay 1920for punitive or exemplary damages or damages resulting from the 21 commission of a crime. The State may indemnify a State employee 22for exemplary or punitive damages resulting from the employee's civil violation of State or federal law if, in the opinion of the 2324 Attorney General, the acts committed by the State employee upon 25which the damages are based did not constitute actual fraud, actual malice, willful misconduct, or an intentional wrong. 26

1 3. N. J. S. 59:10-4 is amended to read as follows:

 $\mathbf{2}$ 59:10-4. Local public entities-authority to indemnify. Local public entities are hereby empowered to indemnify local public 3 4 employees consistent with the provisions of this act. A local public entity may indemnify an employee of the local public entity 5 5A for exemplary or punitive damages resulting from the em-6 ployee's civil violation of State or federal law if, in the opinion of  $\mathbf{7}$ the governing body of the local public entity, the acts committed by 8 the employee upon which the damages are based did not constitute actual fraud, actual malice, willful misconduct or an intentional 9 10 wrong.

4. This act shall take effect immediately.

1

#### STATEMENT

Under the Tort Claims Act, if a judgment is obtained against a public employee as the result of an action based upon the performance of his duties, both the State and local public entities are empowered to indemnify the public employee for the amount of actual damages recovered. This bill would also permit the State and local public entities to indemnify a public employee for exemplary or punitive damages if the acts committed by the employee upon which the damages are based did not constitute actual fraud, malice, willful misconduct or an intentional wrong. Presently, the State and local public entities are not authorized to pay for punitive or exemplary damages.



## ASSEMBLY JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

## STATEMENT TO ASSEMBLY, No. 2026

## STATE OF NEW JERSEY

### DATED: MAY 22, 1986

The Assembly Judiciary Committee reports favorably Assembly Bill No. 2026.

Under the Tort Claims Act, if a judgment is obtained against a public employee as the result of an action based upon the performance of his duties, both the State and local public entities are empowered to indemnify the public employee for the amount of actual damages recovered. This bill would also permit the State and local public entities to indemnify a public employee for exemplary or punitive damages if the acts committed by the employee upon which the damages are based did not constitute actual fraud, malice, willfull misconduct or an intentional wrong. Presently, the State and local public entities are not authorized to pay for punitive or exemplary damages. LAW LIBRARY COPY

## SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE STATEMENT TO ASSEMBLY, No. 2026 STATE OF NEW JERSEY

### DATED: NOVEMBER 6, 1987

The Senate Judiciary Committee reports favorably Assembly Bill No. 2026.

Under the Tort Claims Act, if a judgment is obtained against a public employee as the result of an action based upon the performance of his duties, both the State and local public entities are empowered to indemnify the public employee for the amount of actual damages recovered. This bill would also permit the State and local public entities to indemnify a public employee for exemplary or punitive damages if the acts committed by the employee upon which the damages are based did not constitute actual fraud, malice, willful misconduct or an intentional wrong. Presently, the State and local public entities are not authorized to pay for punitive or exemplary damages.