14A:3-6.1 to 14A:3-6.9 LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CHECKLIST

Compiled by the NJ State Law Library

CHAPTER: LAWS OF: 2013 42 NJSA: 14A:3-6.1 to 14A:3-6.9 (Revises law concerning derivative proceedings and shareholder class actions) BILL NO: A3123 (Substituted for S2326) SPONSOR(S) Diegnan and others **DATE INTRODUCED:** June 18, 2012 COMMITTEE: ASSEMBLY: Commerce and Economic Development SENATE: Commerce AMENDED DURING PASSAGE: Yes DATE OF PASSAGE: ASSEMBLY: December 17, 2012 SENATE: February 7, 2013 DATE OF APPROVAL: April 1, 2013 FOLLOWING ARE ATTACHED IF AVAILABLE: FINAL TEXT OF BILL (First reprint enacted) A3123 **SPONSOR'S STATEMENT**: (Begins on page 6 of introduced bill) Yes **COMMITTEE STATEMENT:** ASSEMBLY: Yes SENATE: Yes (Audio archived recordings of the committee meetings, corresponding to the date of the committee statement, may possibly be found at www.njleg.state.nj.us) FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT: No **LEGISLATIVE FISCAL NOTE:** No S2326 **SPONSOR'S STATEMENT:** (Begins on page 6 of introduced bill) Yes **COMMITTEE STATEMENT:** ASSEMBLY: No SENATE: Yes FLOOR AMENDMENT STATEMENT: No LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ESTIMATE: No

(continued)

VETO MESSAGE:							
GOVERNOR'S PRESS RELEASE ON SIGNING:							
FOLLOWING WERE PRINTED: To check for circulating copies, contact New Jersey State Government Publications at the State Library (609) 278-2640 ext.103 or mailto:refdesk@njstatelib.org							
REPORTS:	No						
HEARINGS:	No						
NEWSPAPER ARTICLES:	No						
LAW/RWH							

P.L.2013, CHAPTER 42, approved April 1, 2013 Assembly, No. 3123 (First Reprint)

AN ACT concerning derivative proceedings and shareholder class actions, supplementing chapter 3 of Title 14A of the New Jersey Statutes, and repealing N.J.S.14A:3-6.

3 4 5

1 2

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

1415

16 17

18

19

6

- 1. As used in this section:
- "Derivative proceeding" means a civil suit in the right of a domestic corporation.

"Shareholder" includes a beneficial owner whose shares are held in a voting trust or held by a nominee on the beneficial owner's behalf.

"Shareholder class action" means a civil suit by a shareholder against a domestic corporation or its directors or officers which alleges a breach of any duty by the directors or officers or the corporation which is imposed in whole or in part by statutory or common law of the State of New Jersey and seeks a right, remedy, or damages on behalf of a class of the domestic corporation's shareholders.

202122

23

2425

26

27

2829

- 2. A shareholder may not commence or maintain a derivative proceeding unless the shareholder:
- (1) was a shareholder of the corporation at the time of the act or omission complained of or became a shareholder through transfer by operation of law from one who was a shareholder at that time and remains a shareholder throughout the derivative proceeding; and
- (2) fairly and adequately represents the interests of the corporation in enforcing the right of the corporation.

3031

34

35

36

37

- 32 3. No shareholder may commence a derivative proceeding until:
 - (1) a written demand has been made upon the corporation to take suitable action; and
 - (2) 90 days have expired from the date the demand was made unless the shareholder has earlier been notified that the demand has

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

Matter underlined thus is new matter.

Matter enclosed in superscript numerals has been adopted as follows:

¹Assembly ACE committee amendments adopted September 24, 2012.

been rejected by the corporation or unless irreparable injury to the
 corporation would result by waiting for the expiration of the 90-day
 period.

4. If the corporation commences an inquiry into the allegations made in the demand or complaint, the court may stay any derivative proceeding as the court deems appropriate.

- 5. (1) Subject to subsection (5) of this section, a derivative proceeding shall be dismissed by the court on motion by the corporation if the court finds that:
- (a) the person or group specified in paragraphs (a) or (b) of subsection (2) of this section or subsection (6) of this section has determined in good faith, after conducting a reasonable inquiry upon which its conclusions are based, that the maintenance of the derivative proceeding is not in the best interests of the corporation; or
- (b) the shareholders specified in paragraph (c) of subsection (2) of this section have voted to terminate the derivative proceeding.
- (2) Unless a panel is appointed pursuant to subsection (6) of this section, the determination in subsection (1) of this section shall be made by:
- (a) a majority vote of independent directors present at a meeting of the board of directors if the independent directors constitute a quorum;
- (b) a majority vote of a committee consisting of one or more independent directors appointed by majority vote of independent directors, or one independent director if the board consists of only one independent director, present at a meeting of the board of directors, regardless of whether those independent directors constitute a quorum of the board; or
- (c) the vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote, not including shares owned by or voted under the control of a shareholder or related person who has or had a material beneficial financial interest in the act or omission complained of or other interest therein that would reasonably be expected to exert an influence on that shareholder's or related person's judgment if called upon to vote in the determination.
- (3) If a derivative proceeding is commenced after a determination has been made rejecting a demand by a shareholder, the complaint shall allege with particularity facts establishing ¹[:
- (a) <u>I that</u> a majority of the board of directors, or all members of a "[Committee] committee", which in either case determined the matter, did not consist of independent directors at the time the determination was made "[; or
- 46 (b) the requirements of subsection (1) of this section have not 47 been met; or
 - (c) both $]^1$.

- (4) If a majority of the board of directors consisted of independent directors at the time the determination in subsection (1) of this section was made or if the determination is made by shareholders, the plaintiff shall have the burden of proving that the requirements of subsection (1) of this section have not been met. If a majority of the board of directors does not consist of independent directors at the time the determination by independent directors is made, the corporation shall have the burden of proving that the requirements of subsection (1) of this section have been met.
 - (5) (a) If the corporation moves to dismiss the derivative proceeding, it shall make a written filing with the court setting forth, among other things, facts to show:
 - (i) whether or not a majority of the board of directors was independent at the time of the determination by the independent director or directors; and
 - (ii) that the independent director or directors made the determination in good faith after conducting a reasonable inquiry upon which the conclusions are based.
 - (b) Following a motion filed pursuant to paragraph (a) of this subsection, the court shall dismiss the derivative suit unless:
 - (i) the court finds that the requirements of subsection (1) of this section have not been met, taking into account the burden of proof under subsections (4) or (6) of this section; or
 - (ii) the plaintiff, in its complaint, an amended complaint, or in a written filing with the court, has alleged with particularity facts rebutting the facts contained in the corporation's filing.
 - (c) All discovery proceedings shall be stayed upon the filing by the corporation of its motion to dismiss and the filing required by this subsection until the notice of entry of the order ruling on the motion. Notwithstanding the foregoing stay of discovery, the court, on motion and after a hearing, may order that specified and limited discovery be conducted if plaintiffs make a good cause showing of alleged facts which evidence a lack of independence by the person or group making the determination for the corporation or a lack of a good faith determination. Limited discovery shall not include the work product, privileged communications, or testimony of attorneys who advised or assisted the person or group making the determination.
 - (6) Upon motion by the corporation, the court may appoint a panel of one or more individuals to make a determination whether the maintenance of the derivative proceeding is in the best interests of the corporation. The plaintiff shall have the burden of proving to the panel that the requirements of subsection (1) of this section have not been met.
- (7) (a) A director shall be considered independent for the purposes of this section if the director has:
- (i) no economic interest in the challenged act or transaction material to him or her, other than an economic interest that is shared by all shareholders generally; and

- (ii) no material, personal, or business relationships with the defendant directors or officers who have a material interest in the act or transaction challenged.
- (b) None of the following shall by itself cause a director to be considered not independent for the purposes of this section:
- (i) the nomination or election of the director by a person who is a defendant in the derivative proceeding or against whom action is demanded;
- (ii) the naming of the director as a defendant in the derivative proceeding or as a person against whom action is demanded; or
- (iii) the approval by the director of the act being challenged in the derivative proceeding or demand if the act resulted in no personal benefit to the director.

6. A derivative proceeding or a shareholder class action may not be discontinued or settled without the court's approval. If the court determines that a proposed discontinuance or settlement will substantially affect the interests of the corporation's shareholders or a class of shareholders, the court shall direct that notice be given to the shareholders affected.

- 7. On termination of a derivative proceeding or a shareholder class action the court may:
- (1) order the corporation to pay the plaintiff's expenses incurred in the proceeding if it finds that the proceeding has resulted in a substantial benefit to the corporation;
- (2) order the plaintiff to pay any defendant's expenses incurred in defending the proceeding if it finds that the proceeding was commenced or maintained without the exercise of reasonable diligence by the plaintiff or without reasonable cause or for an improper purpose; or
- (3) order a party to pay an opposing party's expenses incurred because of the filing of a pleading, motion or other paper, if it finds that the pleading, motion or other paper was not well grounded in fact, after reasonable inquiry, or warranted by existing law or a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law and was interposed for an improper purpose, such as to harass or cause unnecessary delay or needlessly increase in the cost of litigation.

8. In any derivative proceeding or shareholder class action instituted by a shareholder or shareholders holding less than 5% of the outstanding shares of any class or series of the corporation, unless the shares have a market value in excess of \$250,000, the corporation in whose right the action is brought shall be entitled at any time before final judgment to require the plaintiff or plaintiffs to give security for the reasonable expenses, including fees of attorneys, that may be incurred by it in connection with the action or may be incurred by other parties named as defendant for which it

A3123 [1R] 5

1	may become legally liable. Market value shall be determined as of								
2	the date that the plaintiff institutes the action or, in the case of an								
3	intervener, as of the date that the intervener becomes a party to the								
4	action. The corporation shall have recourse to that security in that								
5	amount which the court having jurisdiction shall determine upon the								
6	termination of the action in accordance with section 7 of P.L. ,								
7	c. (C.)(pending before the Legislature as this bill).								
8									
9	9. In any derivative proceeding or shareholder class action, the								
10	provisions of P.L. , c. (C.)(pending before the Legislature								
11	as this bill) shall apply to actions brought in state or federal court								
12	both within and outside of the State of New Jersey if the provisions								
13	of P.L. , c. (C.)(pending before the Legislature as this bill)								
14	are made applicable to the corporation by the certificate of								
15	incorporation.								
16									
17	10. N.J.S.14A:3-6 is repealed.								
18									
19	11. This act shall take effect immediately.								
20									
21									
22									
23									
24	Revises law concerning derivative proceedings and shareholder								

25 class actions.

ASSEMBLY, No. 3123

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

215th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED JUNE 18, 2012

Sponsored by: Assemblyman PATRICK J. DIEGNAN, JR. District 18 (Middlesex)

SYNOPSIS

Revises law concerning derivative proceedings and shareholder class actions.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



A3123 DIEGNAN

AN ACT concerning derivative proceedings and shareholder class actions, supplementing chapter 3 of Title 14A of the New Jersey Statutes, and repealing N.J.S.14A:3-6.

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. As used in this section:
- "Derivative proceeding" means a civil suit in the right of a domestic corporation.

"Shareholder" includes a beneficial owner whose shares are held in a voting trust or held by a nominee on the beneficial owner's behalf

"Shareholder class action" means a civil suit by a shareholder against a domestic corporation or its directors or officers which alleges a breach of any duty by the directors or officers or the corporation which is imposed in whole or in part by statutory or common law of the State of New Jersey and seeks a right, remedy, or damages on behalf of a class of the domestic corporation's shareholders.

- 2. A shareholder may not commence or maintain a derivative proceeding unless the shareholder:
- (1) was a shareholder of the corporation at the time of the act or omission complained of or became a shareholder through transfer by operation of law from one who was a shareholder at that time and remains a shareholder throughout the derivative proceeding; and
- (2) fairly and adequately represents the interests of the corporation in enforcing the right of the corporation.

- 32 3. No shareholder may commence a derivative proceeding until:
 - (1) a written demand has been made upon the corporation to take suitable action; and
 - (2) 90 days have expired from the date the demand was made unless the shareholder has earlier been notified that the demand has been rejected by the corporation or unless irreparable injury to the corporation would result by waiting for the expiration of the 90-day period.

4. If the corporation commences an inquiry into the allegations made in the demand or complaint, the court may stay any derivative proceeding as the court deems appropriate.

5. (1) Subject to subsection (5) of this section, a derivative proceeding shall be dismissed by the court on motion by the corporation if the court finds that:

- (a) the person or group specified in paragraphs (a) or (b) of subsection (2) of this section or subsection (6) of this section has determined in good faith, after conducting a reasonable inquiry upon which its conclusions are based, that the maintenance of the derivative proceeding is not in the best interests of the corporation; or
 - (b) the shareholders specified in paragraph (c) of subsection (2) of this section have voted to terminate the derivative proceeding.
 - (2) Unless a panel is appointed pursuant to subsection (6) of this section, the determination in subsection (1) of this section shall be made by:
 - (a) a majority vote of independent directors present at a meeting of the board of directors if the independent directors constitute a quorum;
- (b) a majority vote of a committee consisting of one or more independent directors appointed by majority vote of independent directors, or one independent director if the board consists of only one independent director, present at a meeting of the board of directors, regardless of whether those independent directors constitute a quorum of the board; or
- (c) the vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote, not including shares owned by or voted under the control of a shareholder or related person who has or had a material beneficial financial interest in the act or omission complained of or other interest therein that would reasonably be expected to exert an influence on that shareholder's or related person's judgment if called upon to vote in the determination.
- (3) If a derivative proceeding is commenced after a determination has been made rejecting a demand by a shareholder, the complaint shall allege with particularity facts establishing:
- (a) a majority of the board of directors, or all members of a Committee, which in either case determined the matter, did not consist of independent directors at the time the determination was made; or
- (b) the requirements of subsection (1) of this section have not been met; or
 - (c) both.

- (4) If a majority of the board of directors consisted of independent directors at the time the determination in subsection (1) of this section was made or if the determination is made by shareholders, the plaintiff shall have the burden of proving that the requirements of subsection (1) of this section have not been met. If a majority of the board of directors does not consist of independent directors at the time the determination by independent directors is made, the corporation shall have the burden of proving that the requirements of subsection (1) of this section have been met.
- (5) (a) If the corporation moves to dismiss the derivative proceeding, it shall make a written filing with the court setting forth, among other things, facts to show:

(i) whether or not a majority of the board of directors was independent at the time of the determination by the independent director or directors; and

- (ii) that the independent director or directors made the determination in good faith after conducting a reasonable inquiry upon which the conclusions are based.
- (b) Following a motion filed pursuant to paragraph (a) of this subsection, the court shall dismiss the derivative suit unless:
- (i) the court finds that the requirements of subsection (1) of this section have not been met, taking into account the burden of proof under subsections (4) or (6) of this section; or
- (ii) the plaintiff, in its complaint, an amended complaint, or in a written filing with the court, has alleged with particularity facts rebutting the facts contained in the corporation's filing.
- (c) All discovery proceedings shall be stayed upon the filing by the corporation of its motion to dismiss and the filing required by this subsection until the notice of entry of the order ruling on the motion. Notwithstanding the foregoing stay of discovery, the court, on motion and after a hearing, may order that specified and limited discovery be conducted if plaintiffs make a good cause showing of alleged facts which evidence a lack of independence by the person or group making the determination for the corporation or a lack of a good faith determination. Limited discovery shall not include the work product, privileged communications, or testimony of attorneys who advised or assisted the person or group making the determination.
- (6) Upon motion by the corporation, the court may appoint a panel of one or more individuals to make a determination whether the maintenance of the derivative proceeding is in the best interests of the corporation. The plaintiff shall have the burden of proving to the panel that the requirements of subsection (1) of this section have not been met.
- (7) (a) A director shall be considered independent for the purposes of this section if the director has:
- (i) no economic interest in the challenged act or transaction material to him or her, other than an economic interest that is shared by all shareholders generally; and
- (ii) no material, personal, or business relationships with the defendant directors or officers who have a material interest in the act or transaction challenged.
- (b) None of the following shall by itself cause a director to be considered not independent for the purposes of this section:
- (i) the nomination or election of the director by a person who is a defendant in the derivative proceeding or against whom action is demanded;
- (ii) the naming of the director as a defendant in the derivative proceeding or as a person against whom action is demanded; or

(iii) the approval by the director of the act being challenged in the derivative proceeding or demand if the act resulted in no personal benefit to the director.

345

6

7

8

9

1

2

6. A derivative proceeding or a shareholder class action may not be discontinued or settled without the court's approval. If the court determines that a proposed discontinuance or settlement will substantially affect the interests of the corporation's shareholders or a class of shareholders, the court shall direct that notice be given to the shareholders affected.

101112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 7. On termination of a derivative proceeding or a shareholder class action the court may:
- (1) order the corporation to pay the plaintiff's expenses incurred in the proceeding if it finds that the proceeding has resulted in a substantial benefit to the corporation;
- (2) order the plaintiff to pay any defendant's expenses incurred in defending the proceeding if it finds that the proceeding was commenced or maintained without the exercise of reasonable diligence by the plaintiff or without reasonable cause or for an improper purpose; or
- (3) order a party to pay an opposing party's expenses incurred because of the filing of a pleading, motion or other paper, if it finds that the pleading, motion or other paper was not well grounded in fact, after reasonable inquiry, or warranted by existing law or a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law and was interposed for an improper purpose, such as to harass or cause unnecessary delay or needlessly increase in the cost of litigation.

293031

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

8. In any derivative proceeding or shareholder class action instituted by a shareholder or shareholders holding less than 5% of the outstanding shares of any class or series of the corporation, unless the shares have a market value in excess of \$250,000, the corporation in whose right the action is brought shall be entitled at any time before final judgment to require the plaintiff or plaintiffs to give security for the reasonable expenses, including fees of attorneys, that may be incurred by it in connection with the action or may be incurred by other parties named as defendant for which it may become legally liable. Market value shall be determined as of the date that the plaintiff institutes the action or, in the case of an intervener, as of the date that the intervener becomes a party to the action. The corporation shall have recourse to that security in that amount which the court having jurisdiction shall determine upon the termination of the action in accordance with section 7 of P.L. (C.)(pending before the Legislature as this bill).

46 47 48

49

9. In any derivative proceeding or shareholder class action, the provisions of P.L. , c. (C.)(pending before the Legislature

A3123 DIEGNAN

as this bill) shall apply to actions brought in state or federal court both within and outside of the State of New Jersey if the provisions of P.L., c. (C.)(pending before the Legislature as this bill) are made applicable to the corporation by the certificate of incorporation.

10. N.J.S.14A:3-6 is repealed.

11. This act shall take effect immediately.

STATEMENT

This bill revises the law concerning shareholder derivative proceedings in New Jersey. The bill repeals the current law concerning actions brought in the right of a corporation by a shareholder, and supplements the "New Jersey Business Corporation Act" with a new set of regulations concerning derivative proceedings. The bill retains the substantive provisions of the repealed section. Certain provisions of the bill are also applicable to shareholder class actions against a corporation or its directors arising out of breach of duty imposed by New Jersey statutory or common law.

Under the bill, the regulations governing derivative proceedings and shareholder class actions are applicable only if the certificate of incorporation makes it applicable. The bill raises the value of plaintiffs' shareholdings required to avoid the need to post security for a fee award, which had not been increased since 1968, to \$250,000. The bill also adds the judicially developed concept that the shareholder plaintiffs must continue to hold the shares throughout the derivative proceeding.

Under the bill, a New Jersey corporation may amend its certificate of incorporation to supersede judicial case law developments regarding demand requirements and adopt the statutory standards. This allows corporations to avoid derivative suits that may impose unnecessary costs on the corporation. If adopted by a corporation, demand is required in every derivative proceeding and disinterested directors, shareholders, or court appointed professionals are authorized to make a decision, after a good faith investigation, that the derivative proceeding is not in the best interests of the corporation.

The bill is largely based on sections 7.40 to 7.47 of the Model Business Corporation Act, with substantial additions based on section 7.44 of Chapter 156D of the Massachusetts Business Corporation Law. The New Jersey Corporate and Business Law Study Commission recommends the legislative reforms in this bill.

ASSEMBLY COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

ASSEMBLY, No. 3123

with committee amendments

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: SEPTEMBER 24, 2012

The Assembly Commerce and Economic Development Committee reports favorably and with committee amendments Assembly Bill No. 3123.

As amended by the committee, this bill revises the New Jersey law concerning shareholder derivative proceedings. Derivative proceedings are lawsuits brought by a shareholder on behalf of a corporation to assert a right of the corporation if the corporation has not instituted an action upon that right on its own behalf. The bill also concerns shareholder class actions against a corporation or its directors arising out of breach of duty imposed by New Jersey statutory or common law. The bill allows corporations to "opt-in" to the bill's provisions by amending their certificates of incorporation.

Current law provides that a shareholder cannot commence a derivative proceeding unless the shareholder was a shareholder of the corporation at the time of the act or omission complained of or became a shareholder through transfer by operation of law from one who was a shareholder at that time. The bill retains this requirement and adds to it the requirement that the shareholder remain a shareholder throughout the derivative proceeding. The bill also provides that a shareholder may not maintain a derivative proceeding unless the suit fairly and adequately represents the interests of the corporation in enforcing the right of the corporation.

The bill provides that a shareholder cannot file a derivative proceeding unless the shareholder has first made a written demand upon the corporation to take suitable action and has afforded the corporation time to investigate and respond to the demand. The bill details various alternative procedures for the corporation to make an independent decision as to whether the derivative proceeding is in the best interests of the corporation.

The bill repeals N.J.S.A. 14A:3-6, the provision of law that currently addresses actions brought in the right of a corporation by a shareholder, but retains most of the substantive provisions of that section. Current law allows a corporation to require a small shareholder prosecuting a derivative proceeding against the

corporation to post security for the possible award of litigation expenses, including attorneys fees, that may be incurred by the corporation and other parties named as defendant. This currently applies if the shareholder holds less than 5% of the outstanding shares of the corporation unless the shares have a market value in excess of \$25,000. The bill would raise the value of plaintiffs' shareholdings required to avoid the need to post security against the possible award of litigation fees from \$25,000 to \$250,000.

The bill is largely based on sections 7.40 to 7.47 of the Model Business Corporation Act, with substantial additions based on section 7.44 of Chapter 156D of the Massachusetts Business Corporation Law.

The New Jersey Corporate and Business Law Study Commission recommends enactment of this bill. The commission has indicated that the purpose of the bill is to allow corporations to avoid derivative lawsuits that impose excessive and unnecessary costs on New Jersey corporations.

COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS:

The amendments provide that if a shareholder commences a derivative proceeding after a determination has been made rejecting a demand by the shareholder, the complaint must allege with particularity facts establishing that a majority of the board of directors, or all members of a committee, which in either case determined the matter, did not consist of independent directors at the time the determination was made.

SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

[First Reprint] ASSEMBLY, No. 3123

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JANUARY 14, 2013

The Senate Commerce Committee reports favorably Assembly Bill No. 3123 (1R).

This bill revises the New Jersey law concerning shareholder derivative proceedings. Derivative proceedings are lawsuits brought by a shareholder on behalf of a corporation to assert a right of the corporation if the corporation has not instituted an action upon that right on its own behalf. The bill also concerns shareholder class actions against a corporation or its directors arising out of a breach of duty imposed by New Jersey statutory or common law. The bill allows corporations to "opt-in" to the bill's provisions by amending their certificates of incorporation.

Current law provides that a shareholder cannot commence a derivative proceeding unless the shareholder was a shareholder of the corporation at the time of the act or omission complained of or became a shareholder through transfer by operation of law from one who was a shareholder at that time. The bill retains this requirement and adds to it the requirement that the shareholder remain a shareholder throughout the derivative proceeding. The bill also provides that a shareholder may not maintain a derivative proceeding unless the suit fairly and adequately represents the interests of the corporation in enforcing the right of the corporation.

The bill provides that a shareholder cannot file a derivative proceeding unless the shareholder has first made a written demand upon the corporation to take suitable action and has afforded the corporation time to investigate and respond to the demand. The bill details various alternative procedures for the corporation to make an independent decision as to whether the derivative proceeding is in the best interests of the corporation.

The bill repeals N.J.S.A. 14A:3-6, the provision of law that currently addresses actions brought in the right of a corporation by a shareholder, but retains most of the substantive provisions of that section. Current law allows a corporation to require a small shareholder prosecuting a derivative proceeding against the corporation to post security for the possible award of litigation expenses, including attorneys fees, that may be incurred by the

corporation and other parties named as defendant. This requirement currently applies if the shareholder holds less than 5% of the outstanding shares of the corporation, unless the shares have a market value in excess of \$25,000. The bill would raise the value of plaintiffs' shareholdings required to avoid the need to post security against the possible award of litigation fees from \$25,000 to \$250,000.

The bill is largely based on sections 7.40 to 7.47 of the Model Business Corporation Act, with substantial additions based on section 7.44 of Chapter 156D of the Massachusetts Business Corporation Law.

The New Jersey Corporate and Business Law Study Commission recommends enactment of this bill. The commission has indicated that the purpose of the bill is to allow corporations to avoid derivative lawsuits that impose excessive and unnecessary costs on New Jersey corporations.

SENATE, No. 2326

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

215th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED NOVEMBER 19, 2012

Sponsored by: Senator NIA H. GILL District 34 (Essex and Passaic)

SYNOPSIS

Revises law concerning derivative proceedings and shareholder class actions.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



AN ACT concerning derivative proceedings and shareholder class actions, supplementing chapter 3 of Title 14A of the New Jersey Statutes, and repealing N.J.S.14A:3-6.

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

- 1. As used in this section:
- "Derivative proceeding" means a civil suit in the right of a domestic corporation.

"Shareholder" includes a beneficial owner whose shares are held in a voting trust or held by a nominee on the beneficial owner's behalf

"Shareholder class action" means a civil suit by a shareholder against a domestic corporation or its directors or officers which alleges a breach of any duty by the directors or officers or the corporation which is imposed in whole or in part by statutory or common law of the State of New Jersey and seeks a right, remedy, or damages on behalf of a class of the domestic corporation's shareholders.

- 2. A shareholder may not commence or maintain a derivative proceeding unless the shareholder:
- (1) was a shareholder of the corporation at the time of the act or omission complained of or became a shareholder through transfer by operation of law from one who was a shareholder at that time and remains a shareholder throughout the derivative proceeding; and
- (2) fairly and adequately represents the interests of the corporation in enforcing the right of the corporation.

- 32 3. No shareholder may commence a derivative proceeding until:
 - (1) a written demand has been made upon the corporation to take suitable action; and
 - (2) 90 days have expired from the date the demand was made unless the shareholder has earlier been notified that the demand has been rejected by the corporation or unless irreparable injury to the corporation would result by waiting for the expiration of the 90-day period.

4. If the corporation commences an inquiry into the allegations made in the demand or complaint, the court may stay any derivative proceeding as the court deems appropriate.

5. (1) Subject to subsection (5) of this section, a derivative proceeding shall be dismissed by the court on motion by the corporation if the court finds that:

- (a) the person or group specified in paragraphs (a) or (b) of subsection (2) of this section or subsection (6) of this section has determined in good faith, after conducting a reasonable inquiry upon which its conclusions are based, that the maintenance of the derivative proceeding is not in the best interests of the corporation; or
- (b) the shareholders specified in paragraph (c) of subsection (2) of this section have voted to terminate the derivative proceeding.
- (2) Unless a panel is appointed pursuant to subsection (6) of this section, the determination in subsection (1) of this section shall be made by:
- (a) a majority vote of independent directors present at a meeting of the board of directors if the independent directors constitute a quorum;
- (b) a majority vote of a committee consisting of one or more independent directors appointed by majority vote of independent directors, or one independent director if the board consists of only one independent director, present at a meeting of the board of directors, regardless of whether those independent directors constitute a quorum of the board; or
- (c) the vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote, not including shares owned by or voted under the control of a shareholder or related person who has or had a material beneficial financial interest in the act or omission complained of or other interest therein that would reasonably be expected to exert an influence on that shareholder's or related person's judgment if called upon to vote in the determination.
- (3) If a derivative proceeding is commenced after a determination has been made rejecting a demand by a shareholder, the complaint shall allege with particularity facts establishing that a majority of the board of directors, or all members of a committee, which in either case determined the matter, did not consist of independent directors at the time the determination was made.
- (4) If a majority of the board of directors consisted of independent directors at the time the determination in subsection (1) of this section was made or if the determination is made by shareholders, the plaintiff shall have the burden of proving that the requirements of subsection (1) of this section have not been met. If a majority of the board of directors does not consist of independent directors at the time the determination by independent directors is made, the corporation shall have the burden of proving that the requirements of subsection (1) of this section have been met.
- (5) (a) If the corporation moves to dismiss the derivative proceeding, it shall make a written filing with the court setting forth, among other things, facts to show:
- (i) whether or not a majority of the board of directors was independent at the time of the determination by the independent director or directors; and

(ii) that the independent director or directors made the determination in good faith after conducting a reasonable inquiry upon which the conclusions are based.

- (b) Following a motion filed pursuant to paragraph (a) of this subsection, the court shall dismiss the derivative suit unless:
- (i) the court finds that the requirements of subsection (1) of this section have not been met, taking into account the burden of proof under subsections (4) or (6) of this section; or
- (ii) the plaintiff, in its complaint, an amended complaint, or in a written filing with the court, has alleged with particularity facts rebutting the facts contained in the corporation's filing.
- (c) All discovery proceedings shall be stayed upon the filing by the corporation of its motion to dismiss and the filing required by this subsection until the notice of entry of the order ruling on the motion. Notwithstanding the foregoing stay of discovery, the court, on motion and after a hearing, may order that specified and limited discovery be conducted if plaintiffs make a good cause showing of alleged facts which evidence a lack of independence by the person or group making the determination for the corporation or a lack of a good faith determination. Limited discovery shall not include the work product, privileged communications, or testimony of attorneys who advised or assisted the person or group making the determination.
- (6) Upon motion by the corporation, the court may appoint a panel of one or more individuals to make a determination whether the maintenance of the derivative proceeding is in the best interests of the corporation. The plaintiff shall have the burden of proving to the panel that the requirements of subsection (1) of this section have not been met.
- (7) (a) A director shall be considered independent for the purposes of this section if the director has:
- (i) no economic interest in the challenged act or transaction material to him or her, other than an economic interest that is shared by all shareholders generally; and
- (ii) no material, personal, or business relationships with the defendant directors or officers who have a material interest in the act or transaction challenged.
- (b) None of the following shall by itself cause a director to be considered not independent for the purposes of this section:
- (i) the nomination or election of the director by a person who is a defendant in the derivative proceeding or against whom action is demanded;
- (ii) the naming of the director as a defendant in the derivative proceeding or as a person against whom action is demanded; or
- (iii) the approval by the director of the act being challenged in the derivative proceeding or demand if the act resulted in no personal benefit to the director.

6. A derivative proceeding or a shareholder class action may not be discontinued or settled without the court's approval. If the court determines that a proposed discontinuance or settlement will substantially affect the interests of the corporation's shareholders or a class of shareholders, the court shall direct that notice be given to the shareholders affected.

- 7. On termination of a derivative proceeding or a shareholder class action the court may:
- (1) order the corporation to pay the plaintiff's expenses incurred in the proceeding if it finds that the proceeding has resulted in a substantial benefit to the corporation;
- (2) order the plaintiff to pay any defendant's expenses incurred in defending the proceeding if it finds that the proceeding was commenced or maintained without the exercise of reasonable diligence by the plaintiff or without reasonable cause or for an improper purpose; or
- (3) order a party to pay an opposing party's expenses incurred because of the filing of a pleading, motion or other paper, if it finds that the pleading, motion or other paper was not well grounded in fact, after reasonable inquiry, or warranted by existing law or a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law and was interposed for an improper purpose, such as to harass or cause unnecessary delay or needlessly increase in the cost of litigation.

8. In any derivative proceeding or shareholder class action instituted by a shareholder or shareholders holding less than 5% of the outstanding shares of any class or series of the corporation, unless the shares have a market value in excess of \$250,000, the corporation in whose right the action is brought shall be entitled at any time before final judgment to require the plaintiff or plaintiffs to give security for the reasonable expenses, including fees of attorneys, that may be incurred by it in connection with the action or may be incurred by other parties named as defendant for which it may become legally liable. Market value shall be determined as of the date that the plaintiff institutes the action or, in the case of an intervener, as of the date that the intervener becomes a party to the action. The corporation shall have recourse to that security in that amount which the court having jurisdiction shall determine upon the termination of the action in accordance with section 7 of) (pending before the Legislature as this bill). P.L. , c. (C.

9. In any derivative proceeding or shareholder class action, the provisions of P.L. , c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill) shall apply to actions brought in state or federal court both within and outside of the State of New Jersey if the provisions of P.L. , c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill)

1	are	made	applicable	to	the	corporation	by	the	certificate	of
2	incorporation.									

10. N.J.S.14A:3-6 is repealed.

11. This act shall take effect immediately.

STATEMENT

This bill revises the law concerning shareholder derivative proceedings in New Jersey. The bill repeals the current law concerning actions brought in the right of a corporation by a shareholder, and supplements the "New Jersey Business Corporation Act" with a new set of regulations concerning derivative proceedings. The bill retains the substantive provisions of the repealed section. Certain provisions of the bill are also applicable to shareholder class actions against a corporation or its directors arising out of breach of duty imposed by New Jersey statutory or common law.

Under the bill, the regulations governing derivative proceedings and shareholder class actions are applicable only if the certificate of incorporation makes it applicable. The bill raises the value of plaintiffs' shareholdings required to avoid the need to post security for a fee award, which had not been increased since 1968, to \$250,000. The bill also adds the judicially developed concept that the shareholder plaintiffs must continue to hold the shares throughout the derivative proceeding.

Under the bill, a New Jersey corporation may amend its certificate of incorporation to supersede judicial case law developments regarding demand requirements and adopt the statutory standards. This allows corporations to avoid derivative suits that may impose unnecessary costs on the corporation. If adopted by a corporation, demand is required in every derivative proceeding and disinterested directors, shareholders, or court appointed professionals are authorized to make a decision, after a good faith investigation, that the derivative proceeding is not in the best interests of the corporation.

The bill is largely based on sections 7.40 to 7.47 of the Model Business Corporation Act, with substantial additions based on section 7.44 of Chapter 156D of the Massachusetts Business Corporation Law. The New Jersey Corporate and Business Law Study Commission recommends the legislative reforms in this bill.

SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE

STATEMENT TO

SENATE, No. 2326

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

DATED: JANUARY 14, 2013

The Senate Commerce Committee reports favorably Senate Bill No. 2326.

This bill revises the New Jersey law concerning shareholder derivative proceedings. Derivative proceedings are lawsuits brought by a shareholder on behalf of a corporation to assert a right of the corporation if the corporation has not instituted an action upon that right on its own behalf. The bill also concerns shareholder class actions against a corporation or its directors arising out of a breach of duty imposed by New Jersey statutory or common law. The bill allows corporations to "opt-in" to the bill's provisions by amending their certificates of incorporation.

Current law provides that a shareholder cannot commence a derivative proceeding unless the shareholder was a shareholder of the corporation at the time of the act or omission complained of or became a shareholder through transfer by operation of law from one who was a shareholder at that time. The bill retains this requirement and adds to it the requirement that the shareholder remain a shareholder throughout the derivative proceeding. The bill also provides that a shareholder may not maintain a derivative proceeding unless the suit fairly and adequately represents the interests of the corporation in enforcing the right of the corporation.

The bill provides that a shareholder cannot file a derivative proceeding unless the shareholder has first made a written demand upon the corporation to take suitable action and has afforded the corporation time to investigate and respond to the demand. The bill details various alternative procedures for the corporation to make an independent decision as to whether the derivative proceeding is in the best interests of the corporation.

The bill repeals N.J.S.A. 14A:3-6, the provision of law that currently addresses actions brought in the right of a corporation by a shareholder, but retains most of the substantive provisions of that section. Current law allows a corporation to require a small shareholder prosecuting a derivative proceeding against the corporation to post security for the possible award of litigation expenses, including attorneys fees, that may be incurred by the corporation and other parties named as defendant. This requirement currently applies if the shareholder holds less than 5% of the

outstanding shares of the corporation, unless the shares have a market value in excess of \$25,000. The bill would raise the value of plaintiffs' shareholdings required to avoid the need to post security against the possible award of litigation fees from \$25,000 to \$250,000.

The bill is largely based on sections 7.40 to 7.47 of the Model Business Corporation Act, with substantial additions based on section 7.44 of Chapter 156D of the Massachusetts Business Corporation Law.

The New Jersey Corporate and Business Law Study Commission recommends enactment of this bill. The commission has indicated that the purpose of the bill is to allow corporations to avoid derivative lawsuits that impose excessive and unnecessary costs on New Jersey corporations.