

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE:

No

VETO MESSAGE:

No

GOVERNOR'S PRESS RELEASE ON SIGNING:

Yes

FOLLOWING WERE PRINTED:

To check for circulating copies, contact New Jersey State Government Publications at the State Library (609) 278-2640 ext.103 or <mailto:refdesk@njstatelib.org>

REPORTS:

No

HEARINGS:

No

NEWSPAPER ARTICLES:

No

P.L. 2021, CHAPTER 230, *approved September 24, 2021*
Assembly, No. 4881 (*Second Reprint*)

1 AN ACT concerning appeals of electronic meetings held under the
2 “Municipal Land Use Law” during ¹**[a declared]** the¹ emergency
3 ¹**[**, and supplementing P.L.1975, c.291 (C.40:55D-1 et seq.)**]**
4 declared in response to the COVID-19 pandemic¹.

5
6 **BE IT ENACTED** by the Senate and General Assembly of the State
7 of New Jersey:

8
9 ¹**[**1. Notwithstanding any provision of law, rule, or regulation to
10 the contrary, a decision of a municipal agency based, in whole or in
11 part, on a meeting held by means of communication or other
12 electronic equipment, in a manner consistent with section 1 of
13 P.L.2020, c.11 (C.10:4-9.3), shall not be appealable on grounds
14 attributable to convening the meeting by means of communication
15 or other electronic equipment, including but not limited to, lack of a
16 physical quorum, lack of proper notice, or lack of a reasonable
17 opportunity to be heard, provided that reasonable public notice and
18 provision for public input were made under the circumstances,
19 consistent with: section 8 of P.L.2020, c.34 (C.52:27D-18.11); and
20 with guidance documents issued by the Division of Local
21 Government Services in the Department of Community Affairs and
22 published on the division’s website on or before the date of the
23 meeting.**]**¹

24
25 ¹1. Notwithstanding any provision of law, rule, or regulation to
26 the contrary, a decision of a municipal agency ²made at, or² based,
27 in whole or in part, on a meeting ²or proceeding² held by means of
28 communication or other electronic equipment ²such that some or all
29 participants are not in the same physical location² shall not be
30 appealable on grounds attributable to convening the meeting ²or
31 proceeding² by means of communication or other electronic
32 equipment, including but not limited to, lack of a physical quorum,
33 lack of proper notice, conduct of the meeting ²or proceeding² , or
34 lack of a reasonable opportunity to be heard or otherwise participate
35 in the meeting ²or proceeding² , provided that notice of the meeting
36 ²or proceeding² , and the conduct of the meeting ²or proceeding² , is
37 consistent with this section, and with guidance documents issued
38 by, or rules or regulation promulgated by, the Department of
39 Community Affairs and published on the department’s Internet

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets **[thus]** in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

Matter underlined thus is new matter.

Matter enclosed in superscript numerals has been adopted as follows:

¹Assembly ASL committee amendments adopted March 17, 2021.

²Senate SBA committee amendments adopted June 17, 2021.

1 website on the date ²[the applicant requested the list pursuant to
2 subsection c. of section 7.1 of P.L.1975, c.291 (C. 40:55D-12)]
3 such notice was given² . All notices required by the "Municipal
4 Land Use Law," P.L.1975, c.291 (C.40:55D-1 et seq.) shall include
5 directions for remote access by the public ²[as] if² provided to the
6 applicant by the municipal agency.¹ ²The applicant shall be entitled
7 to rely upon such directions for remote access provided by the
8 municipal agency and the applicant's reliance on such directions shall
9 not invalidate any meeting or proceeding or any decision of a
10 municipal agency made at, or based, in whole or in part, on such
11 meeting or proceeding.²

12

13 2. This act shall take effect immediately and shall be applicable
14 to a meeting ²or proceeding² conducted by a municipal agency on or
15 after March 9, 2020 and during a period declared ¹, in response to
16 the COVID-19 pandemic,¹ pursuant to the laws of this State as a
17 state of emergency, public health emergency, or both, or for a
18 reasonable period of time following cessation of a declared
19 emergency, if so provided by executive order.

20

21

22

23

24 Prohibits appeal of land use decision related to holding meeting
25 electronically.

CHAPTER 230

AN ACT concerning appeals of electronic meetings held under the “Municipal Land Use Law” during the emergency declared in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

BE IT ENACTED *by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:*

1. Notwithstanding any provision of law, rule, or regulation to the contrary, a decision of a municipal agency made at, or based, in whole or in part, on a meeting or proceeding held by means of communication or other electronic equipment such that some or all participants are not in the same physical location shall not be appealable on grounds attributable to convening the meeting or proceeding by means of communication or other electronic equipment, including but not limited to, lack of a physical quorum, lack of proper notice, conduct of the meeting or proceeding, or lack of a reasonable opportunity to be heard or otherwise participate in the meeting or proceeding, provided that notice of the meeting or proceeding, and the conduct of the meeting or proceeding, is consistent with this section, and with guidance documents issued by, or rules or regulation promulgated by, the Department of Community Affairs and published on the department’s Internet website on the date such notice was given. All notices required by the "Municipal Land Use Law," P.L.1975, c.291 (C.40:55D-1 et seq.) shall include directions for remote access by the public if provided to the applicant by the municipal agency. The applicant shall be entitled to rely upon such directions for remote access provided by the municipal agency and the applicant’s reliance on such directions shall not invalidate any meeting or proceeding or any decision of a municipal agency made at, or based, in whole or in part, on such meeting or proceeding.

2. This act shall take effect immediately and shall be applicable to a meeting or proceeding conducted by a municipal agency on or after March 9, 2020 and during a period declared, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, pursuant to the laws of this State as a state of emergency, public health emergency, or both, or for a reasonable period of time following cessation of a declared emergency, if so provided by executive order.

Approved September 24, 2021.

ASSEMBLY, No. 4881

STATE OF NEW JERSEY 219th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED OCTOBER 26, 2020

Sponsored by:

Assemblyman LOUIS D. GREENWALD

District 6 (Burlington and Camden)

Assemblyman VINCENT MAZZEO

District 2 (Atlantic)

SYNOPSIS

Prohibits appeal of land use decision related to holding meeting electronically.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



(Sponsorship Updated As Of: 1/25/2021)

1 AN ACT concerning appeals of electronic meetings held under the
2 “Municipal Land Use Law” during a declared emergency, and
3 supplementing P.L.1975, c.291 (C.40:55D-1 et seq.).
4

5 **BE IT ENACTED** *by the Senate and General Assembly of the State*
6 *of New Jersey:*
7

8 1. Notwithstanding any provision of law, rule, or regulation to
9 the contrary, a decision of a municipal agency based, in whole or in
10 part, on a meeting held by means of communication or other
11 electronic equipment, in a manner consistent with section 1 of
12 P.L.2020, c.11 (C.10:4-9.3), shall not be appealable on grounds
13 attributable to convening the meeting by means of communication
14 or other electronic equipment, including but not limited to, lack of a
15 physical quorum, lack of proper notice, or lack of a reasonable
16 opportunity to be heard, provided that reasonable public notice and
17 provision for public input were made under the circumstances,
18 consistent with: section 8 of P.L.2020, c.34 (C.52:27D-18.11); and
19 with guidance documents issued by the Division of Local
20 Government Services in the Department of Community Affairs and
21 published on the division’s website on or before the date of the
22 meeting.
23

24 2. This act shall take effect immediately and shall be applicable
25 to a meeting conducted by a municipal agency on or after March 9,
26 2020 and during a period declared pursuant to the laws of this State
27 as a state of emergency, public health emergency, or both, or for a
28 reasonable period of time following cessation of a declared
29 emergency, if so provided by executive order.
30

31
32 STATEMENT
33

34 This bill would prohibit the appeal of a municipal land use
35 decision on the basis of a deviation from some requirement of law
36 because a meeting was held by virtual or remote means during a
37 declared emergency.

38 The “Municipal Land Use Law” (MLUL), P.L.1975, c.291
39 (C.40:55D-1 et seq.), requires municipal agencies (planning boards,
40 boards of adjustment, and municipal governing bodies) to meet,
41 consider, and take action on applications for development within
42 specific time constraints, however, the State’s coronavirus-related
43 emergency declarations have imposed social distancing restrictions
44 that limit or prohibit in-person public meetings. To comply with
45 these requirements and restrictions, municipal agencies may be
46 forced to quickly transition from operating by in-person meeting to
47 virtual meeting. This bill would encourage municipal agencies to
48 operate under the MLUL by virtual means during a declared

1 emergency and assure developers that a land use approval will not
2 be overturned on appeal because an application for development
3 was considered at a virtual meeting.

4 Under the bill, a decision of a municipal agency under the
5 MLUL that is based, in whole or in part, on a virtual meeting, could
6 not be appealed on grounds attributable to convening the meeting
7 by virtual means. These grounds would include, but not be limited
8 to, the lack of a physical quorum, lack of proper notice, or lack of a
9 reasonable opportunity to be heard, provided that reasonable public
10 notice and provision for public input were made under the
11 circumstances. This bill is consistent with two recent enactments,
12 section 1 of P.L.2020, c.11 (C.10:4-9.3), and section 8 of P.L.2020,
13 c.34 (C.52:27D-18.11), neither of which specifically reference the
14 MLUL or decisions made thereunder. The bill also requires a
15 municipal agency to hold a virtual meeting consistent with guidance
16 documents issued by the Division of Local Government Services
17 (DLGS) in the Department of Community Affairs and published on
18 the DLGS website on or before the date of the meeting.

ASSEMBLY STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT
COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 4881

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JANUARY 25, 2021

The Assembly State and Local Government Committee reports favorably Assembly Bill No. 4881.

This bill would prohibit the appeal of a municipal land use decision on the basis of a deviation from some requirement of law because a meeting was held by virtual or remote means during a declared emergency.

The “Municipal Land Use Law” (MLUL), P.L.1975, c.291 (C.40:55D-1 et seq.), requires municipal agencies (planning boards, boards of adjustment, and municipal governing bodies) to meet, consider, and take action on applications for development within specific time constraints. However, the State’s coronavirus-related emergency declarations have imposed social distancing restrictions that limit or prohibit in-person public meetings. To comply with these requirements and restrictions, municipal agencies may have to transition to virtual meeting. This bill would encourage municipal agencies to operate under the MLUL by virtual means during a declared emergency and assure developers that a land use approval will not be overturned on appeal because an application for development was considered at a virtual meeting.

Under the bill, a decision of a municipal agency under the MLUL that is based, in whole or in part, on a virtual meeting, could not be appealed on grounds attributable to convening the meeting by virtual means. These grounds would include, but not be limited to, the lack of a physical quorum, lack of proper notice, or lack of a reasonable opportunity to be heard, provided that reasonable public notice and provision for public input were made under the circumstances. This bill is consistent with two recent enactments, section 1 of P.L.2020, c.11 (C.10:4-9.3), and section 8 of P.L.2020, c.34 (C.52:27D-18.11), neither of which specifically reference the MLUL or decisions made thereunder. The bill also requires a municipal agency to hold a virtual meeting consistent with guidance documents issued by the Division of Local Government Services (DLGS) in the Department of Community Affairs and published on the DLGS website on or before the date of the meeting.

ASSEMBLY STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT
COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO
ASSEMBLY, No. 4881

with committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: MARCH 17, 2021

The Assembly State and Local Government Committee reports favorably and with committee amendments Assembly Bill No. 4881.

As amended by the committee, this bill would prohibit the appeal of a municipal land use decision on the basis of a deviation from some requirement of law because a meeting was held by virtual or remote means during the declared emergency attributable to the COVID-19 pandemic.

The “Municipal Land Use Law” (MLUL), P.L.1975, c.291 (C.40:55D-1 et seq.), requires municipal agencies (planning boards, boards of adjustment, and municipal governing bodies) to meet, consider, and take action on applications for development within specific time constraints, however, the State’s COVID-19 emergency declarations have imposed social distancing restrictions that limit or prohibit in-person public meetings. To comply with these requirements and restrictions, municipal agencies have been forced to quickly transition from operating by in-person meeting to virtual meeting. This bill recognizes the difficulty of that transition and protects land use approvals granted during the COVID-19 emergency from appeals on grounds based upon the virtual meeting if the meeting was noticed and conducted consistently with State standards.

Under the bill, a decision of a municipal agency under the MLUL that is based, in whole or in part, on a virtual meeting, could not be appealed on grounds attributable to convening the meeting by virtual means under certain circumstances. These grounds would include, but not be limited to, the lack of a physical quorum, lack of proper notice, the conduct of the meeting itself, or lack of a reasonable opportunity to be heard, provided that reasonable public notice and provision for public input were made under the circumstances. In order for an approval to be protected from appeal under the bill, the notice of the meeting, and the conduct of the meeting, must have been consistent with this bill, and with guidance documents issued by, or rules or regulation promulgated by, the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) and published on the

department's Internet website on the date the applicant requested the list pursuant to subsection c. of section 7.1 of P.L.1975, c.291 (C. 40:55D-12). The bill would also require all notices required by the MLUL to include directions for remote access by the public as provided to the applicant by the municipal agency.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS:

The committee amendments would limit applicability of the bill to the period of time during which the COVID-19 emergency declarations are in force, and for a reasonable time thereafter, if so provided by executive order. Committee amendments also provide that notice of and conduct of a municipal land use meeting must be consistent with DCA guidance provided at the time the applicant requests a list of property owners entitled to notice under the MLUL.

SENATE BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

[First Reprint]

ASSEMBLY, No. 4881

with committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JUNE 17, 2021

The Senate Budget and Appropriations Committee reports favorably and with committee amendments Assembly Bill No. 4881 (1R).

As amended by the committee, this bill would prohibit the appeal of a municipal land use decision on the basis of a deviation from some requirement of law because a meeting or proceeding was held by virtual or remote means during the declared emergency attributable to the COVID-19 pandemic.

The “Municipal Land Use Law” (MLUL), P.L.1975, c.291 (C.40:55D-1 et seq.), requires municipal agencies (planning boards, boards of adjustment, and municipal governing bodies) to meet, consider, and take action on applications for development within specific time constraints, however, the State’s COVID-19 emergency declarations have imposed social distancing restrictions that limit or prohibit in-person public meetings or proceedings. To comply with these requirements and restrictions, municipal agencies have been forced to quickly transition from operating by in-person meetings and proceedings to virtual meeting and proceedings. This bill recognizes the difficulty of that transition and protects land use approvals granted during the COVID-19 emergency from appeals on grounds based upon the virtual meeting or proceeding if the meeting or proceeding was noticed and conducted consistently with State standards.

Under the bill, a decision of a municipal agency under the MLUL that is based, in whole or in part, on a virtual meeting or proceeding, could not be appealed on grounds attributable to convening the meeting or proceeding by virtual means under certain circumstances. These grounds would include, but not be limited to, the lack of a physical quorum, lack of proper notice, the conduct of the meeting or proceeding itself, or lack of a reasonable opportunity to be heard, provided that reasonable public notice and provision for public input were made under the circumstances. In order for an approval to be protected from appeal under the bill, the notice of the meeting or proceeding, and the conduct of the meeting or proceeding, must have been consistent with this bill, and with guidance documents issued by,

or rules or regulation promulgated by, the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) and published on the department's Internet website on the date the applicant requested the list pursuant to subsection c. of section 7.1 of P.L.1975, c.291 (C. 40:55D-12). The bill would also require all notices required by the MLUL to include directions for remote access by the public as provided to the applicant by the municipal agency.

Finally, the bill provides that the applicant is entitled to rely upon directions for remote access provided by the municipal agency and that the applicant's reliance on such directions would not invalidate any meeting or proceeding or any decision of a municipal agency made at, or based, in whole or in part, on such meeting or proceeding.

As amended and reported by the committee, Assembly Bill No. 4881 (2R) is identical to Senate Bill No. 3744 (1R), which was also amended and reported by the committee on this date.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS:

The committee amendments:

- extend the provisions of the bill to cover municipal agency proceedings;
- specify that the provisions of the bill cover decisions made at meetings or proceedings held virtually;
- specify that the provisions of the bill cover decisions at meeting or proceedings where some or all participants are not at the same physical location;
- specify that an applicant is entitled to rely upon directions for remote access provided by the municipal agency and that the applicant's reliance on such directions would not invalidate any meeting or proceeding or any decision of a municipal agency made at, or based, in whole or in part, on such meeting or proceeding; and
- make technical changes.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Fiscal information for this bill is currently unavailable.

SENATE, No. 3744

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
219th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED MAY 11, 2021

Sponsored by:
Senator JAMES BEACH
District 6 (Burlington and Camden)

SYNOPSIS

Prohibits appeal of land use decision related to holding meeting electronically.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



S3744 BEACH

2

1 AN ACT concerning appeals of electronic meetings held under the
2 “Municipal Land Use Law” during a declared emergency, and
3 supplementing P.L.1975, c.291 (C.40:55D-1 et seq.).
4

5 **BE IT ENACTED** by the Senate and General Assembly of the State
6 of New Jersey:
7

8 1. Notwithstanding any provision of law, rule, or regulation to
9 the contrary, a decision of a municipal agency based, in whole or in
10 part, on a meeting held by means of communication or other
11 electronic equipment, in a manner consistent with section 1 of
12 P.L.2020, c.11 (C.10:4-9.3), shall not be appealable on grounds
13 attributable to convening the meeting by means of communication
14 or other electronic equipment, including but not limited to, lack of a
15 physical quorum, lack of proper notice, or lack of a reasonable
16 opportunity to be heard, provided that reasonable public notice and
17 provision for public input were made under the circumstances,
18 consistent with: section 8 of P.L.2020, c.34 (C.52:27D-18.11); and
19 with guidance documents issued by the Division of Local
20 Government Services in the Department of Community Affairs and
21 published on the division’s website on or before the date of the
22 meeting.
23

24 2. This act shall take effect immediately and shall be applicable
25 to a meeting conducted by a municipal agency on or after March 9,
26 2020 and during a period declared pursuant to the laws of this State
27 as a state of emergency, public health emergency, or both, or for a
28 reasonable period of time following cessation of a declared
29 emergency, if so provided by executive order.
30

31
32 STATEMENT
33

34 This bill would prohibit the appeal of a municipal land use
35 decision on the basis of a deviation from some requirement of law
36 because a meeting was held by virtual or remote means during a
37 declared emergency.

38 The “Municipal Land Use Law” (MLUL), P.L.1975, c.291
39 (C.40:55D-1 et seq.), requires municipal agencies (planning boards,
40 boards of adjustment, and municipal governing bodies) to meet,
41 consider, and take action on applications for development within
42 specific time constraints, however, the State’s coronavirus-related
43 emergency declarations have imposed social distancing restrictions
44 that limit or prohibit in-person public meetings. To comply with
45 these requirements and restrictions, municipal agencies may be
46 forced to quickly transition from operating by in-person meeting to
47 virtual meeting. This bill would encourage municipal agencies to
48 operate under the MLUL by virtual means during a declared

S3744 BEACH

1 emergency and assure developers that a land use approval will not
2 be overturned on appeal because an application for development
3 was considered at a virtual meeting.

4 Under the bill, a decision of a municipal agency under the
5 MLUL that is based, in whole or in part, on a virtual meeting, could
6 not be appealed on grounds attributable to convening the meeting
7 by virtual means. These grounds would include, but not be limited
8 to, the lack of a physical quorum, lack of proper notice, or lack of a
9 reasonable opportunity to be heard, provided that reasonable public
10 notice and provision for public input were made under the
11 circumstances. This bill is consistent with two recent enactments,
12 section 1 of P.L.2020, c.11 (C.10:4-9.3), and section 8 of P.L.2020,
13 c.34 (C.52:27D-18.11), neither of which specifically reference the
14 MLUL or decisions made thereunder. The bill also requires a
15 municipal agency to hold a virtual meeting consistent with guidance
16 documents issued by the Division of Local Government Services
17 (DLGS) in the Department of Community Affairs and published on
18 the DLGS website on or before the date of the meeting.

SENATE BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

SENATE, No. 3744

with committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JUNE 17, 2021

The Senate Budget and Appropriations Committee reports favorably and with committee amendments Senate Bill No. 3744.

As amended by the committee, this bill would prohibit the appeal of a municipal land use decision on the basis of a deviation from some requirement of law because a meeting or proceeding was held by virtual or remote means during the declared emergency attributable to the COVID-19 pandemic.

The “Municipal Land Use Law” (MLUL), P.L.1975, c.291 (C.40:55D-1 et seq.), requires municipal agencies (planning boards, boards of adjustment, and municipal governing bodies) to meet, consider, and take action on applications for development within specific time constraints, however, the State’s COVID-19 emergency declarations have imposed social distancing restrictions that limit or prohibit in-person public meetings or proceedings. To comply with these requirements and restrictions, municipal agencies have been forced to quickly transition from operating by in-person meetings and proceedings to virtual meeting and proceedings. This bill recognizes the difficulty of that transition and protects land use approvals granted during the COVID-19 emergency from appeals on grounds based upon the virtual meeting or proceeding if the meeting or proceeding was noticed and conducted consistently with State standards.

Under the bill, a decision of a municipal agency under the MLUL that is based, in whole or in part, on a virtual meeting or proceeding, could not be appealed on grounds attributable to convening the meeting or proceeding by virtual means under certain circumstances. These grounds would include, but not be limited to, the lack of a physical quorum, lack of proper notice, the conduct of the meeting or proceeding itself, or lack of a reasonable opportunity to be heard, provided that reasonable public notice and provision for public input were made under the circumstances. In order for an approval to be protected from appeal under the bill, the notice of the meeting or proceeding, and the conduct of the meeting or proceeding, must have been consistent with this bill, and with guidance documents issued by, or rules or regulation promulgated by, the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) and published on the department’s Internet website on the date the applicant requested the list pursuant to subsection c. of

section 7.1 of P.L.1975, c.291 (C. 40:55D-12). The bill would also require all notices required by the MLUL to include directions for remote access by the public as provided to the applicant by the municipal agency.

Finally, the bill provides that the applicant is entitled to rely upon directions for remote access provided by the municipal agency and that the applicant's reliance on such directions would not invalidate any meeting or proceeding or any decision of a municipal agency made at, or based, in whole or in part, on such meeting or proceeding.

As amended and reported by the committee, Senate Bill No. 3744 (1R) is identical to Assembly Bill No. 4881 (2R), which was also amended and reported by the committee on this date.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS:

The committee amendments:

- limit applicability of the bill to the period of time during which the COVID-19 emergency declarations are in force, and for a reasonable time thereafter, if so provided by executive order;
- provide that notice of and conduct of a municipal land use meeting must be consistent with DCA guidance provided at the time the applicant requests a list of property owners entitled to notice under the MLUL
- extend the provisions of the bill to cover municipal agency proceedings;
- specify that the provisions of the bill cover decisions made at meetings or proceedings held virtually;
- specify that the provisions of the bill cover decisions at meeting or proceedings where some or all participants are not at the same physical location;
- specify that an applicant is entitled to rely upon directions for remote access provided by the municipal agency and that the applicant's reliance on such directions would not invalidate any meeting or proceeding or any decision of a municipal agency made at, or based, in whole or in part, on such meeting or proceeding; and
- make technical changes.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Fiscal information for this bill is currently unavailable.

Governor Murphy Takes Action on Legislation

09/24/2021

TRENTON – Today, Governor Murphy signed the following bills into law:

S-225/A-679 (Diegnan, Turner/Vainieri Huttie, Mukherji, Jasey) – Provides that student-athlete who sustains concussion must return to regular school activities prior to return to competition; requires school districts to implement six-step return-to-competition process

S-985/A-3789 (Singleton/Moen, Zwicker, Jasey) – Provides that public institutions of higher education may only reduce student's institutional financial aid upon receipt of private scholarship under certain circumstances

S-2826/A-4594 (Ruiz, Cunningham/Quijano, Lampitt, Reynolds-Jackson) – Directs DOE to establish five-year pilot program for issuance of limited certificate of eligibility with advanced standing and limited certificate of eligibility for certain teacher candidates

S-3618/ACS for A-5778 (Pennacchio, Oroho, A.M. Bucco/Tucker, Houghtaling, Wirths, Burzichelli) – Makes supplemental appropriation of \$10 million for grants for certain lake management activities for recreation and conservation purposes

S-3780/A-5785 (Lagana, Sarlo/Mazzeo) – Permits prosecutors to enroll in Prosecutors Part of PERS

A-1091/S-2056 (Murphy, Vainieri Huttie, Wirths/Beach, Oroho) – Requires Division of Travel and Tourism to advertise and promote tours of breweries in the State

A-2617/S-2998 (Murphy, Benson, Reynolds-Jackson/Lagana, Gopal) – Requires employers to provide hiring preference to employees who have reached maximum medical improvement following work related injury

A-4205/S-2506 (Greenwald, Lampitt, Conaway/Gopal, Turner) – Enters New Jersey into Psychology Interjurisdictional Compact

A-4881/S-3744 (Greenwald, Mazzeo/Beach) – Prohibits appeal of land use decision related to holding meeting electronically

A-5142/S-3373 (Wimberly, Jasey, Holley/Singleton, Turner) – Protects homeowner in foreclosure from excessively low intervening offer

A-5683/S-3869 (Reynolds-Jackson, Wimberly/Turner, Pou) – Modifies Garden State Growth Zone tax exemption program