### LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST Compiled by the NJ State Law Library

NJSA: 10:5-3

(Discrimination cases--establish right to jury trial)

LAWS OF: 1990

CHAPTER: 12

Bill No:

A2872, A2118, A2228-

Sponsor(s):

Spadoro and others

Date Introduced: Pre-filed

Committee: Assembly: Judiciary, Law and Public Safety

Senate:

A mended during passage:

Yes

Assembly Committee Substitute

enacted

Date of Passage:

Assembly:

February 26, 1990

Senate:

March 8, 1990

Date of Approval: April 16, 1990

Following statements are attached if available:

Sponsor statement:

Yes

Committee Statement: Assembly: Yes

Senate:

No

Fiscal Note:

Νo

Veto Message:

Nο

Message on signing:

Yes

Following were printed:

Reports:

Nο

Hearings:

No

(over)

Shaner v Horizon Bank, NJ 433

See newspaper cliiping--attached:

"Jury trials advance in bias cases," 4-17-90 Star Ledger

KBG/SLJ

# P.L.1990, CHAPTER 12, approved April 16, 1990 Assembly Committee Substitute for 1990 Assembly Nos. 2872, 2118 and 2228

1 AN ACT concerning the right to a jury trial and common law 2 remedies for discrimination and amending P.L.1945, c.169 and 3 - P.L.1986, c.105.

--**7**·

 BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. Section 3 of P.L.1945, c.169 (C.10:5-3) is amended to read as follows:
- 3. The Legislature finds and declares that practices of discrimination against any of its inhabitants, because of race, creed, color, national origin, ancestry, age, sex, marital status, liability for service in the Armed Forces of the United States, or nationality, are a matter of concern to the government of the State, and that such discrimination threatens not only the rights and proper privileges of the inhabitants of the State but menaces the institutions and foundation of a free democratic State; provided, however, that nothing in this expression of policy prevents the making of legitimate distinctions between citizens and aliens when required by Federal law or otherwise necessary to promote the national interest.

The Legislature further declares its opposition to such practices of discrimination when directed against any person by reason of the race, creed, color, national origin, ancestry, age, sex, marital status, liability for service in the Armed Forces of the United States, or nationality of that person or that person's spouse, partners, members, stockholders, directors, officers, managers, superintendents, agents, employees, business associates, suppliers, or customers, in order that the economic prosperity and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State may be protected and ensured.

The Legislature further finds that because of discrimination, people suffer personal hardships, and the State suffers a grievous harm. The personal hardships include: economic loss; time loss; physical and emotional stress; and in some cases severe emotional trauma, illness, homelessness or other irreparable harm resulting form the strain of employment controversies; relocation, search and moving difficulties; anxiety caused by lack of information, uncertainty, and resultant planning difficulty; career, education, family and social disruption; and adjustment problems, which

EXPLANATION--Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

1 particularly impact on those protected by this act. Such harms have, under the common law, given rise to legal remedies, 2 3 including compensatory and punitive damages. The Legislature intends that such damages be available to all persons protected 4 5 by this act and that this act shall be liberally construed in 6 combination with other protections available under the laws of this State.

(cf: P.L.1977, c.96, s.1)

7

8

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

9 . 10

- 2. Section 12 of P.L.1945, c.169 (C.10:5-13) is amended to read as follows:
- Any person claiming to be aggrieved by an unlawful employment practice or an unlawful discrimination may, [by himself, or his] personally or by an attorney-at-law, make, sign and file with the division a verified complaint in writing which shall state the name and address of the person, employer, labor organization, employment agency, owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, or agent alleged to have committed the unlawful employment practice or unlawful discrimination complained of and which shall set forth the particulars thereof and shall contain such other information as may be required by the division. Upon receipt of the complaint, the division shall notify the complainant on a form promulgated by the director of the division and approved by the Attorney General of the complainant's rights under this act, including the right to file a complaint in the Superior Court to be heard before a jury; of the jurisdictional limitations of the division; and any other provisions of this act, without interpretation, that may apply to the complaint. The Commissioner of Labor [and Industry], the Attorney General, or the Commissioner of Education may, in like manner, make, sign and file such complaint. Any employer whose employees, or some of them, refuse or threaten to refuse to co-operate with the provisions of this act, may file with the division a verified complaint asking for assistance by conciliation or other remedial action.

Any complainant may initiate suit in Superior Court under this act without first filing a complaint with the division or any municipal office. Upon the application of any party, a jury trial shall be directed to try the validity of any claim under this act specified in the suit. All remedies available in common law tort actions shall be available to prevailing plaintiffs. These remedies are in addition to any provided by this act or any other statute. Prosecution of such suit in Superior Court under this act shall bar the filing of a complaint with the division or any municipal office during the pendency of any such suit.

At any time after 180 days from the filing of a complaint with the division, a complainant may file a request with the division to present the action [by himself] personally or through [his own] counsel to the Office of Administrative Law. Upon such request, the director of the division shall file the action with the Office of

Administrative Law, provided that no action may be filed with 1 2 the Office of Administrative Law where the director of the division has found that no probable cause exists to credit the 3 allegations of the complaint or has otherwise dismissed the 4

5 🕫 complaint.

A party to an action based upon a violation of this act shall 6 7 mail a copy of the initial pleadings or claims, amended pleadings 8 or claims, counterclaims, briefs, and legal memoranda to the division at the same time as filing such documents with the 9 Office of Administrative Law or the court. Upon application to 10 the Office of Administrative Law or to the court wherein the 11 12 matter is pending, the division shall be permitted to intervene.

(cf: P.L.1979, c.404, s.1)

13

14

15

16 17

18 -19-

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

<u>28</u>

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38 **39** 

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- 3. Section 13 of P.L.1945, c.169 (C.10:5-14) is amended to read as follows:
- After the filing of any complaint, the Attorney General shall cause prompt investigation to be made in connection therewith and advise the complainant of the results thereof [if]. If the Attorney General shall determine after such investigation that probable cause\_exists for crediting the allegations of the complaint, he shall immediately endeavor to eliminate the unlawful employment practice or the unlawful discrimination complained of by conference, conciliation and persuasion during a period terminating not later than 45 days from the date of the finding of probable cause. Neither the Attorney General nor any officer or employee of the division shall disclose any conversation between the Attorney General or his representative and the respondent or his representative at such conference,

(cf: P.L.1966, c.17, s.5)

- 4. Section 5 of P.L.1986, c.105 (C.34:19-5) is amended to read as follows:
- Upon a violation of any of the provisions of this act, an aggrieved employee or former employee may, within one year. institute a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction[, within one year, for relief which may include, and which the court may order, the following:]. Upon the application of any party, a jury trial shall be directed to try the validity of any claim under this act specified in the suit. All remedies available in common law tort actions shall be available to prevailing plaintiffs. These remedies are in addition to any legal or equitable relief provided by this act or any other statute. The court may also order:
  - a. An injunction to restrain continued violation of this act;
- The reinstatement of the employee to the same position held before the retaliatory action, or to an equivalent position;
- The reinstatement of full fringe benefits and seniority rights;

1	d. The compensation for lost wages, benefits and other
2	remuneration;
3	e. The payment by the employer of reasonable costs, and
4	attomey's fees;
5	f. Punitive damages; or
6	g. An assessment of a civil fine of not more than \$1,000.00 for
7	the first violation of the act and not more than \$5,000.00 for
8	each subsequent violation, which shall be paid to the State
9	Treasurer for deposit in the General Fund.
10	(cf: P.L.1986, c.105, s.5)
11	5. This act shall take effect immediately and shall apply to
12	any action pending on that date.
13	
14	
15	CIVIL JUSTICE
16	
17	Provides that a person who brings a legal action pursuant to the
18	"Law Against Discrimination" or the "Conscientious Employee
19	Protection Act" is entitled to a jury trail.

## ASSEMBLY, No. 2872

## STATE OF NEW JERSEY

#### INTRODUCED JANUARY 22, 1990

#### By Assemblyman CHARLES

AN ACT concerning the right to a jury trial and common law remedies for discrimination and amending P.L.1945, c.169 and P.L.1986, c.105.

2.7

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. Section 3 of P.L.1945, c.169 (C.10:5-3) is amended to read as follows:
- 3. The Legislature finds and declares that practices of discrimination against any of its inhabitants, because of race, creed, color, national origin, ancestry, age, sex, marital status, liability for service in the Armed Forces of the United States, or nationality, are a matter of concern to the government of the State, and that such discrimination threatens not only the rights and proper privileges of the inhabitants of the State but menaces the institutions and foundation of a free democratic State; provided, however, that nothing in this expression of policy prevents the making of legitimate distinctions between citizens and aliens when required by Federal law or otherwise necessary to promote the national interest.

The Legislature further declares its opposition to such practices of discrimination when directed against any person by reason of the race, creed, color, national origin, ancestry, age, sex, marital status, liability for service in the Armed Forces of the United States, or nationality of that person or that person's spouse, partners, members, stockholders, directors, officers, managers, superintendents, agents, employees, business associates, suppliers, or customers, in order that the economic prosperity and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State may be protected and ensured.

The Legislature further finds that because of discrimination, people suffer personal hardships, and the State suffers a grievous harm. The personal hardships include: economic loss, time loss; physical and emotional stress; and in some cases severe emotional trauma, illness, homelessness or other irreparable harm resulting form the strain of employment controversies; relocation, and moving difficulties; anxiety caused by lack of information, uncertainty, and resultant planning difficulty; career, education, family and social disruption; and adjustment problems, which

EXPLANATION---Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

2

particularly impact on those protected by this act. Such harms
have, under the common law, given rise to legal remedies,
including compensatory and punitive damages. The Legislature
intends that such damages be available to all persons protected
by this act and that this act shall be liberally construed in
combination with other protections available under the laws of
this State.

(cf: P.L.1977, c.96, s.1)

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

2324

2526

2728

29 30

31

32 33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

- 2. Section 12 of P.L.1945, c.169 (C.10:5-13) is amended to read as follows:
- Any person claiming to be aggrieved by an unlawful employment practice or an unlawful discrimination may, [by himself, or his] personally or by an attorney-at-law, make, sign and file with the division a verified complaint in writing which shall state the name and address of the person, employer, labor organization, employment agency, owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, or agent alleged to have committed the unlawful employment practice or unlawful discrimination complained of and which shall set forth the particulars thereof and shall contain such other information as may be required by the division. Upon receipt of the complaint, the division shall notify the complainant on a form promulgated by the director of the division and approved by the Attorney General of the complainant's rights under this act, including the right to file a complaint in the Superior Court to be heard before a jury; of the jurisdictional limitations of the division; and any other provisions of this act, without interpretation, that may apply to the complaint. The Commissioner of Labor [and Industry], the Attorney General, or the Commissioner of Education may, in like manner, make, sign and file such complaint. Any employer whose employees, or some of them, refuse or threaten to refuse to co-operate with the provisions of this act, may file with the division a verified complaint asking for assistance by conciliation or other remedial action.

Any complainant may initiate suit in Superior Court under this act without first filing a complaint with the division or any municipal office. Upon the application of any party, a jury trial shall be directed to try the validity of any claim under this act specified in the suit. All remedies available in common law tort actions shall be available to prevailing plaintiffs. These remedies are in addition to any provided by this act or any other statute. Prosecution of such suit in Superior Court under this act shall bar the filing of a complaint with the division or any municipal office during the pendency of any such suit.

At any time after 180 days from the filing of a complaint with the division, a complainant may file a request with the division to present the action [by himself] personally or through [his own] counsel to the Office of Administrative Law. Upon such request, the director of the division shall file the action with the Office of Administrative Law, provided that no action may be filed with the Office of Administrative Law where the director of the division has found that no probable cause exists to credit the allegations of the complaint or has otherwise dismissed the complaint.

A party to an action based upon a violation of this act shall mail a copy of the initial pleadings or claims, amended pleadings or claims, counterclaims, briefs, and legal memoranda to the division at the same time as filing such documents with the Office of Administrative Law or the court. Upon application to the Office of Administrative Law or to the court wherein the matter is pending, the division shall be permitted to intervene.

(cf: P.L.1979, c.404, s.1)

- 3. Section 13 of P.L.1945, c.169(C.10:5-14) is amended to read as follows:
- 13. After the filing of any complaint, the Attorney General shall cause prompt investigation to be made in connection therewith and advise the complainant of the results thereof [if]. If the Attorney General shall determine after such investigation that probable cause exists for crediting the allegations of the complaint, he shall immediately endeavor to eliminate the unlawful employment practice or the unlawful discrimination complained of by conference, conciliation and persuasion during a period terminating not later than 45 days from the date of the finding of probable cause. Neither the Attorney General nor any officer or employee of the division shall disclose any conversation between the Attorney General or his representative and the respondent or his representative at such conference.

(cf: P.L.1966, c.17, s.5)

- 4. Section 5 of P.L.1986, c.105(C.34:19-5) is amended to read as follows:
- 5. Upon a violation of any of the provisions of this act, an aggrieved employee or former employee may, within one year, institute a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction, within one year, for relief which may include, and which the court may order, the following: I. Upon the application of any party, a jury trial shall be directed to try the validity of any claim under this act specified in the suit. All remedies available in common law tort actions shall be available to prevailing plaintiffs. These remedies are in addition to any legal or equitable relief provided by this act or any other statute. The court may also order:
  - a. An injunction to restrain continued violation of this act;
- b. The reinstatement of the employee to the same position held before the retaliatory action, or to an equivalent position;
- c. The reinstatement of full fringe benefits and seniority rights;

- d. The compensation for lost wages, benefits and other remuneration;
- e. The payment by the employer of reasonable costs, and attorney's fees;
  - f. Punitive damages; or
- g. An assessment of a civil fine of not more than \$1,000.00 for the first violation of the act and not more than \$5,000.00 for each subsequent violation, which shall be paid to the State Treasurer for deposit in the General Fund.

(cf: P.L.1986, c.105, s.5)

5. This act shall take effect immediately and shall apply to any action pending on that date.

#### **STATEMENT**

The "Law Against Discrimination," P.L.1945, c.169, (C.10:5-1 et seq.) prohibits an employer from discriminating in the workplace on the basis of race, creed, color, national origin, sex, and certain other factors. A person who has been discriminated against under the terms of the law may either seek relief by filing a complaint with the Division on Civil Rights in the Department of Law and Public Safety or by bringing an action in Superior Court. The provision of a dual remedial system allowsboth the efficient and speedy administration of civil rights cases where the damages are incidental to the loss of rights or where the aggrieved cannot afford private counsel, but preserves the right to go to court where the potential monetary damages are not incidental. Because the remedies under the two schemes are substantially different, this bill would provide for disclosure by the Division on Civil Rights of the differences in available relief, and would ensure that plaintiffs are adequately informed of their options when choosing a forum.

In a recent decision, Shaner v. Horizon Bank, 116 N.J.433 (1989), the New Jersey Supreme Court held that under present law a person who brings a legal action based on a violation of the Law Against Discrimination is not entitled to a jury trial. The reasoning of Shaner would also bar jury trials for an employee who brings a claim based on a violation of the "Conscientious Employee Protection Act," P.L.1986, c.105 (C.34:19-1 et seq.). That act prohibits an employer from taking retaliatory action against an employee who discloses, threatens to disclose, or testifies regarding any of the employer's policies or practices which the employee believes is in violation of the law.

The "Law Against Discrimination" and the "Conscientious Employee Protection Act" were enacted to supplement the common law, and to provide special protection to persons who are victimized because of membership in a protected class. As

1	interpreted by the court, remedies would be more limited for
2	victims of discrimination and retaliatory firings than they are for
3	victims of unintentional negligence. This bill would restore the
4	right to a jury trial that is essential to the concept of restitution
5	for injuries under the common law.
6	This bill is submitted pursuant to a recommendation of the
7	Commission on Sex Discrimination in the Statutes.
8	
9	
10	CIVIL JUSTICE
11	
12	Provides that a person who brings a legal action pursuant to the
13	"Law Against Discrimination" or the "Conscientious Employee
14	Protection Act" is entitled to a jury trail.

## ASSEMBLY, No. 2118

## STATE OF NEW JERSEY

## Introduced Pending Technical Review by Legislative Counsel PRE-FILED FOR INTRODUCTION IN THE 1990 SESSION

### By Assemblymen CHARLES and WATSON

AN ACT concerning the right to a jury trial and amending P.L.1945, c.169 and P.L.1986, c.105.

2 3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

20

21

22 23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

35

36

37

38

1

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. Section 12 of P.L.1945, c.169 (C.10:5-13) is amended to read as follows:
- Any person claiming to be aggrieved by an unlawful employment practice or an unlawful discrimination may, by himself, or his attorney-at-law, make, sign and file with the division a verified complaint in writing which shall state the name and address of the person, employer, labor organization, employment agency, owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, or agent alleged to have committed the unlawful employment practice or unlawful discrimination complained of and which shall set forth the particulars thereof and shall contain such other information as may be required by the division. The Commissioner of Labor and Industry, the Attorney General, or the Commissioner of Education may, in like manner, make, sign and file such complaint. Any employer whose employees, or some of them, refuse or threaten to refuse to co-operate with the provisions of this act, may file with the division a verified complaint asking for assistance by conciliation or other remedial

Any complainant may initiate suit in Superior Court under this act without first filing a complaint with the division or any municipal office. Upon the application of either party a jury trial shall be directed to the try the validity of any claim specified in the suit. Prosecution of such suit in Superior Court under this act shall bar the filing of a complaint with the division or any municipal office during the pendency of any such suit.

At any time after 180 days from the filing of a complaint with the division, a complainant may file a request with the division to present the action by himself or through his own counsel to the Office of Administrative Law. Upon such request, the director of the division shall file the action with the Office of Administrative Law, provided that no action may be filed with the Office of Administrative Law where the director of the

EXPLANATION--Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

division has found that no probable cause exists to credit the allegations of the complaint or has otherwise dismissed the complaint.

A party to an action based upon a violation of this act shall mail a copy of the initial pleadings or claims, amended pleadings or claims, counterclaims, briefs, and legal memoranda to the division at the same time as filing such documents with the Office of Administrative Law or the court. Upon application to the Office of Administrative Law or to the court wherein the matter is pending, the division shall be permitted to intervene.

(cf: P.L.1979, c.404, s.1)

- 2. Section 5 of P.L.1986, c.105 (C.34:19-5) is amended to read as follows:
- 5. Upon a violation of any of the provisions of this act, an aggrieved employee or former employee may institute a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction, within one year, for relief which may include, and which the court may order, the following:
  - a. An injunction to restrain continued violation of this act;
- b. The reinstatement of the employee to the same position held before the retaliatory action, or to an equivalent position;
- c. The reinstatement of full fringe benefits and seniority rights;
- d. The compensation for lost wages, benefits and other remuneration:
- e. The payment by the employer of reasonable costs, and attorney's fees;
  - f. Punitive damages; or
- g. An assessment of a civil fine of not more than \$1,000.00 for the first violation of the act and not more than \$5,000.00 for each subsequent violation, which shall be paid to the State Treasurer for deposit in the General Fund.

Upon the application of either party a jury trial shall be directed to try the validity of any claim brought under this act. (cf. P.L.1986, c.105, s.5)

3. This act shall take effect immediately.

#### **STATEMENT**

 The "Law Against Discrimination," P.L.1945, c.169, (C.10:5-1 et seq.) prohibits an employer from discriminating in the work place on the basis of race, creed, color, national origin, sex and certain other factors. A person who has been discriminated against in the work place may either seek relief by filing a complaint with the Division on Civil Rights or by bringing an action in Superior Court.

In a recent decision, Shaner v. Horizon Bank, N.J., A-90-88 (August 10, 1989), the New Jersey Supreme Court held

that under present law a person who brings a legal action based on a violation of the "Law Against Discrimination" is not entitled to a jury trial.

The reasoning of <u>Shaner</u> would also bar jury trials for an employee who brings a claim based on a violation of the "Conscientious Employee Protection Act," P.L.1986, c.105 (C.34:19-1 et seq.). This act prohibits an employer from taking retaliatory action against an employee who discloses, threatens to disclose, or testifies regarding any of the employer's policies or practices which the employee believes is in violation of the law.

This bill would provide that a person who elects to institute a legal action pursuant to the "Law Against Discrimination" or the "Conscientious Employee Protection Act" is entitled to a jury trial.

#### CIVIL JUSTICE

 Provides that a person who brings a legal action pursuant to the "Law Against Discrimination" or the "Conscientious Employee Protection Act"is entitled to a jury trial.

## ASSEMBLY, No. 2228

## STATE OF NEW JERSEY

## Introduced Pending Technical Review by Legislative Counsel PRE-FILED FOR INTRODUCTION IN THE 1990 SESSION

By Assemblymen SPADORO, GILL and Duch

AN ACT concerning the right to a jury trial in certain cases and amending P.L.1945, c.169.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28 29

30

3132

33

34

35

36

37

38

1

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. Section 12 of P.L.1945, c.169 (C.10:5-14) is amended to read as follows:
- Any person claiming to be aggrieved by an unlawful employment practice or an unlawful discrimination may, by himself, or his attorney-at-law, make, sign and file with the division a verified complaint in writing which shall state the name and address of the person, employer, labor organization, employment agency, owner, lessee, proprietor, superintendent, or agent alleged to have committed the unlawful employment practice or unlawful discrimination complained of and which shall set forth the particulars thereof and shall contain such other information as may be required by the division. The Commissioner of Labor and Industry, the Attorney General, or the Commissioner of Education may, in like manner, make, sign and file such complaint. Any employer whose employees, or some of them, refuse or threaten to refuse to co-operate with the provisions of this act, may file with the division a verified complaint asking for assistance by conciliation or other remedial action.

Any complainant may initiate suit in Superior Court under this act without first filing a complaint with the division or any municipal office. Upon the application of either party a jury trial shall be directed to the try the validity of any claim specified in the suit. Prosecution of such suit in Superior Court under this act shall bar the filing of a complaint with the division or any municipal office during the pendency of any such suit.

At any time after 180 days from the filing of a complaint with the division, a complainant may file a request with the division to present the action by himself or through his own counsel to the Office of Administrative Law. Upon such request, the director of the division shall file the action with the Office of Administrative Law, provided that no action may be filed with the Office of Administrative Law where the director of the

 $\hbox{\it EXPLANATION---Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law. }$ 

division has found that no probable cause exists to credit the allegations of the complaint or has otherwise dismissed the complaint.

A party to an action based upon a violation of this act shall mail a copy of the initial pleadings or claims, amended pleadings or claims, counterclaims, briefs, and legal memoranda to the division at the same time as filing such documents with the Office of Administrative Law or the court. Upon application to the Office of Administrative Law or to the court wherein the matter is pending, the division shall be permitted to intervene. (cf: P.L.1979, c.404, s.1)

2. This act shall take effect immediately.

#### **STATEMENT**

The "Law Against Discrimination," N.J.S.10:5-1 et seq., prohibits an employer from discriminating in the work place on the basis of race, creed, color, national origin, sex and certain other factors. A person who has been discriminated against in the work place may either seek relief by filing a complaint with the Division on Civil Rights or by bringing an action in Superior Court.

In a recent decision, Shaner v. Horizon Bank, N.J., A-90-88 (August 10, 1989), the New Jersey Supreme Court held that under present law a person who brings a legal action based on a violation of the "Law Against Discrimination" is not entitled to a jury trial.

This bill would provide that a person who elects to institute a legal action pursuant to the "Law Against Discrimination" is entitled to a jury trial.

### CIVIL JUSTICE

Provides that a person who brings a legal action pursuant to the "Law Against Discrimination" is entitled to a jury trial.

## ASSEMBLY JUDICIARY, LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE

#### STATEMENT TO

## ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR ASSEMBLY, Nos. 2872, 2118 and 2228

## STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: FEBRUARY 8, 1990

The Assembly Judiciary, Law and Public Safety Committee reports favorably Assembly Committee Substitute for Assembly Bill Nos. 2872, 2118 and 2228.

In Shaner v. Horizon Bancorp, 116 N.J. 433 (1989), the New Jersey Supreme Court ruled that a plaintiff who brought an action under the "Law Against Discrimination", P.L. 1945, c. 169 (C.10:5-1 et seq.) (LAD) was not entitled to jury trial. This bill would amend the LAD to grant a plaintiff the right to a jury trial. This bill would also add language to the findings section of the LAD listing the hardships (i.e. economic loss, emotional trauma) which victims of discrimination might suffer and language indicating that the LAD is to be liberally construed so that all common law remedies, including compensatory and punitive damages, are available to persons protected by the LAD. The bill further amends the LAD to require the Division of Civil Rights to promulgate a form of notifying complainants of their rights under the LAD.

On the theory that the <u>Shaner</u> holding would also be applicable to the "Conscientious Employee Protection Act", P.L.1986 c.105 (C.34:19-1 et seq.), the bill would also grant plaintiffs bringing an action pursuant to that act the right to a jury trial. The bill would also add language, similar to that described above with regard to the LAD, indicating that the remedies available under the "whistleblower" act are to be liberally construed

This Assembly Judiciary, Law and Public Safety Committee Substitute is identical to Senate Bill No. 2234.



# OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR NEWS RELEASE

CN-001 Contact:

Emma Byrne 609/292-8956

**TRENTON, N.J. 08625** 

Release:

Monday April 16, 1990

### ADVISORY

Governor Jim Florio today signed the following bills:

A 1373 Aca/S 330 Sca, sponsored by Assemblyman Wayne Bryant and Senator Walter Rand

The bill makes permissive the revaluation of real property in certain cities for the tax years 1989-91. The bill applies to municipalities with populations of more than 80,000, but less than 90,000. Municipalities in this category are not required to implement a revaluation for the designated tax years. According to the Department of Consumer Affair, the population category includes only Camden, Hamilton and Edison.

A 2872/2118/2228 Acs/S 2234, sponsored by Assemblyman Joseph Charles, Senator Donald DiFrancesco

Provides that a person who brings a legal action pursuant to the "Law Against Discrimination" (N.J.S. 10:5-1 et seq.) or the "Conscientious Employee Protection Act (N.J. S. 34:19-1 et seq) is entitled to a jury trial. Both laws were enacted to supplement common law and provide special protection to persons who are victimized because of membership in a protected class.

The Law Against Discrimination Act prohibits an employer from discriminating in the workplace on the basis of race, creed, color, national origin, sex and certain other factors. The person who seeks redress from discrimination has two options 1) filing a complaint with the Division of Civil Rights in the Department of Law and Public Safety or 2) bringing an action in Superior Court. The Conscientious Employee Protection Act, also known as the Whistleblower Act, prohibits an employer from taking retaliatory action against an employee who discloses, threatens to disclose or testifies regarding any of the employer's policies and practices which the employee believes is in violation of the law.