LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST Compiled by the NJ State Law Library

NJSA: 58:10 A-10.1

(Water Pollution Control Act-amendments)

LAWS OF: 1990

CHAPTER: 28

Bill No:

S2188

Sponsor(s):

Van Wagner

Date Introduced:

Pre-filed

Committee: Assembly: ----

Revenue, Finance and Appropriations; Environmental

A mended during passage:

Yes

A mendments during passage

denoted by asterisks.

Date of Passage:

Assembly:

April 30, 1990

Senate:

April 30, 1990

Date of Approval: May 23, 1990

Following statements are attached if available:

Sponsor statement:

Committee Statement: Assembly: No

Senate:

Yes

Fiscal Note:

Νo

Veto Message:

No

Message on signing:

Yes

Following were printed:

Reports:

No

Hearings:

Yes

(over)

DEPOSITORY COPY Do Not Remove From Library

974 . 90 W 329 1990a	New Jersey. Legislature. Senate. Environmental Quality Committee. Public hearing on S2188, held 2-13-90. Old Bridge, N.J., 1990
J628 . 1 p777	New Jersey. Public Interest Research Group Polluters playground: an investigation of water pollution violations in New Jersey. February 19, 1988. Trenton, 1988.
974 . 90 W 329 1988d	New Jersey. Legislature. Senate. Committee on Energy and Environment. Public hearing on S2787 (Clean Water Enforcement Act), held (1977) (197
974 . 90 p777 1989n	New Jersey. Legislature. Senate. Special Committee to Study Coastal and Ocean Pollution. Public hearing on S2787, held 4-5-89. Trenton, 1989.
	the state of the s

See newspaper clippings--attached:

KBG/SLJ

Comment of the many of French of the

Enter Jag etale angate in a least of a participation Acres garages and acres

7271 Ca . S

[SECOND REPRINT] SENATE, No. 2188

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

PRE-FILED FOR INTRODUCTION IN THE 1990 SESSION

By Senators VAN WAGNER, DALTON and BENNETT

1	AN ACT concerning water pollution control and prevention,
2	amending and supplementing P.L.1977, c.74, supplementing
3	P.L.1983, c.230 (C.58:11-64 et seq.), amending P.L.1974, c.169
4	and P.L.1972, c.42, creating a "Clean Water Enforcement
5	Fund" and a "Wastewater Treatment Operators' Training
6	Account" ¹ [and making an appropriation] ¹ .

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. Section 3 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-3) is amended to read as follows:
- 3. As used in this act, unless the context clearly requires a different meaning, the following words and terms shall have the following meanings:
 - a. "Administrator" means the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency or his authorized representative;
 - b. "Areawide plan" means any plan prepared pursuant to section 208 of the Federal Act;
 - c. "Commissioner" means the Commissioner of Environmental Protection or his authorized representative;
 - d. "Department" means the Department of Environmental Protection;
 - e. "Discharge" means [the] an intentional or unintentional action or omission resulting in the releasing, spilling, leaking, pumping, pouring, emitting, emptying, or dumping of a pollutant into the waters of the State [or], onto land or into wells from which it might flow or drain into said waters [, and shall include] or into waters or onto lands outside the jurisdiction of the State, which pollutant enters the waters of the State. "Discharge" includes the release of any pollutant into a municipal treatment works:
 - f. "Effluent limitation" means any restriction on quantities, quality, rates and concentration of chemical, physical, thermal, biological, and other constituents of pollutants <u>established by permit</u>, or imposed as an interim enforcement limit pursuant to an administrative order, including an administrative consent order;
 - g. "Federal Act" means the "Federal Water Pollution Control

EXPLANATION--Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

Matter underlined <u>thus</u> is new matter.
Matter enclosed in superscript numerals has been adopted as follows:

Senate SEQ committee amendments adopted March 12, 1990.

Senate SRF committee amendments adopted April 26, 1990.

Act Amendments of 1972" (Public Law 92-500; 33 U.S.C. 2 § 2 1251 et seq.);

- h. "Municipal treatment works" means the treatment works of any municipal, county, or State agency or any agency or subdivision created by one or more municipal, county or State governments and the treatment works of any public utility as defined in R.S.48:2-13;
- i. "National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System" or "NPDES" means the national system for the issuance of permits under the Federal Act;
- j. "New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System" or "NJPDES" means the New Jersey system for the issuance of permits under this act;
- k. "Permit" means [an] a NJPDES permit issued pursuant to section 6 of this act. "Permit" includes a letter of agreement entered into between a delegated local agency and a user of its municipal treatment works, setting effluent limitations and other conditions on the user of the agency's municipal treatment works;
- l. "Person" means any individual, corporation, company, partnership, firm, association, owner or operator of a treatment works, political subdivision of this State and any state or interstate agency. "Person" shall also mean any responsible corporate official for the purpose of enforcement action under section 10 of this act;
- m. "Point source" means any discernible, confined and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to, any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, or vessel or other floating craft, from which pollutants are or may be discharged;
- n. "Pollutant" means any dredged spoil, solid waste, incinerator residue, sewage, garbage, refuse, oil, grease, sewage sludge, munitions, chemical wastes, biological materials, radioactive substance, thermal waste, wrecked or discarded equipment, rock, sand, cellar dirt, and industrial, municipal or agricultural waste or other residue discharged into the waters of the State. "Pollutant" includes both hazardous and nonhazardous pollutants;
- o. "Pretreatment standards" means any restriction on quantities, quality, rates, or concentrations of pollutants discharged into municipal or privately owned treatment works adopted pursuant to P.L.1972, c.42 (C.58:11-49 et seq.);
- p. "Schedule of compliance" means a schedule of remedial measures including an enforceable sequence of actions or operations leading to compliance with water quality standards, an effluent limitation or other limitation, prohibition or standard;
- q. "Substantial modification of a permit" means any significant change in any effluent limitation, schedule of compliance, compliance monitoring requirement, or any other provision in any permit which permits, allows, or requires more or

less stringent or more or less timely compliance by the permittee;

- r. "Toxic pollutant" means [those pollutants, or combinations] any pollutant identified pursuant to the ²[federal act] Federal Act², or any pollutant or combination of pollutants, including disease causing agents, which after discharge and upon exposure, ingestion, inhalation or assimilation into any organism, either directly or indirectly by ingestion through food chains, will, on the basis of information available to the commissioner, cause death, disease, behavioral abnormalities, cancer, genetic mutations, physiological malfunctions, including malfunctions in reproduction, or physical deformation, in such organisms or their offspring;
- s. "Treatment works" means any device or systems, whether public or private, used in the storage, treatment, recycling, or reclamation of municipal or industrial waste of a liquid nature including intercepting sewers, outfall sewers, sewage collection systems, cooling towers and ponds, pumping, power and other equipment and their appurtenances; extensions, improvements, remodeling, additions, and alterations thereof; elements essential to provide a reliable recycled supply such as standby treatment units and clear well facilities; and any other works including sites for the treatment process or for ultimate disposal of residues resulting from such treatment. [Additional, "treatment works" means] "Treatment works" includes any other method or system for preventing, abating, reducing, storing, treating, separating, or disposing of pollutants, including storm water runoff, or industrial waste in combined or separate storm water and sanitary sewer systems;
- t. "Waters of the State" means the ocean and its estuaries, all springs, streams and bodies of surface or ground water, whether natural or artificial, within the boundaries of this State or subject to its jurisdiction;
 - u. "Hazardous pollutant" means:
- (1) Any toxic pollutant;

- (2) Any substance regulated as a pesticide under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, Pub.L.92-516 (7 U.S.C. § 136 et seq.);
- (3) Any substance the use or manufacture of which is prohibited under the federal Toxic Substances Control Act, Pub.L.94-469 (15 U.S.C. 2§2 2601 et seq.);
- (4) Any substance identified as a known carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer;
- (5) Any hazardous waste as designated pursuant to section 3 of P.L.1981, c.279 (C.13:1E-51) or the "Resource Conservation and Recovery Act," Pub.L.94-580 (42 U.S.C. § 6901 et seq.); or
- (6) Any hazardous substance as defined pursuant to section 3 of P.L.1976, c.141 (C.58:10-23.11b.);
- v. "Serious violation" means an exceedance of an effluent limitation for a discharge point source set forth in a permit,

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46 47

48

49

administrative order, or administrative consent agreement, including interim enforcement limits, by 20 percent or more for a hazardous pollutant, or by 40 percent or more for a ²[non-hazardous] nonhazardous² pollutant, calculated on the basis of the monthly average for a pollutant for which the effluent limitation is expressed as a monthly average, or, in the case of an effluent limitation expressed as a daily maximum and without a monthly average, on the basis of the monthly average ¹[maximum]¹ of all ¹maximum¹ daily test results for that pollutant in any month; in the case of an effluent limitation for a pollutant that is not measured by mass or concentration, the department shall prescribe an equivalent exceedance factor therefor. The department may utilize, on a case-by-case basis, a more stringent factor of exceedance to determine a serious violation if the department states the specific reasons therefor, which may include the potential for harm to human health or the environment. "Serious violation" shall not include a violation of a permit limitation for color;

w. "Significant noncomplier" means any person who commits a serious violation for the same hazardous pollutant or the same nonhazardous pollutant, at the same discharge point source, in any two months of any six month period, or who exceeds the monthly average or, in a case of a pollutant for which no monthly average has been established, the monthly average of the daily maximums for an effluent limitation for the same pollutant at the same discharge point source by any amount in any four months of any six month period, or who fails to submit a completed discharge monitoring report in any two months of any six month period. The department may utilize, on a case-by-case basis, a more stringent frequency or factor of exceedance to determine a significant noncomplier, if the department states the specific reasons therefor, which may include the potential for harm to human health or the environment 2. A local agency shall not be deemed a "significant noncomplier" due to an exceedance of an effluent limitation established in a permit for flow;²

- x. "Local agency" means a political subdivision of the State, or an agency or instrumentality thereof, that owns or operates a municipal treatment works;
- y. "Delegated local agency" means a local agency with an industrial pretreatment program approved by the department;
- z. "Upset" means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with an effluent limitation because of an event beyond the reasonable control of the permittee, including fire, riot, sabotage, or a flood, storm event, natural cause, or other act of God, or other similar circumstance, which is the cause of the violation. "Upset" also includes noncompliance consequent to the performance of maintenance operations for which a prior exception has been granted by the department or a delegated local agency¹[.]; ¹

- aa. "Bypass" means the anticipated or unanticipated intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment works;
 - bb. "Major facility" means any facility or activity classified as such by the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, or his representative, in conjunction with the department, and includes industrial facilities and municipal treatment works;
 - cc. "Significant indirect user" means a discharger of industrial or other pollutants into a municipal treatment works, as defined by the department, including, but not limited to, industrial dischargers, but excluding the collection system of a municipal treatment works¹[.];
 - dd. "Violation of this act" means a violation of any provisions of this act, and shall include a violation of any rule or regulation, water quality standard, effluent limitation or other condition of a permit, or order adopted, issued, or entered into pursuant to this act. 1
- 19 (cf: P.L.1977, c.74, s.3)

- 2. Section 4 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-4) is amended to read as follows:
 - 4. The commissioner shall have power to prepare, adopt, amend, repeal and enforce, pursuant to the "Administrative [Procedures] Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.), reasonable codes, rules and regulations to prevent, control or abate water pollution and to carry out the intent of this act, either throughout the State or in certain areas of the State affected by a particular water pollution problem. Such codes, rules and regulations may include, but shall not be limited to, provisions concerning:
 - a. The storage of any liquid or solid pollutant in a manner designed to keep it from entering the waters of the State;
 - b. The prior submission and approval of plans and specifications for the construction or modification of any treatment work or part thereof;
 - c. The classification of the surface and ground waters of the State and the determination of water quality standards for each such classification;
 - d. The limitation of effluents, including toxic effluents as indicated herein;
 - e. The determination of pretreatment standards;
 - f. The establishment of user charges and cost recovery requirements in conformance with the Federal Act;
- g. The establishment of a civil penalty policy governing the uniform assessment of civil penalties in accordance with section 10 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-10).
- 47 (cf: P.L.1977, c.74, s.4)
- 3. Section 6 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-6) is amended to read as follows:

- 6. a. It shall be unlawful for any person to discharge any pollutant, except in conformity with a valid New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit that has been issued by the commissioner pursuant to this act or a valid National [Pollution] Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit issued by the administrator pursuant to the Federal Act, as the case may be.
- b. It shall be unlawful for any person to build, install, modify or operate any facility for the collection, treatment or discharge of any pollutant, except after approval by the department pursuant to regulations adopted by the commissioner.
- c. The commissioner is hereby authorized to grant, deny, modify, suspend, revoke, and reissue NJPDES permits in accordance with this act, and with regulations to be adopted by him. The commissioner may reissue, with or without modifications, an NPDES permit duly issued by the federal government as the NJPDES permit required by this act.
- d. The commissioner may, by regulation, exempt the following categories of discharge, in whole or in part, from the requirement of obtaining a permit under this act; provided, however, that an exemption afforded under this section shall not limit the civil or criminal liability of any discharger nor exempt any discharger from approval or permit requirements under any other provision of law:
- (1) Additions of sewage, industrial wastes or other materials into a publicly owned sewage treatment works which is regulated by pretreatment standards;
- (2) Discharges of any pollutant from a marine vessel or other discharges incidental to the normal operation of marine vessels;
- (3) Discharges from septic tanks, or other individual waste disposal systems, sanitary landfills, and other means of land disposal of wastes;
- (4) Discharges of dredged or fill materials into waters for which the State could not be authorized to administer the section 404 program under section 404(g) of the "Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972," as amended by the "Clean Water Act of 1977" (33 U.S.C. § 1344) and implementing regulations;
 - (5) Nonpoint source discharges;
- (6) Uncontrolled nonpoint source discharges composed entirely of storm water runoff when these discharges are uncontaminated by any industrial or commercial activity unless these particular storm water runoff discharges have been identified by the administrator or the department as a significant contributor of pollution;
- (7) Discharges conforming to a national contingency plan for removal of oil and hazardous substances, published pursuant to section 311(c)(2) of the Federal Act.
 - e. The commissioner shall not issue any permit for:
- 49 (1) The discharge of any radiological, chemical or biological

warfare agent or high-level radioactive waste into the waters of this State;

- (2) Any discharge which the United States Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, finds would substantially impair anchorage or navigation;
- (3) Any discharge to which the administrator has objected in writing pursuant to the Federal Act;
- (4) Any discharge which conflicts with an areawide plan adopted pursuant to law.
- f. A permit <u>issued</u> by the <u>department or a delegated local</u> <u>agency</u>, under this act shall require the permittee:
- (1) To achieve effluent limitations based upon guidelines or standards established pursuant to the Federal Act or this act, together with such further discharge restrictions and safeguards against unauthorized discharge as may be necessary to meet water quality standards, areawide plans adopted pursuant to law, or other legally applicable requirements;
- (2) Where appropriate, to meet schedules for compliance with the terms of the permit and interim deadlines for progress or reports of progress towards compliance;
- (3) To insure that all discharges are consistent at all times with the terms and conditions of the permit and that no pollutant will be discharged more frequently than authorized or at a level in excess of that which is authorized by the permit;
- (4) To submit application for a new permit in the event of any contemplated facility expansion or process modification that would result in new or increased discharges or, if these would not violate effluent limitations or other restrictions specified in the permit, to notify the commissioner, or delegated local agency, of such new or increased discharges;
- (5) To install, use and maintain such monitoring equipment and methods, to sample in accordance with such methods, to maintain and retain such records of information from monitoring activities, and to submit to the commissioner, or to the delegated local agency, [such] reports of monitoring results [as he may require] for surface waters, as may be stipulated in the permit, or required by the commissioner or delegated local agency pursuant to paragraph (9) of this subsection, or as the commissioner or the delegated local agency may prescribe for ground water. Significant indirect users, major industrial dischargers, and local agencies, other than those discharging only stormwater or noncontact cooling water, shall, however, report their monitoring results for discharges to surface waters monthly to the commissioner, or the delegated local agency. Discharge monitoring reports for discharges to surface waters shall be signed by the highest ranking official having day-to-day managerial and operational responsibilities for the discharging facility, who may, in his absence, authorize another responsible high ranking official to sign a monthly monitoring report if a

7

report is required to be filed during that period of time. The highest ranking official shall, however, be liable in all instances for the accuracy of all the information provided in the monitoring report; provided, however, that the highest ranking official may file, within seven days of his return, amendments to the monitoring report to which he was not a ²[signator] signatory². The filing of amendments to a monitoring report in accordance with this paragraph shall not be considered a late filing of a report for purposes of subsection d. of section 6 of P.L. ¹1990¹, c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill), or for purposes of determining a significant noncomplier;

- (6) At all times, to maintain in good working order erate as effectively as possible, any facilities or systems of control installed to achieve compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit;
- (7) To limit concentrations of heavy metals, pesticides, organic chemicals and other contaminants in the sludge in conformance with the land-based sludge management criteria established by the department in the Statewide Sludge Management Plan adopted pursuant to the "Solid Waste Management Act," P.L.1970, c.39 (C.13:1E-1 et seq.) or established pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution ²[Contral] Control² Act Amendments of 1972 (33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq.), or any regulations adopted pursuant thereto;
- (8) To report to the department or delegated local agency, as appropriate, any exceedance of an effluent limitation that causes injury to persons, or damage to the environment, or poses a threat to human health or the environment, within two hours of its occurrence, or of the permittee becoming aware of the occurrence. Within 24 hours thereof, or of an exceedance, or of becoming aware of an exceedance, of an effluent limitation for a toxic pollutant, a permittee shall provide the department or delegated local agency with such additional information on the discharge as may be required by the department or delegated local agency, including an estimate of the danger posed by the discharge to the environment, whether the discharge is continuing, and the measures taken, or being taken, to remediate the problem and any damage to the environment, and to avoid a repetition of the problem;
- (9) Notwithstanding the reporting requirements stipulated in a permit for discharges to surface waters, a permittee shall be required to file monthly reports with the commissioner or delegated local agency if the permittee:
- (a) in any month commits a serious violation or fails to submit a completed discharge monitoring report and does not contest, or unsuccessfully contests, the assessment of a civil administrative penalty therefor; or
- (b) exceeds an effluent limitation for the same pollutant at the same discharge point source by any amount for four out of six

consecutive months.

7

 The commissioner or delegated local agency may restore the reporting requirements stipulated in the permit if the permittee has not committed any of the violations identified in this paragraph for six consecutive months¹[.];

- (10) To report to the department or delegated local agency, as appropriate, any serious violation within 30 days of the violation, together with a statement indicating that the permittee understands the civil administative penalties required to be assessed for serious violations, and explaining the nature of the serious violation and the measures taken to remedy the cause or prevent a recurrence of the serious violation.¹
- g. The commissioner and a local agency shall have a right of entry to all premises in which a discharge source is or might be located or in which monitoring equipment or records required by a permit are kept, for purposes of inspection, sampling, copying or photographing.
- h. In addition, any permit issued for a discharge from a municipal treatment works shall require the permittee:
- (1) To notify the commissioner or local agency in advance of the quality and quantity of all new introductions of pollutants into a facility and of any substantial change in the pollutants introduced into a facility by an existing user of the facility, except for such introductions of nonindustrial pollutants as the commissioner or local agency may exempt from this notification requirement when ample capacity remains in the facility to accommodate new inflows. [Such notifications] The notification shall estimate the effects of [such] the changes on the effluents to be discharged into the facility.
- (2) To establish an effective regulatory program, alone or in conjunction with the operators of sewage collection systems, that will assure compliance and monitor progress toward compliance by industrial users of the facilities with user charge and cost recovery requirements of the Federal Act or State law and toxicity standards adopted pursuant to this act and pretreatment standards.
- (3) As actual flows to the facility approach design flow or design loading limits, to submit to the commissioner or local agency for [his] approval, a program which the permittee and the persons responsible for building and maintaining the contributory collection system shall pursue in order to prevent overload of the facilities.
- i. (1) All [owners of municipal treatment works are hereby authorized to] <u>local agencies shall</u> prescribe terms and conditions, consistent with applicable State and federal law, <u>or requirements adopted pursuant thereto by the department</u>, upon which pollutants may be introduced into [such] <u>treatment</u> works, [and to], <u>and shall have the authority to</u> exercise the same right of entry, inspection, sampling, and copying, and to impose the

same remedies, fines and penalties, and to recover costs and compensatory damages as authorized pursuant to subsection a. of section 10 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-10) and section 6 of P.L. ¹1990¹, c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill), with respect to users of such works, as are vested in the commissioner by this act, or by any other provision of State law, except that a local agency may not impose civil administrative penalties, and shall petition the county prosecutor or the Attorney General for a criminal prosecution under that section. Terms and conditions include limits for heavy metals, pesticides, organic chemicals and other contaminants in industrial wastewater discharges based upon the attainment of land-based sludge management criteria established by the department in the Statewide [Slude] Sludge Management Plan adopted pursuant to the "Solid Waste Management Act," P.L.1970, c.39 (C.13:1E-1 et seq.) or established pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 (33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq.), or any regulations adopted pursuant thereto.

- (2) Of the amount of any penalty assessed and collected pursuant to an action brought by a local agency in accordance with section 10 of P.L.1977, c.74 or section 6 of P.L.¹1990¹, c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill), 10% shall be deposited in the "Wastewater Treatment Operators' Training Account," established in accordance with section 13 of P.L.¹[1989] 1990¹, c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill), and used to finance the cost of training operators of municipal treatment works. The remainder shall be used by the local agency solely for enforcement purposes, and for upgrading municipal treatment works.
- j. In reviewing permits submitted in compliance with this act and in determining conditions under which such permits may be approved, the commissioner shall encourage the development of comprehensive regional sewerage planning or facilities, which serve the needs of the regional community [and which], conform to the adopted area-wide water quality management plan for that region, and protect the needs of the regional community for water quality, aquifer storage, aquifer recharge, and dry weather based stream flows.
- k. No permit may be issued, renewed, or modified by the department or a delegated local agency so as to relax any water quality standard or effluent limitation until the applicant, or permit holder, as the case may be, has paid all fees, penalties or fines due and owing pursuant to P.L.1977, c.74, or has entered into an agreement with the department establishing a payment schedule therefor; except that if a penalty or fine is contested, the applicant or permit holder shall satisfy the provisions of this section by posting financial security as required pursuant to paragraph (5) of subsection d. of section 10 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-10). The provisions of this subsection with respect to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48 49 penalties or fines shall not apply to a local agency contesting a penalty or fine.

- l. Each permitted facility or municipal treatment works, other than one discharging only stormwater or non-contact cooling water, shall be inspected by the department at least once a year; except that each permitted facility discharging into the municipal treatment works of a delegated local agency, other than a facility discharging only stormwater or non-contact cooling water, shall be inspected by the delegated local agency at least once a year. Except as hereinafter provided, an inspection required under this subsection shall be conducted within six months following a permittee's submission of an application for a permit, permit renewal, or, in the case of a new facility or municipal treatment works, issuance of a permit therefor, except that if for any reason, a scheduled inspection cannot be made the inspection shall be rescheduled to be performed within 30 days of the originally scheduled inspection or, in the case of a temporary shutdown, of resumed operation. Exemption of stormwater facilities from the provisions of this paragraph shall not apply to any permitted facility or municipal treatment works discharging or receiving stormwater runoff having come into contact with a hazardous discharge site on the federal National Priorities List adopted by the United States Environmental Protection Agency pursuant to the "Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act," Pub.L.96-510 (42 U.S.C.A. § 9601 et seq.), or any other hazardous discharge site included by the department on the master list for hazardous discharge site cleanups adopted pursuant to section 2 of P.L.1982, c.202 (C.58:10-23.16). Inspections shall include:
 - (1) A representative sampling of the effluent for each permitted facility or municipal treatment works, except that in the case of facilities or works that are not major facilities or significant indirect users, sampling pursuant to this paragraph shall be conducted at least once every three years;
 - (2) An analysis of all collected samples by a State owned and operated laboratory, or a certified laboratory other than one that has been or is being used by the permittee, or that is directly or indirectly owned, operated or managed by the permittee;
 - (3) An evaluation of the maintenance record of the permittee's treatment equipment;
 - (4) An evaluation of the permittee's sampling techniques;
 - (5) A random check of written summaries of test results, prepared by the certified laboratory providing the test results, for the immediately preceding 12-month period, signed by a responsible official of the certified laboratory, certifying the accuracy of the test results; and
- (6) An inspection of the permittee's sample storage facilities and techniques if the sampling is normally performed by the permittee.

The department may inspect a facility required to be inspected by a delegated local agency pursuant to this subsection. Nothing in this subsection shall require the department to conduct more than one inspection per year.

A delegated local agency shall not be required to conduct annual inspections pursuant to this subsection until ²[the first day of the 7th month after the effective date of ¹[this act] P.L. 1990, c. (C.)(pending in the Legislature as this bill) ¹] January 1, 1992².

m. The facility or municipal treatment works of a permittee identified as a significant noncomplier shall be subject to an inspection by the department, or the delegated local agency, as the case may be, which inspection shall be in addition to the requirements of subsection l. of this section. The inspection shall be conducted within ¹[30] 60¹ days of ¹[submission] receipt ¹ of the discharge monitoring report that initially results in the permittee being identified as a significant noncomplier. The inspection shall include a random check of written summaries of test results, prepared by the certified laboratory providing the test results, for the immediately preceding 12-month period, signed by a responsible official of the certified laboratory, certifying the accuracy of the test results. A copy of each summary shall be maintained by the permittee. The inspection shall be for the purpose of determining compliance. The department or delegated local agency is required to conduct only one inspection per year pursuant to this subsection, and is not required to make an inspection hereunder if an inspection has been made pursuant to subsection l. of this section within six months of the period within which an inspection is required to be conducted under this subsection.

n. To assist the commissioner in assessing a municipal treatment works' NJPDES permit in accordance with paragraph (3) of subsection b. of section 7 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-7), a delegated local agency shall perform a complete analysis that includes a complete priority pollutant analysis of the discharge from, and inflow to, the municipal treatment works. The analysis shall be performed by a delegated local agency as often as the priority pollutant scan is required under the permit, but not less than once a year, and shall be based upon data acquired in the priority pollutant scan and from applicable sludge quality analysis reports. The results of the analysis shall be included in a report to be attached to the annual report required to be submitted to the commissioner by the delegated local agency.

o. Except as otherwise provided in section 3 of P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-3), any records, reports or other information obtained by the commissioner or a local agency pursuant to this section or section 5 of P.L.1972, c.42 (C.58:11-53), including any ²[correspondence] correspondence² relating thereto, shall be available to the public; however, upon a showing satisfactory to

the commissioner by any person that the making public of any receport or information, or a part thereof, other than effluent data, would divulge methods or processes entitled to protection as trade secrets, the commissioner or local agency shall consider such record, report, or information, or part thereof, to be confidential, and access thereto shall be limited to authorized officers or employees of the department, the local agency, and the federal government.

(cf: P.L.1988, c.56, s.7)

7

- 4. Section 7 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-7) is amended to read as follows:
- 7. a. All permits issued under this act shall be for fixed terms not to exceed 5 years. Any permittee who wishes to continue discharging after the expiration date of his permit must file for a new permit at least 180 days prior to that date.
- b. (1) The commissioner may modify, suspend, or revoke a permit in whole or in part during its term for cause, including but not limited to the following:
 - [(1)] (a) Violation of any term or condition of the permit;
- [(2)] (b) Obtaining a permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully all relevant facts[;].
- [(3)] (2) If a toxic effluent limitation or prohibition, including any schedule of compliance specified in such effluent limitation or prohibition, is established under section 307(a) of the Federal Act for a toxic pollutant which is more stringent than any limitations upon such pollutant in an existing permit, the commissioner shall revise or modify the permit in accordance with the toxic effluent limitation or prohibition and so notify the permittee.
- (3) The department shall include in a permit for a delegated local agency effluent limits for all pollutants listed under the United States Environmental Protection Agency's Categorical Pretreatment Standards, adopted pursuant to 33 U.S.C. § 1317, and such other pollutants for which effluent limits have been established for a permittee discharging into the municipal treatment works of the delegated local agency, except those categorical or other pollutants that the delegated local agency demonstrates to the department are not discharged above detectable levels by the municipal treatment works. The department, by permit, may authorize the use by a delegated local agency of surrogate parameters for categorical and other pollutants discharged from a municipal treatment works, except that if a surrogate parameter is exceeded, the department shall require effluent limits for each categorical or other pollutant for which the surrogate parameter was used, for such period of time as may be determined by the department.
- c. Notice of every proposed suspension, revocation or renewal, or substantial modification of a permit and opportunity for public hearing thereupon, shall be afforded in the same manner as with

respect to original permit applications as provided for in this act. In any event notice of all modifications to a discharge permit shall be published in the [New Jersey Register] <u>DEP</u> Bulletin.

- d. [Every final] A determination [of the commissioner] to grant, deny, modify, suspend, or revoke a permit shall constitute [an administrative adjudication] a contested case under the "Administrative [Procedures] Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.)[, which provides the]. The permittee, or any other person considered a party to the action 2 pursuant to subsection e. of this section², shall have the opportunity to contest the [final] determination in [a] an administrative hearing. ²[The administrative law judge, or the commissioner, if the commissioner decides to conduct the hearing, shall find whether a person other than the permittee is a party to the action. A person shall be considered to be a party to action only if the person's objections to the action to grant, deny, modify, suspend, or revoke a permit were raised by that person in the hearing held pursuant to section 9 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-9), and relate to a significant issue of law or fact that is likely to have a bearing on the determination, or, if no hearing was held, the objections were raised in a written submission and the objection relates to a significant issue of law or fact that is likely to have a bearing on the determination.]
- e. A person, other than the permittee, seeking to be considered a party to the action shall submit a request to be so considered to the commissioner within 30 days of the publication of the notice of the decision to grant, deny, modify, suspend, or revoke a permit. The administrative law judge upon referral, or the commissioner, if the commissioner decides to make the determination, shall find whether a person other than the permittee is a party to the action within 30 days of the submission of the request or the referral to the administrative law judge. A person shall be deemed a party to the action only if:
- (1) the person's objections to the action to grant, deny, modify, suspend, or revoke a permit were raised by that person in the hearing held pursuant to section 9 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-9), or, if no hearing was held, the objections were raised in a written submission;
- (2) the person demonstrates the existence of a significant issue of law or fact;
- (3) the person shows that the significant issue of law or fact is likely to affect the permit determination;
- (4) the person can show an interest, including an environmental, aesthetic, or recreational interest, which is or may be affected by the permit decision and that the interest fairly can be traced to the challenged action and is likely to be redressed by a decision favorable to that person. An organization may contest a permit decision on behalf of one or more of its members if (a) the

organization's member or members could otherwise be a party to the action in their own right; and (b) the interests the organization seeks to protect are germane to the organization's purpose; and

- (5) the person submits the following information with the request to be considered a party to the action:
- (a) a statement of each legal or factual question alleged to be at issue and its relevance to the permit decision, together with a designation of the specific factual areas to be adjudicated and the hearing time estimated to be necessary for adjudication;
- (b) information supporting the request which shall be submitted pursuant to adopted rules;
- (c) the name, mailing address, and telephone number of the person making the request;
- (d) a clear and concise factual statement of the nature and scope of the interest of the requester;
- (e) the names and addresses of all affected persons whom the requester represents;
- (f) a statement by the requester that, upon motion of any party granted by the hearing officer, or upon order of the hearing officer sua sponte, the requester shall make available to appear and testify at the administrative hearing, if granted, the following: the requester; all affected persons represented by the requester; and all officers, directors, employees, consultants, and agents of the requester;
- (g) specific references to the contested permit conditions, as well as suggested revised or alternative permit conditions, including permit denials, which, in the judgment of the requester, would be required to implement the purposes of P.L.1977, c.74; and
- (h) in the case of application of control or treatment technologies identified in the statement of basis or fact sheet, identification of the basis for the objection, and the alternative technologies or combination of technologies which the requester believes are necessary to meet the requirements of P.L.1977, c.74.

Whenever a person's request to be considered to be a party to the action is granted, the commissioner or the administrative law judge, as appropriate, shall identify the permit conditions which have been contested by the requester and for which an administrative hearing will be granted. Permit conditions which are not so contested shall not be affected by, or considered at, the administrative hearing. All requests by persons seeking to be considered a party to the action for a particular permit shall be combined in a single administrative hearing.

f. A permittee may contest the determination to grant, deny, modify, suspend, or revoke a permit in an administrative hearing pursuant to subsection d. of this section only upon the placement, in account of many in an amount equal to the namit fee?

in escrow, of money in an amount equal to the permit fee.²

(cf: P.L.1977, c.74, s.7)

- 5. Section 10 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-10) is amended to read as follows:
- 10. a. [Whenever, on the basis of any information available to him,] ¹[Except as otherwise provided in subsections b., c., and d. of section 6 of P.L., c. (C.)(pending in the Legislature as this bill), whenever] Whenever¹ the commissioner finds that any person is in violation of any provision of this act, [or any rule, regulation, water quality standard, effluent limitation, or, permit issued pursuant to this act,] he shall:
- (1) Issue ¹[a notice of violation or]¹ an order requiring any such person to comply in accordance with subsection b. of this section; or
- (2) Bring a civil action in accordance with subsection c. of this section; or
- (3) Levy a civil administrative penalty in accordance with subsection d. of this section; or
- (4) Bring an action for a civil penalty in accordance with subsection e. of this section; or
- (5) Petition the Attorney General to bring a criminal action in accordance with subsection f. of this section.

Use of any of the remedies specified under this section shall not preclude use of any other remedy specified.

In the case of one or more pollutants for which interimenforcement limits have been established pursuant to an administrative order, including an administrative consent order, by the department or a local agency, the permittee shall be liable for the enforcement limits stipulated therein.

¹[As used in this section, "violation of the provisions of this act" or "violation of this act" includes a violation of any rule or regulation, water quality standard, effluent limitation or other condition of a permit, or order promulgated, issued, or entered into pursuant to this act.]¹

b. [Whenever, on the basis of any information available to him,] ¹[Except as otherwise provided in subsections b., c., and d. of section 6 of P.L., c. (C.)(pending in the Legislature as this bill), whenever] Whenever1 the commissioner finds that any person is in violation of any provision of this act, [or of any rule, regulation, water quality standard, effluent limitation or permit issued pursuant to this act,] he [may issue] 1[shall utilize one or more of the remedies available under subsection a. of this section. If the commissioner elects to issue a notice of violation, the commissioner shall, if necessary, determine, within three months of the date of issuance of the notice, what steps have been taken to comply with the notice. If the commissioner determines that the permittee has not taken reasonable steps to comply with the notice, the commissioner shall may issue an order (1) specifying the provision or provisions of this act, or the rule, regulation, water quality standard, effluent limitation, or permit of which he is in violation, (2) citing the action which

caused such violation, (3) requiring compliance with such provision or provisions, and (4) giving notice to the person of his right to a hearing on the matters contained in the order.

1[Nothing herein shall be construed to limit the authority of the commissioner to issue an order for a violation without prior issuance of a notice of violation.]

1

- c. The commissioner is authorized to commence a civil action in Superior Court for appropriate relief for any violation of this act or of a permit issued hereunder. Such relief may include, singly or in combination:
 - (1) A temporary or permanent injunction;

7

- (2) Assessment of the violator for the <u>reasonable</u> costs of any investigation, inspection, or monitoring survey which led to the establishment of the violation, and for the reasonable costs of preparing and litigating the case under this subsection;
- (3) Assessment of the violator for any <u>reasonable</u> cost incurred by the State in removing, correcting or terminating the adverse effects upon water quality resulting from any unauthorized discharge of pollutants for which the action under this subsection may have been brought;
- (4) Assessment against the violator of compensatory damages for any loss or destruction of wildlife, fish or aquatic life, or other natural resources, and for any other actual damages caused by an unauthorized discharge;
- (5) Assessment against a violator of the actual amount of any economic benefits accruing to the violator from a violation. Economic benefits may include the amount of any savings realized from avoided capital or noncapital costs resulting from the violation; the return earned or that may be earned on the amount of avoided costs; any benefits accruing to the violator as a result of a competitive market advantage enjoyed by reason of the violation; or any other benefits resulting from the violation.

Assessments under paragraph (4) of this subsection shall be paid to the State Treasurer, except that compensatory damages shall be paid by specific order of the court to any persons who have been aggrieved by the unauthorized discharge. Assessments pursuant to actions brought by the commissioner under paragraphs (2), (3) and (5) of this subsection shall be paid to the "Clean Water Enforcement Fund," established pursuant to section 12 of P.L., c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill).

¹[Upon an appropriate finding, the commissioner, by administrative order, may assess a violator for costs authorized pursuant to paragraphs (2) and (3) of this subsection;]¹

d. (1) (a) The commissioner is authorized to assess, in accordance with a uniform policy adopted therefor, a civil administrative penalty of not more than \$50,000.00 for each violation and each day during which such violation continues shall constitute an additional, separate, and distinct offense. Any

amount assessed under this subsection shall fall within a range established by regulation by the commissioner for violations of similar type, seriousness, and duration. The commissioner shall adopt, by regulation, a uniform assessment of civil penalties policy ²[within six months of the effective date of P.L., c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill) by January 1, 1992².

(b) In adopting rules for a uniform penalty policy for determining the amount of a penalty to be assessed, the commissioner shall take into account the type, seriousness, including extent, toxicity, and frequency of a violation based upon the harm to public health or the environment resulting from the violation, the economic benefits from the violation gained by the violator, the degree of cooperation or recalcitrance of the violator in remedying the violation, any measures taken by the violator to avoid a repetition of the violation, any unusual or extraordinary costs directly or indirectly imposed on the public by the violation other than costs recoverable pursuant to paragraph (3) or (4) of subsection c. of this section, and any other pertinent factors that the commissioner determines measure the seriousness or frequency of the violation, or conduct of the violator.

¹(c) In addition to the assessment of a civil administrative penalty, the commissioner may, by administrative order and upon an appropriate finding, assess a violator for costs authorized pursuant to paragraphs (2) and (3) of subsection c. of this section.¹

(2) No assessment shall be levied pursuant to this ¹[section] subsection until after the discharger has been notified by certified mail or personal service. The notice shall include a reference to the section of the statute, regulation, order or permit condition violated; a concise statement of the facts alleged to constitute a violation; a statement of the amount of the civil penalties to be imposed; and a statement of the party's right to a hearing. The ordered party shall have 20 days from receipt of the notice within which to deliver to the commissioner a written request for a hearing. After the hearing and upon finding that a violation has occurred, the commissioner may issue a final order after assessing the amount of the fine specified in the notice. If no hearing is requested, then the notice shall become a final order after the expiration of the 20-day period. Payment of the assessment is due when a final order is issued or the notice becomes a final order.

(3) If a civil administrative penalty imposed pursuant to this subsection is not paid within 30 days of the date that the penalty is due and owing, and the penalty is not contested by the person against whom the penalty has been assessed, or the person fails to make a payment pursuant to a payment schedule entered into with the department, an interest charge shall accrue on the

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

2728

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

amount of the penalty ¹due and owing ¹ from the 30th ¹[date] day after the date on which ¹ the penalty was due and owing. The rate of interest shall be that established by the New Jersey Supreme Court for interest rates on judgments, as set forth in the Rules Governing the Courts of the State of New Jersey.

(4) The authority to levy ²[an] <u>a civil</u>² administrative [order] penalty is in addition to all other enforcement provisions in this act, and the payment of any assessment shall not be deemed to affect the availability of any other enforcement provisions in connection with the violation for which the assessment is levied. Any civil ¹administrative ¹ penalty assessed under this section may be compromised by the commissioner upon the posting of a performance bond by the violator, or upon such terms and conditions as the commissioner may establish by regulation, except that ²[in the case of a violator other than a local agency]² the amount compromised shall not be more than 50% of the assessed penalty, 2[but] and2 in no instance shall the amount of that compromised penalty be less than the statutory minimum amount, if applicable, prescribed in section 6 of P.L. 119901, c.)(pending in the Legislature as this bill). In the case of a violator who is a local agency ²[, for a first ¹[violation] assessment after the effective date of P.L.1990, c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill) the amount compromised shall be at the discretion of the department, for a second violation the amount compromised shall not be more than 75% of the assessed penalty, and for a third and subsequent violation the amount compromised shall not be more than 50% of the assessed penaltyl that enters into an administrative consent order, the terms of which require the local agency to take prescribed measures to comply with its permit, the commissioner shall have full discretion to compromise the amount of penalties assessed or due for violations occurring during a period up to 24 months preceding the entering into the administrative consent order; except that the amount of the compromised penalty may not be less than the statutory minimum amount, if applicable, prescribed in section 6 of P.L. 1990, c. (C.)(pending in the Legislature as this bill). A civil administrative penalty assessed against a local agency for a violation of an administrative consent order may not be compromised by more than 50% of the assessed penalty². In no instance shall the amount of a compromised penalty assessed against a local agency be less than the statutory minimum amount, if applicable, prescribed in section 6 of P.L. 119901, c. (C.)(pending in the Legislature as this bill). The ¹[Commissioner] commissioner shall not compromise the amount of any component of a civil administrative penalty which represents the economic benefit gained by the violator from the violation.

(5) A person, other than a local agency, appealing a penalty assessed ¹against that person ¹ in accordance with this subsection,

whether contested as a contested case pursuant to P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.) or by appeal to a court of competent jurisdiction, shall, as a condition of filing the appeal, post with the commissioner a refundable bond, or other security approved by the commissioner, in the amount of the civil administrative penalty assessed. If the 1[department is the prevailing party] department's assessed penalty is upheld in full or in part1, the department shall 1[also]1 be entitled to a daily interest charge on the amount of the judgment from the date of the posting of the security with the commissioner and until paid in full. The rate of interest shall be that established by the New Jersey Supreme Court for interest rates on judgments, as set forth in the Rules Governing the Courts of the State of New Jersey. ¹In addition, if the amount of the penalty assessed by the department is upheld in full in an appeal of the assessment at an administrative hearing or at a court of competent jurisdiction, the person appealing the penalty shall reimburse the department for all reasonable costs incurred by the department in preparing and litigating the imposition of the assessment, except that no litigation costs shall be imposed where the appeal ultimately results in a reduction or elimination of the assessed penalty.¹

- (6) A civil administrative penalty imposed pursuant to a final order:
- (a) may be collected or enforced by summary proceedings in a court of competent jurisdiction in accordance with "the penalty enforcement law," N.J.S.2A:58-1 et seq.; or
- (b) shall constitute a debt of the violator or discharger and the civil administrative penalty may be docketed with the clerk of the Superior Court, and shall have the same standing as any judgment docketed pursuant to N.J.S.2A:16-1; except that no lien shall attach to the real property of a violator pursuant to this subsection if the violator posts a refundable bond or other security with the commissioner pursuant to an appeal of a final order to the Appellate Division of the Superior Court. No lien shall attach to the property of a local agency.
- (7) The commissioner shall refer to the Attorney General and the county prosecutor of the county in which the violations occurred the record of violations of any permittee determined to be a significant noncomplier.
- e. Any person who violates this act or an administrative order issued pursuant to subsection b. or a court order issued pursuant to subsection c., or who fails to pay [an administrative assessment] a civil administrative penalty in full pursuant to subsection d., or to make a payment pursuant to a payment schedule entered into with the department, shall be subject upon order of a court to a civil penalty not to exceed \$50,000.00 per day of such violation, and each day's continuance of the violation shall constitute a separate violation. Any penalty incurred under this subsection may be recovered with costs, and, if applicable,

interest charges, in a summary proceeding pursuant to "the penalty enforcement law" (N.J.S.2A:58-1 et seq.). In addition to any civil penalties, costs or interest charges, the court, in accordance with paragraph (5) of subsection c. of this section, may assess against a violator the amount of any actual economic benefits accruing to the violator from the violation. The Superior Court shall have jurisdiction to enforce "the penalty enforcement law" in conjunction with this act.

f. [Any person who willfully or negligently violates this act shall, upon conviction, be guilty of a crime of the fourth degree and shall be punished by fine of not less than \$5,000.00 nor more than \$50,000.00 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than one year, or by both. Punishment for a second offense under this subsection shall be a fine of not less than \$10,000.00 nor more than \$100,000.00 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two years, or both.

Any person who knowingly makes a false statement, representation, or certification in any application, record, or other document filed or required to be maintained under this act or who falsifies, tampers with or knowingly renders inaccurate, any monitoring device or method required to be maintained pursuant to this act, shall upon conviction, be subject to a fine of not more than \$20,000.00 or by imprisonment for not more than six months, or by both! (1)(a) Any person who purposely, knowingly, or recklessly violates this act, and the violation causes a significant adverse environmental effect, shall, upon conviction, be guilty of a crime of the second degree, and shall, notwithstanding the provisions of subsection a. of N.J.S.2C:43-3, be subject to a fine of not less than \$25,000 nor more than \$250,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment, or by both.

- (b) As used in this paragraph, a significant adverse environmental effect exists when an action or omission of the defendant causes: serious harm or damage to wildlife, freshwater or saltwater fish, any other aquatic or marine life, water fowl, or to their habitats, or to livestock, or agricultural crops; serious harm, or degradation of, any ground or surface waters used for drinking, agricultural, navigational, recreational, or industrial purposes; or any other serious articulable harm or damage to, or degradation of, the lands or waters of the State, including ocean waters subject to its jurisdiction pursuant to P.L.1988, c.61 (C.58:10A-47 et seq.).
- (2) Any person who purposely, knowingly, or recklessly violates this act, including making a false statement, representation, or certification in any application, record, or other document filed or required to be maintained under this act, or by falsifying, tampering with, or rendering inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained pursuant to this act, or by failing to submit a monitoring report, or any portion thereof, required pursuant to this act, shall, upon conviction, be guilty of

- a crime of the third degree, and shall, notwithstanding the provisions of subsection b. of N.J.S.2C:43-3, be subject to a fine of not less than \$5,000 nor more than \$75,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment, or by both.
- (3) Any person who negligently violates this act, including making a false statement, representation, or certification in any application, record, or other document filed or required to be maintained under this act, or by falsifying, tampering with, or rendering inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained pursuant to this act, or by failing to submit a discharge monitoring report, or any portion thereof, required pursuant to this act, shall, upon conviction, be guilty of a crime of the fourth degree, and shall, notwithstanding the provisions of subsection b. of N.J.S.2C:43-3, be subject to a fine of not less than \$5,000 nor more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment, ²[or]² or by both.
- (4) Any person who purposely or knowingly violates an effluent limitation or other condition of a permit, or who discharges without a permit, and who knows at that time that he thereby places another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury, as defined in subsection b. of N.J.S.2C:11-1, shall, upon conviction, be guilty of a crime of the first degree, and shall, notwithstanding the provisions of subsection a. of N.J.S.2C:43-3, be subject of a fine of not less than \$50,000 nor more than \$250,000, or, in the case of a corporation, a fine of not less than \$200,000 nor more than \$1,000,000, or by imprisonment or by both.
- ¹(5) As used in this subsection, "purposely," "knowingly," "recklessly," and "negligently" shall have the same meaning as defined in N.J.SC:2-2.¹
- g. All conveyances used or intended for use in the [willful] purposeful or knowing discharge, in violation of the provisions of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-1 et seq.), of any pollutant or toxic pollutant are subject to forfeiture to the State pursuant to the provisions of P.L.1981, c.387 (C.13:1K-1 et seq.).
- h. [The penalty provisions of this section, as amended by P.L., c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill), and of section 6 of that act, shall apply to violations occurring on or after the effective date of that act.] The amendatory portions of this section, as set forth in P.L.1990, c. (C.)(pending in the Legislature as this bill), ²except for subsection f. of this section, ² shall not apply to violations occurring prior to ²[the enactment of P.L. 1990, c. (C.)(pending in the Legislature as this bill). ¹] July 1, 1991. ²
- 45 (cf: P.L.1986, c.170, s.3)
- 6. (New section) a. The provisions of section 10 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-10), or any rule or regulation adopted pursuant thereto to the contrary notwithstanding, the department shall assess, with no discretion, a mandatory minimum civil

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

administative penalty for the violations enumerated in subsections b., c., and d. of this section.

- b. The department shall assess a minimum mandatory civil adminstrative penalty of \$1,000 against a violator for each serious violation ¹, which assessment shall be made within six months of the serious violation ¹.
- c. The department shall assess a minimum mandatory civil administrative penalty of \$5,000 against a violator for the violation that causes the violator to be, or to continue to be, a significant noncomplier.
- d. The department shall assess a minimum mandatory civil administrative penalty of \$100 for each effluent parameter omitted on a discharge monitoring report required to be submitted to the department, and each day during which the effluent parameter information is overdue shall constitute an additional, separate, and distinct offense, except that in no instance shall the total civil administrative penalty assessed pursuant to this subsection exceed \$50,000 per month for any one discharge monitoring report. The civil administrative penalty assessed pursuant to this subsection shall accrue as of the fifth day following the date on which the discharge monitoring report was due and shall continue to accrue for 30 days. commissioner may continue to assess civil administrative penalties beyond the 30-day period until submission of the overdue discharge monitoring report or overdue information. A permittee may contest the assessment of the civil administrative penalty required to be assessed pursuant to this subsection by notifying the commissioner in writing, within 30 days of the date on which the effluent parameter information was required to be submitted to the department, of the existence of extenuating circumstances beyond the control of the permittee, including circumstances that prevented timely submission of the discharge monitoring report, or portion thereof, or, if the civil administrative penalty is imposed because of an inadvertent omission of one or more effluent parameters, the permittee may submit, without liability for a civil administrative penalty assessed pursuant to this subsection or subsection c. of this section, the omitted information within 10 days of receipt by the permittee of notice of omission of the parameter or parameters.
- e. If a violator establishes, to the satisfaction of the department, that a single operational occurrence has resulted in the simultaneous violation of more than one pollutant parameter, the department may consider, for purposes of calculating the mandatory civil administrative penalties to be assessed pursuant to subsections b. and c. of this section, the violation of the interrelated permit parameters to be a single violation.
- f. The requirement ¹[of] that ¹ the department ¹[to] ¹ assess a minimum civil administrative penalty pursuant to this section shall in no way be construed to limit the authority of the

department to assess a ¹civil administrative penalty or bring an action for a civil penalty for a violation at any time after a violation occurred or to assess a ¹ more stringent civil administrative penalty or civil penalty against a person pursuant to section 10 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-10).

¹g. The provisions of this section shall not apply to violations occurring prior to the effective date of this section. ¹

- 7. (New section) a. A person may be entitled to an affirmative defense to liability for ¹[an] a mandatory¹ assessment of a civil administrative penalty pursuant to section 6 of P.L., c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill) for a violation of an effluent limitation occurring as a result of an upset, ¹[or]¹ an anticipated or unanticipated bypass ¹, or a testing or laboratory error¹. A person shall be entitled to an affirmative defense only if, in the determination of the department or delegated local agency, the person satisfies the provisions of subsections b., c., ¹[or]¹ e. ¹or f.¹, as applicable, of this section.
- b. A person asserting an upset as an affirmative defense pursuant to this section, except in the case of an approved maintenance operation, shall notify the department or the local agency of an upset within 24 hours of the occurrence, or of becoming aware of the occurrence, and, within five days thereof, shall submit written documentation, including properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence, on the circumstances of the violation, and demonstrating, as applicable, that:
- (1) the upset occurred, including the cause of the upset and, as necessary, the identity of the person causing the upset, except that, in the case of a treatment works, the local agency may certify that despite a good faith effort it is unable to identify the cause of the upset, or the person causing the upset;
- (2) the permitted facility was at the time being properly operated;
- (3) the person submitted notice of the upset as required pursuant to this section, or, in the case of an upset resulting from the performance by the permittee of maintenance operations, the permittee provided prior notice and received an approval therefor from, the department or the delegated local agency; and
- (4) the person complied with any remedial measures required by the department or delegated local agency.
- c. A person asserting an unanticipated bypass as an affirmative defense pursuant to this section shall notify the department or the local agency of the unanticipated bypass within 24 hours of its occurrence, and, within five days thereof, shall submit written documentation, including properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence, on the circumstances of the violation, and demonstrating that:
 - (1) the unanticipated bypass occurred, including the

circumstances leading to the bypass;

- (2) the permitted facility was at the time being properly operated;
- (3) the person submitted notice of the upset as required pursuant to this section; and
- (4) the person complied with any remedial measures required by the department or delegated local agency;
- (5) the bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage; and
- (6) there was no feasible alternative to the bypass such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of downtime, except that the provisions of this paragraph shall not apply to a bypass occurring during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance if, on the basis of the reasonable engineering judgment of the department or delegated local agency, back-up equipment should have been installed to avoid the need for a bypass.
- d. Nothing contained in subsections b. or c. of this section shall be construed to limit the requirement to comply with the provisions of paragraph (8) of subsection f. of section 6 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58: 10A-6).
- e. A person may assert an anticipated bypass as an affirmative defense pursuant to this section only if the person provided prior notice to the department or delegated local agency, if possible, at least 10 days prior to the date of the bypass, and the department or delegated local agency approved the by-pass, and if the person is able to demonstrate that:
- (1) the bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage; and
- (2) there was no feasible alternative to the bypass such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of downtime, except that the provisions of this paragraph shall not apply to a bypass occurring during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance if, on the basis of the reasonable engineering judgment of the department or delegated local agency, back-up equipment should have been installed to avoid the need for a bypass.
- ¹f. A person asserting a testing or laboratory error as an affirmative defense pursuant to this section shall have the burden to demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the department, that a serious violation involving the exceedence of an effluent limitation was the result of unanticipated test interferences, sample contamination, analytical defects, or procedural deficiencies in sampling or other similar circumstances beyond the control of the permittee.
- ${}^{1}[f.] \underline{g.}{}^{1}$ A determination by the department on a claim that a violation of an effluent limitation was caused by an upset ${}^{1}[or]$, 1

a bypass ¹or a testing or laboratory error ¹ shall be considered final agency action on the matter for the purposes of the "Administrative Procedure Act," P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.), and shall be subject only to review by a court of competent jurisdiction.

¹[g.] <u>h.</u>¹ An assertion of an upset ¹[or] , <u>a</u>¹ bypass ¹<u>or a testing or laboratory error</u> as an affirmative defense pursuant to this section may not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.

¹[h.] <u>i.</u>¹ If the department determines, pursuant to the provisions of this section, that a violation of an effluent limitation was caused by an upset ¹[or] ₁ a bypass ¹or a testing or laboratory error ¹, the commissioner shall waive any ¹mandatory ¹ civil administrative penalty required to be assessed pursuant to section 6 of P.L. , c. (C.)(pending in the Legislature as this bill), and the violation shall not be considered a serious violation or violation causing a person to be designated a significant noncomplier.

 1 [i.] j. 1 The affirmative defense for 1 am 1 upset 1 [and] , a 1 bypass 1 or a testing or laboratory error 1 provided in this section shall only apply to the imposition of mandatory penalties pursuant to section 6 of P.L. , c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill) for serious violations and for determining a significant noncomplier. Nothing in this act shall be construed to limit the authority of the department, or a delegated local agency, to adopt regulations or permit conditions that include or do not include an upset 1 [or] , a 1 bypass 1 or a testing or laboratory error 1 , using different standards, as a defense for any other exceedance of an effluent limitation.

8. (New section) a. Every schedule of compliance shall require the permittee to demonstrate to the commissioner the financial assurance, including the posting of a bond or other security approved by the commissioner, necessary to carry out the remedial measures required by the schedule of compliance; except that a local agency shall not be required to post financial security as a condition of a schedule of compliance.

b. The department or a delegated local agency shall afford an opportunity to the public to comment on a proposed administrative consent order prior to final adoption if the administrative consent order would establish interim enforcement limits that would relax effluent limitations established in a permit or a prior administrative order. The department or a delegated local agency shall provide public notice of the proposed administrative consent order, and announce the length of the comment period, which shall be not less than 30 days, commencing from the date of publication of the notice. A notice shall also include a summary statement describing the nature of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39 40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

the violation necessitating the administrative consent order and its terms or conditions; shall specify how additional information on the administrative consent order may be obtained; and shall identify to whom written comments are to be submitted. At least three days prior to publication of the notice, a written notice, containing the same information to be provided in the published notice, shall be mailed to the mayor or chief executive officer and governing body of the municipality and county in which the violation occurred, and to any other interested persons, including any other governmental agencies. The department or delegated local agency shall consider the written comments received during the comment period prior to final adoption of the administrative consent order. Not later than the date that final action is taken on the proposed order, the department or delegated agency shall notify each person or group having submitted written comments of the main provisions of the approved administrative consent order and respond to the comments received therefrom.

- c. The commissioner or delegated local agency, on his or its own initiative or at the request of any person submitting written comments pursuant to subsection b. of this section, may hold a public hearing on a proposed administrative administrative consent order, prior to final adoption if the order would establish interim enforcement limits that would relax for more than 24 months effluent limitations established in a permit or a prior administrative order or administrative consent order. Public notice for the public hearing to be held pursuant to this subsection shall be published not more than 30 and not less than 15 days prior to the holding of the hearing. The hearing shall be held in the municipality in which the violation, necessitating the order, occurred. The department may recover all reasonable costs directly incurred in scheduling and holding the public hearing from the person requesting or requiring the interim enforcement limits.
- 9. (New section) a. On or before March 15, $^{1}[1991]$ 1992 , and annually thereafter, the department shall prepare a report on implementation and enforcement actions taken during the preceeding calendar year by the department and delegated local agencies pursuant to P.L.1977, c.74. Information in the report shall be compiled so as to distinguish, as applicable: enforcement actions taken by the department from those of delegated local agencies; violations of, and enforcement actions against, publicly owned treatment works from those of, or against, other permitted facilities; violations of effluent limitations from reporting violations--including discharging monitoring reports, schedule progress reports, and compliance pretreatment violations of reports--and other violations; and limitations for hazardous pollutants from those for nonhazardous pollutants. The report shall be transmitted to the Governor, the

members of the Legislature, the Assembly Environment Quality Committee and the Senate Energy and Environment Committee, or their successors, and to the Office of Legislative Services not later than March 31 of each year.

- b. Within 30 days of publication of the report pursuant to this section, the commissioner shall transmit a written notice to at least one newspaper in each county, with circulation throughout that county which shall:
- (1) Identify the owner, trade name and location of all facilities listed as significant noncompliers;
- (2) Identify all of the significant noncompliers who have been assessed penalties pursuant to section 6 of P.L. , c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill), the amount of the penalties assessed against, and the amount paid by, each significant noncomplier;
- (3) Indicate the availability of the annual reports required under this section, and the address and phone number for securing copies.
- 10. (New section) a. The annual report provided pursuant to section 9 of P.L., c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill) shall include, but need not be limited to, the following information for the preceding calendar year:
- (1) the number of facilities permitted by the department or delegated local agencies pursuant to P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-1 et seq.) as of the end of the calendar year, by surface water discharge permits;
 - (2) the number of new permits or permit renewals issued;
- (3) the number of permit approvals contested by a permittee or other party;
- (4) the number of permit modifications, other than permit renewals;
- (5) the number of schedules of compliance adopted pursuant to administrative orders or administrative consent agreements involving interim enforcement limits that relax permit limitations;
- (6) the number of facilities, including publicly owned treatment works, inspected at least once by the department or local agencies;
- (7) the number of enforcement actions resulting from facility inspections;
 - (8) the number of actual permit violations;
- (9) the number of actual effluent violations constituting serious violations, including violations that are being contested;
- (10) the number of ¹defenses for ¹ upsets ¹[or], ¹ bypasses ¹or testing or laboratory errors ¹ granted pursuant to section 7 of P.L., c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill) that involved a serious violation;
- (11) the number of permittees qualifying as significant noncompliers, including permittees contesting such designation;

(12) the number of unpermitted discharges;

- (13) the number of pass throughs of pollutants;
- (14) the number of enforcement orders—administrative and judicial—issued for violations;
- (15) the number of violations for which civil penalties or civil administrative penalties have been assessed;
- (16) the number of violations of administrative orders or administrative consent orders, including violations of interim enforcement limits, or of schedule of compliance milestones for starting or completing construction, or for failing to attain full compliance;
- (17) the number of violations of schedules of compliance milestones for starting or completing construction, or attaining full compliance, that are out of compliance by 90 days or more from the date established in the compliance schedule;
- (18) the dollar amount of all assessed civil penalties and civil administrative penalties;
- (19) the dollar amount of enforcement costs recovered in a civil action or civil administrative action from a violator;
- (20) the dollar amount of civil administrative penalties and civil penalties collected, including penalties for which a penalty schedule has been agreed to by the violator;
- (21) The specific purposes for which penalty monies collected have been expended, displayed in line-item format by type of expenditure and including, but not limited to, position numbers and titles funded in whole or in part from these penalty monies; and
- (22) the number of criminal actions filed by the Attorney General or county prosecutors pursuant to section 10 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-10).
- b. In addition to the information required pursuant to subsection a. of this section, the report shall:
- (1) list the trade name of each permittee determined to be a significant noncomplier by the department or delegated local agency, and the address and permit number of the facility at which the violations occurred, and provide a brief description and the date of each violation, and the date that the violation was resolved, as well as the total number of violations committed by the permittee during the year;
- (2) list the trade name of each permittee who is at least six months behind in the construction phase of a compliance schedule, as well as the address and permit number of the facility, and provide a brief description of the conditions violated and the cause of delay;
- (3) list the trade name, address and permit number, of each permittee who has been convicted of criminal conduct pursuant to subsection f. of section 10 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-10), or who has had any officer or employee of the convicted thereunder, and provide a brief description and the date of the violation or

violations for which convicted;

- (4) list the name and location of any local agency that has failed to file with the department information required by section 11 of P.L., c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill); and
- (5) provide a summary assessment of the water quality of surface and ground waters affected by discharges subject to regulation pursuant to P.L.1977, c.74 ¹to the extent that such information is not otherwise required to be submitted to the United States Environmental Protection Agency¹.
- c. The department may include in the report any other information it determines would provide a fuller profile of the implementation and enforcement of P.L.1977, c.74. The department shall also include in the report any information that may be requested, in writing, not later than November 30th of the preceding year, for inclusion in the annual report, by the Assembly Environmental Quality Committee or the Senate ¹[Energy and Environmental Quality Committee, or their successors.
- 11. (New section) The department shall adopt guidelines to be utilized by delegated local agencies, the Attorney General and county prosecutors in providing information to the department for inclusion in the report to be prepared in accordance with section 10 of this act, and prescribing the format in which the information is to be provided. Every delegated local agency, the Attorney General, and each county prosecutor shall file with the department, not later than February 1 of each year, such information and in such form as may be required by the department. In the event that information required to be reported pursuant to this section is also required to be reported to the department within the immediately preceeding 12 month period pursuant to another law, rule, regulation, or permit requirement, to the extent that identical information is required to be reported, the local agency shall be required only to resubmit the information that was previously reported to the department.
- 12. (New section) There is created, in the Department of Environmental Protection, a special nonlapsing fund, to be known as the "Clean Water Enforcement Fund." Except as otherwise provided in P.L.1989, c.122, all monies from penalties, fines, or recoveries of costs or improper economic benefits collected by the department pursuant to section 10 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-10) on and after the effective date of this section, or section 6 of P.L. , c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill) shall be deposited in the fund. Unless otherwise specifically provided by law, monies in the fund shall be utilized exclusively by the department for enforcement and implementation of the "Water Pollution Control Act," P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-1 et seq.) and P.L. , c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this

bill). Any unobligated monies in the fund at the end of each fiscal year or monies not required for enforcement purposes in the next fiscal year shall be transferred to the ¹["New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust," established pursuant to P.L.1985, c.334 (C.58:11B-1 et seq.)] "Wastewater Treatment Fund" established pursuant to subsection a. of section 15 of P.L.1985, c.329, for use in accordance with the provisions of that act.

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

2526

27 28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

13. (New section) There is created in the Department of Environmental Protection a special nonlapsing account, to be known as the "Wastewater Treatment Operators' Training Account." Monies deposited in the account shall be used to provide training, including continuing education, courses for wasterwater treatment operators. A court shall order to be deposited into the account 10% of the amount of any penalty assessed and collected in an action brought by a local agency pursuant to section 10 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-10) or section 6 of P.L. , c. (C.)(pending in the Legislature as this bill), or by a public entity pursuant to section 7 of P.L.1972, c.42 (C.58:11-55).

(New section) There is established 2[, pursuant to P.L.1983, c.230 (C.58:11-64 et seq.), $]^2$ in the Department of Environmental Protection an Advisory Committee on Water Supply and Wastewater Licensed Operator Training. Committee members shall be appointed by the commissioner for three-year terms as follows: four members who shall be representatives of the department; two members who shall be representatives selected from a list prepared by the New Jersey Section American Water Works Association; one member who shall be a licensed operator; two members of the Water Pollution Control Association; two members who shall be selected from a list prepared by the Authorities Association of New Jersey, one of whom shall be from a water authority, and one from a wastewater treatment authority; one member who shall be selected from a list prepared by the New Jersey Business and Industry Council; three members who shall be selected from a list prepared by educational institutions in the State conducting courses in water supply or wastewater treatment operations, or which conducted an appropriate course in the immediately preceding academic year, one of whom shall be the Director of the Office of Continuing Professional Education at Cook College, the State University of Rutgers; and two members who shall be selected from environmental groups in the State actively concerned or involved in water quality or wastewater treatment. Vacancies shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment for the unexpired term.

The advisory committee shall meet at least once a year, and shall organize itself in such manner and hold its meetings in such places as it deems most suitable. The department shall provide staff assistance to the advisory committee, to the extent that monies are available therefor.

The advisory committee shall advise the department on the training and licensing of water supply and wastewater treatment operators and on related matters, or on any other matter referred to it by the department. The advisory committee shall review the training programs for, $^2[and]^2$ and identify the training needs of, water supply and wastewater treatment operators, and shall approve the annual allocations of monies for wastewater treatment operators' training programs from sums available in the "Wastewater Treatment Operators' Training Account," established pursuant to section 13 of P.L. , c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill).

- 15. (New section) a. The department may request that any person who the department has reason to believe has, or may have, information relevant to a discharge or potential discharge of a pollutant, including, but not limited to, any person having generated, treated, transported, stored, or disposed of the pollutant, or any person having arranged for the transportation, storage, treatment or disposal of the pollutant, shall provide, upon receipt of written notice therefor, the following information to the department:
- (1) The nature, extent, source, and location of the discharge, or potential discharge;
- (2) Identification of the nature, type, quantity, source, and location of the pollutant or pollutants;
- (3) The identity of, and other relevant information concerning, the generator or transporter of the pollutant, or any other person subject to liability for the discharge or potential discharge;
- (4) The ability of any person liable, or potentially liable, for the discharge, or potential discharge, to pay for, or perform, the cleanup and removal, including the availability of appropriate insurance coverage.

Information requested by the department shall be provided in the form and manner prescribed by the department, which may include documents or information in whatever form stored or recorded.

b. The commissioner may issue subpoenas requiring attendance and testimony under oath of witnesses before, or the production of documents or information, in whatever form stored or recorded, to him or to a representative designated by the commissioner. Service of a subpoena shall be by certified mail or personal service. Any person who fails to appear, give testimony, or produce documents in response to a subpoena issued pursuant to this subsection, shall be subject to the penalty provisions of section 10 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-10). Any person who, having been sworn, knowingly gives false testimony or knowingly gives false documents or information to the department is guilty of perjury and is subject to the penalty provisions of section 10 of P.L.1977, c.74.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

- c. A person receiving a request for information made pursuant to subsection a. of this section, or to a subpoena issued pursuant to subsection b. of this section, shall:
- (1) be required to conduct a diligent search of all documents in his possession, custody or control, and to make reasonable inquiries of present and past employees who may have knowledge or documents relevant thereto;
- (2) have a continuing obligation to supplement the information if additional relevant information is discovered, or if it is determined that the information previously provided was false, inaccurate or misleading; and
- (3) grant the department access, at reasonable times, to any vessel, facility, property or location to inspect and copy all relevant documents or, at the department's request, copy and furnish to the department all such documents.
- d. No person may destroy any records relating to a discharge or potential discharge to surface water within five years of the discharge, or to a discharge or potential discharge to ground water at any time without the prior written permission of the commissioner.
- 16. Section 4 of P.L.1974, c.169 (C.2A:35A-4) is amended to read as follows:
- 4. a. Any person may [maintain an] commence a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction against any other person [to enforce, or to restrain the alleged to be in violation of, any statute, regulation or ordinance which is designed to prevent or impairment minimize pollution, or destruction environment. The action may be for injunctive or other equitable relief to compel compliance with a statute, regulation or ordinance, or to assess civil penalties for the violation as provided by law. The action may be commenced upon an allegation that a person is in violation, either continuously or intermittently, of a statute, regulation or ordinance, and that there is a likelihood that the violation will recur in the future.
- b. Except in those instances where the conduct complained of constitutes a violation of a statute, regulation or ordinance which establishes a more specific standard for the control of pollution, impairment or destruction of the environment, any person may [maintain an] commence a civil action in any court of competent jurisdiction for declaratory and equitable relief against any other person for the protection of the environment, or the interest of the public therein, from pollution, impairment or destruction.
- c. The court may, on the motion of any party, or on its own motion, dismiss any action brought pursuant to this act which on its face appears to be patently frivolous, harassing or wholly lacking in merit.
- 47 (cf: P.L.1974, c.169, s.4)
- 17. Section 10 of P.L.1974, c.169 (C.2A:35A-10) is amended to read as follows:

- 10. a. In any action under this act the court may in appropriate cases award to the prevailing party reasonable counsel and expert witness fees [, but not exceeding a total of \$10,000.00], but not to exceed a total of \$50,000 in an action brought against a local agency 1 or the Department of Environmental Protection1, where the prevailing party achieved reasonable success on the merits. The fees shall be based on the number of hours reasonably spent and a reasonable hourly rate for the counsel or expert in the action taking into account the prevailing rate in the venue of the action and the skill and experience of the counsel or expert.
- b. The doctrines of collateral estoppel and res judicata may be applied by the court to prevent multiplicity of suits.
- c. An action commenced pursuant to the provisions of this act may not be dismissed without the express consent of the court in which the action was filed.
- ¹d. Except as provided in subsection e. of this section, any payments made pursuant to a settlement or judgment entered in a case brought pursuant to this act ²[may] shall² be used to fund institutions, entities, or activities for purposes consistent with the purposes and goals of the statute, regulation or ordinance at issue in the case. ²No payment of a settlement or judgment shall be made except upon the submission of a plan to the Court by the prevailing party specifying the uses for which the payment will be put, any person or organization that will receive all or part of the payment, and the dollar amounts to be given to each person or organization and the dollar amounts allocated for each use.² Recipients of any payments made pursuant to a settlement or judgment shall report to the Court on the use of such funds.
- e. Any payments made pursuant to a settlement or judgment entered in a case brought against a local agency pursuant to this act to enforce the "Water Pollution Control Act," P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-1 et seq.) shall be deposited in the "Wastewater Treatment Fund" established pursuant to subsection a. of section 15 of P.L.1985, c.329.1
- 1[d.] f.1 As used in this section "local agency" means a political subdivision of the State or an agency or instrumentality thereof, that owns or operates a municipal treatment works; "treatment works" means any device or systems, whether public or private, used in the storage, treatment, recycling, or reclamation of municipal or industrial waste of a liquid nature including intercepting sewers, outfall sewers, sewage collection systems, cooling towers and ponds, pumping, power and other equipment and their appurtenances; extensions, improvements, remodeling, additions, and alterations thereof; elements essential to provide a reliable recycled supply such as standby treatment units and clear well facilities; and any other works including sites for the treatment process or for ultimate disposal of residues resulting from such treatment. "Treatment works" includes any

other method or system for preventing, abating, reducing, storing, treating, separating, or disposing of pollutants, including storm water runoff, or industrial waste in combined or separate storm water and sanitary sewer systems; and "municipal treatment works" means the treatment works of any municipal, county, or State agency or any agency or subdivision created by one or more municipal, county or State governments and the treatment works of any public utility as defined in R.S.48:2-13.

(cf: P.L.1985, c.531, s.1)

- 18. Section 7 of P.L.1972, c.42 (C.58:11-55) is amended to read as follows:
- 7. a. Any person, corporation, or municipality who shall violate any of the provisions of this act or any rules or regulations promulgated thereunder shall be [liable to a penalty of not more \$50,000.00] subject to the applicable provisions of section 10 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-10) and section 6 of P.L., c. (C.)(pending in the Legislature as this bill), to be collected in a civil action by a summary proceeding under "the penalty enforcement law" (N.J.S.2A:58-1 et seq.), or in any case before a court of competent jurisdiction wherein injunctive relief has been requested. The Superior Court shall have jurisdiction to enforce "the penalty enforcement law". [If the violation is of a continuing nature each day during which it continues shall constitute an additional separate and distinct violation.]
- b. A public entity operating and controlling a public sewage treatment plant [may] shall, in accordance with subsection a. of this section, enforce any applicable pretreatment standard adopted by [the commissioner pursuant to section 3 of P.L.1972, c.42 (C.58:11-51), or by] the public entity pursuant to section 9 of P.L.1972, c.42 (C.58:11-57), or [may] shall obtain injunctive relief against a violation or threatened violation of a pretreatment standard. A public entity operating and controlling a public sewage treatment plant with pretreatment standards adopted by the commissioner pursuant to section 3 of P.L.1972, c.42 (C.58:11-51), may enforce applicable pretreatment standards in accordance with subsection a. of this section, or obtain injunctive relief as provided in this subsection. The action shall be brought in the name of the local public entity. Of the amount of any penalty assessed and collected pursuant to subsection a. of this section, 10% shall be deposited in the "Wastewater Treatment Operators' Training Account," established in accordance with section 13 of P.L., c. (C.) (pending in the Legislature as this bill), and used to finance the cost of training operators of public sewage treatment plants. The remainder shall be used by the local agency solely for enforcement purposes, and for upgrading treatment works.
- 47 (cf: P.L.1988, c.170, s.2)
- ²19. Section 16 of P.L.1976, c.141 (C.58:10-23.11o) is amended to read as follows:

5

- 16. Moneys in the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund shall be disbursed by the administrator for the following purposes and no others:
- (1) Costs incurred under section 7 of [this act] P.L.1976, c.141 (C.58:10-23.11f);
- (2) Damages as defined in section 8 of [this act] <u>P.L.1976</u>, c.141 (C.58:10-23.11g);
- (3) Such sums as may be necessary for research on the prevention and the effects of spills of hazardous substances on the marine environment and on the development of improved cleanup and removal operations as may be appropriated by the Legislature; provided, however, that such sums shall not exceed the amount of interest which is credited to the fund;
- (4) Such sums as may be necessary for the boards, general administration of the fund, equipment and personnel costs of the department and any other State agency related to the enforcement of [this act] <u>P.L.1976</u>, c.141 as may be appropriated by the Legislature;
- (5) Such sums as may be appropriated by the Legislature for research and demonstration programs concerning the causes and abatement of ocean pollution; provided, however, that such sums shall not exceed the amount of interest which is credited to the fund;
- (6) Such sums as may be requested by the commissioner, up to a limit of \$400,000.00 per year, to cover the costs associated with the administration of the "Environmental Cleanup Responsibility Act," P.L.1983, c.330 (C.13:1K-6 et seq.);
- (7) Costs attributable to the department's obligation to defend and indemnify a contractor pursuant to subsection a. of section 7 of [this act] P.L.1976, c.141 (C.58:10-23.11f), subject to the appropriation by law of monies from the General Fund to the fund to defray these costs;
- (8) Administrative costs incurred by the department to implement the provisions of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-1 et seq.), as amended and supplemented by P.L., c. (now before the Legislature as this bill), on a timely basis, except that the amounts used for this purpose shall not exceed \$2,000,000. Any moneys disbursed by the department from the fund for this purpose shall be repaid to the fund in equal amounts from the penalties collected by the department pursuant to P.L.1977, c.74 and P.L., c. (C.) (now before the Legislature as this bill), in annual installments beginning July 1, 1991 and annually thereafter until the full amount is repaid according to a schedule of repayments determined by the State Treasurer.

The Treasurer may invest and reinvest any moneys in said fund in legal obligations of the United States, this State or any of its political subdivisions. Any income or interest derived from such investment shall be included in the fund.²

49 (cf: P.L.1986, c.59, s.4)

S2188 [2R]

¹[19. There is appropriated from the General Fund to the

Department of Environmental Protection the sum of \$750,000 to

effectuate the purposes of this act.]¹

²[19.] 20.² This act shall take effect ¹[12 months following enactment] July 1, 1991¹, except that ¹this¹ section ²[¹and sections 5, ¹ 12 ¹, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and subsections i. and k. of section 6 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-6 i; 58:10A-6 k) as amended by section 3 of this act, ¹], subsection i. of section 6 of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-6 i.) as amended by section 3 of this act, subsection f. of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-10 f.) as amended by section 5 of this act, and sections 12, 13 and 19,² shall take effect immediately ², and subsections d. and e. of P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-7 d.; 58:10A-7 e.) as amended by section 4 of this act shall take effect July 1, 1992². The Department of Environmental Protection shall take any administrative actions ²[prior to the effective date of this act]² necessary to implement the provisions of this ²act² on and after the effective ²[date]

dates².

ENVIRONMENT

Provides for stricter enforcement of "Water Pollution Control Act."

public sewage treatment plants. The remainder shall be used by the local agency solely for enforcement purposes, and for upgrading treatment works.

(cf: P.L.1988, c.170, s.2)

- 19. There is appropriated from the General Fund to the Department of Environmental Protection the sum of \$750,000 to effectuate the purposes of this act.
- 20. This act shall take effect 12 months following enactment, except that section 12 shall take effect immediately. The Department of Environmental Protection shall take any administrative actions prior to the effective date of this act necessary to implement the provisions of this on and after the effective date.

5/14/2003

STATEMENT

This bill would strengthen the enforcement of the State's water pollution control and prevention program by requiring the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) to impose mandatory minimum penalties for certain violations, by increasing other enforcement responsibilities of DEP, by requiring stricter accountability on the part of both public and private holders of water pollution control permits, by requiring publicly-owned treatment works to improve their operations, particularly with respect to monitoring and treating hazardous pollutants discharged into those works by industries, by providing for enhanced citizen participation in water pollution prevention and enforcement activities, and by setting out specific gradations of penalties for criminal violations of the State's water pollution laws.

The core of the bill consists of amendments and supplementary sections to the "Water Pollution Control Act," P.L. 1977, c. 74 (C.58:10A-1 et seq.), the State law under which DEP implements the requirements of the federal Clean Water Act. Under federal and State law, any person discharging an effluent into the surface or groundwaters of the State is required to apply for and obtain from DEP a New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit.

The bill contains a \$750,000 appropriation from the General Fund to DEP to implement start-up activities related to an intensified State enforcement effort. The bill also establishes a "Clean Water Enforcement Fund" as a dedicated and revolving fund to be the depository of all penalties and fines collected by DEP under the "Water Pollution Control Act." These monies are to be used by DEP for enforcement of the act and any excess monies are to be transferred at the end of each fiscal year to the "Wastewater Treatment Trust Fund" for use by that fund. The

only exception to this dedication is a temporary one created by the annual appropriations act, P.L.1989, c.122, for the current fiscal year, by which certain penalty and fine revenues from the "Water Pollution Control Act" are deposited in the General Fund or earmarked for support of county environmental health activities and grants to local environmental commissions.

Finally, the bill establishes a "Wastewater Treatment Operators' Training Account" as a dedicated and revolving account as the depository of 10% of the penalty monies collected by local agencies under the "Water Pollution Control Act" and the pretreatment standards act, P.L.1972, c.42 (C.58:11-49 et seq.). These monies are for use in the training of wastewater treatment operators.

ENVIRONMENT

Provides for stricter enforcement of "Water Pollution Control Act," appropriates \$750,000 to DEP.

SENATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

SENATE, No. 2188

with committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: MARCH 12, 1990

The Senate Environmental Quality Committee favorably reports Senate Bill No. 2188 with Senate Committee amendments.

Senate Bill No. 2188, as amended by the Committee, would strengthen the enforcement of the State's water pollution control and prevention program by requiring the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) to impose mandatory minimum penalties for certain violations, by increasing other enforcement responsibilities of DEP, by requiring stricter accountability on the part of both public and private holders of water pollution control permits, by requiring publicly-owned treatment works (POTWs) to improve their operations, particularly with respect to monitoring and treating hazardous pollutants discharged into POTWs by industries, by providing for enhanced citizen participation in water pollution prevention and enforcement activities, and by setting out specific gradations of penalties for criminal violations of the State's water pollution laws.

The heart of this bill consists of amendments and supplementary sections to the "Water Pollution Control Act," P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-1 et seq.), the State law under which DEP implements the requirements of the federal Clean Water Act. Under federal and State law, any person discharging an effluent into the surface or groundwaters of the State is required to apply for and obtain from DEP a New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) permit. In general, persons required to obtain and comply with a NJPDES permit are either POTWs or industrial dischargers that discharge directly into the State's waters. Most of the large industrial facilities that discharge into the State's larger POTWs are also required to obtain and comply with a permit.

A NJPDES permit constitutes a legally binding agreement between the permittee and DEP under which the permittee is allowed to discharge effluent into the State's waters under specified terms and conditions. Permits range from rather simple to extraordinarily complex scientific and technical documents, but in general a permit sets forth the specific hazardous and nophazardous pollutants known to be present in a specific effluent stream, the amount or concentration of these pollutants that DEP determines may be discharged without harm to the receiving waters or public health, and the type and number of

tests that must be performed, and the results reported to DEP to determine compliance. The permit system is based on self-reporting; each permittee is required to submit a discharge monitoring report (DMR) to DEP each month reporting the information stipulated in the permit.

Generally, a permit will require a permittee to treat its effluent in such a way as to keep the levels of pollutants contained in its effluent below a figure expressed as a monthly average or a daily maximum. The permit will thus require a permittee to report either the monthly average of its discharges of each pollutant (the average of each test conducted for the pollutant during the month) or the highest level disclosed in the daily tests conducted during the month, or both. Permits may also require particular types of tests (such as bioassay tests which measure the toxicity of an effluent by measuring the ability of certain organisms to live in the effluent for specified periods) tailored to a particular effluent.

ESTABLISHMENT OF NEW CATEGORIES OF VIOLATIONS OF WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ACT

Senate Bill No. 2188 would establish two new categories of violation of the "Water Pollution Control Act": a serious violation, and the designation of a permittee as a "significant noncomplier." A permittee falling within either of these categories would be subject to mandatory minimum penalties.

A serious violation would be an exceedence of an effluent limit in a NJPDES permit by 20% or more for a hazardous pollutant and by 40% or more for a nonhazardous pollutant. A serious violation would be calculated on the basis of a reported monthly average, or, for limits for which a monthly average is not required, on the basis of the average of all the test results for daily maximums conducted during the month. For limits not expressed in terms of mass or concentration (such as pH) the department would be required to determine a mathematical equivalent of the 20% and 40% thresholds.

A "significant noncomplier" (SNC) designation would include permit holders guilty of:

- 1. A serious violation for the same pollutant at the same discharge point source in any two months of any six month period;
- 2. An exceedence by any percentage of the same monthly average limit in any four months of any six month period; or
- 3. Failure to submit a complete discharge monitoring report (DMR) in any two months of any six month period.

A permitte designated as an SNC would be subject to mandatory minimum penalties of \$5000 for each violation on the basis of which the permittee was designated an SNC, increased inspections by DEP of the permittee's facility, publication of the permittee's identity in an annual report prepared by DEP, and notification of the permittee's identity to newspapers by DEP.

MANDATORY MINIMUM CIVIL ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES TO BE IMPOSED BY DEP

Senate Bill No. 2188 requires DEP to impose, without discretion, mandatory minimum civil administrative penalties against a permittee guilty of a serious violation or who has been determined to be a SNC. This bill would impose a mandatory minimum penalty of \$1000 for each serious violation (to be assessed by DEP within six months of the violation) and \$5000 for each violation that causes a permittee to be designated a significant noncomplier. These mandatory minimum penalties would be imposed on a monthly basis.

In addition, S-2188 would require DEP to impose a mandatory penalty of \$100 per day per effluent limitation for each effluent limitation for which required information is omitted on a DMR. This penalty would be calculated from the 5th day a DMR is due, and may be contested for extenuating circumstances within 30 days. S-2188 caps the amount of \$100 penalties that may be imposed in a single month at \$50,000.

The mandatory minimum civil administrative penalties imposed in this bill do not in any way limit DEP's authority to impose higher penalties, or penalties for violations of the "Water Pollution Control Act" not identified in this bill.

S-2188 also entitles a permittee to claim, as a defense to a penalty for a serious violation or designation as a significant noncomplier, that a permit violation occurred as a result of an "upset," a "bypass," or a testing or laboratory error. An upset refers to an exceptional incident (such as a storm or other natural event beyond the control of a permittee, or scheduled maintenance), and a bypass refers to the anticipated or unanticipated diversion of an effluent stream from a treatment works. If the department determines that a violation of an effluent limitation was the legitimate result of an upset, bypass or testing or laboratory error the permittee would not be subject to the mandatory penalties imposed in this bill or would not be designated a significant noncomplier, as the case may be.

S-2188 also requires that all penalties resulting from DEP enforcement of the "Water Pollution Control Act," and from the imposition of the mandatory penalties established in this bill, be deposited in a "Clean Water Enforcement Fund" established in this bill. The fund would be used by DEP to implement and enforce the State's water pollution control program. Any monies in the fund not needed for DEP's enforcement and implementation would be annually appropriated to the Wastewater Treatment Trust, the monies in which are used for

loans to local government units to upgrade wastewater treatment facilities.

POTWs which impose and collect penalties under the "Water Pollution Control Act" would be authorized to retain 90% of these penalties, and would be required to deposit the remaining 10% of penalty monies in a "Wastewater Treatment Operator's Training Account" established in this bill. This account would be used to provide training and continuing education to wastewater treatment plant operators. S-2188 also establishes in DEP an Advisory Committee on Water Supply and Wastewater Licensed Operator Training, to advise DEP on wastewater and water supply facility operator training issues.

NEW DEP ENFORCEMENT RESPONSIBILITIES OR LIMITATIONS ON DEP ENFORCEMENT DISCRETION

Senate Bill No. 2188 also requires DEP to undertake additional water pollution prevention enforcement responsibilities. These new responsibilities would include:

- 1. The adoption of a uniform penalty assessment policy (based on criteria such as the seriousness of the offense, the economic benefit to the violator of violation, and the cooperation of the violator in remedying the violation);
- 2. The annual inspection of the treatment facility of each permit holder (excluding stormwater and non-contact cooling water permits);
- 3. The conducting of an annual representative sampling of all discharge pipes for major treatment facilities; other facilities would be sampled once every three years;
- 4. The inspection of the treatment facility of a permittee designated as a significant noncomplier within 60 days of designation;
- 5. The preparation of an annual report containing enforcement data on the State's water pollution program, including POTW initiatives, and the notification to newspapers of the identity of permittees determined to be significant noncompliers or convicted of criminal violations; and
- 6. A limitation on the amount of assessed penalty that can be reduced to 50% of original assessed penalty, except that with respect to penalties assessed against a POTW, DEP would have full authority to compromise the first penalty, and authority to compromise up to 75% of the second penalty.

NEW RESPONSIBILITIES OF ALL NJPDES PERMIT HOLDERS

Senate Bill No. 2188 also imposes new requirements on all holders of NJPDES permits (POTWs, direct industrial dischargers, and industrial dischargers which discharge into a POTW). These new requirements include:

- · 1. The requirement that Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) be signed by the highest ranking official with day-to-day responsibility for the operation of the plant, or in the event of his absence, by a person designated by the official;
- 2. The requirement to report to DEP (or a delegated POTW) any permit violation of an effluent limitation posing a threat to public health or environment within 2 hours and to provide further information within 24 hours and that reports of a serious violation be accompanied by a statement indicating an understanding of the mandatory penalty provisions of this bill and indicating any measures taken to address the cause of the serious violation;
- 3. The requirement that all reporting for effluent limitations be conducted on a monthly basis (regardless of the reporting schedule in the permit) upon one serious violation, or upon designation as a SNC;
- 4. A prohibition of the renewal of a permit containing a reduction in an effluent limitation until all outstanding fines assessed against the permittee are paid; and
- 5. A requirement that industrial dischargers (not POTWs) post bond as a condition of appealing an administrative penalty or entering into a consent order relaxing permit limits, the bond or financial security to be in the amount of the penalty, or in the amount necessary to carry out the requirements of the order.
- 6. A requirement that if a permitee appeals a penalty, and the penalty is upheld in full or in part, the permittee is liable for interest charges on the final penalty calculated from the date on which it was assessed, and, if the appeal was upheld in full, the permittee would be liable for DEP's litigation costs.

NEW RESPONSIBILITIES OF DELEGATED POTWS

Senate Bill No. 2188 also imposes new responsibilities on delegated POTWs, which are POTWs which have been delegated the authority by DEP to issue NJPDES permits to large industries discharging into the POTW. These permits usually require the industrial discharger to provide some form of treatment to their effluent before discharging it to the POTW, a program referred to as the Pretreatment Program. Under the bill, delegated POTWs would be required to:

- 1. Inspect the facilities of all permitted dischargers into the system once a year (identical to DEP's responsibility for its permittees);
- 2. Conduct an annual representative sampling test of all permitted dischargers (identical to DEP's responsibility for its permittees);
- 3. Conduct an inspection of a permitted discharger which is a significant noncomplier within 60 days of designation as a SNC;
 - 4. Conduct an annual inflow/outflow analysis of the treatment

plant and submit the results to DEP; and

5. Include a limit for all categorical hazardous pollutants (EPA designated) and all hazardous pollutants discharged into the system by permitted dischargers.

ENHANCED CITIZEN PARTICIPATION AND ENFORCEMENT CAPABILITY

Senate Bill No. 2188 also would significantly enhance the capability of citizens, environmental groups, and public interest groups to participate in the implementation and enforcement of the State's water pollution control and prevention program. To this end S-2188 amends the 1974 "Environmental Rights Act," P.L.1974, c.169 (C.2A:35A-1 et seq.) to remove the \$10,000 cap on the recovery of legal fees, court costs, and expert witness fees when the successful party achieved some reasonable success on the merits of the case. The "Cap" would be completely removed concerning cases brought against private industrial dischargers, but would be fixed at \$50,000 with regard to cases brought **POTWs** and the Department of Environmental Protection. S-2188 would, however, specify that all judgments and payments realized from a citizen's suit must be used to further environmental goals consistent with the statute under which the suit was brought, and also requires persons receiving settlements and judgments to report to the Court on the use of these funds. In addition, the bill requires that all judgments and settlements resulting from citizens' suits against POTWs must be deposited in the "Wastewater Treatment Fund" established pursuant to P.L.1985, c.329, for use in financing improvements to POTWs.

S-2188 would also provide for third party appeal of water pollution permit decisions by DEP on the administrative level. Citizens, environmental groups or public interest groups could appeal a permit decision if the objections to the decision were raised at the hearing level and relate to a significant issue of fact or law and are likely to have an effect on the outcome of the decision, or if an objection was raised in a written submission if no hearing on the permit decision was held.

In addition, this bill would provide for public participation in the adoption of administrative orders which would relax NJPDES permit standards. Before adopting an administrative consent order which would temporarily relax the discharge limits of a permit, DEP would be required to afford the public the right to comment; if the administrative consent order would relax limits for more than 24 months, DEP would be required to hold a public hearing on the order.

CRIMINAL PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS OF WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ACT

Senate Bill No. 2188 sets forth specific criminal penalties for criminal violations of the "Water Pollution Control Act." Section 10 of the "Water Pollution Control Act" (C.58:10A-10) currently provides that any person who willfully or negligently violates the act would be guilty of a crime of the 4th degree and liable for a penalty of between \$5000 and 50,000 per day of offense, and for a penalty of between \$10,000 and \$100,000 for a second offense. S-2188 would establish four degrees of penalties for criminal violations, and would provide for specific monetary penalties and jail sentences. A first degree criminal violation would consist of a purposeful or knowing violation which places a person in imminent danger of death or serious injury; a second degree crime would consist of a purposeful, knowing, or reckless violation which causes a significant adverse environmental impact; a third degree crime would consist of a purposeful, knowing or reckless violation; and a fourth degree crime would consist of a negligent violation of the act.

EFFECTIVE DATE

The mandatory civil administrative penalty program established in this bill, as well as all new major responsibilities imposed on both DEP and permit holders would take effect July 1, 1991. Other provisions of the bill, including amendments to DEP's existing enforcement capability (which would apply only to violations occurring after enactment of this bill into law), and the dedication of all penalties and fines collected for water permit violations to the funding of the water pollution control program, would take effect immidiately, .

SENATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS

The Senate Environmental Quality Committee made the following specific amendments to Senate Bill No. 2188:

- 1. Permittees would be required to include in their notification to DEP of a serious violation a statement acknowledging the imposition of mandatory civil administrative penalties, and describing the measures taken to address the cause of the serious violation.
- 2. The time for DEP to conduct inspections of SNC facilities was extended from $30\ to\ 60\ days.$
- 3. The requirement that DEP issue a Notice of Violation for each violation was removed.
 - 4. If a permittee appeals a civil administrative penalty and the

amount of the penalty is upheld in full, then the permittee must reimburse DEP for litigation costs, and, if the amount of the penalty was upheld in full or in part, must pay interest on the final penalty from the date on which it was due.

- 5. DEP may take up to six months to assess a \$1000 mandatory penalty for a serious violation.
- 6. Testing and lab errors are added to "upsets" and "bypasses" as an affirmative defense to a mandatory penalty.
- 7. The \$50,000 "CAP" on citizens suits legal fees would be extended to apply to suits against DEP as well as against POTWs.
- 8. The use of settlements and judgments won by citizens groups under the "Environmental Rights Act" would be monitored by the Court. Settlements or judgments paid by a POTW would be deposited in the "Wastewater Treatment Fund."
 - 9. The appropriation to DEP was removed.
- 10. The effective date of various sections of the bill was clarified. The mandatory penalty program, as well as all new major responsibilities of DEP and permittees would take effect July 1, 1991. Other provisions, including strengthening amendments to DEP's existing enforcement capability, and dedication of all penalties and fines collected for water permit violations to the water pollution control program, would take effect immediately.
- 11. The definitions of kinds of culpability in the criminal penalties section of the "Water Pollution Control Act" were linked to definitions in N.J.S.2C:2-2.

This bill was pre-filed for introduction in the 1990 session pending technical review. As reported the bill includes the changes required by technical review which has been performed.

SENATE REVENUE, FINANCE AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

[FIRST REPRINT] SENATE, No. 2188

with Senate committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: APRIL 26, 1990

The Senate Revenue, Finance and Appropriations Committee favorably reports Senate Bill No. 2188 (1R), with amendments.

Senate Bill No. 2188 (1R), as amended, strengthens the enforcement of the State's water pollution control and prevention program by requiring the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) to impose mandatory minimum penalties for certain violations, by increasing other enforcement responsibilities of DEP, by requiring stricter accountability on the part of both public and private holders of water pollution control permits, by requiring publicly-owned treatment works (POTWs) to improve their operations, particularly with respect to monitoring and treating hazardous pollutants discharged into POTWs by industries, by providing for enhanced citizen participation in water pollution prevention and enforcement activities, and by setting out specific gradations of penalties for criminal violations of the State's water pollution laws.

The heart of this bill consists of amendments and supplementary sections to the "Water Pollution Control Act," P.L.1977, c.74 (C.58:10A-1 et seq.), the State law under which DEP implements the requirements of the federal Clean Water Act. Under federal and State law, any person discharging an effluent into the surface or groundwaters of the State is required to apply for and obtain from DEP a New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) permit. In general, persons required to obtain and comply with a NJPDES permit are either POTWs or industrial dischargers that discharge directly into the State's waters. Most of the large industrial facilities that discharge into the State's larger POTWs are also required to obtain and comply with a permit.

A NJPDES permit constitutes a legally binding agreement between the permittee and DEP under which the permittee is allowed to discharge effluent into the State's waters under specified terms and conditions. Permits range from rather simple to extraordinarily complex scientific and technical documents, but in general a permit sets forth the specific hazardous and nonhazardous pollutants known to be present in a specific effluent stream, the amount or concentration of these pollutants that DEP determines may be discharged without harm to the receiving waters or public health, and the type and number of tests that must be performed, and the results reported to DEP to determine compliance. The permit system is based on self-reporting; most permittees are

required to submit a discharge monitoring report (DMR) to DEP each month reporting the information stipulated in the permit.

Generally, a permit will require a permittee to treat its effluent in such a way as to keep the levels of pollutants contained in its effluent below a figure expressed as a monthly average or a daily maximum. The permit will thus require a permittee to report either the monthly average of its discharges of each pollutant (the average of each test conducted for the pollutant during the month) or the highest level disclosed in the daily tests conducted during the month, or both. Permits may also require particular types of tests (such as bioassay tests which measure the toxicity of an effluent by measuring the ability of certain organisms to live in the effluent for specified periods) tailored to a particular effluent.

Establishment of New Categories of Violations

This bill establishes two new categories of violation of the "Water Pollution Control Act": a serious violation, and the designation of a permittee as a "significant noncomplier." A permittee falling within either of these categories will be subject to mandatory minimum penalties.

A serious violation is an exceedence of an effluent limit in a NJPDES permit by 20% or more for a hazardous pollutant and by 40% or more for a nonhazardous pollutant. A serious violation is calculated on the basis of a reported monthly average, or, for limits for which a monthly average is not required, on the basis of the average of all the test results for daily maximums conducted during the month. For limits not expressed in terms of mass or concentration (such as pH) the department is required to determine a mathematical equivalent of the 20% and 40% thresholds.

A "significant noncomplier" (SNC) designation includes permit holders guilty of:

- 1. A serious violation for the same pollutant at the same discharge point source in any two months of any six month period;
- 2. An exceedence by any percentage of the same monthly average limit in any four months of any six month period; or
- 3. Failure to submit a complete discharge monitoring report (DMR) in any two months of any six month period.

A permittee who is a POTW would not be designated a significant non complier on the basis of a violation of a permit limitation for flow.

A permittee designated as an SNC will be subject to mandatory minimum penalties of \$5000 for each violation on the basis of which the permittee was designated an SNC, increased inspections by DEP of the permittee's facility, publication of the permittee's identity in an annual report prepared by DEP, and notification of the permittee's identity to newspapers by DEP.

Mandatory Minimum Civil Adminiatrative Penalties

This bill requires DEP to impose, without discretion, mandatory minimum civil administrative penalties against a permittee guilty of a serious violation or who has been determined to be a SNC. This bill imposes a mandatory minimum penalty of \$1000 for each serious

violation (to be assessed by DEP within six months of the violation) and \$5000 for each violation that causes a permittee to be designated a significant noncomplier. These mandatory minimum penalties are to be imposed on a monthly basis.

In addition, this bill requires DEP to impose a mandatory penalty of \$100 per day per effluent limitation for each effluent limitation for which required information is omitted on a DMR. This penalty is calculated from the 5th day a DMR is due, and may be contested for extenuating circumstances within 30 days. The bill caps the amount of \$100 penalties that may be imposed in a single month at \$50,000.

The mandatory minimum civil administrative penalties imposed in this bill do not in any way limit DEP's authority to impose higher penalties, or penalties for violations of the "Water Pollution Control Act" not identified in this bill.

The bill also entitles a permittee to claim, as a defense to a penalty for a serious violation or designation as a significant noncomplier, that a permit violation occurred as a result of an "upset," a "bypass," or a testing or laboratory error. An upset refers to an exceptional incident (such as a storm or other natural event beyond the control of a permittee, or scheduled maintenance), and a bypass refers to the anticipated or unanticipated diversion of an effluent stream from a treatment works. If the department determines that a violation of an effluent limitation was the legitimate result of an upset, bypass or testing or laboratory error the permittee is not subject to the mandatory penalties imposed in this bill or will not be designated a significant noncomplier, as the case may be.

This bill also requires that all penalties resulting from DEP enforcement of the "Water Pollution Control Act," and from the imposition of the mandatory penalties established in this bill, be deposited in a "Clean Water Enforcement Fund" established in this bill. The fund is to be used by DEP to implement and enforce the State's water pollution control program. Any monies in the fund not needed for DEP's enforcement and implementation are to be annually appropriated to the Wastewater Treatment Trust, the monies in which are used for loans to local government units to upgrade wastewater treatment facilities.

POTWs which impose and collect penalties under the "Water Pollution Control Act" are authorized to retain 90% of these penalties, and are required to deposit the remaining 10% of penalty monies in a "Wastewater Treatment Operator's Training Account" established in this bill. This account is to be used to provide training and continuing education to wastewater treatment plant operators. The bill also establishes in DEP an Advisory Committee on Water Supply and Wastewater Licensed Operator Training, to advise DEP on wastewater and water supply facility operator training issues.

New DEP Enforcement Responsibilities or Limitations on DEP Enforcement Discretion

The bill requires DEP to undertake additional water pollution prevention enforcement responsibilities. These new responsibilities include:

- 1. The adoption of a uniform penalty assessment policy (based on criteria such as the seriousness of the offense, the economic benefit to the violator of violation, and the cooperation of the violator in remedying the violation);
- 2. The annual inspection of the treatment facility of each permit holder (excluding stormwater and non-contact cooling water permits);
- 3. The conducting of an annual representative sampling of all discharge pipes for major treatment facilities; other facilities would be sampled once every three years;
- 4. The inspection of the treatment facility of a permittee designated as a significant noncomplier within 60 days of designation;
- 5. The preparation of an annual report containing enforcement data on the State's water pollution program, including POTW initiatives, and the notification to newspapers of the identity of permittees determined to be significant noncompliers or convicted of criminal violations; and
- 6. A limitation on the amount of assessed penalty that can be reduced to 50% of original assessed penalty, except that with respect to penalties assessed against a POTW which enters into an administrative concent order with DEP to improve the operation of its plant, DEP would have full authority to compromise all penalties for violations occurring in the 24 month period prior to entering into the administrative consent order, but the penalties could not be compromised below the mandatory minimums, as appropriate.

New Responsibilities of All NJPDES Permit Holders

The bill imposes new requirements on all holders of NJPDES permits (POTWs, direct industrial dischargers, and industrial dischargers which discharge into a POTW). These new requirements include:

- 1. The requirement that Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) be signed by the highest ranking official with day-to-day responsibility for the operation of the plant, or in the event of his absence, by a person designated by the official;
- 2. The requirement to report to DEP (or a delegated POTW) any permit violation of an effluent limitation posing a threat to public health or environment within 2 hours and to provide further information within 24 hours and that reports of a serious violation be accompanied by a statement indicating an understanding of the mandatory penalty provisions of this bill and indicating any measures taken to address the cause of the serious violation;
- 3. The requirement that all reporting for effluent limitations be conducted on a monthly basis (regardless of the reporting schedule in the permit) upon one serious violation, or upon designation as a SNC;
- 4. A prohibition of the renewal of a permit containing a reduction in an effluent limitation until all outstanding fines assessed against the permittee are paid;
- 5. A requirement that industrial dischargers (not POTWs) post bond as a condition of appealing an administrative penalty or entering into a consent order relaxing permit limits, the bond or financial security to be in the amount of the penalty, or in the

amount necessary to carry out the requirements of the order; and

6. A requirement that if a permitee appeals a penalty, and the penalty is upheld in full or in part, the permittee is liable for interest charges on the final penalty calculated from the date on which it was assessed, and, if the appeal was upheld in full, the permittee would be liable for DEP's litigation costs.

New Responsibilities of Delegated POTWs

The bill imposes new responsibilities on delegated POTWs, which are POTWs which have been delegated the authority by DEP to issue NJPDES permits to large industries discharging into the POTW. These permits usually require the industrial discharger to provide some form of treatment to their effluent before discharging it to the POTW, a program referred to as the Pretreatment Program. Under the bill, delegated POTWs are required to:

- 1. Inspect the facilities of all permitted dischargers into the system once a year (identical to DEP's responsibility for its permittees);
- 2. Conduct an annual representative sampling test of all permitted dischargers (identical to DEP's responsibility for its permittees);
- 3. Conduct an inspection of a permitted discharger which is a significant noncomplier within 60 days of designation as a SNC;
- 4. Conduct an annual inflow/outflow analysis of the treatment plant and submit the results to DEP; and
- 5. Include a limit for all categorical hazardous pollutants (EPA designated) and all hazardous pollutants discharged into the system by permitted dischargers.

Enhanced Citizen Participation and Enforcement Capability

The bill significantly enhances the capability of citizens, environmental groups, and public interest groups to participate in the implementation and enforcement of the State's water pollution control and prevention program. To this end, the bill amends the 1974 "Environmental Rights Act," P.L.1974, c.169 (C.2A:35A-1 et seq.) to remove the \$10,000 cap on the recovery of legal fees, court costs, and expert witness fees when the successful party achieved some reasonable success on the merits of the case. The "cap" is completely removed concerning cases brought against private industrial dischargers, but is fixed at \$50,000 with regard to cases brought against POTWs and the Department of Environmental Protection. This bill, however, specifies that all judgments and payments realized from a citizen's suit must be used to further environmental goals consistent with the statute under which the suit was brought, and also requires persons receiving settlements and judgments to submit a plan to the Court outlining the use of these funds prior to receiving these funds, and to report to the Court on the use of these funds after receiving these funds. In addition, the bill requires that all judgments and settlements resulting from citizens' suits against POTWs must be deposited in the "Wastewater Treatment Fund" established pursuant to P.L.1985, c.329, for use in financing improvements to POTWs.

The bill also provides for third party appeal of water pollution permit decisions by DEP on the administrative level. Citizens, environmental groups or public interest groups could appeal a permit decision if the objections to the decision were raised at the hearing level and relate to a significant issue of fact or law and are likely to have an effect on the outcome of the decision, or if an objection was raised in a written submission if no hearing on the permit decision was held. This bill also sets forth specific criteria which a third party must meet to appeal a permit decision.

In addition, this bill provides for public participation in the adoption of administrative orders which would relax NJPDES permit standards. Before adopting an administrative consent order which temporarily relaxes the discharge limits of a permit, DEP is required to afford the public the right to comment; if the administrative consent order relaxes limits for more than 24 months, DEP is required to hold a public hearing on the order.

Criminal Penalties for Violations of WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ACT

The bill sets forth specific criminal penalties for criminal violations of the "Water Pollution Control Act." Section 10 of the "Water Pollution Control Act." (C.58:10A-10) currently provides that any person who willfully or negligently violates the act is guilty of a crime of the 4th degree and liable for a penalty of between \$5000 and 50,000 per day of offense, and for a penalty of between \$10,000 and \$100,000 for a second offense. The bill establishes four degrees of penalties for criminal violations, and provides for specific monetary penalties and jail sentences. A first degree criminal violation consists of a purposeful or knowing violation which places a person in imminent danger of death or serious injury; a second degree crime consists of a purposeful, knowing, or reckless violation which causes a significant adverse environmental impact; a third degree crime consists of a purposeful, knowing or reckless violation; and a fourth degree crime consists of a negligent violation of the act.

Funding

The bill provides that an amount not to exceed \$2,000,000 from the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund may be used by DEP for start-up administrative costs. Any money borrowed shall be repaid to the fund from the penalties collected pursuant to this bill in annual installments beginning July 1, 1991.

Effective Date

The mandatory civil administrative penalty program established in this bill, as well as all new major responsibilities imposed on both DEP and permit holders takes effect July 1, 1991. The specified criminal penalties provisions, and the dedication of all penalties and fines collected for water permit violations to the funding of the water pollution control program, takes effect immediately. The provisions of the bill providing for third party appeals of permit decisions takes effect July 1, 1992.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS

The Committee made the following amendments:

- 1. A permittee who is a POTW would not be designated a significant noncomplier on the basis of a violation of a permit limitation for "flow."
- 2. DEP is granted full authority to compromise penalties imposed against a POTW if the POTW agrees to enter into an administrative consent order providing for an upgrading of the operation of its plant. In this case DEP could compromise the amount of penalties for violations occurring during the 24 month period preceding the entry into the agreement, but the penalties could not be compromised below the \$1000 or \$5000 minimum penalties, as appropriate.
- 3. Specific criteria are set forth which third parties requesting to appeal a permit decision by DEP must meet before an appeal hearing is granted.
- 4. A citizens group which wins a settlement or judgement on an action brought to enforce a violation of an environmental statute must submit a plan for the use of the settlement monies to the Court prior to receiving the monies.
- 5. The effective dates of various sections of the bill was clarified. The mandatory civil administrative penalty program established in this bill, as well as all new major responsibilities imposed on both DEP and permit holders takes effect July 1, 1991. The specified criminal penalties provisions, and the dedication of all penalties and fines collected for water permit violations to the funding of the water pollution control program, takes effect immidiately. The provisions of the bill providing for third party appeals of permit decisions takes effect July 1, 1992.
- 6. A permittee appealing a permit decision by DEP must, as a condition of being granted the appeal, place in escrow the amount of any outstanding permit fees due for the permit.
- 7. An amount not to exceed \$2,000,000 may be borrowed from the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund. The amount shall be repaid in annual installments beginning July 1, 1991.

The Committee also made a number of technical amendments to the bill.

FISCAL IMPACT

This bill contains no appropriation. As amended, the bill permits the DEP to use up to \$2,000,000 from the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund for start-up administrative costs. Any moneys used shall be repaid from the penalties collected by the department pursuant to this bill. The repayments shall begin July 1, 1991 and be made in annual installments pursuant to a schedule determined by the State Treasurer.



OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR NEWS RELEASE

CN-001 Contact:

general de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya de

EMMA BYRNE 609-292-8956 TRENTON, N.J. 08625 Release: WED., 5/23/90

GOVERNOR FLORIO SIGNS TOUGHEST CLEAN WATER BILL IN THE COUNTRY

Standing on the boardwalk in Asbury Park only a few yards from the spot where he announced his candidacy for Governor, Jim Florio today made good on a promise he had made that day and signed into law the nation's toughest water enforcement law. The bill increases the top fine for water pollution to \$250,000 from \$7,500.

"I said then that I wanted to be the Governor who makes sure everyone in New Jersey -- when we turn on the faucet -- gets safe, clean, water to drink. Well, I'm Governor -- and I'm back."

He went on to offer a warning to those who might pollute New Jersey's waters. "No more will you be able to 'throw away and get away'. We won't just pick up your garbage; we'll pick you up too. Your garbage will go to the dump and you'll go to jail."

The law covers industries and sewage treatment plants. It imposes mandatory fines and penalties for violations and, for the first time, clearly defines those violations. Wastewater is a major source of pollution in our streams, rivers, lakes and ocean.

"But," said the Governor, "this law isn't just about pollution and penalties. It's about power. The power of people to unite for their own common interest. The power for everyday people to take on those who would foul our water, because the law gives people the means to do that."

The law forces sewage treatment plant operators to pay more attention to industries who discharge wastewater into their facilities. Sewage plants must now inspect dischargers and periodically do an analysis of incoming pollutants. Industries will begin to feel more pressure not only from these inspections but from a portion of the law which calls for the highest ranking official in the company to sign the monitoring reports. It also requires industries to report within two hours violations which pose a threat to the environment or the public health.

Violators will also face public scrutiny due to a provision in the law which calls for newspapers to be notified by the DEP of the names and locations of all "significant noncompliers."

Fines of up to \$1 million a day for the most severe violations will be set aside in two special accounts which have been created to cover the costs of DEP's enforcement and implementation responsibilities as well as the continued training of treatment plant operators and the upgrading of wastewater treatment plants.

.

REMARKS PREPARED FOR DELIVERY BY GOVERNOR JIM FLORIO CLEAN WATER ENFORCEMENT ACT BILL SIGNING ASBURY PARK, NEW JERSEY WEDNESDAY, MAY 23, 1990

NEARLY 14 MONTHS AGO I CAME TO ASBURY PARK TO MAKE A PROMISE. IT WAS THE DAY I ANNOUNCED I WAS RUNNING FOR GOVERNOR. I SAID I WANTED TO BE THE GOVERNOR WHO MAKES SURE EVERYONE IN NEW JERSEY -- WHEN WE TURN ON THE FAUCET -- GETS SAFE, CLEAN, WATER TO DRINK.

AND I SAID I WANT TO BE THE GOVERNOR WHO MAKES SURE WE CAN ALWAYS ENJOY THE BEAUTY AN INSPIRATION OF THE JERSEY SHORE.

WELL, I'M GOVERNOR -- AND I'M BACK.

NOT TO MAKE PROMISES, BUT TO KEEP THEM. NOT TO CALL FOR TOUGH MEASURES TO FIGHT POLLUTERS -- BUT TO SIGN INTO LAW THE TOUGHEST CLEAN WATER ENFORCEMENT RULES IN THE UNITED STATES.

TO THOSE WHO WOULD POLLUTE OUR WATER LET ME MAKE IT VERY CLEAR: NO MORE EXCUSES. NO MORE "IFS." NO MORE "BUTS." NO MORE WILL YOU BE ABLE TO "THROW AWAY AND GET AWAY."

WE WON'T JUST PICK UP YOUR GARBAGE; WE'LL PICK YOU UP TOO. YOUR GARBAGE WILL GO TO THE DUMP AND YOU'LL GO TO JAIL.

FOR THE REST OF US -- THOSE OF US WHO ARE SERIOUS ABOUT FIGHTING FOR CLEAN WATER -- THIS IS A HAPPY DAY. IT'S A CELEBRATION OF OUR VALUES AND OF THE TENACITY OF THE PEOPLE WHO MADE THIS HAPPEN. PEOPLE LIKE SENATORS, VAN WAGNER, DALTON, AND BENNETT. ASSEMBLYMEN DUCH, SMITH, AND VILLIPIANO.

OTHERS TOO. ACTIVISTS WHO GOT TOGETHER IN THE TRUE SPIRIT OF COMMUNITY. AND GROUPS, LIKE THE NEW JERSEY ENVIRONMENTAL FEDERATION, WHICH JANE REPRESENTS.

THIS LAW ISN'T JUST ABOUT POLLUTION. IT'S ABOUT POWER. THE POWER OF PEOPLE TO UNITE FOR THEIR COMMON INTEREST. THE POWER FOR EVERYDAY PEOPLE TO TAKE ON THOSE WHO WOULD FOUL OUR WATER, BECAUSE THIS LAW GIVES PEOPLE THE MEANS TO DO THAT.

I HOPE WE NEVER HAVE TO USE THE TOUGH PROVISIONS OF THIS LAW. I WANT TREATMENT PLANTS AND WASTE DISCHARGERS TO TAKE IT UPON THEMSELVES TO STOP POLLUTING. I WANT THEM TO UNDERSTAND THAT THE COST OF DOING THE RIGHT THING MIGHT SEEM EXPENSIVE -- BUT IN REALITY, IT'S VERY LITTLE, COMPARED TO THE COSTS OF DOING NOTHING.

BUT, IF THEY DON'T TAKE THE HINT, WE'LL BE READY.

SO IT'S WITH A GREAT DEAL OF SATISFACTION THAT I SIGN THIS BILL INTO LAW.

IN A FEW DAYS, THESE BEACHES WILL BE ALIVE WITH PEOPLE ENJOYING THE WARMTH OF THE SUN AND THE SURGE OF THE WAVES. THE SHORE WILL BE, FOR THEM, WHAT IT HAS BEEN FOR GENERATIONS -- A PLACE TO RELAX IN THE SAND, TO MARVEL AT THE MAJESTY OF THE SEA, TO REFLECT ON THE BEAUTY WE'VE INHERITED.

THE STEP WE TAKE TODAY WILL HELP MAKE THAT POSSIBLE. BUT MORE IMPORTANT, WE'RE SAYING THAT WE ARE READY AND WILLING TO DO WHAT IT TAKES TO MAKE SURE THAT OUR CHILDREN, AND THEIR CHILDREN, WILL ALSO BE ABLE TO COME HERE. THE SHORE WILL REMAIN FOR THEN A VIBRANT, VITAL PLACE -- NOT A DIM MEMORY FROM FADED PHOTOGRAPHS.

TO DO THIS IS OUR DUTY. TO DO LESS WOULD BE OUR SHAME. THANK YOU.